

Risk Factors Comparison 2025-03-24 to 2024-03-29 Form: 10-K

Legend: **New Text** ~~Removed Text~~ Unchanged Text **Moved Text Section**

We are subject to various risks and uncertainties in the course of our business. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below and the other information in this report before making an investment in our **Class A** common stock ~~or warrants~~, **par value \$ 0.0001 per share (“ Common Stock ”)**. Our business, financial condition, results of operations, or prospects could be materially and adversely affected if any of these risks occurs, and as a result, the market price of our common stock ~~and warrants~~ could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment. This report also contains forward- looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. See “ Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward- Looking Statements. ” Our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated in these forward- looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth below. Risks Related to Our Business Operations and Financial Position We have a limited operating history and have incurred significant losses since our inception and anticipate that we will continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future. If we ever achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain it. We are a clinical stage biopharmaceutical company with a limited operating history. Pharmaceutical product development is a highly speculative undertaking and involves a substantial degree of risk. Old AEON was originally incorporated in 2012 but did not begin focusing its efforts and financial resources on the clinical development and regulatory approval of ABP- 450 for therapeutic indications until 2019. The operating history upon which investors must evaluate our business and prospects is limited. Consequently, any predictions about our future success, performance or viability may not be as accurate as they could be if we had a longer operating history or a history of commercial operations. In addition, as an organization, we have limited experience and have not yet demonstrated an ability to successfully overcome many of the risks and uncertainties frequently encountered by companies in the biopharmaceutical market. To date, we have not obtained any regulatory approvals for ABP- 450 or generated any revenue from product sales relating to ~~therapeutic~~ **ABP- 450. On May 16, 2024, we announced the discontinuation of our Phase 2 double blind study of ABP- 450 in the treatment of episodic migraine and chronic migraine, which had previously completed enrollment and dosing of patients, and ceased enrollment and dosing of patients in our open label extension study related to such study, in order to implement certain cash preservation measures while the Company continues to evaluate its strategic options. On July 9, 2024, we announced a strategic reprioritization to seek regulatory approval of ABP- 450 as a biosimilar product in the United States through submission of a Biologics License Application, or BLA, under Section 351 (k) of the Public Health Service Act, or a Section 351 (k) BLA, using AbbVie Inc.’ s product Botox as the reference product, for all of the indications for which Botox is approved, other than the cosmetic uses (for which we do not hold development or commercialization rights). We held an initial meeting with the FDA in the third quarter of ABP-450-2024 during which we aligned with the FDA on the next steps to develop a Botox biosimilar. We commenced analytical studies in the fourth quarter of 2024 to prepare for a potential Biosimilar Biological Product Development (“ BPD ”) Type 2a meeting with the FDA in the second half of 2025 to review the results from the studies**. Because we have not yet received regulatory approvals, we are not permitted to market ABP- 450 for ~~therapeutic~~ **any** use in the United States or in any other territory, and as such, we have not generated any revenue from sales of ABP- 450 to date. We have recorded **income from operations of \$ 73.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2024, mainly due to gain on fair value of contingent consideration of \$ 100.8 million, and** losses from operations of \$ 29.6 million ~~and~~ **income of \$ 318.2 million and loss of \$ 48.4 million for the periods from** January 1, 2023 to July 21, 2023 (Predecessor) ~~and~~ **July 22, 2023 to December 31, 2023 (Successor) and, respectively. We have recorded net income of \$ 42.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2022-2024, respectively; mainly due to gain on fair value of contingent consideration, and we have net losses of \$ 60.7 million and** ~~income of \$ 24.3 million and loss of \$ 52.6 million for the periods from~~ **income of \$ 24.3 million and loss of \$ 52.6 million for the periods from** January 1, 2023 to July 21, 2023 (Predecessor) ~~and~~ **July 22, 2023 to December 31, 2023 (Successor) and for the year ended, respectively. As of** December 31, ~~2022-2024~~ **2024, respectively we had \$ 13 thousand in cash and cash equivalents**. As a result of our ongoing losses, as of December 31, ~~2022-2024~~ **2024** (Successor), we had an accumulated deficit of \$ ~~473.6~~ **431.6** million. We expect to continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future, and we anticipate these losses will increase as we continue to seek regulatory approval for, and begin to commercialize, ABP- 450, if approved. We may encounter unforeseen expenses, difficulties, complications, delays and other unknown factors that may adversely affect our business. The size of our future net losses will depend, in part, on the rate of future growth of our expenses and our ability to generate revenues. Our prior losses and expected future losses have had and will continue to have an adverse effect on our stockholders’ equity (deficit) and working capital. Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with drug development, we are unable to accurately predict the timing or amount of increased expenses, or when, if at all, we will be able to achieve profitability. Even if we achieve profitability in the future, we may not be able to sustain profitability in subsequent periods. Our prior losses, combined with expected future losses, may adversely affect the market price of common stock and our ability to raise capital and continue operations. Our management has concluded that uncertainties around our ability to raise additional capital raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We will require additional financing to fund our future operations. Any failure to obtain additional capital when needed on acceptable terms, or at all, could force us to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our operations. We have concluded that we do not have sufficient cash to fund our operations and to meet our obligations as they become due within one year from the date that our consolidated financial statements are issued and as a result, there is substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern is an issue raised as a result of ongoing operating losses and a lack of financing commitments to meet cash requirements, and is subject to our ability

to generate a profit or obtain appropriate financing from outside sources, including obtaining additional funding from the sale of our securities or obtaining loans from third parties where possible. We will need to raise additional capital to fund our operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to raise additional capital on commercially reasonable terms or at all. The perception that we may not be able to continue as a going concern may materially limit our ability to raise additional funds through the issuance of new debt or equity securities or otherwise and no assurance can be given that sufficient funding will be available when needed to allow us to continue as a going concern. This perception may also make it more difficult to operate our business due to concerns about our ability to meet our contractual obligations. If we cannot continue as a going concern, we may have to liquidate our assets and may receive less than the value at which those assets are carried on our financial statements, and it is likely that our stockholders may lose some or all of their investment in us. We expect that we will continue to expend substantial resources for the foreseeable future in order to complete development of and seek regulatory approval for ABP- 450 **as a biosimilar to Botox for the treatment of migraine, cervical dystonia and gastroparesis**, identify future potential therapeutic applications for ABP- 450 and establish sales and marketing capabilities to commercialize ABP- 450 across any approved indications. **We 28As of the date of this Report, we** expect to have sufficient cash to fund our operating plan **through June into the fourth quarter of 2024 2025**; including \$ 15 million of committed financing related to the issuance of certain Convertible Notes with Daewoong. For more information, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources.” We have based these estimates, however, on assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and we could spend our available capital resources much faster than we currently expect or require more capital to fund our operations than we currently expect. Our future capital requirements depend on many factors, including: **the timing of, and the costs involved in, obtaining regulatory approvals for ABP- 450 in our proposed therapeutic indications**; **the scope, progress, results and costs of researching and developing ABP- 450, and conducting preclinical and clinical studies, including any determination we make as studies required by the FDA to whether to cease its migraine open label extension study support submission of a Section 351 (k) BLA**; **the cost of commercialization activities if ABP- 450 is approved in any of our proposed therapeutic indications for sale, including marketing, sales and distribution costs**; **costs under our third- party manufacturing and supply arrangements for ABP- 450 and any products we commercialize**; **the degree and rate of market acceptance of ABP- 450, if approved, or any future approved products**; **the emergence, approval, availability, perceived advantages, relative cost, relative safety and relative efficacy of alternative and competing products**; **costs associated with any acquisition or in- license of products and product candidates, technologies or businesses, and the terms and timing of any strategic collaboration or other arrangement**; **the timing terms of any conversion our sale and issuance of the second senior secured convertible notes in the principal amount of \$ 15. 0 million (each a “Convertible Note in” and together, the “Convertible Notes”) principal amount of \$ 10. 0 million**, pursuant to a subscription agreement (the “Subscription Agreement”), dated as of March 19, 2024, with Daewoong Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. (“Daewoong”) relating to our sale and issuance of senior secured convertible notes (each, a “Convertible Note” and together, the “Convertible Notes”) in the principal amount of up to \$ 15. 0 million; **the terms of any conversion of the first Convertible Note in the principal amount of \$ 5. 0 million, issued and sold to Daewoong on March 24, 2024, or the second Convertible Note into shares of common stock, subject to certain conditions and limitations set forth in each Convertible Note**; **the timing and terms of any liquidated damages cash payments under the separate termination agreements, dated as of March 18, 2024 (each, an “FPA Termination Agreement” and together, the “FPA Termination Agreements”), with each of ACM ARRT J LLC (“ACM”), and Polar Multi-Strategy Master Fund (“Polar”) (each of ACM and Polar, individually, a “Seller”, and together, the “Sellers”), terminating their respective Forward Purchase Agreements with us, dated as of June 29, 2023, for an OTC Equity Prepaid Transaction (each, a “Forward Purchase Agreement” and together, the “Forward Purchase Agreements”), which in certain circumstances may require aggregate payments of up to \$ 3. 0 million by us to the Sellers under the FPA Termination Agreements; and** **costs of operating as a public company. If we raise additional capital through marketing and distribution arrangements or other collaborations, strategic alliances or licensing arrangements with third parties, we may have to relinquish certain valuable rights to our product candidate (s), technologies, future revenue streams or research programs or may have to grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us. If we raise additional capital through public or private equity offerings or offerings of securities convertible into our equity, the ownership interest of stockholders will be diluted and the terms of any such securities may have a preference over our common stock. Debt financing, receivables financing and royalty financing may also be coupled with an equity component, such as warrants to purchase our capital stock, which could also result in dilution of our existing stockholders’ ownership, and such dilution may be material. Additionally Furthermore**, if we raise additional capital through debt financing, we will have increased fixed payment obligations and may be subject to covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions, such as incurring additional debt or making capital expenditures to meet specified financial ratios, and other operational restrictions, any of which could restrict our ability to commercialize ABP- 450 **in our proposed therapeutic indications** or to operate as a business and may result in liens being placed on our assets. If we were to default on any of our indebtedness, we could lose such assets. Additional funding may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. The global credit and financial markets have experienced volatility and disruptions recently, including diminished liquidity and credit availability, declines in consumer confidence, declines in economic growth, increases in unemployment rates, and uncertainty about economic stability. If the equity and credit markets deteriorate, it may make any necessary debt or equity financing more difficult, more costly or more dilutive. Our future success currently depends entirely on the successful and timely regulatory approval and commercialization of our only product candidate, ABP- 450. The development and commercialization of pharmaceutical products is subject to extensive regulation, and we may not obtain regulatory approvals for ABP- 450 **in any of the indications for which we plan to develop it on a timely basis or at all. The clinical development, manufacturing, labeling, storage, record- keeping, advertising, promotion, import, export, Marketing marketing and distribution of biological products, including ABP- 450, are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA in the U. S. and by comparable foreign**

regulatory authorities in foreign markets. Regulatory approval of biologics in the United States requires the submission of a BLA to the FDA. A BLA must be supported by extensive clinical and preclinical data, as well as extensive information regarding pharmacology, chemistry, manufacturing and ~~33~~**controls demonstrating the safety, purity and potency of the biological product for its intended uses**. FDA approval of a BLA is not guaranteed, and the review and approval process is an expensive and uncertain process that may take several years. The FDA also has substantial discretion in the approval process, **including the ability to delay, limit or deny approval of a product candidate for many reasons. Despite the time and expense invested in clinical development of product candidates, regulatory approval of a product candidate is never guaranteed. Of the large number of 29 drugs in development, only a small percentage successfully complete the FDA or foreign regulatory approval processes and are commercialized**. Prior to obtaining approval to commercialize any product candidate in the United States or abroad, we must demonstrate with substantial evidence from well- controlled clinical trials, and to the satisfaction of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities, that our product candidate, ABP- 450, is safe and effective for its intended uses **and in the case of biological products in the U. S., such as ABP- 450, that such product candidate is safe, pure and potent for its intended uses**. Results from preclinical studies and clinical trials can be interpreted in different ways. Even if we believe that the preclinical or clinical data for our product candidates, including ABP- 450, are promising, such data may not be sufficient to support approval for further development, manufacturing or commercialization of our product candidates by the FDA and other regulatory authorities. The FDA or other regulatory authorities may also require us to conduct additional preclinical studies or clinical trials for our product candidates either prior to or post- approval, or it may object to elements of our clinical development program, requiring their alteration. The number and types of preclinical studies and clinical studies that will be required for BLA approval varies depending on the product candidate, the disease or the condition that the product candidate is designed to treat and the regulations applicable to any particular product candidate. The FDA, ~~the EMA,~~ and other regulatory authorities can delay, limit or deny approval of a product candidate for many reasons, including the following: **• a • such authorities may disagree with the design or execution of our clinical trials; • negative or ambiguous results from our clinical trials or results may not meet the level of statistical significance or persuasiveness required by the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory agencies for approval; • serious and unexpected drug- related side effects may be experienced by participants in our clinical trials or by individuals using drugs similar to our product candidate-candidates ; • the population studied in the clinical trial may not be deemed sufficiently broad or representative to assure safe safety ; effective, pure in the full population or for potent which we seek approval ; • serious and unexpected drug- related side effects may be experienced by participants in our clinical trials or by individuals using products similar to our product candidates; • the populations we evaluate in our the clinical trials may not be sufficiently broad or representative to assure safety in the full population for which we seek approval; • such authorities may not accept clinical data from trials that are conducted at clinical facilities or in countries where the standard of care is potentially different from that of the their own country; • such authorities may disagree with our interpretation of data from preclinical studies and/or clinical trials; • we may be unable to demonstrate that a product candidate is safe, pure, potent, or effective for its intended uses, that such product candidate’s clinical and other benefits outweigh its safety risks, or that such product candidate is biosimilar to a reference product; • such authorities may not agree that the data collected from clinical trials of our product candidates are acceptable or sufficient to support the submission of a Section 351 (k) BLA or other submission or to obtain regulatory approval in the U. S. or elsewhere, and such authorities may impose requirements for additional preclinical studies or clinical trials may not be deemed sufficient; • the FDA, the EMA and • such authorities may disagree with us regarding other-- the regulatory agencies might not approve formulation, labeling and / our-- or the product specifications of our product candidates; • approval may be granted only for indications that are significantly more limited than those sought by us, and / or may include significant restrictions on distribution and use; • such authorities may find deficiencies in the manufacturing processes or facilities of the third- party manufacturers 2-processes with which we contract or for facilities clinical and commercial supplies ; • deficiencies in the formulation, quality control, labeling, or specifications of a product candidate or in response to citizen petitions or similar documents filed in connection with the product candidate; • a general requirement intended to address risks associated with a class of drugs, such as a new risk evaluation and mitigation strategy, or REMS, requirement for- or • botulinum toxins; • the enactment of new laws or promulgation of new regulations that change the approval requirements; or • the FDA, the EMA and other regulatory agencies may change their approval policies or adopt new regulations. If ABP- 450 fails to demonstrate the requisite safety and efficacy in, purity, potency our- or biosimilarity in our planned clinical studies or does not gain approval in any of our proposed therapeutic indications, our business and results of operations will be materially and adversely harmed. We are currently **planning to pursue approval pursuing three main therapeutic indications for ABP- 450 in the United States as a biosimilar to Botox**, and our business presently depends entirely on our ability to obtain regulatory approvals- **approval** for ABP- 450 for our planned indications and to successfully commercialize it in a timely manner. To date, as an organization, we have completed one clinical study **evaluating** related to the therapeutic use of ABP- 450 for the treatment of cervical dystonia. ~~In October 2023~~ **We originally intended to pursue submission of an Original BLA seeking one or more potential therapeutic indications for ABP- 450. However**, we announced topline results from our Phase 2 clinical trial trials of ABP- 450 for the preventive treatment of episodic migraine. The Phase 2 clinical trial for episodic **and chronic** migraine did not meet its their respective primary endpoint endpoints . **In May 2024**, though it did show statistical significance ~~30~~ **we announced the discontinuation of our Phase 2 clinical trials for episodic and chronic migraine in order to implement certain cash preservation measures. As a result, on multiple secondary** July 9, 2024, we announced a strategic reprioritization to pursue a Section 351 (k) BLA for ABP- 450, using AbbVie Inc.’ s product Botox as a proposed reference product, for which we would seek approval for all of the indications for which Botox is approved, other than the cosmetic uses. We held ~~and-~~ **an initial meeting with exploratory****

endpoints, including the percentage FDA in the third quarter of patients achieving 2024 during which we aligned with the FDA on next steps to develop a reduction Botox biosimilar. We commenced analytical studies in the fourth quarter of 2024 to prepare for a potential Biosimilar Biological Product Development (“ BPD ”) Type 2a meeting with the FDA in the second half of 2025 to review the results from baseline the studies. Although we believe ABP- 450 represents a favorable candidate to develop as a biosimilar product, the FDA may indicate that a biosimilar pathway is not feasible, or prohibitively challenging, with a neurotoxin. For example, the FDA could require us to perform analytical testing procedures for ABP- 450 that are not technologically feasible, or could disagree that the results from a single pivotal study could support submission of a Section 351 (k) BLA. Even if the FDA acknowledges that ABP- 450 has the potential to be developed as a biosimilar product, we may not be able to successfully complete our planned clinical study, or successfully prepare, submit, and obtain approval of a Section 351 (k) BLA in a timely manner, or at all least 50 % in monthly migraine days and 75 % in monthly migraine days during the weeks 21 to 24 of the treatment period and improvements on certain patient and rating scales. We have no biological products currently approved for sale and we may never be able to develop marketable products. We are not permitted to market ABP- 450 in the United States unless we receive approval of a BLA from the FDA or, in the European Union unless we receive approval of a similar marketing authorization application, or MAA, from the EMA, in Canada unless we receive approval of a new drug submission, or NDS, from Health Canada or in any other countries permitted under the Daewoong Agreement, unless we receive the requisite approval from the applicable regulatory authorities in such countries. We will need to conduct a significant amount of clinical testing before we receive regulatory approval for any of our planned indications, and we do not know if or when we will receive any such approvals or whether we will need to make modifications or significant additional expenditures to obtain any such approvals. We can provide no assurances that ABP- 450 will be successful in clinical studies or will ultimately receive regulatory approval in any therapeutic indication. Even if ABP- 450 demonstrates efficacy, our injection protocols, including the selection of injection sites and amount of product injected at each injection site, may produce negative or inconclusive results or may result in the 34 occurrence of serious adverse events. In addition, if we receive approval in one country for an indication, we may not receive a similar approval in any other jurisdiction, or in the same country for a different indication. Even if we obtain regulatory approvals for ABP- 450 one or more of our therapeutic indications are obtained, we may never be able to successfully commercialize ABP- 450. We will need to transition at some point from a company with a development focus to a company capable of supporting commercial activities, including by obtaining approval for coverage and adequate reimbursement from third- party and government payors, but we may not be successful in such a transition. Accordingly, we may not be able to generate sufficient revenue through the sale of ABP- 450 in each of our therapeutic indications to continue our business. Clinical product development involves a lengthy, expensive and uncertain process. We may incur greater costs than we anticipate or encounter substantial delays or difficulties in our clinical studies. We may not commercialize, market, promote or sell any product candidate, including ABP- 450, without obtaining marketing regulatory approval from the FDA, the EMA or other regulatory agencies, and we may never receive such approvals. Clinical testing is expensive, is difficult to design and implement, can take many years to complete and is uncertain as to outcome. As a company, we are conducting and overseeing the conduct of preclinical and clinical studies of ABP- 450 through contracts with CROs. We cannot guarantee that any clinical studies will be conducted as planned or completed on schedule, if at all. A failure of one or more clinical studies can occur at any stage of testing. Moreover, preclinical and clinical data are often susceptible to varying interpretations and analyses, and many companies that have believed their product candidates performed satisfactorily in preclinical studies and clinical studies have nonetheless failed to obtain marketing regulatory approval of their products- product candidates. The In October 2023, we announced topline results from preclinical studies or early clinical trials of a product candidate may not predict the results of later clinical trials of the product candidate, and interim results of a clinical trial are not necessarily indicative of final results. Product candidates in later stages of clinical trials may fail to show the desired characteristics despite having progressed through preclinical studies and initial clinical trials. In particular, while we have conducted clinical studies evaluating ABP- 450 in patients with cervical dystonia and migraines, we do not know whether our product candidates will perform similarly in future clinical trials. It is not uncommon to observe results in clinical trials that are unexpected based on preclinical studies and early clinical trials, and many product candidates fail in clinical trials despite very promising early results. For example, our Phase 2 clinical trial trials of ABP- 450 for the preventive treatment of episodic migraine. The Phase 2 clinical trial for episodic and chronic migraine did not meet its their respective primary endpoint endpoints. As a result, though it did show statistical significance in May 2024, we announced the discontinuation of our Phase 2 clinical trials for episodic and chronic migraine in order to implement certain cash preservation measures. We do not know whether our planned clinical trials will be completed on schedule multiple secondary and exploratory endpoints, if including the percentage of patients achieving a reduction from baseline of at least 50 % in monthly migraine days and 75 % in monthly migraine days during the weeks 21 to 24 of the treatment period and improvements on certain patient and rating scales. We may experience numerous unforeseen events prior to, during, or as a result of, clinical studies that could delay or prevent our ability to receive marketing regulatory approval or to commercialize ABP- 450 in our- or proposed therapeutic indications- any other product candidate, including the following: •• delays in reaching a consensus with regulatory authorities on the design or implementation of our clinical studies; ••31• regulators or institutional review boards, or IRBs, and ethics committees may not allow or authorize us or our investigators to commence a clinical study or conduct a clinical study at a prospective study site; •• delays in identifying, recruiting and training suitable clinical investigators; •• delays in reaching agreement on acceptable terms with prospective contract research organizations, or CROs, and clinical study sites, the terms of which can be subject to extensive negotiation and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites; •• delays or failures by our contract manufacturers, including Daewoong, to comply with current Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMPs, or other applicable requirements, or to provide sufficient

supply of ABP- 450 for use in our clinical studies; ~~the~~ the number of patients required for clinical studies of ABP- 450 ~~in our proposed therapeutic indications~~ may be larger than we anticipate, enrollment in these clinical studies may be slower than we anticipate, participants may drop out of these clinical studies at a higher rate than we anticipate or fail to return for post-treatment follow- up or we may fail to recruit suitable patients to participate in a study; ~~IRBs refusing to approve, suspending or terminating the trial at an investigational site, precluding enrollment of additional subjects, or withdrawing their approval of the trial;~~ **IRBs refusing to approve, suspending or terminating the trial at an investigational site, precluding enrollment of additional subjects, or withdrawing their approval of the trial;** ~~changes or amendments to the clinical trial protocol;~~ **changes or amendments to the clinical trial protocol;** ~~clinical sites deviating from the trial protocol or dropping out of a trial;~~ **clinical sites deviating from the trial protocol or dropping out of a trial;** ~~failure by our CROs to perform in accordance with Good Clinical Practice, or GCP, requirements or applicable regulatory rules and guidelines in other countries;~~ **failure by our CROs to perform in accordance with Good Clinical Practice, or GCP, requirements or applicable regulatory rules and guidelines in other countries;** ~~lack of adequate funding to continue a clinical trial, or costs being greater than we anticipate;~~ **lack of adequate funding to continue a clinical trial, or costs being greater than we anticipate;** ~~subjects experiencing severe or serious unexpected drug- related adverse effects;~~ **subjects experiencing severe or serious unexpected drug- related adverse effects;** ~~clinical studies of ABP- 450 in our proposed therapeutic indications may produce negative or inconclusive results;~~ ~~imposition of a clinical hold by regulatory authorities as a result of a serious adverse event, concerns with a class of product candidates or after an inspection of our clinical study operations, study~~ ~~Sites or manufacturing facilities;~~ ~~occurrence of serious adverse events associated with ABP- 450 in any of our proposed therapeutic indications that are viewed to outweigh its potential benefits;~~ ~~changes in regulatory requirements and guidance that require amending or submitting new clinical protocols;~~ ~~we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct additional clinical studies or abandon product development programs; or~~ ~~the impacts of any public health outbreaks (such as the COVID- 19 pandemic) on our ongoing and planned clinical studies. Any inability to successfully complete preclinical and clinical development could result in additional costs to us or impair our ability to generate revenue from future product sales or other sources. In addition, if we make manufacturing or formulation changes to ABP- 450, we may need to conduct additional testing to bridge our modified product candidate to earlier versions. Clinical study delays could also shorten any periods during which we may have the exclusive right to commercialize ABP- 450, if approved in any currently proposed or future therapeutic indications, or allow our competitors to bring competing products to market before we do, which could impair our ability to successfully commercialize ABP- 450 and may harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Additionally, if the results of our clinical studies are inconclusive or if there are safety concerns or serious adverse events associated with ABP- 450 in any of our proposed therapeutic indications, we may:~~ ~~be delayed in obtaining marketing regulatory approval, or not obtain marketing regulatory approval at all;~~ ~~obtain approval in for indications or patient populations that are not as broad as intended or desired;~~ ~~obtain approval with labeling that includes significant use or distribution restrictions or safety warnings or be subject to the addition of labeling statements, such as warnings or contraindications;~~ ~~be subject to additional post- marketing testing requirements;~~ ~~be required to perform additional clinical studies to support approval or be subject to additional post- marketing testing requirements;~~ ~~have regulatory authorities withdraw, or suspend, their approval of the product or impose restrictions on its distribution in the form of a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy, or REMS;~~ ~~be sued; or~~ ~~experience damage to our reputation. Our product development costs will also increase if we experience delays in testing or obtaining marketing regulatory approvals. We do not know whether any of our preclinical studies or clinical studies will begin as planned, need to be restructured or be completed on schedule, if at all. Additionally, the impacts of any public health outbreaks (such as the COVID- 19 pandemic) on our projected milestones is uncertain and cannot be predicted with confidence. Further~~ ~~Further~~ ~~we, the FDA, a foreign regulatory authority, an ethics committee or an institutional review board may suspend our clinical studies at any time if it appears that we or our collaborators are failing to conduct a study in accordance with regulatory requirements, including among other things, the FDA’ s current Good Clinical Practice, or GCP, regulations, that we are exposing participants to unacceptable health risks, or if the FDA, the EMA or other regulatory agency finds deficiencies in our current or planned investigational new drug applications, or INDs, or other clinical study applications, respectively, or the conduct of these studies. Moreover, to the extent our filing schedule for a new IND is dependent on further preclinical or manufacturing progress, we may not be able to file such INDs on the timelines we expect. Therefore, we cannot predict with any certainty the schedule for commencement and completion of future clinical studies, including our planned pivotal trial for ABP- 450. If we experience delays in the commencement or completion of our clinical studies, or if we terminate a clinical study prior to completion, the commercial prospects of ABP- 450 could be negatively impacted, and our ability to generate revenue from ABP- 450 may be delayed. Additionally, certain of our scientific advisors or consultants who receive compensation from us are likely to be investigators for our future clinical studies. Under certain circumstances, we may be required to report some of these relationships to the FDA. The FDA may conclude that a financial relationship between us and a principal investigator has created a conflict of interest or otherwise affected interpretation of the study. The FDA may therefore question the integrity of the data generated at the applicable clinical study site and the utility of the clinical study itself may be jeopardized. This could result in a delay in approval, or rejection, of our marketing applications by the FDA and may ultimately lead to the denial of marketing regulatory approval of ABP- 450 in one or more indications. If we experience delays in the completion of, or termination of, any clinical study of ABP- 450, the commercial prospects of ABP- 450 will be harmed, and our ability to generate product revenue will be delayed. Moreover, any delays in completing our clinical studies will increase our costs, slow down our development and approval process and jeopardize our ability to commence product sales and generate revenues which may harm our business, financial condition and prospects significantly. Enrollment and retention of patients in clinical studies is an expensive and time- consuming process and could be delayed, made more difficult or rendered impossible by multiple factors outside our control. If we experience delays or difficulties in enrolling patients in clinical studies, our clinical development activities receipt of necessary regulatory approval could be delayed or prevented otherwise adversely affected. Identifying and qualifying patients to participate in our clinical studies is critical to our success. The number of patients suffering from cervical dystonia is small and other indications we may pursue may have similarly small patient populations. We may encounter difficulties in enrolling patients in our clinical studies and may compete against other clinical studies for the same pool of potential patients, thereby delaying or preventing development and potential~~

regulatory approval of ABP- 450 in any of our proposed therapeutic indications. For example, the activation of investigators and sites for our migraine prevention Phase 2 clinical study was initially slower than we expected. Even once enrolled, we may be unable to retain a sufficient number of patients to complete any of our studies on a timely basis or at all. Patient enrollment and retention in clinical **trials** studies depends on many **may be affected by other** factors, including the: • **size and nature** of the **targeted** patient population, the **nature**; • **severity** of the study protocol, the existing body of safety **disease or condition under investigation**; • **availability** and efficacy data, the number and nature of **approved** competing treatments and ongoing clinical studies of competing therapies for the same indication, the proximity of **disease or condition under investigation**; • patients **patient** to clinical study sites, the eligibility criteria for the **trial in question as defined in the protocol**; • **perceived risks and benefits of the product candidate under study**; • **clinicians' and patients' perceptions as to the potential advantages of the product candidate being studied in relation to other available therapies, including any products** factors we may not be able to control that may **limit** be approved for, or any product candidates under investigation for, the **indications we are investigating**; • **efforts to facilitate timely enrollment in clinical trials**; • **patient referral practices of physicians**; • **the ability to monitor** patients, principal investigators or staff or **adequately during and after treatment**; • **proximity and availability of clinical trial site sites** availability. Our clinical studies were, and may in the future be, affected by the COVID-19 pandemic or similar occurrences. For example, the COVID-19 pandemic caused us to delay enrollment in 2020 to institute new procedures for **prospective** the safety of patients; • and investigators and may in the future further impact patient enrollment in our ongoing clinical studies. Further, if patients drop out of our clinical studies, miss scheduled doses or **our ability** follow-up visits, or otherwise fail to **engage** follow clinical study protocols, whether as a result of a public health outbreak or otherwise, the integrity of data from our clinical studies may be compromised or not accepted by the FDA or other regulatory authorities, which would represent a significant setback for the applicable program. Our efforts to build relationships with patient communities **and advocacy groups**; • **continued** may not succeed, which could result in delays in patient enrollment **in our of prospective patients by clinical studies**. Any negative results we may report **trial sites**; and • **the risk that patients enrolled in clinical studies trials will drop out of such trials before completion** ABP-450 in any of our proposed therapeutic indications may make it difficult or impossible to recruit and retain patients in other clinical studies of that same product candidate. **We also** Delays or failures in planned patient enrollment or retention, whether as a result of a public health outbreak or otherwise, may result in increased costs, program delays or both, which could have a harmful effect on our ability to develop ABP- 450 in any of our proposed therapeutic indications or could render further development impossible. In addition, we may rely on **and will continue to rely on**, CROs and clinical study **trial** sites to ensure proper and timely conduct of our future clinical **trials and preclinical** studies. **Though** and, while we **have** intend to enter **entered** into agreements governing their services, we will **be have** limited **influence over** in our ability to ensure their actual performance. **Our inability to enroll a sufficient number of patients for our clinical trials would result in significant delays or may require us to abandon one or more clinical trials altogether. Enrollment delays in our clinical trials may result in increased development costs for ABP - 450 and any other product candidate and jeopardize our ability to obtain regulatory approval. Furthermore, even if we are able to enroll a sufficient number of patients for our clinical trials, we may have difficulty maintaining enrollment of such patients in our clinical trials.** 33ABP - 450 may cause undesirable side effects or have other properties that could delay or prevent its regulatory approval **in any of our proposed therapeutic indications**, limit its commercial potential or result in significant negative consequences following any potential **marketing-regulatory** approval. During the conduct of clinical studies, patients report changes in their health, including illnesses, injuries and discomforts, to their doctor. Often, it is not possible to determine whether or not the product candidate being studied caused or contributed to these conditions and regulatory authorities may draw different conclusions from us and require additional testing to confirm these determinations, if they occur. **Any** We are collecting data about ABP-450 from ongoing clinical and toxicology studies **and any** adverse events or undesirable side effects caused by, or other unexpected properties of, ABP- 450 could cause us, any future collaborators, **an Institutional Review Board, or IRB, or ethics committee** or regulatory authorities to interrupt, delay or halt clinical studies of ABP- 450 and could result in a more restrictive label or the delay or denial of regulatory approval by the FDA or other regulatory authorities. In addition, it is possible that as we test ABP- 450 in larger, longer and more extensive clinical studies, or as use of ABP- 450 becomes more widespread if it receives regulatory approval **for any of our proposed indications**, that illnesses, injuries, discomforts **and** **and** other adverse events that were not observed in earlier studies conducted by us, or, in the case of ABP- 450, by others using the same botulinum toxin, as well as conditions that did not occur or went undetected in previous studies, will be reported by subjects or patients. Many times, side effects are only detectable after investigational products are tested in large- scale pivotal studies or, in some cases, after they are made available to patients on a commercial scale after approval. If additional clinical experience indicates that ABP- 450 has side effects or causes serious or life- threatening side effects **in any of our proposed therapeutic indications**, the development of ABP- 450 **in that indication** may fail or be delayed. Additionally, there is the risk that as botulinum toxins other than ABP- 450 are approved for and studied in connection with a broader range of diseases and conditions and across a more diverse population, additional safety signals and other adverse events may be identified. All botulinum toxin products are required to include a class labeling that contains a boxed warning related to safety and we could be required to include additional warnings on our product labeling, if approved. **If** **Additionally, if** ABP- 450 **or any other product candidate** receives regulatory approval, and we or others **later** identify undesirable side effects **of ABP-450 caused by such product**, a number of potentially significant negative consequences could result. **For example, the FDA could require us to adopt a REMS, to ensure that the benefits of treatment with such product candidate outweigh the risks for each potential patient, which may include, among other things, a communication plan to health care practitioners, patient education, extensive patient monitoring or distribution systems and processes that are highly controlled, restrictive and more costly than what is typical for the industry. We may also be required to engage in similar actions**, such as patient education, certification of health care professionals or specific

monitoring, if we or others later identify undesirable side effects caused by any product that we develop. Other potentially significant negative consequences associated with adverse events include: • we may be required to suspend marketing of a product, or we may decide to remove such product from the marketplace; • regulatory authorities revoking such may withdraw or change their approval approvals or imposing of a product; • regulatory authorities may require additional restrictions warnings on the label or limit access of a product to selective specialized centers with additional safety reporting and with requirements that patients be geographically close to these centers for all or part of their treatment; • we may be required to create a medication guide outlining the risks of a product for patients, or to conduct post-marketing studies; • and promotion of the product, or we may be required to recall the product or implement changes—change to the way the a product is administered —We; • we could also be subject to fines, injunctions, or the imposition of criminal or civil penalties, or be sued and held liable for harm caused to subjects or patients; and • a product may become less competitive, which and our reputation may suffer. Any of these events could hinder—diminish the usage or otherwise limit the commercial success of our product candidates and prevent us from achieving or maintaining market acceptance of ABP- 450 and adversely affect, if approved by the FDA our— or other regulatory authorities business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Results of other parties’ clinical studies involving the same or a nearly identical botulinum toxin complex as ABP- 450, or results in any preclinical studies we conduct, may not be predictive of future results of our clinical studies. Success in clinical studies conducted by Daewoong and Evolus, Inc., or Evolus, involving a botulinum toxin that is identical or nearly identical to ABP- 450 does not ensure that any clinical studies we conduct using ABP- 450 will be successful and we will still need to submit our independently generated data to applicable regulatory agencies to support regulatory approval of ABP- 450 in any of our proposed therapeutic indications. Similarly, success in any preclinical studies or clinical studies that we conduct will not ensure that later clinical studies will be successful. A number of companies in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries have suffered significant setbacks in clinical studies 34studies, even after positive results in earlier preclinical studies and earlier clinical studies. These setbacks have been caused by, among other things, preclinical findings made while clinical studies were underway and safety or efficacy observations made in clinical studies, including previously unreported adverse events. Notwithstanding any potential promising results in earlier studies, we cannot be certain that we will not face similar setbacks. Additionally, our clinical studies may utilize an “ open- label ” trial design. An “ open- label ” clinical trial is one where both the patient and investigator know whether the patient is receiving the investigational product candidate for either an existing approved drug or placebo. Most typically, open- label clinical studies test only the investigational product candidate and may do so at different dose levels. Open- label clinical studies are subject to various limitations that may exaggerate any therapeutic effect as patients in open- label clinical studies are aware when they are receiving treatment. Open- label clinical studies may be subject to a “ patient bias ” where patients perceive their symptoms to have improved merely due to their awareness of receiving an experimental treatment. In addition, open- label clinical studies may be subject to an “ investigator bias ” where those assessing and reviewing the physiological outcomes of the clinical studies are aware of which patients have received treatment and may interpret the information of the treated group more favorably given this knowledge. The results from an open- label trial may not be predictive of future clinical trial results with any of our product candidates when studied in a controlled environment with a placebo or active control. Interim, topline or preliminary data from our clinical studies that we may announce or publish from time to time may change as more patient data become available and are subject to audit and verification procedures that could result in material changes in the final data. From time to time, we may publicly disclose interim, topline or preliminary data from our clinical studies as we are expecting to do with the chronic cohort of our Phase 2 migraine study in the second quarter of 2024, which are based on a preliminary analysis of then- available data, and the results and related findings and conclusions are subject to change following a full more comprehensive analysis of all the data related to the particular study. Interim and preliminary data for the studies we may complete are subject to the risk that one or more clinical outcomes may materially change as patient enrollment continues or more patient data become available. We also make assumptions, estimations, calculations and conclusions as part of our analyses of data, and we may not have received or had the opportunity to fully and carefully evaluate all data. Interim, topline and preliminary data also remains subject to audit and verification 38procedures— procedures that may result in the final data being materially different from the preliminary data previously published. As a result, the interim, topline, or preliminary results that we report may differ from future results of the same trials, or different conclusions or considerations may qualify such results, once additional data have been received and fully evaluated, and any interim, topline or preliminary data should be viewed with caution until final data is are available. Material adverse changes in the final data could result in significant harm to our business prospects. Further, others, including regulatory agencies, may not accept or agree with our assumptions, estimates, calculations, conclusions or analyses or may interpret or weigh the importance of data differently, which could impact the value of the particular program, the approvability or commercialization of our product candidate or product and our company in general. In addition, the information we choose to publicly disclose regarding a particular study or clinical study is based on what is typically extensive information, and you or others may not agree with what we determine is the material or otherwise appropriate information to include in our disclosure, and any information we determine not to disclose may ultimately be deemed significant with respect to future decisions, conclusions, views, activities or otherwise regarding a particular pharmaceutical or biological product, pharmaceutical or biological product candidate or our business. If the interim, topline or preliminary data that we report differ from actual results, or if others, including regulatory authorities, disagree with the conclusions reached, our ability to obtain approval for and commercialize our product candidate in any currently proposed or future therapeutic indications may be harmed, which could harm our business, operating results, prospects or financial condition. We recently announced Due to our limited resources and access to capital, we must prioritize development of certain therapeutic uses of ABP- 450; these decisions may prove to be wrong and may adversely affect our business. While our initial focus is on the development and approval of ABP- 450 for the treatment of migraine, cervical dystonia and gastroparesis, a key element of our strategy strategic is pivot to

pursue identify additional conditions for which ABP-450 may be an effective therapy. However, there can be no assurances that we will be successful in identifying such conditions. Even if we are successful in identifying such conditions, we may experience difficulties in identifying a proper treatment regimen, or we may fail to secure regulatory approval for **ABP-450 utilizing** a particular 351 (k) biosimilar pathway. Obtaining regulatory approvals under this novel approach may prove difficult, or even impossible, for a variety of reasons. On July 9, 2024, we announced a strategic reprioritization to pursue submission of a Section 351 (k) BLA for ABP-450, using AbbVie Inc.'s product Botox as a proposed reference product, for which we would seek approval for all of the ~~indication~~ indications for which Botox is approved, other than the cosmetic uses. ~~If We held an initial meeting with the FDA in the third quarter of 2024 during which we are unable aligned with the FDA on next steps to gain~~ develop a Botox biosimilar. We commenced analytical studies in the fourth quarter of 2024 to prepare for a potential Biosimilar Biological Product Development ("BPD") Type 2a meeting with the FDA in the second half of 2025 to review the results from the studies. To obtain regulatory approval for the commercial sale of ABP-450 as biosimilar product, we will be required to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA, among other things, that ABP-450 is highly similar to a biological reference product already licensed by the FDA pursuant to an approved BLA, notwithstanding minor differences in clinically inactive components, and that it has no clinically meaningful differences as compared to the reference product in terms of the safety, purity and potency of the product. The potential to leverage the biosimilar pathway for a neurotoxin is untested, as no biosimilar has been approved utilizing Botox as the reference product. Among other things, Section 351 (k) BLAs must include an assessment of toxicity and a clinical study or studies sufficient to demonstrate safety, purity, and potency in one or more appropriate indications-- conditions in addition to-- of use for which the reference product is licensed and for which licensure is sought for the proposed biological product. The amount of toxin in a single vial of Botox is miniscule and comparing toxicity vial- to- vial, which could be required by the FDA, may prove prohibitively difficult. We plan to pursue the biosimilar pathway for ABP-450 because this pathway offers the potential to obtain FDA approvals for all FDA- approved indications for the ~~treatment~~ reference product. If we are successful in demonstrating the biosimilarity of ~~migraine~~ ABP-450 to Botox and obtain regulatory approval with respect to one indication, we believe the FDA could also approve ABP-450 for one or more indications currently listed on Botox's FDA- approved labeling without our having to conduct additional clinical trials for ABP-450, provided that the FDA determines that ABP-450 relies on a similar mechanism of action to Botox with respect to each such indication. However, even if we are able to demonstrate that ABP-450 is biosimilar to Botox with respect to one indication, the FDA may nevertheless determine that certain of Botox existing approved indications do not rely on a clearly established similar mechanism of action, which would limit our ability to seek approvals for ABP-450 without conducting additional trials. For example, pending FDA feedback, we plan to conduct a single pivotal clinical study in patients ~~cervical dystonia and gastroparesis~~. And the FDA could determine that a clinical study in cervical dystonia, a muscular disorder, even if successful, will not support a Section 350 (k) BLA seeking approval for ABP-450 as a biosimilar in patients with migraine, a neurological disorder, or any of the other therapeutic (~~on~~ non - cosmetic) indications for which Botox is currently approved. Moreover, negative or ambiguous results from clinical trials of ABP-450 in certain patient populations, including the results from our Phase 2 trials, could adversely affect our ability to pursue certain indications in any Section 351 (k) BLA. In addition, pursuant to our agreement with Daewoong, we do not have the rights to develop and commercialize ABP-450 for any of the cosmetic indications for which Botox has been approved, which further limits the market potential for ABP-450, even if we are currently focused ~~successful in pursuing a biosimilar pathway. Additionally, or even if the FDA allows us to pursue a biosimilar pathway or for~~ ABP-450, and even if we are successful in demonstrating biosimilarity for ABP-450 to the satisfaction of the FDA, many manufacturers of reference biological products have used legislative, regulatory and other means regulatory agencies require us to pursue a....., or amended, to incorporate changes, such as litigation, to delay regulatory approval and to seek to restrict competition from manufacturers of biosimilars. These efforts may include or have included: • settling, or refusing to settle, patent lawsuits with biosimilar companies, resulting in such patents remaining an obstacle for biosimilar approval; • submitting citizen petitions to request the FDA to take administrative action with respect to prospective and submitted biosimilar applications; • appealing denials of citizen petitions in United States federal district courts and seeking injunctive relief to reverse approval of biosimilar applications; • restricting access to reference brand products for equivalence and biosimilarity testing that interferes with timely biosimilar development plans; • attempting to influence potential market share by conducting medical education with physicians, payers, regulators and patients claiming that biosimilar products are too complex for biosimilar approval or are too dissimilar from originator products to be trusted as safe and effective alternatives; • implementing payer market access tactics that benefit their brands at the expense of biosimilars; • seeking state law restrictions on the substitution of biosimilar products at the pharmacy without the intervention of a physician or through other restrictive means such as excessive recordkeeping requirements or patient and physician notification; • seeking federal or state regulatory restrictions on the use of the same non- proprietary name as the reference brand product for a biosimilar or interchangeable biologic; • seeking changes to the United States Pharmacopeia, an industry recognized compilation of drug and biologic standards; • obtaining ~~new indications~~ patents covering existing products or processes, which could extend patent exclusivity ~~the FDA must also approve. A BLA holder is legally responsible for all regulatory obligations associated with a number of years or otherwise delay the launch of biosimilars; BLA, including each supplement thereto, and is the only party~~ • influencing legislatures so that is authorized to submit a supplement. The form of BLA, original versus a supplement, is important because payors will generally consider the ~~they pricing~~ attach special patent extension amendments to unrelated federal legislation. In addition, any of these factors could prevent us from obtaining market acceptance for or otherwise successfully commercializing ABP-450 ~~all products falling under the same BLA together when calculating~~

reimbursement rates. Existing botulinum toxins, **if** including Botox, are approved under a single BLA for both therapeutic and cosmetic indications. As a result, when payors calculate the average selling price, or ASP, of other botulinum toxins they include the sales prices of both therapeutic and cosmetic sales. The inclusion of a lower cosmetic sales price in the calculation of the ASP can cause physicians to lose money when treating patients with existing botulinum toxins and also creates a deterrent to providing payors and / or providers with rebates or other financial incentives. Part of our regulatory strategy includes pursuing an original BLA that contemplates exclusively therapeutic uses of ABP- 450. We are aware that Evolus has **as** obtained a **biosimilar** BLA for cosmetic indications of its Jeuveau product , which is substantially similar to ABP- 450. However, given we are a separate legal entity from Evolus, we do not hold a BLA that could be supplemented to add our target indications. As such, we believe the filing of an original BLA for ABP- 450 is the appropriate path for approval and, by filing an original BLA, we can limit it to exclusively therapeutic uses. If we are successful in obtaining an original BLA for therapeutic indications of ABP- 450, we believe the ASP for ABP- 450 would be calculated using only therapeutic sales, which should facilitate consistent and favorable reimbursement to physicians when they choose to use ABP- 450 for therapeutic treatments, as well as our ability to provide payors and / or providers with rebates and other financial incentives. However, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain such a BLA, and we are aware of other companies that sell botulinum toxins for both therapeutic and aesthetic indications that have experienced regulatory issues and denials by the FDA that led them to abandon the approach of applying for separate original BLAs that would cover the separate markets. We believe these denials occurred, in part, because in those instances the applicant already possessed a BLA for the product in a different indication. In the event we are not able to obtain an original BLA, we may not be able to ensure the consistent pricing that we believe an original BLA would offer, and the anticipated ASP of our products could be adversely affected. **Even** **36** **Even** if ABP- 450 receives regulatory approval **as a biosimilar** for **or otherwise** any of our proposed indications, it may fail to achieve the broad degree of market acceptance by physicians, patients, third- party payors and others in the medical community necessary for commercial success. Even if ABP- 450 receives **marketing regulatory** approval for one or more therapeutic indications, it may nonetheless fail to gain sufficient market acceptance by physicians, patients, third- party payors and others in the medical community for those indications. The commercial success of ABP- 450, if approved in any currently proposed or future therapeutic indications, will depend significantly on the broad adoption and use of the resulting product by physicians for approved indications. The degree of market acceptance of any product candidate, if approved for commercial sale, will depend on a number of factors, including but not limited to: **•** the convenience and ease of administration compared to alternative treatments and therapies; **•** the willingness of the target patient population to try new therapies and of physicians to prescribe these therapies **a biosimilar product**; **•** the efficacy and potential advantages compared to alternative treatments and therapies; **•** the availability of third- party coverage and adequate reimbursement, and patients' willingness to pay out- of- pocket in the absence of third- party coverage or adequate reimbursement; **•** the effectiveness of sales and marketing efforts; **•** the strength of our relationships with patient communities; **•** the timing of market introduction of our product candidate in relation to other potentially competitive products; **•** the cost of treatment in relation to alternative treatments and therapies; **•** the amount of upfront costs or training required for physicians to administer our product candidate; **•** our ability to offer such product for sale at competitive prices; **•** the strength of marketing and distribution support; **•** the presence or perceived risk of potential product liability claims; **•** the prevalence and severity of any side effects; and **•** any restrictions on the use of the product together with other medications. Our efforts to educate physicians, patients, third party payors and others in the medical community on the benefits of our product candidates, if approved, may require significant resources and may never be successful. If ABP- 450 fails to gain market acceptance, this will have a material adverse impact on our ability to generate revenues to provide a satisfactory, or any, return on our investments. Even if some therapeutic indications achieve market acceptance, the market may prove not to be large enough to allow us to generate significant revenues. **Due to our limited resources and access to capital, we must prioritize the strategic pivot to pursue regulatory approval for ABP- 450 utilizing a 351 (k) biosimilar pathway and evaluate the development of certain therapeutic uses of ABP- 450; these decisions may prove to be wrong and may adversely affect our business. While we have shifted our focus to the development and potential commercialization of ABP- 450 as a biosimilar to Botox, a key element of our future strategy is to identify additional conditions for which ABP- 450 may warrant further development. However, there can be no assurances that we will be successful in identifying such conditions, such as gastroparesis and PTSD. 40** **Even** **40** **Even** if regulatory agencies require us to pursue a narrower indication than we have currently **are successful in identified identifying conditions that could potentially be treated with ABP- 450**, we may be limited **experience difficulties** in identifying a proper treatment regimen, **our or ability we may fail to grow successfully develop ABP- 450 our or business secure regulatory approval for such conditions**. Efforts to identify and pursue additional **potential** therapeutic uses of ABP- 450 require substantial technical, financial and human resources, regardless of whether they are ultimately successful. Because we have limited financial and personnel resources, we may forgo or delay pursuit of opportunities with potential target indications that later prove to have greater commercial potential or a greater likelihood of success. Our resource allocation decisions may cause us to fail to capitalize on viable commercial products or profitable market opportunities. We may focus our efforts and resources on potential therapeutic uses of ABP- 450 that ultimately prove to be unsuccessful. **37** **Even** **37** **Even** We may not be successful in obtaining an original BLA that contemplates exclusively therapeutic uses of ABP- 450. In order if we receive regulatory approval for ABP- 450 in any therapeutic indication, we will be subject to ongoing regulatory obligations and continued regulatory review, which may result in significant additional expense , **limit or delay additional regulatory approvals**, limit or prohibit commercial distribution, prevent continued investigation and research and subject us to penalties if we fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements. Additionally, ABP- 450, if approved in any therapeutic indication, could be subject to labeling and other restrictions and market withdrawal and we may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or experience unanticipated problems with our products. **For any** If regulatory approval is granted, ABP- 450 will be subject to continual regulatory review by the FDA,

the EMA and other similar regulatory authorities. Any regulatory approvals that we **may** or our current or future collaborators receive for **our** ABP- 450 in any currently proposed or future therapeutic indication may also be subject to limitations on the approved indications for which the product may be marketed or to the conditions of approval, or such approvals may contain requirements for potentially costly post- marketing testing, including Phase IV clinical studies, and surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the product. In addition, if the applicable regulatory agency approves ABP- 450 in any therapeutic indication, the manufacturing processes, labeling, packaging, distribution, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion, **import, export** and recordkeeping for **the our** product **candidates** will be subject to extensive and ongoing regulatory requirements. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post- marketing information and reports and, registration, as well as **continued ongoing** compliance with **eGMP cGMPs** requirements and GCPs, for any clinical studies that **trials. In addition, manufacturers of biological products and their facilities are subject to continual review and periodic, unannounced inspections by the FDA and other regulatory authorities for compliance with cGMPs and other applicable regulations and standards. In addition, any regulatory approvals we conduct may receive will require the submission of periodic reports to regulatory authorities and ongoing surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the product. Such approvals may also contain significant limitations related to use restrictions for specified age groups, warnings, precautions or contraindications, and may include burdensome post- approval study or risk management requirements. Later** For example, the FDA may require a REMS as a condition of approval of our product candidates, which could include requirements for a medication guide, physician training and communication plans or additional elements to ensure safe use, such as restricted distribution methods, patient registries and other risk minimization tools. If we or a regulatory agency ~~discover~~ **discover** of previously unknown problems with a product ABP- 450, including such as adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or **problems** with our third- party ~~the facilities where the product is manufactured or,~~ a regulatory agency may impose restrictions on that product, the manufacturing ~~facility processes, or us,~~ **including requiring recall or withdrawal of the product from the market or suspension of manufacturing. In addition,** failure to comply with **FDA and other comparable foreign** regulatory requirements, may **result in subject our company to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions, including** among other things: **•** the imposition of restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of the product, suspension or withdrawal of product approvals or revocation of necessary licenses; **•** the issuance of warning letters, ~~show cause notices or~~ **untitled letters, or comparable notices** describing alleged violations, which may be publicly available; **•** mandated modifications to promotional materials or a requirement to provide corrective information to healthcare practitioners; **•** required revisions to the labeling, including limitation on approved uses or the addition of additional warnings, contraindications or other safety information; **•** a requirement to enter into a consent decree, which can include imposition of various fines, reimbursements for inspection costs, required due dates for specific actions and penalties for noncompliance; **•** the commencement of criminal investigations and prosecutions; **•** the suspension of any ongoing clinical studies; **•** a delay in approving or a refusal to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications **filed submitted** by us; **•** a refusal to permit products or active ingredients to be imported or exported to or from the United States or other applicable jurisdictions; **•** a suspension of operations or the imposition of restrictions on operations, including costly new manufacturing requirements; **•** a seizure or detention of products or a requirement that we initiate a product recall; and **•** injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties. Additionally, if ABP- 450 receives marketing approval for any of our proposed indications, the FDA could require us to adopt a REMS to ensure that the benefits of the therapy outweigh its risks, which may include, among other things, a medication guide outlining the risks for distribution to patients and a communication plan to health care practitioners. Authorities in other jurisdictions also may take similar actions. Any of these events could prevent us from achieving or maintaining market acceptance of ABP- 450 in the proposed therapeutic indications and could significantly harm our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations. 41Regulatory -- **Regulatory** policies may change and additional government regulations may be enacted that could prevent, limit or delay regulatory approval of ABP- 450 in any of our proposed therapeutic indications. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad. If we are slow to or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may **be subject to enforcement action** ~~lose any marketing approval that we may have obtained~~ and we may not achieve or sustain profitability, which would adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, given the similarity of ABP- 450 to Jeuveau, any adverse developments with respect to Jeuveau, including adverse events or changes in regulatory status, may also directly impact the development, commercialization or regulation of ABP- 450, if approved. ~~Even 38~~ **Even** if we receive **marketing regulatory** approval, coverage and adequate reimbursement may not be available for ABP- 450 in any currently proposed or future therapeutic indications, which could make it difficult for us to sell the product profitably. Market acceptance and sales of ABP- 450, if approved, will depend in part on the extent to which reimbursement for the product and related treatments will be available from third- party payors, including government health administration authorities, managed care organizations and other private health insurers. Obtaining coverage and adequate reimbursement approval for a product from a government or other third- party payor is a time- consuming and costly process that could require us to provide supporting scientific, clinical and cost- effectiveness data for the use of our products to the payor. Third- party payors decide which therapies they will pay for and establish reimbursement levels. While no uniform policy for coverage and reimbursement exists in the United States, third- party payors often rely upon Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own coverage and reimbursement policies. However, decisions regarding the extent of coverage and amount of reimbursement to be provided for ABP- 450 will be made on a payor- by- payor basis. Therefore, one payor' s determination to provide coverage for a product does not assure that other payors will also provide coverage, and adequate reimbursement, for the product or any related treatments. Additionally, a third- party payor' s

decision to provide coverage for a therapy does not imply that an adequate reimbursement rate will be approved. Each payor determines whether or not it will provide coverage for a therapy, what amount it will pay the manufacturer for the therapy and on what tier of its formulary it will be placed. The position on a payor's list of covered drugs and biological products, or formulary, generally determines the co-payment that a patient will need to make to obtain the therapy and can strongly influence the adoption of such therapy by patients and physicians. Patients who are prescribed treatments for their conditions and providers prescribing such services generally rely on third-party payors to reimburse all or part of the associated healthcare costs. Patients are unlikely to use our products unless coverage is provided and reimbursement is adequate to cover a significant portion of the cost of our products. In addition, because ~~certain of our proposed indications of ABP- 450~~ **will may be require required** ~~the product~~ to be physician-administered, separate reimbursement for the product itself may or may not be available. Instead, the administering physician may only be reimbursed for providing the treatment or procedure in which our product is used. There may be significant delays in obtaining such coverage and reimbursement for newly approved products, and coverage may be more limited than the purposes for which the product is approved by the FDA. Moreover, eligibility for coverage and reimbursement does not imply that a product will be paid for in all cases or at a rate that covers our costs, including research, development, intellectual property, manufacture, sale and distribution expenses. Interim reimbursement levels for new products, if applicable, may also not be sufficient to cover our costs and may not be made permanent. Reimbursement rates may vary according to the use of the product and the clinical setting in which it is used, may be based on reimbursement levels already set for lower cost products and may be incorporated into existing payments for other services. Net prices for products may be reduced by mandatory discounts or rebates required by government healthcare programs or private payors, by any future laws limiting pharmaceutical prices and by any future relaxation of laws that presently restrict imports of product from countries where they may be sold at lower prices than in the United States. Third-party payors have attempted to control costs by limiting coverage and the amount of reimbursement for particular medications. We cannot be sure that coverage and reimbursement will be available for any product that we commercialize and, if reimbursement is available, what the level of reimbursement will be. Inadequate coverage and reimbursement may impact the demand for, or the price of, any product for which we obtain ~~marketing~~ **regulatory** approval. If coverage and adequate reimbursement are not available, or are available only at limited levels, we may not be able to successfully commercialize ABP- 450. ~~42~~ **Outside** the United States, international operations are generally subject to extensive governmental price controls and other market regulations, and we believe a continued emphasis on cost containment initiatives in Europe, Canada and other countries could continue to put pressure on the pricing and usage of our product candidates. In many countries, the prices of medical products are subject to varying price control mechanisms as part of national health systems. Other countries allow companies to fix their own prices for medical products, but monitor and control company profits. Additional foreign price controls or other changes in pricing regulation could restrict the amount that we are able to charge for our product candidates. Accordingly, in markets outside the United States, the reimbursement for our products may be reduced compared with the United States and may be insufficient to generate commercially reasonable revenue and profits. ~~The delivery of health care in the European Union, including the establishment and operation of health services and the pricing and reimbursement of medicines, is almost exclusively a matter for national, rather than European Union, law and policy. National governments and health service providers have different priorities and approaches to the delivery of healthcare and the pricing and reimbursement of products in that context. In general, however, the health care budgetary constraints in most European Union member states have resulted in restrictions on the pricing and reimbursement of medicines by relevant health service providers. Coupled with ever increasing European Union and national regulatory burdens on those wishing to develop and market products, this could prevent or delay marketing approval of our product candidates, restrict or regulate post approval activities and affect our ability to commercialize any products for which we obtain marketing approval.~~ Moreover, increasing efforts by governmental and third party payors in the ~~European Union,~~ the United States and other jurisdictions to cap or reduce health care costs may cause such organizations to limit both coverage and the level of reimbursement for newly approved products ~~and~~ **39** ~~and~~, as a result, they may not cover or provide adequate payment for our product candidates. We expect to experience pricing pressures in connection with the sale of any of our product candidates due to the trend toward managed health care, the increasing influence of health maintenance organizations and additional legislative changes. The downward pressure on health care costs in general, particularly prescription drugs and surgical procedures and other treatments, has become very intense. As a result, increasingly high barriers are being erected to the entry of new products. **Enacted and future legislation may increase the difficulty and cost for us to obtain marketing approval of and commercialize our products and may affect the prices we may set. In the United States and other foreign jurisdictions, there have been, and we expect there will continue to be, a number of legislative and regulatory changes and proposed changes to the healthcare system that could affect our future results of operations. In particular, there have been and continue to be a number of initiatives at the U. S. federal and state levels that seek to reduce healthcare costs and improve the quality of healthcare. For example, in March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, or collectively the ACA, was enacted, which substantially changes the way healthcare is financed by both governmental and private insurers. Among the provisions of the ACA, those of greatest importance to the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries include the following: • an annual, non-deductible fee payable by any entity that manufactures or imports certain branded prescription drugs and biologic agents, which is apportioned among these entities according to their market share in certain government healthcare programs; • a new Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which manufacturers must agree to offer point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices of applicable brand drugs to eligible beneficiaries during their coverage gap period, as a condition for the manufacturer's outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D; • an increase in the statutory minimum rebates a manufacturer must pay under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program to 23.1 % and 13.0 % of the average manufacturer price for branded and generic drugs,**

respectively; • a new methodology by which rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program are calculated for drugs that are inhaled, infused, instilled, implanted or injected; • extension of a manufacturer's Medicaid rebate liability to covered drugs dispensed to individuals who are enrolled in Medicaid managed care organizations; • expansion of eligibility criteria for Medicaid programs by, among other things, allowing states to offer Medicaid coverage to certain individuals with income at or below 133 % of the federal poverty level, thereby potentially increasing a manufacturer's Medicaid rebate liability; • a new Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute to oversee, identify priorities in, and conduct comparative clinical effectiveness research, along with funding for such research; and • establishment of a Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation at the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, to test innovative payment and service delivery models to lower Medicare and Medicaid spending, potentially including prescription drug spending. Since its enactment, there have been judicial, executive and Congressional challenges to certain aspects of the ACA. On June 17, 2021, the U. S. Supreme Court dismissed the most recent judicial challenge to the ACA brought by several states without specifically ruling on the constitutionality of the ACA. In addition, other legislative changes have been proposed and adopted in the United States since the ACA was enacted. For example, the Budget Control Act of 2011 has, among other things, led to aggregate reductions of Medicare payments to providers, which, due to subsequent legislative amendments to the statute, will remain in effect through 2032, with the exception of a temporary suspension from May 1, 2020 through March 31, 2022, unless additional action is taken by Congress. On January 2, 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 was signed into law, which, among other things, further reduced Medicare payments to several types of providers, including hospitals, imaging centers and cancer treatment centers, and increased the statute of limitations period for the government to recover overpayments to providers from three to five years. In addition, the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 was signed into law, which eliminates the statutory Medicaid drug rebate cap, beginning January 1, 2024. The rebate was previously capped at 100 % of a drug's average manufacturer price. These laws and any laws enacted in the future may result in additional reductions in Medicare and other health care funding, which could have a material adverse effect on our customers and accordingly, our financial operations. 40Moreover, payment methodologies may be subject to changes in healthcare legislation and regulatory initiatives. For example, CMS may develop new payment and delivery models, such as bundled payment models. In addition, recently there has been heightened governmental scrutiny over the manner in which manufacturers set prices for their marketed products. Most recently, on August 16, 2022, the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022, or IRA, was signed into law. Among other things, the IRA requires manufacturers of certain drugs to engage in price negotiations with Medicare (beginning in 2026), with prices that can be negotiated subject to a cap; imposes rebates under Medicare Part B and Medicare Part D continue to penalize price increases that outpace inflation; and replaces the Part D coverage gap discount program with a new discounting program (beginning in 2025). The IRA permits the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, to implement many of these provisions through guidance, as opposed to regulation, for the initial years. HHS has and will continue to issue and update guidance as these programs are implemented. On August 29, 2023, HHS announced the list of the first ten drugs that will be subject to price negotiations, although the Medicare drug price negotiation program is currently subject to legal challenges. The impact of the IRA on the pharmaceutical industry cannot yet be fully determined but, is likely to be significant. We expect that additional U. S. federal healthcare reform measures will be adopted in the future, any of which could limit the amounts that the U. S. federal government will pay for healthcare products and services, which could result in reduced demand for ABP- 450, if approved , or additional pricing pressures. Individual states in the United States have also become increasingly active in passing legislation and implementing regulations designed to control pharmaceutical and biological product pricing, including price or patient reimbursement constraints, discounts, restrictions on certain product access and marketing cost disclosure and transparency measures, and, in some cases, designed to encourage importation from other countries and bulk purchasing. Legally mandated price controls on payment amounts by third- party payors or other restrictions could harm our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects. In addition, regional healthcare authorities and individual hospitals are increasingly using bidding procedures to determine what pharmaceutical products and which suppliers will be included in their prescription drug and other healthcare programs. This could reduce the ultimate demand for our products or put pressure on our product pricing. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad. If we or our collaborators are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we or our collaborators are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, our products may lose any currently proposed regulatory approval that may have been obtained and we may not achieve or future therapeutic indications sustain profitability. ABP- 450, if approved , will face significant competition and our failure to effectively compete may prevent us from achieving significant market penetration and expansion. The pharmaceutical industry is highly competitive and requires an ongoing, extensive search for technological innovation. It also requires, among other things, the ability to effectively discover, develop, test and obtain regulatory approvals for novel products, as well as the ability to effectively commercialize, market and promote approved products, including communicating the effectiveness, safety and value of products to actual and prospective customers and medical professionals. Numerous companies are engaged in the development, manufacture and marketing of products competitive with those that we are developing. Our primary competitors for ABP- 450 in the injectable botulinum toxin pharmaceutical market for therapeutic use are: • Botox, which is marketed by Allergan AbbVie, and since its original approval by the FDA in 1989 has been approved for multiple therapeutic indications, including migraine, cervical dystonia, upper and lower limb spasticity, strabismus, blepharospasm, overactive bladder, axillary hyperhidrosis, neurogenic detrusor overactivity and overactive bladder, and which is currently studying its botulinum toxin for therapeutic indications of atrial

fibrillation, episodic migraine, essential tremor and interstitial cystitis / bladder pain syndrome; Dysport, which is marketed by Ipsen Ltd. As an injectable botulinum toxin for the therapeutic indications of cervical dystonia and upper and lower limb spasticity, and which is currently studying its botulinum toxin for therapeutic indications of neurogenic detrusor overactivity and migraine (episodic and chronic); Xeomin, which is marketed by Merz Pharmaceuticals, LLC as an injectable botulinum toxin for the therapeutic indications of cervical dystonia, blepharospasm, chronic sialorrhea and upper limb spasticity; and Revance Therapeutics, Inc., or Revance, which is currently studying, preparing BLA submissions for and / or has received approval for, its injectable botulinum toxin, daxibotulinumtoxinA, for the therapeutic indications of cervical dystonia and adult upper limb spasticity, and which has also entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Viatrix Inc. to develop and commercialize a biosimilar to Botox. We are also aware of competing botulinum toxins currently being developed or commercialized in the United States, European Union, Asia, South America and other markets. While some of these products may not meet United States regulatory standards, the companies operating in these markets may be able to produce products at a lower cost than United States and European manufacturers. In addition to the injectable botulinum toxin dose forms, we are aware that other companies are developing topical botulinum toxins for therapeutic indications. We will also face competition in our target therapeutic markets from other pharmaceutical products. For the treatment of cervical dystonia, in addition to other injectable botulinum toxins, we will face competition from orally administered anticholinergic, GABA receptor agonist, benzodiazepine, dopaminergic and anticonvulsant pharmaceuticals. For the treatment of migraine, we will face competition from calcitonin gene-related peptide agonists, or CGRPs, including Aimovig (erenumab) marketed by Amgen Inc., Ajovy (fremanezumab) marketed by Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., and Emgality (galecenezumab) marketed by Eli Lilly and Company, as well as certain orally administered anti-epileptic, beta-blocker and triptan pharmaceuticals. The FDA has also accepted a New Drug Application for vazegepant, marketed by Pfizer Inc., to be used as an intranasal formulation for both the acute treatment and prevention of migraine. For the treatment of gastroparesis, we will face competition from prokinetic agents, including REGLAN (metoclopramide), which is the only medication currently approved by FDA for the treatment of gastroparesis. Many of our competitors have greater financial and other resources than we have. This enables them, among other things, to leverage their financial resources to make greater R & D, marketing and promotion investments than us. Our competitors may also have more experience and expertise in obtaining marketing approvals from the FDA and other regulatory authorities. Our technologies and products may be rendered obsolete or uneconomical by technological advances or entirely different approaches developed by one or more of our competitors. For example, Revance has published data related to the treatment of cervical dystonia that indicates that its botulinum toxin may have a duration of effect of at least 24 weeks, which may compare favorably to the duration of effect of ABP-450. As more companies develop new intellectual property in our markets, the possibility of a competitor acquiring patent or other rights that may limit our products or potential products increases, which could lead to litigation. In addition to product development, testing, approval and promotion, other competitive factors in the pharmaceutical industry include industry consolidation, product quality and price, product technology, reputation, customer service and access to technical information. If approved, ABP-450 may face competition sooner than anticipated. With the enactment of the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or the BPCIA, as part of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, an abbreviated pathway for the approval of biological products that are biosimilar to or interchangeable with an FDA-licensed reference biological product was created. The abbreviated regulatory pathway establishes legal authority for the FDA to review and approve biosimilar biologics. Under the BPCIA, an application for a biosimilar product may not be submitted to the FDA until four years following the date that the reference product was first licensed by the FDA. In addition, the approval of a biosimilar product may not be made effective by the FDA until twelve years from the date on which the reference product was first licensed. During this twelve-year period of exclusivity, another company may still market a competing version of the reference product if the FDA approves a full BLA for the competing product containing the sponsor's own preclinical data and data from adequate and well-controlled clinical studies to demonstrate the safety, purity and potency of their product. The law is complex and is still being interpreted and implemented by the FDA. As a result, its ultimate impact, implementation, and meaning are subject to uncertainty. While it is uncertain when such processes intended to implement the BPCIA may be fully adopted by the FDA, any such processes could have a material adverse effect on the future commercial prospects for our biological products. We have not determined whether ABP-450 would qualify for the twelve-year period of exclusivity based on submission of an original BLA, a shorter period or any exclusivity at all. Even if we are able to obtain separate twelve-year exclusivity, or a shorter exclusivity period, there is a risk that any exclusivity could be shortened due to congressional action or otherwise, that the FDA attempts to adopt an alternate interpretation of law that precludes exclusivity, or that the FDA will not consider ABP-450 to be a reference product for competing products, potentially creating the opportunity for competition sooner than anticipated. Moreover, the extent to which a biosimilar product, once approved, will be substituted for any one of our reference products in a way that is similar to traditional generic substitution for non-biological products is not yet clear and will depend on a number of marketplace and regulatory factors that are still developing. If we are unable to obtain an original BLA, and ABP-450 receives a supplemental BLA, we would not qualify for the exclusivity period. If we are unable to establish sales and marketing capabilities on our own or through third parties, we will be unable to successfully commercialize ABP-450, if approved in any proposed therapeutic indication, or generate product revenue. We do not have a sales or marketing infrastructure and have little experience in the sale, marketing, or distribution of pharmaceutical products. To successfully commercialize ABP-450, if approved in any proposed therapeutic indication, in the United States, the European Union, Canada and other jurisdictions we may seek to enter, we will need to build out our sales and marketing capabilities, either on our own or with others. The establishment and development of our own commercial team or the establishment of a contract sales force to market ABP-450 will be expensive and time-consuming and may divert significant management focus and resources, potentially delaying any product launch. Moreover, we cannot be certain that we will be able to successfully develop this capability, given that we have

no experience as a company in commercializing products. We may seek to enter into collaborations with other entities to utilize their established marketing and distribution capabilities, but we may be unable to enter into or maintain such agreements on favorable terms or at all. We can provide no assurance that any future collaborators will provide effective sales forces or marketing and distribution capabilities. We compete with many companies that currently have extensive, experienced and well-funded marketing and sales operations to recruit, hire, train and retain marketing and sales personnel, and will have to compete with those companies to recruit, hire, train and retain any of our own marketing and sales personnel. We will likely also face competition if we seek third parties to assist us with the sales and marketing efforts of ABP- 450 ~~in our proposed therapeutic indications~~. Without an internal team or the support of a third party to perform marketing and sales functions, we may be unable to compete successfully against these more established companies. We will need to grow the size of our organization, and we may experience difficulties in managing this growth. As of December 31, 2023-2024, we had ten-five employees. ~~If As the clinical development of ABP- 450 progresses~~ **receives regulatory approval**, we ~~also would~~ expect to experience significant growth in the number of our employees and the scope of our operations, particularly in the areas of research, development, regulatory affairs ~~and, if ABP-450 receives marketing approval for any of our proposed indications~~, sales, marketing and distribution. In addition, we also expect to hire additional personnel in order to operate as a public company. To manage our anticipated future growth, we must continue to implement and improve our managerial, operational and financial systems, expand our facilities, and continue to recruit and train additional qualified personnel. In addition, we must effectively integrate, develop and motivate a growing number of new employees, and maintain the beneficial aspects of our corporate culture. The expansion of our operations may lead to significant costs and may divert our management and business development resources. We may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations or recruit and train additional qualified personnel. Any inability to manage growth could delay the execution of our development and strategic objectives or disrupt our operations. We currently rely, and for the foreseeable future will continue to rely, in substantial part on third parties, including independent organizations, advisors and consultants, and CROs to provide certain services to support and perform our operations. There can be no assurance that the services of these third parties will continue to be available to us on a timely basis when needed, or that we can find qualified replacements. In addition, if we are unable to effectively manage our outsourced activities or if the quality, accuracy or quantity of the services provided, in particular the services provided by our CROs, is compromised for any reason, our clinical studies may be delayed or terminated, and we may not be able to obtain, or may be substantially delayed in obtaining, regulatory approval of ABP- 450 ~~in any of our proposed therapeutic indications~~ or otherwise advance our business. There can be no assurance that we will be able to manage our existing consultants or find other suitable outside contractors and consultants on economically reasonable terms, or at all. ~~Our 42~~**Our** employees, independent contractors, consultants, commercial collaborators, principal investigators, vendors and other agents may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including non- compliance with regulatory standards and requirements. We are exposed to the risk that our employees, independent contractors, consultants, commercial collaborators, principal investigators, vendors and other agents may engage in fraudulent conduct or other illegal activity. Misconduct by these parties could include intentional, reckless or negligent conduct or disclosure of unauthorized activities to us that violates applicable regulations, including those laws requiring the reporting of true, complete and accurate information to regulatory agencies, manufacturing standards, and federal and state healthcare laws and regulations. In particular, sales, marketing and business arrangements in the healthcare industry are subject to extensive laws and regulations intended to prevent fraud, kickbacks, self- dealing and other abusive practices. We could face liability under the federal Anti- Kickback Statute and similar state laws. These laws and regulations may restrict or prohibit a wide range of pricing, discounting, marketing and promotion, sales commission, referrals, customer incentive programs and other business arrangements. Misconduct by these parties could also involve the improper use of individually ~~45 identifiable~~ **identifiable** information, including, without limitation, information obtained in the course of clinical studies, which could result in significant regulatory sanctions and serious harm to our reputation. Further, should violations include promotion of unapproved (off- label) uses of one or more of our products, we could face significant regulatory sanctions for unlawful promotion, as well as substantial penalties under the federal False Claims Act, or FCA, and similar state laws. Similar concerns could exist in jurisdictions outside of the United States as well. ~~If We adopted, in connection with the completion of the Business Combination, a code of conduct applicable to all of our employees, but it~~ is not always possible to identify and deter misconduct by employees and other third parties, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to comply with these ~~laws or regulations. The precautions we take to detect and prevent misconduct may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to be in compliance with such~~ laws or regulations. If any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant impact on our business, including the imposition of civil, criminal and administrative penalties, damages, monetary fines, imprisonment, possible exclusion from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs, additional reporting requirements and oversight if we become subject to a corporate integrity agreement or similar agreement to resolve allegations of noncompliance with these laws, contractual damages, reputational harm, diminished profits and future earnings, and curtailment of our operations, any of which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our ~~proposed~~ **potential** international operations will expose us to risks, and failure to manage these risks may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition. We expect to have operations both inside and outside the United States if ABP- 450 is approved for commercial sale in multiple jurisdictions. International operations are subject to a number of inherent risks, and our future results could be adversely affected by a number of factors if we seek and obtain the necessary approvals, including: ~~•~~ **•** requirements or preferences for domestic products, which could reduce demand for our products; ~~•~~ **•** differing existing or future regulatory and certification requirements;

management communication and integration problems resulting from cultural and geographic dispersion; greater difficulty in collecting accounts receivable and longer collection periods; difficulties in enforcing contracts; difficulties and costs of staffing and managing non- United States operations; the uncertainty of protection for intellectual property rights in some countries; tariffs and trade barriers, export regulations and other regulatory and contractual limitations on our ability to sell our products; more stringent data protection standards in some countries; regulatory concerns limiting ability to import or export products; greater risk of a failure of foreign employees to comply with both United States and foreign laws, including export and antitrust regulations, the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or the FCPA, quality assurance and other healthcare regulatory requirements and any trade regulations ensuring fair trade practices; heightened risk of unfair or corrupt business practices in certain geographies and of improper or fraudulent sales arrangements that may impact financial results and result in restatements of, or irregularities in, financial statements; foreign currency exchange rates; potentially adverse tax consequences, including multiple and possibly overlapping tax structures and difficulties relating to repatriation of cash; and 46 and 43 political and economic instability, political unrest and terrorism. These and other factors associated with international operations could harm our ability to gain future revenue and, consequently, materially impact our business, results of operations and financial condition. If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, we may incur substantial liabilities and may be required to limit commercialization of ABP- 450. We face an inherent risk of product liability as a result of the clinical testing of ABP- 450 and will face an even greater risk if we commercialize any products. For example, we may be sued if any product we develop allegedly causes injury or is found to be otherwise unsuitable during product testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. Any such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing, defects in design, a failure to warn of dangers inherent in the product, negligence, strict liability and a breach of warranties. Claims could also be asserted under state consumer protection acts. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our products. Even a successful defense would require significant financial and management resources. Regardless of the merits or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in: decreased demand for ABP- 450; termination of clinical study sites or entire study programs; injury to our reputation and significant negative media attention; withdrawal of clinical study participants or cancellation of clinical studies; significant costs to defend the related litigation; a diversion of management' s time and our resources; substantial monetary awards to study participants or patients; regulatory investigations, product recalls, withdrawals or labeling, marketing or promotional restrictions; loss of revenue; the inability to commercialize any products we develop; and a decline in our share price. Our inability to obtain and maintain sufficient product liability insurance at an acceptable cost and scope of coverage to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of ABP- 450 in any current or future proposed therapeutic indication. We currently carry product liability insurance covering our clinical studies. Although we maintain such insurance, any claim that may be brought against us could result in a court judgment or settlement in an amount that is not covered, in whole or in part, by our insurance or that is in excess of the limits of our insurance coverage. Our insurance policies also have various exclusions and deductibles, and we may be subject to a product liability claim for which we have no coverage. We will have to pay any amounts awarded by a court or negotiated in a settlement that exceed our coverage limitations or that are not covered by our insurance, and we may not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient capital to pay such amounts. Moreover, in the future, we may not be able to maintain insurance coverage at a reasonable cost or in sufficient amounts to protect us against losses. If and when we obtain approval for marketing ABP- 450, we intend to expand our insurance coverage to include the sale of ABP- 450; however, we may be unable to obtain this liability insurance on commercially reasonable terms. If we fail to attract and keep senior management and key scientific personnel, we may be unable to successfully develop ABP- 450 in any of our proposed therapeutic indications, conduct our clinical studies and commercialize ABP- 450. Our success depends in part on our continued ability to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified management. We believe that our future success is highly dependent upon the contributions of our senior management, particularly Marc Forth, our Chief Executive Officer, as well as other members of our senior management team. The loss of services of any of these individuals could delay or prevent the successful development of our product pipeline, completion of our planned clinical studies or the commercialization of ABP- 450 in each of our therapeutic indications or any future products we develop. 47 In addition, we could experience difficulties attracting and retaining qualified employees in the future. For example, competition for qualified personnel in the pharmaceuticals field is intense due to the limited number of individuals who possess the skills and experience required by our industry. We may not be able to attract and retain quality personnel on acceptable terms, or at all. In addition, to the extent we hire personnel from competitors, we may be subject to allegations that they have been improperly solicited or that they have divulged proprietary or other confidential information or that their former employers own their research output. Our 44 Our business involves the use of hazardous materials, and we and our third- party manufacturer and supplier must comply with environmental laws and regulations, which can be expensive and restrict how we do business. Our R & D and manufacturing activities in the future may, and Daewoong' s manufacturing and supplying activities presently do, involve the controlled storage, use and disposal of hazardous materials, including botulinum toxin type- A, a key component of ABP- 450, and other hazardous compounds. We and Daewoong are subject to laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of these hazardous materials. In some cases, these hazardous materials and various wastes resulting from their use are stored at Daewoong' s facilities pending their use and disposal. We and Daewoong cannot eliminate the risk of contamination, which could cause an interruption of Daewoong' s manufacturing processes, our commercialization efforts or our business operations and could cause environmental damage resulting in costly clean- up and liabilities under applicable laws and regulations governing the use, storage, handling and disposal of these materials and specified waste products. Although we believe that the safety procedures utilized by Daewoong for handling and disposing of these materials generally comply with the standards prescribed by these laws and regulations, this may not eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these

materials. In such an event, we may be held liable for any resulting damages and such liability could exceed our resources, and state or federal or other applicable authorities may curtail our use of certain materials and interrupt our business operations. Furthermore, environmental laws and regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent. Our ability to use our net operating loss carryforwards and certain other tax attributes may be limited. Under Sections 382 and 383 of the Code, if a corporation undergoes an “ownership change,” generally defined as a greater than 50 percentage point change (by value) in its equity ownership by one or more 5% shareholders over a rolling three-year period, the corporation’s ability to use its pre-change net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs, and other pre-change tax attributes, such as research tax credits, to offset its post-change taxable income or income tax liabilities, as applicable, may be limited. As of December 31, 2024 and 2023 (Successor) and December 31, 2022 (Predecessor), the Company had **federal net operating loss (“NOL”) carryforwards of \$ 112.1 million and \$ 87.3 million and \$ 67.5 million** of federal NOLs available to offset our future federal taxable income, if any, and federal research and development tax credit carryforwards of \$ 6.1 million and \$ 3.9 million, respectively, **which will begin to** expire at various dates in 2039 and 2036, respectively. The Company had **state NOLs of \$ 140.5 million and \$ 116.2 million and \$ 67.4 million** of state NOLs as of December 31, 2024 and 2023 (Successor) and, respectively, **which will begin to expire in 2034. As of December 31, 2022** 2024 and 2023, the Company has federal research and development (Predecessor “R & D”) credit carryforwards of \$ 6.9 million and \$ 6.1 million, respectively, **which will begin to expire in 2039**. We may experience ownership changes in the future as a result of subsequent shifts in our stock ownership. As a result, if we earn net taxable income, our ability to use our pre-change NOLs to offset federal taxable income may be subject to limitations, which could potentially result in increased future tax liability to us. Similar rules may apply under state tax laws. In addition, at the state level, there may be periods during which the use of NOLs is suspended or otherwise limited, which could accelerate or permanently increase state taxes owed. Changes in tax laws may impact our future financial position and results of operations. New income, sales, use or other tax laws, statutes, rules, regulations or ordinances could be enacted at any time, or interpreted, changed, modified or applied adversely to us, any of which could adversely affect our business operations and financial performance. We are currently unable to predict whether such changes will occur and, if so, the ultimate impact on our business. To the extent that such changes have a negative impact on us or our suppliers, including as a result of related uncertainty, these changes may materially and adversely impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. 48Prior--
Prior to the Business Combination, Priveterra identified material weaknesses in its internal control over financial reporting. In 2024, AEON identified additional material weaknesses in its internal control over financial reporting **related to fiscal year 2023**. One or more of these material weaknesses could adversely affect our ability to report our results of operations and financial condition accurately and in a timely manner, which may adversely affect investor confidence in us and materially and adversely affect our business and operating results. Prior to consummation of the Business Combination, Priveterra management identified a material weakness in its internal control over financial reporting, related to Priveterra’s accounting for complex financial instruments. In 2024, AEON management identified additional material weaknesses in its internal control over financial reporting related to its fiscal year 2023, related to the Business Combination and for the valuation of complex financial instruments, **and the lack of segregation of duties pertaining to the financial reporting process due to lack of sufficient resources**. To respond to the material weaknesses, we have devoted and plan to continue to devote, significant effort and resources to the remediation and improvement of our internal control over financial reporting. **While we have We plan to enhance our processes by designing and implementing controls to review the results of valuations and estimates, including the completeness and accuracy of relevant data elements included in the valuation or estimate. We also plan to engage additional qualified 45resources and / or hire additional staff to ensure these incremental controls are properly implemented and to ensure proper segregation of duties around the financial reporting process. Management continues to be actively engaged to take steps to remediate the material weaknesses, including enhanced** processes to identify and appropriately apply applicable accounting requirements, ~~we plan to enhance these processes to better evaluate our research and understanding~~ **understand** of the nuances of the complex accounting standards that apply to our consolidated financial statements. ~~Our plans at this time include~~ providing enhanced access to accounting literature, research materials and documents, and increased communication among our personnel and third-party professionals with whom we consult regarding complex accounting applications. The elements of our remediation plan can only be accomplished over time, and we can offer no assurance that these initiatives will ultimately have the intended effects. ~~We may face an excise tax liability as a result of redemptions of Priveterra Class A common stock prior to and in connection with the Business Combination. The Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 provides for, among other measures, a new 1% U. S. federal excise tax on certain repurchases (including redemptions) of stock by publicly traded domestic (i. e., U. S.) corporations. Because Priveterra was a Delaware corporation with securities trading on Nasdaq prior to the Business Combination, Priveterra was a “covered corporation” for this purpose. The excise tax is imposed on the repurchasing corporation itself, not its stockholders from whom the shares are repurchased. The amount of the excise tax is generally 1% of the excess of (i) the fair market value of the shares repurchased reduced by (ii) the fair market value of stock issued by the repurchasing corporation in the same year. In addition, certain exceptions apply to the excise tax. The U. S. Department of the Treasury (the “Treasury”) has been given authority to provide regulations and other guidance to carry out, and prevent the abuse or avoidance of, the excise tax. A total of 27,042,840 shares of Priveterra Class A common stock were redeemed in 2023 in connection with Priveterra’s special meetings held in February 2023 and July 2023, respectively. Whether and to what extent we are ultimately subject to the excise tax in connection with these redemptions will depend on a number of factors, including (i) the fair market value of such redemptions, together with any other redemptions or repurchases consummated by us in 2023, (ii) the nature and amount of any equity issuances made by us and Priveterra in 2023 (including the shares of Priveterra Class A common stock issued in the Business Combination and any subsequent issuances we may make in 2023), and (iii) legal uncertainties regarding how the excise tax applies to transactions like the~~

~~Business Combination and the content of final and proposed regulations and further guidance from the U. S. Department of the Treasury. Any excise tax would be payable by us, and the mechanics of any required payment of the excise tax are not clear.~~

Risks Related to our Reliance on Third Parties We rely on the Daewoong Agreement to provide us exclusive rights to commercialize and distribute ABP- 450 in certain territories. Any termination or loss of significant rights, including exclusivity, under the Daewoong Agreement would materially and adversely affect our development or commercialization of ABP- 450. Pursuant to the Daewoong Agreement, we have secured an exclusive license from Daewoong, a South Korean pharmaceutical manufacturer, to import, distribute, promote, market, develop, offer for sale and otherwise commercialize and exploit ABP- 450 for therapeutic indications in certain territories including the United States, the European Union, the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, Russia, Commonwealth of Independent States and South Africa. The Daewoong Agreement imposes on us obligations relating to exclusivity, territorial rights, development, regulatory approval, commercialization, payment, diligence, sublicensing, intellectual property protection and other matters. For example, we are obligated to use commercially reasonable efforts to obtain regulatory approval of ABP- 450 and obtain from Daewoong all of our product supply requirements for ABP- 450. In addition, under the Daewoong Agreement, we are required to submit our commercialization plan to a Joint Steering Committee, or JSC, comprised of an equal number of development and commercial representatives from Daewoong and us, for review and input. ~~49~~ Although -- **Although** the Daewoong Agreement provides us with final decision- making power regarding the marketing, promotion, sale and / or distribution of ABP- 450, any disagreement among the JSC would be referred to Daewoong' s and our respective senior management for resolution if the JSC is unable to reach a decision within thirty days, which may result in a delay in our ability to implement our commercialization plan or harm our working relationship with Daewoong. Further, under the Daewoong Agreement, we may not purchase, sell or distribute any injectable botulinum toxin that is launched in the covered territories after the effective date of the Daewoong Agreement other than ABP- 450 in a covered territory or sell ABP- 450 outside a covered territory. The initial term of the Daewoong Agreement will expire on the later of December 20, 2029 or the fifth anniversary of our receipt of approval from the relevant governmental authority necessary to market and sell ABP- 450 in any of the aforementioned territories. The Daewoong Agreement will renew for unlimited additional three- year terms after the expiration of the initial term. We or Daewoong may terminate the Daewoong Agreement if the other party breaches any of its duties or obligations and such breach continues without cure for ninety days, or thirty days in the case of a payment default, or, if such breach is not capable of being cured, immediately by delivery of written notice. The Daewoong Agreement will terminate without notice upon our bankruptcy or insolvency or if we assign our business or the Daewoong Agreement in whole or in part for the benefit of creditors. On March 19, 2024, we entered into a Fourth Amendment to the Daewoong Agreement (the " Daewoong Agreement Amendment ") with Daewoong, which amends the Daewoong Agreement to provide that Daewoong may terminate the Daewoong Agreement if, over any six -month period, (a) we cease to commercialize ABP- 450 in each of the territories specified in the License Agreement and (b) we cease to advance any clinical studies of ABP- 450 **in** any such territories. The Daewoong Agreement Amendment also provides that, in the event that the License Agreement is terminated for the foregoing reasons ~~or due to the commencement of bankruptcy proceedings~~, Daewoong will have the right to purchase all Know- How (as defined in the License Agreement) related to ABP- 450 for a price of \$ 1. 00 (the " Termination Purchase Right "). The Termination Purchase Right will terminate and expire upon Daewoong' s sale of 50 % of its common stock, including common stock held by its affiliates and common stock that would be issued upon an Automatic Conversion or Optional Conversion of the Convertible Notes (as defined in the Convertible Notes). We will be the sole owner of any marketing authorization we pursue related to therapeutic indications of ABP- 450 in a covered territory. This will include ownership of any BLA that we may submit to the FDA, MAA that we may submit to the EMA, NDS that we may submit to Health Canada, and any other approvals that we may receive in a covered territory. However, if we do not renew the Daewoong Agreement following any initial or renewal term, or if Daewoong terminates the Daewoong Agreement due to a breach by us, we are obligated to transfer our rights in such marketing authorizations to Daewoong. ~~If~~ **46** **If** we breach any material obligations, or use the intellectual property licensed to us in an unauthorized manner, we may be required to pay damages to Daewoong and Daewoong may have the right to terminate our license. Any termination or loss of rights under the Daewoong Agreement would materially and adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize ABP- 450, which in turn would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and prospects. If we were to lose our rights under the Daewoong Agreement, we believe it would be difficult or impossible for us to find an alternative supplier of a botulinum toxin type- A complex. In addition, to the extent the alternative supplier has not secured regulatory approvals in a jurisdiction, we would have to expend significant resources, including performing additional clinical studies, to obtain regulatory approvals that may never be obtained or require several years to obtain, which could significantly delay commercialization. We may be unable to raise additional capital to fund our operations during this extended time on terms acceptable to us or at all. If we were to commercialize ABP- 450 and later experience delays as a result of a dispute with Daewoong, the demand for ABP- 450 could be materially and adversely affected. ~~For more information on the Daewoong Agreement, including a further explanation of our obligations, please see " Business — Daewoong License and Supply Agreement. "~~ We currently rely solely on Daewoong to manufacture ABP- 450, and as such, any production or other problems with Daewoong could adversely affect us. The manufacture of biologics is complex and Daewoong may encounter difficulties **in** production that may impact our ability to provide supply of ABP- 450 for clinical studies, our ability to obtain **marketing regulatory** approval, or our ability to obtain commercial supply of our products, which, if approved, could be delayed or stopped. We have no experience in biologic manufacturing and do not own or operate, and we do not expect to own or operate, facilities for product manufacturing, storage and distribution, or testing. We depend solely upon Daewoong to manufacture ABP- 450. Any failure or refusal by Daewoong to supply ABP- 450 could delay, prevent or impair our clinical development or commercialization efforts. The Daewoong Agreement also provides for a fixed price related to the supply of ABP- 450 for ten years or for five years after the receipt of regulatory approvals, and if a change in price were to occur, it could impair our ability to obtain necessary quantities of ABP-

450. Although alternative sources of supply may exist, the number of third- party suppliers with the necessary manufacturing and regulatory expertise and facilities is limited, and it could be expensive and take a significant amount of time to arrange for alternative ~~50suppliers~~ **suppliers**, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. New suppliers of any product candidate would be required to qualify under applicable regulatory requirements and would need to have sufficient rights under applicable intellectual property laws to the method of manufacturing the product candidate. Obtaining the necessary FDA approvals or other qualifications under applicable regulatory requirements and ensuring non- infringement of third- party intellectual property rights could result in a significant interruption of supply and could require the new manufacturer to bear significant additional costs which may be passed on to us. We will also need to verify, such as through a manufacturing comparability study, that any new contract manufacturing organization or manufacturing process will produce our product candidate according to the specifications previously submitted to the FDA or another regulatory authority. We may be unsuccessful in demonstrating the comparability of clinical suppliers which could require conducting additional clinical studies. In addition, there are risks associated with large scale manufacturing for clinical studies or commercial scale including, among others, cost overruns, potential problems with process scale- up, process reproducibility, stability issues, compliance with **cGMP good manufacturing practices**, lot consistency and timely availability of raw materials. Even if we obtain **marketing regulatory** approval for ABP- 450, there is no assurance that Daewoong will be able to manufacture the approved product to specifications acceptable to the FDA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities, to produce it in sufficient quantities to meet the requirements for the potential commercial launch of the product or to meet potential future demand. If Daewoong is unable to produce sufficient quantities for clinical studies, including preclinical studies, or for commercialization, our development and commercialization efforts would be impaired, which would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and growth prospects. Our reliance on Daewoong entails additional risks, including reliance on Daewoong for regulatory compliance and quality assurance, the possible breach of the Daewoong Agreement by Daewoong, and the possible termination or nonrenewal of the Daewoong Agreement at a time that is costly or inconvenient for us. Our failure, or the failure of Daewoong, to comply with applicable regulations, such as cGMP, which includes, among other things, quality control, quality assurance and the maintenance of records and documentation, could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including clinical holds, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, delays, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, seizures or recalls of the product candidate or drugs, import alerts or detentions preventing import of product into the United States or other territories, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect supplies of ABP- 450. Our dependence on Daewoong also subjects us to all of the risks related to Daewoong’ s business, which are all generally beyond our control. Daewoong’ s ability to perform its obligations under the Daewoong Agreement is dependent on its operational and financial health, which could be negatively impacted by several factors, including changes in the economic, political and legislative conditions in South Korea and the broader region in general and the ability of Daewoong to continue to successfully attract customers and compete in its market. Daewoong’ s lack of familiarity with, or **47or** inability to effectively operate, the facility and produce products of consistent quality, may harm our ability to compete in our market. In addition, we are ultimately responsible for distribution of products under any authorization or approval we hold to investigate or market ABP- 450. We do not own a manufacturing facility and we have never supervised manufacturing operations, but we have regulatory obligations to review batch records and release of the investigational product for our clinical studies. Further, we will have similar regulatory obligations if the product is marketed and could be held responsible for any distribution of adulterated or misbranded ABP- 450, even if caused by Daewoong’ s noncompliance. **If The FDA conducted a cGMP and pre- approval inspection of Daewoong’ s manufacturing facility in South Korea related to Evolus’ BLA for Jeuveau from November 8, 2017 to November 17, 2017. At the end of the inspection, the FDA issued an FDA Form 483 with ten inspectional observations of regulatory noncompliance to Daewoong. The Form 483 included observations relating to the need for adherence to improved procedures, processes and documentation relating to investigations of and corrective actions for non- compliance with specifications for batches and components, environmental monitoring, drug substance testing, computer system access, material handling and staff training. Daewoong timely responded to the FDA with a plan for implementing corrective actions related to these observations. Daewoong provided complete responses to the Form 483; however, the time to correct the observations, submit the complete response and FDA review and acceptance of the responses delayed approval of Evolus’ BLA. None of the FDA, Health Canada or the EMA have conducted a repeat inspection of Daewoong manufacturing facility per usual FDA Quality Review Practices to confirm continued compliance with cGMP regulations. A separate pre- licensure inspection may be required for any BLA we submit for any of our product candidates. Should the repeat inspection find serious deviation from cGMP manufacturing regulations, or repeated observations, Daewoong may be required to expend significant time and resources to correct any observations, which could cause delays and adversely affect availability of drug product to support our R & D operations. For example, the FDA is permitted to deny entry of any imported product that “ appears ” to be adulterated or misbranded, meaning it does not actually need to be violative to be prohibited from entry, just that the FDA believes it might be violative. FDA- 483 observations, particularly if 51 eventually escalated into an FDA untitled or warning letter, could result in an import alert, which bans entry of a product into the United States until issues are resolved to the FDA’ s satisfaction, and until the FDA has reinspected the facility to confirm all corrections have been implemented, which could potentially take a considerable amount of time. In addition, failure to have an observation- free inspection during a pre- approval inspection can result in delay or denial of FDA approval. Similar issues could occur in other jurisdictions as well. Additionally, if Daewoong’ s facility were to be damaged, destroyed or otherwise unable to operate or comply with regulatory requirements, whether due to earthquakes, fire, floods, hurricanes, storms, tornadoes, other natural disasters, employee malfeasance, terrorist acts, political unrest, power outages or otherwise, or if operations at the facility were disrupted for any other reason, such an event could negatively affect our ongoing preclinical studies and clinical studies and, if ABP- 450 is approved, jeopardize Daewoong’ s ability to manufacture ABP- 450 as promptly as we or our customers expect or possibly at all. If an event occurred that**

prevented Daewoong from using all or a significant portion of its manufacturing facility due to damaged critical infrastructure, or that otherwise disrupted operations, it may be difficult or, in certain cases, impossible for Daewoong to supply enough ABP-450 to continue our business for a substantial period of time. A material breach by us of the terms of our license and settlement agreement with Medytox, Inc. could have a material adverse effect on our business. In May 2021, Medytox, Inc., or Medytox, brought a case against Old AEON in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, or the Medytox Litigation, alleging, among other things, that Daewoong stole Medytox's botulinum toxin bacterial strain, or the BTX strain, and misappropriated certain trade secrets of Medytox, including the process used to manufacture ABP-450 using the BTX strain, and that our and Daewoong's activities conducted in the United States gave rise to liability for misappropriation of trade secrets. Medytox sought, among other things, (i) actual, consequential and punitive damages, (ii) a reasonable royalty, as appropriate, (iii) disgorgement of any proceeds or profits, (iv) injunctive relief prohibiting us from using Medytox's trade secrets to manufacture, offer to sell, or sell therapeutic BTX products, including ABP-450, and (v) attorneys' fees and costs. The Medytox Litigation was another step in an ongoing dispute involving Medytox and Allergan, on the one side, and Evolus, Daewoong and us on the other side. In June 2017, Medytox brought a civil lawsuit of a similar nature against Evolus, Daewoong and us **Old AEON** in the Superior Court of the State of California, which we refer to as the Superior Court Litigation, and a separate lawsuit in October 2017 against Daewoong in South Korea, which we refer to as the Korea Litigation. The lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of the State of California alleged claims substantially similar to the Medytox Litigation and was subsequently stayed on grounds of forum non conveniens, because the underlying facts that gave rise to the complaint occurred in South Korea, among other reasons. We are not a party to the Korea Litigation. In April 2018, the Superior Court of the State of California dismissed Medytox's suit against Daewoong without prejudice on the basis that Medytox had brought a substantially similar proceeding against Daewoong in South Korea, and continued a stay of the case as to us and Evolus. In February 2021, the Superior Court of the State of California dismissed Medytox's suit against us **Old AEON** without prejudice, following Medytox's filing of a notice of settlement of the case based on a settlement it entered with Evolus. Additionally, in January 2019, Allergan and Medytox filed a complaint against Daewoong and Evolus with the United States International Trade Commission, or the United States ITC, alleging that the BTX strain used in Evolus' Jeuveau product is manufactured based on misappropriated trade secrets of Medytox and therefore its importation is an unfair act. The Administrative Law Judge issued a final determination in December 2020. The final determination concluded that a violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 had occurred, and the United States ITC issued a limited exclusion order forbidding entry of Jeuveau into the United States for 21 months and a cease and desist order prohibiting Daewoong and Evolus from engaging in the importations, sale for importation, marketing, distribution, offering for sale, the sale after the importation of, or other transfers of Jeuveau within the United States for 21 months. The 21-month ban was stayed as a result of a settlement agreement between Evolus and Medytox in February 2021. Effective June 21, 2021, we **Old AEON** entered into a settlement and license agreement with Medytox, or the Medytox Settlement Agreement, pursuant to which, among other things, Medytox agreed (a) to dismiss all claims against us in the Medytox Litigation, (b) to pursue dismissal of the appeals related to the December 2020 final determination of the United States ITC and agreed that as a result of such dismissal the final determination would be vacated, (c) to file appropriate documents in the Korea Litigation and related actions in support of the terms of the settlement, and (d) not to revive or otherwise pursue the Superior Court Litigation with respect to us **48us**. In addition, Medytox granted us a non-exclusive, royalty bearing license to Medytox's botulinum toxin strain and specific trade ~~secrets~~ **secrets** alleged to have been misappropriated in the litigation to commercialize and manufacture specific botulinum neurotoxin products including ABP-450 worldwide, with the exception of South Korea. In exchange for the license, we issued Medytox 26,680,511 shares of Old AEON common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, and agreed to pay Medytox single-digit royalties on the net sales of licensed products for 15 years following our first \$1.0 million in commercial sales of neurotoxin products. Medytox can terminate the Medytox Settlement Agreement if we materially breach any material provision of the agreement, either immediately upon written notice if the breach is incurable or after 60 days if capable of remedy. Additionally, Medytox may terminate the Medytox Settlement Agreement with 15 days of written notice if we or our affiliates or sublicensees challenge the validity, enforceability, scope, or protected status of Medytox's botulinum strain and specific trade secrets alleged to have been misappropriated in the litigation. If the Medytox Settlement Agreement were terminated, Medytox would be able to revive the Medytox Litigation and other claims against us, and may seek an injunction or other ruling against us in the Korea Litigation, any one of which could result in us losing access to ABP-450 and the manufacturing process and require us to negotiate a new license with Medytox for continued access to ABP-450. We may not be able to successfully negotiate such license on terms acceptable to us or at all. If we are unable to license ABP-450, we may not be able to find a replacement product candidate on a timeline favorable to us, if at all, without expending significant resources and being required to seek additional regulatory approvals, which would be uncertain, time consuming and costly. We rely, and will continue to rely, on third parties, **CROs** and consultants to conduct all of our preclinical studies and clinical studies. If these third parties or consultants do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, we may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for ABP-450. We do not currently have the ability to independently conduct any preclinical studies or clinical studies. We rely, and will continue to rely, on medical institutions, clinical investigators, contract laboratories, collaborative partners and other third parties, such as CROs, to conduct preclinical studies and clinical studies on ABP-450. The third parties with whom we currently or may in the future contract for execution of any of our preclinical studies and clinical studies play a significant role in the conduct of these studies and the subsequent collection and analysis of data. However, these third parties are not our employees, and except for contractual duties and obligations, we have limited ability to control the amount or timing of resources that they devote to any of our current or future programs. Although we rely on these third parties to conduct our preclinical studies and clinical studies, we remain responsible for ensuring that each of our preclinical studies and clinical studies is conducted in accordance with the investigational plan and protocol. Moreover, the FDA and other similar regulatory authorities require us to observe both good laboratory practices, or

GLP, and animal welfare requirements for preclinical studies, and to comply with GCPs for conducting, monitoring, recording and reporting the results of clinical studies to ensure that the data and results are scientifically credible and accurate, and that the study subjects are adequately informed of the potential risks of participating in clinical studies. **Our reliance** We also rely, and will continue to rely, on **CROs and consultants to assist in the other execution** **third parties does not relieve us of these regulatory and legal responsibilities. Regulatory authorities enforce GCPs through periodic inspections of trial sponsors, including principal investigators and trial sites. If we or any of our CROs or trial sites fail to comply with applicable GLP, GCP or other requirements, the data generated in collection and analysis, of any of our future clinical studies trials may be deemed unreliable, and the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may require us to perform additional clinical trials before approving our marketing applications, if ever. Furthermore, our clinical trials must be conducted with materials manufactured in accordance with cGMP regulations. Failure to comply with these regulations may require us to repeat clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process.** In addition, the execution of preclinical studies and clinical studies, and the subsequent compilation and analysis of the data produced, requires coordination among various parties. In order for these functions to be carried out effectively and efficiently, it is imperative that these parties communicate and coordinate with one another. Moreover, these third parties may also have relationships with other commercial entities, some of which may compete with us. If the third parties or consultants conducting our clinical studies do not perform their contractual duties or obligations, experience work stoppages, do not meet expected deadlines, terminate their agreements with us or need to be replaced, or if the quality or accuracy of the preclinical or clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to GLPs, or our clinical study protocols or GCPs, or for any other reason, we may need to conduct additional clinical studies or enter into new arrangements with alternative third parties, which could be difficult, costly or impossible, and our preclinical studies and clinical studies may be extended, delayed or terminated or may need to be repeated. Further, any noncompliance that results in data integrity issues could put any regulatory approval we receive at risk of withdrawal, and could subject us to regulatory sanctions due to failure to adequately oversee the third parties we rely upon. If any of the foregoing were to occur, we may not be able to obtain, or may be delayed in obtaining, regulatory approval for and will not be able to, or may be delayed in our efforts to, successfully commercialize ABP- 450 **in any of our proposed therapeutic indications.** **Public 49Public** health outbreaks, epidemics or pandemics (such as the COVID- 19 pandemic) may materially and adversely affect our business and operations. The COVID- 19 pandemic previously adversely affected, and the COVID- 19 pandemic or other actual or threatened public health outbreaks, epidemics or pandemics may in the future adversely affect, among other things, our research and development efforts, **53clinical -- clinical** trial operations, manufacturing and supply chain operations, administrative personnel, third- party service providers, and business partners. While the COVID- 19 pandemic did not materially adversely affect our business operations during the twelve months ended December 31, **2023-2024**, economic and health conditions in the United States and across most of the globe continue to change rapidly and may materially affect us economically. While the potential economic impact brought by, and the duration of, the COVID- 19 pandemic may be difficult to assess or predict, a continuing widespread pandemic could result in significant disruption of global financial markets, reducing our ability to access capital, which could in the future negatively affect our liquidity. In addition, a recession or market correction resulting from the spread of COVID- 19 or a future public health outbreak could materially affect our business and the value of our common stock. The ultimate impact of the COVID- 19 pandemic or a similar public health outbreak is highly uncertain and subject to change. We do not yet know the full extent of potential delays or impacts on our business, our clinical trials, healthcare systems or the global economy as a whole. However, these effects could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We may use third- party collaborators to help us develop, validate or commercialize any new products, and our ability to commercialize such products could be impaired or delayed if these collaborations are unsuccessful. We may license or selectively pursue strategic collaborations for the development, validation and commercialization of ABP- 450 **in any current or future proposed therapeutic indications.** In any third- party collaboration, we would be dependent upon the success of the collaborators in performing their responsibilities and their continued cooperation, and we would have limited control over the amount and timing of resources and effort that our collaborators would dedicate to the development or commercialization of our product candidates. Our collaborators may not cooperate with us or perform their obligations under our agreements with them at all or as expected. Our collaborators may choose to pursue alternative technologies in preference to those being developed in collaboration with us. The development, validation and commercialization of our current and future product candidates may be delayed if collaborators fail to conduct their responsibilities in a timely manner or in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements or if they breach or terminate their collaboration agreements with us. Our collaborators could also independently develop, or develop with third parties, products that compete directly or indirectly with our product candidates, fail to properly maintain or defend our intellectual property rights or infringe the intellectual property rights of third parties, exposing us to litigation. Disputes with our collaborators could also impair our reputation or result in development and commercialization delays, decreased revenues and could cause litigation expenses. In addition, we may face significant competition in seeking appropriate collaborators. Whether we reach a definitive agreement for a collaboration will depend, among other things, upon our assessment of the collaborator' s resources and expertise, the terms and conditions of the proposed collaboration and the proposed collaborator' s evaluation of a number of factors. Those factors may include the design or results of clinical studies, the likelihood of approval by the FDA or similar regulatory authorities outside the United States, the potential market for ABP- 450 or our future product candidates **in our proposed therapeutic indications**, the costs and complexities of manufacturing and delivering ABP- 450 or our future product candidates to patients, the potential of competing products, the existence of uncertainty with respect to our ownership of technology, which can exist if there is a challenge to such ownership without regard to the merits of the challenge, and industry and market conditions generally. The collaborator may also consider alternative product candidates or technologies **for similar indications** that may be available to collaborate on and whether such a collaboration could be more attractive than the one with

us for our product candidate. Collaborations are complex and time- consuming to negotiate and document. We may not be able to negotiate collaborations on a timely basis, on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to do so, we may have to curtail the development of ABP- 450 or our future product candidates ~~in any of our proposed therapeutic indications~~, reduce or delay development programs, delay potential commercialization or reduce the scope of any sales or marketing activities, or increase our expenditures and undertake development or commercialization activities at our own expense. If we elect to increase our expenditures to fund development or commercialization activities on our own, we may need to obtain additional capital, which may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. If we do not have sufficient funds, we may not be able to further develop and commercialize ABP- 450 or our future product candidates ~~in any of our proposed therapeutic indications~~ or bring them to market and generate revenue. ~~54Risks~~ **50Risks** Related to Intellectual Property If we or any of our current or future licensors, including Daewoong, are unable to maintain, obtain or protect intellectual property rights related to ABP- 450 and any future product candidates we may develop, or if the scope of any protection obtained is not sufficiently broad, we may not be able to compete effectively in our market. Our success depends, in ~~large~~ part, on our ability to seek, obtain and maintain intellectual property protection in the United States and other countries with respect to our technologies. We and Daewoong currently rely upon a combination of trademarks, trade secret protection, confidentiality agreements and proprietary know- how. Additionally, Daewoong has obtained a United States patent related to its proprietary botulinum toxin manufacturing process. We also intend to protect our proprietary technology and methods by, among other things, filing for and obtaining United States and foreign patent applications related to our proprietary technology, inventions, methods of use, and improvements that are important to the development and implementation of our business. However, due to existing patent eligibility laws, we do not expect to obtain patent protection for the composition of matter for botulinum toxin, as it is produced by Clostridium botulinum, a gram- positive, rod- shaped, anaerobic, spore- forming, motile bacterium with the ability to produce the botulinum toxin. Although we only own one issued patent covering our migraine injection paradigm (U. S. Patent No. 11, 826, 405), we do not own any other issued patents, but we have filed certain provisional and non- provisional patent applications with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO, related to other novel and proprietary methods of utilizing ABP- 450 for therapeutic purposes. These patent applications may fail to result in any issued patents with claims that cover ABP- 450 ~~in any currently proposed or future therapeutic indications~~, in the United States or in other foreign countries, and the patents, if issued, may be declared invalid or unenforceable. The patent prosecution process is expensive, time- consuming and complex, and we may not be able to file, prosecute, maintain, enforce or license all necessary or desirable patent applications at a reasonable cost or in a timely manner. We may not be able to obtain or maintain patent applications and patents due to the subject matter claimed in such patent applications and patents being in disclosures in the public domain. In addition, it is possible that we will fail to identify patentable aspects of our R & D output before it is too late to obtain patent protection. Although we enter into confidentiality agreements with parties who have access to confidential or patentable aspects of our R & D output, such as our employees and third- party consultants, any of these parties may breach these agreements and disclose such output before a patent application is filed, thereby jeopardizing our ability to seek patent protection. Consequently, we may not be able to prevent any third party from using any of our technology that is in the public domain to compete with ABP- 450 and any future product candidates. Other parties have developed technologies that may be related to or competitive to our own technologies and such parties may have filed or may file patent applications, or may have obtained or may obtain patents, claiming inventions that may overlap or conflict with those claimed in our patent applications or any future issued patents. We may not be aware of all third- party intellectual property rights potentially relating to ABP- 450 and any future product candidates. Publications of discoveries in the scientific literature often lag behind the actual discoveries, and patent applications in the United States and in other jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after filing, or, in some cases, not at all. Therefore, we cannot know with certainty whether the inventors of our pending patent applications were the first to make the inventions claimed in those patent applications, or that they were the first to file for patent protection of such inventions. If a third party can establish that we were not the first to make or the first to file for patent protection of such inventions, our patent applications may not issue and any patents, if issued, may be challenged and invalidated or rendered unenforceable. Even in the event our non- provisional patent applications are granted, or if we in- license issued patent rights from third parties, the issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its inventorship, scope, validity or enforceability and any such patents may be challenged in courts or patent offices in the United States and abroad and later declared invalid or unenforceable. For example, we may be subject to a third- party submission of prior art to the USPTO challenging the validity of one or more claims of any such patents. A third party may also claim that any such patents are invalid or unenforceable in a litigation. The outcome following legal assertions of invalidity and unenforceability is unpredictable. An adverse result in any legal proceeding could put any such patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and could allow third parties to commercialize our products and compete directly with us, without payment to us, or result in our inability to manufacture or commercialize products without infringing third- party patent rights. In addition, we may become involved in derivation, reexamination, inter partes review, post- grant review or interference proceedings and other similar proceedings in foreign jurisdictions (e. g., opposition proceedings) challenging the validity, priority or other features of patentability of any such patent rights. Challenges to our patent rights may result in loss of patent rights, exclusivity, or in patent claims being narrowed, invalidated, or held unenforceable, in whole or in part, which could limit our ability to stop others from using or commercializing similar or identical technology and products, or limit the scope and duration of the patent protection of ~~55ABP~~ **ABP**- 450 or future product candidates. Such challenges also may result in substantial cost and require significant time from our ~~scientists~~ **51scientists** and management, even if the eventual outcome is favorable to us. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Furthermore, patents have a limited lifespan. In the United States, the natural expiration of a patent is generally 20 years after it is filed. Various extensions may be available; however, the life of a patent, and the protection it affords, is limited. Given the amount of time required for the development, testing and regulatory review of botulinum toxins,

patents protecting such product candidates might expire before or shortly after they are commercialized. As a result, our patent applications, even if issued, may not provide us with adequate and continuing patent protection sufficient to exclude others from commercializing products similar to ABP- 450 or future product candidates, including biosimilar versions of such products. Even if they are unchallenged, our pending patent applications, if issued, may not provide us with any meaningful protection or prevent competitors from designing around our patent claims to circumvent our patents by developing similar or alternative technologies or therapeutics in a non- infringing manner. If the patent protection provided by our patent applications, if issued, is not sufficiently broad to impede such competition, our ability to successfully commercialize ABP- 450 and future product candidates could be negatively affected, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Under the Daewoong Agreement, we license the trademark for Nabota associated with ABP- 450 from Daewoong; however, we may ultimately pursue alternative trademarks and branding for ABP- 450. Our or Daewoong' s trade secrets and other confidential proprietary information and those of our future licensors could be disclosed or competitors could otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or independently develop substantially equivalent information and techniques. Further, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary rights to the same extent or in the same manner as the laws of the United States. As a result, we or any of our current or future licensors may encounter significant problems in protecting and defending our or their intellectual property both in the United States and internationally. If we or any of our current or future licensors are unable to prevent material disclosure of the non- patented intellectual property related to ABP- 450 to third parties, we may not be able to establish or maintain a competitive advantage in our market, which could adversely affect our business. In addition to the protection afforded by patents, trademarks, confidentiality agreements and proprietary know- how, we may in the future rely upon in- licensed or acquired patents or proprietary technology for the development of ABP- 450 ~~in any currently proposed or future therapeutic indications~~. We may not be able to in- license third party patents necessary to commercialize ABP- 450 on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, which could materially harm our business. Even if we are able to in- license any such necessary intellectual property, it could be on nonexclusive terms, thereby giving our competitors and other third parties access to the same intellectual property licensed to us, and it could require us to make substantial licensing and royalty payments. The licensing or acquisition of third- party intellectual property rights is a competitive area, and several more established companies may pursue strategies to license or acquire third- party intellectual property rights that we may consider attractive or necessary. These established companies may have a competitive advantage over us due to their size, capital resources and greater clinical development and commercialization capabilities. In addition, companies that perceive us to be a competitor may be unwilling to assign or license rights to us. We also may be unable to license or acquire third- party intellectual property rights on terms that would allow us to make an appropriate return on our investment. If we are unable to successfully obtain rights to required third- party intellectual property or maintain the existing intellectual property rights we have licensed, we may be required to expend significant time and resources to redesign ABP- 450 or future product candidates, or to develop or license replacement technology, all of which may not be feasible on a technical or commercial basis, and we may have to abandon development of ABP- 450 or future product candidates which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Additionally, the strength of any patents that issue from our non- provisional patent applications or that we may in- license from third parties in the technology and healthcare fields involves complex legal and factual questions and has, in recent years, been the subject of much litigation. As a result, the issuance, scope, validity, enforceability and commercial value of any patent rights in such fields can be uncertain. Our pending patent applications and any patent applications that we may in- license may fail to result in issued patents with claims that cover ABP- 450 ~~in any currently proposed or future therapeutic indications~~, in the United States or in other foreign countries, and the issued patents that we may in- license may be declared invalid or unenforceable. We are reliant on the ability of Daewoong, as the licensor of our only product candidate, to maintain its intellectual property and protect its intellectual property against misappropriation, infringement or other violation. We may not have primary control over Daewoong' s or our future licensors' patent prosecution activities. Furthermore, we may not be allowed to comment on prosecution ~~56strategies~~ **52strategies**, and patent applications currently being prosecuted may be abandoned by the patent owner without our knowledge or consent. With respect to patents that are issued to our licensors, or patents that may issue on patent applications, third parties may challenge their validity, enforceability or scope, which may result in such patents being narrowed or invalidated. As a licensee, we are reliant on Daewoong and our future licensors to defend any third- party claims. Our licensors may not defend or prosecute such actions as vigorously or in the manner that we would have if entitled to do so, and we may be impacted by any judgment or settlement resulting from such actions. Also, a third party may challenge the validity of our in- licensing transactions. Furthermore, even if they are unchallenged, any of our future in- licensed patents and patent applications may not adequately protect the licensors or our intellectual property or prevent others from designing around their or our claims. Third- party claims of intellectual property infringement, misappropriation or violation, or challenges related to the invalidity or unenforceability of any issued patents we may obtain or in- license may prevent or delay our development and commercialization efforts or otherwise adversely affect our results of operations. Our commercial success depends in part on our and any of our future collaborators avoiding infringement, misappropriation or other violation of the intellectual property and related proprietary rights of third parties. Competitors and other entities that possess intellectual property rights related to the use of botulinum toxins in the fields of neurology and gastroenterology have developed large portfolios of patents and patent applications in fields relating to our business. In particular, there are patents held by third parties that relate to the treatment with botulinum toxin- based products. There may also be patent applications that have been filed but not published that, when issued as patents, could be asserted against us. There is a substantial amount of litigation, both within and outside the United States, involving patent and other intellectual property rights in the technology, medical device and pharmaceutical industries, including patent infringement lawsuits, interferences, oppositions and inter partes reexamination proceedings before the USPTO. Numerous United States and foreign issued patents and pending patent applications, which are owned by third parties, exist in the fields in which we plan to

develop ABP- 450. As the technology, medical device and pharmaceutical industries expand and more patents are issued, the risk increases that our product candidate may be subject to claims of infringement of the patent rights of third parties, regardless of their merit. There may be third- party patents or patent applications with claims to materials, methods of manufacture or methods for treatment related to the use or manufacture of ABP- 450. Because patent applications can take many years to issue, may be confidential for 18 months or more after filing and can be revised before issuance, there may be currently pending patent applications that may later result in issued patents that ABP- 450 or any future product candidates may infringe. It is difficult for industry participants, including us, to identify all third- party patent rights that may be relevant to ABP- 450 and future product candidates because patent searching is imperfect due to differences in terminology among patents, incomplete databases and the difficulty in assessing the meaning of patent claims. We may fail to identify relevant patents or patent applications or may identify pending patent applications of potential interest but incorrectly predict the likelihood that such patent applications may issue with claims of relevance to our technology or incorrectly conclude their invalidity or unenforceability. In addition, pending patent applications that have been published can, subject to certain limitations, be later amended in a manner that could cover ABP- 450 or future product candidates and third parties may obtain patents in the future and claim that use of our technologies infringes upon these patents. Even if we believe claims brought against us are without merit, a court of competent jurisdiction could hold that these third- party patents are valid, enforceable and infringed. In order to successfully challenge the validity of any such United States patent in federal court, we would need to overcome a presumption of validity. As this burden is a high one requiring us to present clear and convincing evidence as to the invalidity of any such United States patent claim, there is no assurance that a court of competent jurisdiction would invalidate the claims of any such United States patent or find that ABP- 450 or future product candidates did not infringe any such claims. If any third- party patents were held by a court of competent jurisdiction to cover the manufacturing process of ABP- 450, the holders of any such patents may be able to block our ability to commercialize ABP- 450 ~~in any proposed therapeutic indication~~ unless we obtain a license under the applicable patents or until such patents expire. Similarly, if any third- party patent were held by a court of competent jurisdiction to cover aspects of our methods of use, the holders of any such patent may be able to block our ability to develop and commercialize ABP- 450 unless we obtain a license or until such patent expires. In either case, such a license may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all. In addition to claims of patent infringement, third parties may bring claims against us asserting misappropriation or other violations of proprietary technology or other information in the development, manufacture and commercialization of ABP- 450. Defense of such a claim would require dedicated time and resources, which time and resources could otherwise be used by us toward the maintenance of our own intellectual property and the development and commercialization of ABP- 450 ~~in any current or future 57proposed therapeutic indication~~ or for operational upkeep and manufacturing of our product. In addition, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other ~~interim~~ **53interim** proceedings or developments and if securities analysts or investors perceive these results to be negative, it could have a substantial adverse effect on the price of our common stock. We have been, and may in the future become, party to, or be threatened with, adversarial proceedings or litigation where our competitors or other third parties may assert claims against us, alleging that our therapeutics, manufacturing methods, formulations, administration methods or delivery devices infringe, misappropriate or otherwise violate their intellectual property rights, including patents and trade secrets. For example, in the past, Medytox asserted that we and Daewoong were employing their proprietary technology without authorization, and other third parties may make similar assertions about us or any of our current or future licensors, including Daewoong, in the future. For more information regarding our litigation with Medytox, please see “ Risk Factors — Risks Related to Our Reliance on Third Parties — A material breach by us of the terms of our license and settlement agreement with Medytox, Inc. could have a material adverse effect on our business. ” Likewise, any patents that may issue from our pending patent applications or any future in- licensed patents and pending patent applications may also be subject to priority, validity, inventorship and enforceability disputes in court or before administrative bodies in the United States or abroad. If we or any of our licensors are unsuccessful in any of these proceedings, such patents and patent applications may be narrowed, invalidated or held unenforceable, we may be required to obtain licenses from third parties, which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all, or we may be required to cease the development, manufacture and commercialization of ABP- 450 or future product candidates. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Parties making claims against us or any of our current or future licensors may request and obtain injunctive or other equitable relief, which could effectively block our ability to further develop and commercialize ABP- 450. Defense of these claims, regardless of their merit, would involve substantial litigation expense and would be a substantial diversion of employee resources from our business which time and resources could otherwise be used by us toward the maintenance of our own intellectual property and the development and commercialization of ABP- 450 ~~in any current or future proposed therapeutic indication~~ or for operational upkeep and manufacturing of our product. In the event of a successful claim of infringement, misappropriation or other violation of a third party’ s intellectual property, we or any of our current or future licensors may have to pay substantial damages, including treble damages and attorneys’ fees for willful infringement, obtain one or more licenses from third parties which may not be commercially available, or pay royalties or redesign our infringing products or manufacturing processes, which may be impossible or require substantial time and monetary expenditure. Furthermore, even in the absence of litigation, we may need to obtain licenses from third parties to advance our research, manufacture clinical study supplies or allow commercialization of ABP- 450 ~~in any current or future proposed therapeutic indication~~. We may fail to obtain any of these licenses at a reasonable cost or on reasonable terms, if at all. In that event, we would be unable to further develop and commercialize ABP- 450 ~~in one or more of our proposed therapeutic indications~~, which could harm our business significantly. Similarly, third- party patents could exist that might be enforced against our products, resulting in either an injunction prohibiting our sales, or with respect to our sales, an obligation on our part to pay royalties and / or other forms of compensation to third parties. We may become involved in lawsuits to protect or enforce our intellectual property or the patents and other intellectual property of our licensors,

which could be expensive and time-consuming. Competitors may infringe our intellectual property, including any future patents we may acquire, or any future patents or other intellectual property licensed to us by our licensors, including Daewoong. As a result, we or any of our current or future licensors may be required to file infringement claims to stop third-party infringement or unauthorized use. Even if resolved in our favor, this can be unpredictable, expensive, particularly for a company of our size, and time-consuming and may cause us to incur significant expenses and distract our scientific and management personnel from their normal responsibilities. In addition, in an infringement proceeding, a court may decide that a patent of ours or any of our current or future licensors is not valid or is unenforceable, or may refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the grounds that our patent claims do not cover its technology or that the factors necessary to grant an injunction against an infringer are not satisfied. An adverse determination of any litigation or other proceedings could put one or more of such patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly. Interference, derivation or other proceedings brought at the USPTO may be necessary to determine the priority or patentability of inventions with respect to any of our future patent applications or those of our licensors or collaborators. Litigation or USPTO proceedings brought by us or any of our current or future licensors may fail or may be invoked against us or our licensors by third parties. Even if we are successful, domestic or foreign litigation or USPTO or foreign patent office proceedings may result in substantial costs and distraction to our management or the management of any of our current or future licensors, including ~~58Daewoong~~ **Daewoong**. We may not be able, alone or with any of our current or future licensors or collaborators, to prevent misappropriation of our proprietary rights, particularly in countries where the laws may not protect such rights as fully as in the United States. **Furthermore** ~~54Furthermore~~, because of the substantial amount of discovery required in connection with intellectual property litigation or other proceedings, there is a risk that some of our confidential information could be compromised by disclosure during this type of litigation or proceedings. In addition, during the course of this kind of litigation or proceedings, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other interim proceedings or developments or public access to related documents. If securities analysts or investors perceive these results to be negative, the market price for our common stock could be significantly harmed. Such litigation or proceedings could substantially increase our operating losses and reduce the resources available for development activities or any future sales, marketing or distribution activities. Most of our competitors are larger than we are and have substantially greater resources. They are, therefore, likely to be able to sustain the costs of complex patent litigation or other intellectual property proceedings longer than we could. Accordingly, despite our efforts, we may not be able to prevent third parties from infringing upon or misappropriating our intellectual property. In addition, the uncertainties associated with the initiation and continuation of litigation or other intellectual property proceedings could compromise our ability to raise the funds necessary to continue our clinical studies, continue our internal research programs, or in-license needed technology, or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Our rights to develop and commercialize ABP-450 and future product candidates are subject, in part, to the terms and conditions of licenses granted to us by others, including Daewoong. If we fail to comply with our obligations in the agreements under which we license intellectual property rights from third parties or otherwise experience disruptions to our business relationships with our licensors, we could lose license rights that are important to our business. We are heavily reliant upon our license from Daewoong to certain proprietary technology that is important or necessary to the development of ABP-450 and future product candidates. Additionally, further development and commercialization of ABP-450 and future product candidates may require us to enter into additional license or collaboration agreements. For more information regarding our reliance on Daewoong and future collaboration agreements, please see “Risk Factors — Reliance on Third Parties.” Our current and any future licenses may not provide us with exclusive rights to use the licensed intellectual property and technology or may not provide us with exclusive rights to use such intellectual property and technology in all relevant fields of use and in all territories in which we may wish to develop or commercialize ABP-450 and future product candidates. As a result, we may not be able to prevent competitors or other third parties from developing and commercializing competitive products, including in territories covered by our licenses. In some circumstances, we may not have the right to control the maintenance, prosecution, preparation, filing, enforcement, defense or litigation of patents and patent applications that we license from or license to third parties and are reliant on our licensors or licensees to do so. We thus cannot be certain that activities such as patent maintenance and prosecution by our licensors have been or will be conducted consistent with our best interests or in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, or will result in valid and enforceable patents and other intellectual property rights. It is possible that our licensors’ infringement proceedings or defense activities may be less vigorous than had we conducted them ourselves or may not be conducted in accordance with our best interests. If our licensors fail to maintain such patents or patent applications, or lose rights to those patents or patent applications, the rights we have licensed may be reduced or eliminated, and our right to develop and commercialize future product candidates that are the subject of such licensed rights and our right to exclude third parties from commercializing competing products could be adversely affected. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. In spite of our efforts, our current and future licensors might conclude that we have materially breached our obligations under our license agreements and might therefore terminate such license agreements, thereby removing or limiting our ability to develop and commercialize products and technology covered by these license agreements. Disputes may arise with respect to our current or future licensing agreements, including disputes relating to: ~~59~~ **the scope of rights granted under the license agreements and other interpretation-related issues;** ~~59~~ **our financial or other obligations under the license agreements;** ~~59~~ **the extent to which ABP-450 and future product candidates infringe on intellectual property of the** ~~59licensors~~ **licensors** ~~59~~ **that is not subject to the licensing agreements;** ~~59~~ **the sublicensing of patent and other rights;** ~~59~~ **our diligence obligations under the license agreements and what activities satisfy those diligence obligations;** ~~59~~ **the inventorship or ownership of inventions and know-how resulting from the joint creation or use of intellectual property by our licensors and us and our partners; and** ~~55~~ **the priority of invention of patented technology. For example, the Daewoong Agreement does not contain provisions regarding the ownership of any**

intellectual property that results from inventions or improvements related to ABP- 450. There could be disputes in the future related to the inventorship or ownership of inventions and know- how resulting from our improvements to ABP- 450 and future related product candidates, although we believe we are the sole owner of our intellectual property and have developed it independently of Daewoong. If disputes over intellectual property that we have licensed prevent or impair our ability to maintain our current licensing arrangements on acceptable terms, we may be unable to successfully develop and commercialize ABP- 450 and future product candidates. If our licenses are terminated, we may lose our rights to develop and market ABP- 450 and future product candidates, lose patent protection for ABP- 450 and future product candidates, experience significant delays in the development and commercialization of ABP- 450 and future product candidates, or incur liability for damages. In addition, we may seek to obtain additional licenses from our licensors and, in connection with obtaining such licenses, we may agree to amend our existing licenses in a manner that may be more favorable to the licensors, including by agreeing to terms that could enable third parties, including our competitors, to receive licenses to a portion of the intellectual property that is subject to our existing licenses and to compete with ABP- 450 and future product candidates. Furthermore, if the Daewoong Agreement or any future licenses are terminated, or if the underlying patents or other intellectual property rights fail to provide the intended exclusivity, competitors or other third parties would have the freedom to seek regulatory approval of, and to market, products identical or competitive to ours and we may be required to cease our development and commercialization of ABP- 450 and future product candidates. Moreover, if disputes over intellectual property that we license prevent or impair our ability to maintain other licensing arrangements on commercially acceptable terms, we may be unable to successfully develop and commercialize ABP- 450 and future product candidates. In addition, certain of these license agreements may not be assignable by us without the consent of the respective licensor, which may have an adverse effect on our ability to engage in certain transactions. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Our license agreements are, and future license agreements are likely to be, complex, and certain provisions in such agreements may be susceptible to multiple interpretations. The resolution of any contract interpretation disagreement that may arise could narrow what we believe to be the scope of our rights to the relevant intellectual property or technology, or increase what we believe to be our financial or other obligations under the relevant agreement, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. We may not be able to protect our intellectual property rights throughout the world. Filing, prosecuting and defending patents relating to ABP- 450 and any future product candidates in all countries throughout the world would be prohibitively expensive, and our intellectual property rights in some countries outside the United States can be less extensive than those in the United States. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as federal and state laws in the United States; a patent owner may have limited remedies, and in some cases foreign authorities may even force us to grant a compulsory license to competitors or other third parties. As such, we or our licensors may not be able to obtain patent protection for ABP- 450 and future product candidates outside the United States. Consequently, we may not be able to prevent third parties from using our inventions in all countries outside the United States or from selling or importing products made using our inventions in and into the United States or other jurisdictions. Competitors may use our technologies in jurisdictions where we have not obtained patent protection to develop their own products and further, may export otherwise infringing products to territories where we have patent protection, but enforcement is not as strong as that in the United States. These products may compete with our products and our patents or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent them from competing. ~~60Many~~ -- **Many** companies have encountered significant problems in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in foreign jurisdictions. The legal systems of certain countries, particularly certain developing countries, do not favor the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property protection, particularly those relating to biopharmaceuticals, which could make it difficult for us to stop the infringement any of our patents that may issue from our pending patent applications, or the marketing of competing products in violation of our proprietary rights generally. Proceedings to enforce our patent rights in foreign jurisdictions could result in substantial costs and divert our efforts and attention from other aspects of our business, could put our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly, could put our patent applications at risk of not issuing and could provoke third parties to assert claims against us. ~~We 56~~ **We** or our licensors may not prevail in any lawsuits that we or our licensors initiate and the damages or other remedies awarded, if any, may not be commercially meaningful. Accordingly, our efforts to enforce our intellectual property rights around the world may be inadequate to obtain a significant commercial advantage from the intellectual property that we develop or license. In addition, our ability to protect and enforce our intellectual property rights may be adversely affected by unforeseen changes in domestic and foreign intellectual property laws. If we are unable to protect the confidentiality of our trade secrets, our business and competitive position would be harmed. In addition to seeking patent protection for our product candidates, including ABP- 450, we and our licensors also rely on trade secrets protection to protect our and their unpatented know- how, technology and other proprietary information, in order to maintain our and their competitive positions. We and our licensors seek to protect our trade secrets, in part, by entering into non-disclosure and confidentiality agreements with parties who have access to them, such as our employees, collaborators, consultants, advisors and other third parties. We have entered into invention assignment agreements with our current employees. Despite these efforts, any of these parties may breach the agreements and disclose our proprietary information, including our trade secrets, and we may not be able to obtain adequate remedies for such breaches. Monitoring unauthorized uses and disclosures is difficult, and we do not know whether the steps we or our licensors have taken to protect our respective proprietary technologies will be effective. Additionally, we cannot guarantee that we or our licensors have entered into such agreements with each party that may have or has had access to our respective trade secrets. We also seek to preserve the integrity and confidentiality of our data and trade secrets by taking security measures with respect to our information technology systems; however, our or our licensors' systems and security measures may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any breach. As a result, we or our licensors could lose our trade secrets and third parties could use our or our licensors' trade secrets

to compete with ABP- 450 or future product candidates. Enforcing a claim that a party illegally disclosed or misappropriated a trade secret is difficult, expensive and time- consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, some courts inside and outside the United States are less willing or unwilling to protect trade secrets. Competitors or third parties could purchase ABP- 450 and future product candidates and attempt to replicate or reverse engineer some or all of the competitive advantages we derive from our development efforts, willfully infringe our intellectual property rights, design around our protected technology or develop their own competitive technologies that fall outside the scope of our intellectual property rights. If any of our trade secrets were to be lawfully obtained or independently developed by a competitor or third party, we would have no right to prevent them, or those to whom they communicate it, from using that technology or information to compete with us. If any of our trade secrets were to be disclosed to or independently developed by a competitor, our competitive position would be harmed. We may be subject to claims that our employees, consultants or independent contractors have wrongfully used or disclosed confidential information of third parties or asserting ownership of what we regard as our own intellectual property. We employ individuals who were previously employed at other pharmaceutical companies including certain of our anticipated competitors. We may be subject to claims that we or our employees, consultants or independent contractors have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed confidential information, including intellectual property and other proprietary information, of our employees' former employers or other third parties. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. We may not be successful in defending these claims, and even if we are successful, litigation could result in substantial cost and be a distraction to our management and other employees. Any litigation or the threat thereof may adversely affect our ability to hire or retain employees. A loss of key personnel or their work product could diminish or prevent our ability to commercialize ABP- 450, which could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. ~~61~~**In** addition, while it is our policy to require our employees and contractors who may be involved in the conception or development of intellectual property to execute agreements assigning such intellectual property to us, we may also be subject to claims that former employers or other third parties have an ownership interest in our patents or other intellectual property. Moreover, even when we obtain agreements assigning intellectual property to us, the assignment of intellectual property rights may not be self-executing or the assignment agreements may be breached, and we may be forced to bring claims against third parties, or defend claims that they may bring against us, to determine the ownership of what we regard as our intellectual property. Furthermore, individuals executing agreements with us may have preexisting or competing obligations to a third party, such as an academic institution, and thus ~~an~~**57**~~an~~ agreement with us may be ineffective in perfecting ownership of inventions developed by that individual. We or our licensors may in the future be subject to claims by former employees, consultants or other third parties asserting an ownership right in our owned or licensed patents or patent applications. An adverse determination in any such submission or proceeding may result in loss of exclusivity or freedom to operate or in patent claims being narrowed, invalidated or held unenforceable, in whole or in part, which could limit our ability to stop others from using or commercializing similar technology and therapeutics, without payment to us, or could limit the duration of any patent protection covering ABP- 450 and future product candidates. Disputes about the ownership of intellectual property may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. If our trademarks and trade names are not adequately protected, then we may not be able to build name recognition in our markets of interest and our business may be adversely affected. Although we have filed applications to register trademarks in the United States and other jurisdictions, we currently do not own any registered trademarks and our current and future trademark applications in the United States and in foreign jurisdictions may not be allowed or may subsequently be opposed, as has been done in the United States with the Company' s trademark applications for AEON and related marks. Further, our unregistered or future registered trademarks or trade names may be challenged, infringed, circumvented or declared generic or determined to be infringing on other marks. We may not be able to protect our rights to these trademarks and trade names, which we need to build name recognition by potential partners or customers in our markets of interest. At times, competitors may adopt trade names or trademarks similar to ours, thereby impeding our ability to build brand identity and possibly leading to market confusion. Over the long term, if we are unable to establish name recognition based on our trademarks and trade names, then we may not be able to compete effectively and our business may be adversely affected. Third parties may assert that we are using trademarks or trade names that are confusingly similar to their marks. If any third- party were able to establish that our trademarks or trade names were infringing their marks, that third- party may be able to block our ability to use the infringing trademark or trade name. In addition, if a third- party were to bring such a claim, we would be required to dedicate time and resources to fight the claim, which time and resources could otherwise be used toward the maintenance of our own intellectual property. Parties making claims against us may request and obtain injunctive or other equitable relief, which could prevent our ability to use the subject trademarks or trade names. Defense of these claims, regardless of their merit, would involve substantial litigation expense and would be a substantial diversion of employee and management resources from our business, and their time and resources could otherwise be used toward the maintenance of our own intellectual property and may otherwise be expensive and time- consuming, particularly for a company of our size. In the event of a successful claim of infringement against us, we may have to pay substantial damages, including treble damages and attorneys' fees for willful infringement. We may be required to re- brand one or more of our products or services offered under the infringing trademark or trade name, which may require substantial time and monetary expenditure. Third parties could claim senior rights in marks which might be enforced against our use of trademarks or trade names, resulting in an injunction prohibiting our sales under those trademarks or trade names. Our efforts to enforce or protect our proprietary rights related to trademarks may be ineffective and could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Intellectual property rights do not necessarily address all potential threats. The degree of future protection afforded by our intellectual property rights is uncertain because intellectual property rights have limitations and may not adequately protect our business or permit us to maintain our competitive advantage. For example: ~~•~~**•** others may be able to make ABP- 450 and future

product candidates that are similar to ours, but that are not covered by the claims of the patents that we may license or own in the future; ~~62~~ we, or our license partners or future collaborators, might not have been the first to make the inventions covered by the issued patent or pending patent applications that we license or may own in the future; ~~63~~ we, or our license partners or future collaborators, might not have been the first to file patent applications covering certain of our or their inventions; ~~64~~ others may independently develop similar or alternative technologies or duplicate any of our technologies without infringing our owned or licensed intellectual property rights; ~~65~~ others may circumvent our regulatory exclusivities, such as by pursuing approval of a competitive product candidate via the traditional approval pathway based on their own clinical data, rather than relying on the abbreviated pathway provided for biosimilar applicants; ~~66~~ it is possible that our pending licensed patent applications or those that we may own in the future will not lead to issued patents; ~~67~~ issued patents that we hold rights to now or in the future may be held invalid or unenforceable, including as a result of legal challenges by our competitors; ~~68~~ others may have access to the same intellectual property rights licensed to us in the future on a nonexclusive basis; ~~69~~ our competitors might conduct R & D activities in countries where we do not have patent rights and then use the information learned from such activities to develop competitive products for sale in our major commercial markets; ~~70~~ we may not develop additional proprietary technologies that are patentable; ~~71~~ the patents or other intellectual property rights of others may have an adverse effect on our business; or ~~72~~ we may choose not to file a patent for certain trade secrets or know-how, and a third party may subsequently file a patent covering such intellectual property. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Risks Related to Government Regulation

Our business and products are subject to extensive government regulation. We are subject to extensive, complex, costly and evolving regulation by federal and state governmental authorities in the United States, ~~the European Union, Canada~~ and other countries, principally by the FDA, ~~the EMA, Health Canada~~ and other similar regulatory authorities. Daewoong is also subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and the South Korean regulatory authorities as well as other regulatory authorities. Our failure to comply with all applicable regulatory requirements, or Daewoong's or any future collaborator's failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, including those promulgated under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Public Health Service Act, and other laws may subject us to operating restrictions and criminal prosecution, monetary penalties and other enforcement or administrative actions, including sanctions, warning letters, import alerts, product seizures, recalls, fines, injunctions, suspension, revocation of approvals, or exclusion from future participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. In the event ~~our products ABP- 450 receive~~ **receives** regulatory approval, we and our direct and indirect suppliers, including Daewoong, will remain subject to the periodic inspection of our plants and facilities, review of production processes, and testing of our products to confirm that we are in compliance with all applicable regulations. Adverse findings during regulatory inspections may result in requirements that we implement REMS programs, requirements that we complete government mandated clinical studies, and government enforcement actions, including those relating to labeling, advertising, marketing and promotion, as well as regulations governing manufacturing controls. ~~63~~ **If** we experience delays in obtaining approval or if we fail to obtain approval of ABP- 450 ~~in any of our proposed therapeutic indications~~, the commercial prospects for ABP- 450 may be harmed and our ability to generate revenue will be materially impaired. In addition, in the course of our activities we may collect information from clinical study subjects or other individuals that subjects us to a variety of rapidly evolving laws regarding privacy, data protection and data security, including those related to the collection, storage, handling, use, disclosure, transfer and security of personal data. Data breaches or other violations of these laws could subject our business to significant penalties and reputational harm. For more information on data security and privacy, see "Risk Factors — Risks Related to Government Regulation — We are subject to stringent and often unsettled privacy laws, information security laws, regulations, policies and contractual obligations related to data privacy and security and changes in such laws, regulations, policies and contractual obligations could adversely affect our business."

Even if ~~if we fail to obtain regulatory approvals in foreign jurisdictions for ABP- 450, we will be unable to market our products outside of the United States. In addition to regulations in the United States, we are and will be subject to a variety of foreign regulations governing manufacturing, clinical studies, commercial sales and distribution of our future products. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product candidate~~ **ABP- 450 in the United States**, we ~~must~~ **may never** obtain approval ~~of for or commercialize such candidates in any the other jurisdiction, which would limit our ability to realize their full market potential. In order to market any product products in any particular jurisdiction, we must establish and comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements on a country-~~ ~~by~~ ~~the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before commencing clinical studies or marketing in those countries. The approval procedures vary among countries and can involve additional clinical testing, and the time required to obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. Clinical studies conducted in one country~~ **basis regarding safety and efficacy may not be accepted by regulatory authorities in other countries.** Approval by the FDA ~~in the United States~~ does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries ~~or jurisdictions. However~~, ~~and the failure to obtain approval by in one jurisdiction may negatively impact or our ability to obtain more foreign regulatory authorities does not ensure approval elsewhere. In addition, clinical trials conducted in one country may~~ **59 not be accepted** by regulatory authorities in other ~~foreign countries or by~~, ~~and regulatory approval in one country does not guarantee regulatory approval in any the other FDA country~~. The Approval processes vary among countries and can involve **additional product testing and validation, as well as additional administrative review periods. Seeking foreign regulatory approval process may include all could result in difficulties and increased costs for us and require additional preclinical studies or clinical trials which could be costly and time consuming. Regulatory requirements can vary widely from country to country and could delay or prevent the introduction of our products in the those risks associated countries. We do not have any product candidates approved for sale in any jurisdiction, including in international markets, and we do not have experience in obtaining regulatory approval in international markets. If we fail to comply with regulatory requirements in international markets or to** ~~obtaining~~ ~~obtain FDA and maintain required approval approvals~~, ~~We~~

may not be able to file for ~~or if~~ regulatory approvals ~~or to do so on a timely basis, and even if we do file, we may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our products in~~ **international** markets ~~outside of~~ **are delayed, our target market will be reduced and our ability to realize the United States full market potential of any product we develop will be unrealized.**

The misuse or off- label use of our approved products, if any, may harm our reputation in the marketplace, result in injuries that lead to product liability suits or result in costly investigations, fines or sanctions by regulatory bodies if we are deemed to have engaged in the promotion of these uses, any of which could be costly to our business. The FDA and other regulatory agencies strictly regulate the marketing and promotional claims that are made about pharmaceutical products. **These regulations include standards and restrictions for direct- to- consumer advertising, industry- sponsored scientific and educational activities, promotional activities involving the internet and off- label promotion. Any regulatory approval that the FDA grants is limited to those specific diseases and indications for which a product is deemed to be safe and effective by FDA. While physicians in the United States may choose, and are generally permitted, to prescribe drugs for uses that are not described in the product’ s labeling and for uses that differ from those tested in clinical trials and approved by the regulatory authorities, our ability to promote any products will be narrowly limited to those indications that are specifically approved by the FDA.** In particular, a product may not be promoted for uses or indications that are not specifically approved by the FDA ~~, the EMA~~ or other regulatory agencies as reflected in the product’ s approved labeling. For example, if we receive ~~marketing regulatory~~ approval for ABP- 450 **and in any therapeutic indication, physicians could use ABP- 450 on their patients in a manner that is inconsistent with the approved label, such as for the treatment of other aesthetic or therapeutic indications for which other similar botulinum toxins are approved. Although ABP- 450, if approved, will be similar to Jeuveau, we will not be able to market ABP- 450 as being interchangeable with Jeuveau.** If we are found to have promoted uses that are not part of ABP- 450’ s approved labeling, we may be subject to enforcement action from the FDA ~~, the EMA~~ and other regulatory agencies, as applicable, and become subject to significant liability, which would materially harm our business. The federal government has levied large civil and criminal fines against companies for alleged improper promotion and has enjoined several companies from engaging in off- label promotion. If we become the target of such an investigation or prosecution based on our marketing and promotional practices, we could face similar sanctions, which would materially harm our business. In addition, management’ s attention could be diverted from our business operations, significant legal expenses could be incurred, and our reputation could be damaged. The FDA has also required that companies enter into consent decrees or permanent injunctions under which specified promotional conduct is changed or curtailed in order to resolve FDA enforcement actions. If we are deemed by the FDA to have engaged in the promotion of our products for off- label use, we could be subject to FDA prohibitions or other restrictions on the sale or marketing of our products and other operations or significant fines and penalties, and the imposition of these sanctions could also affect our reputation and position within the industry. In addition, off- label promotion could expose us to liability under the FCA, as well as similar state laws. Physicians may also misuse ABP- 450, if approved, or use improper techniques, potentially leading to adverse results, side effects or injury, which may lead to product liability claims. If ABP- 450 is misused or used with improper techniques or is determined to cause or contribute to patient harm, we may become subject to costly litigation by our customers or their patients. Product liability claims could divert management’ s attention from our core business, be expensive to defend, result in sizable damage awards against us that may not be covered by insurance and subject us to negative publicity resulting in reduced sales of our products. Furthermore, the use of ABP- 450, if approved, for indications other than those cleared by the FDA, may not effectively treat such conditions, which ~~could~~ **could** harm our reputation in the marketplace among physicians and patients. Any of these events could harm our business and results of operations and cause the price of our common stock to decline. Our relationships with healthcare providers and physicians and third- party payors will be subject to applicable anti- kickback, fraud and abuse and other healthcare laws and regulations, which could expose us to criminal sanctions, civil penalties, contractual damages, reputational harm and diminished profits and future earnings. We are subject to applicable fraud and abuse and other healthcare laws and regulations, including, without limitation, the federal Anti- Kickback Statute and the FCA, which may constrain the business or financial arrangements and relationships through which we sell, market and distribute our products. In particular, the promotion, sales and marketing of healthcare items and services, as well as certain business arrangements in the healthcare industry (e. g., healthcare providers, physicians and third party payors), are subject to ~~extensive~~ **60extensive** laws designed to prevent fraud, kickbacks, self- dealing and other abusive practices. These laws and regulations may restrict or prohibit a wide range of pricing, discounting, marketing and promotion, structuring and commission (s), certain customer incentive programs and other business arrangements generally. We also may be subject to patient information and privacy and security regulation by both the federal government and the states and foreign jurisdictions in which we conduct our business. The applicable federal, state and foreign healthcare laws and regulations that may affect our ability to operate include, but are not limited to: The Anti- Kickback Statute, which prohibits the knowing and willful offer, receipt, or payment of remuneration in exchange for or to induce the referral of patients or the use of products or services that would be paid for in whole or part by Medicare, Medicaid or other federal health care programs. Remuneration has been broadly defined to include anything of value, including but not limited to cash, improper discounts, and free or reduced price items and services. A person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation. Further, courts have found that if “ one purpose ” of remuneration is to induce referrals, the federal Anti- Kickback Statute is violated. The Anti- Kickback Statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical manufacturers on the one hand and prescribers, purchasers, and formulary managers on the other. There are a number of statutory exceptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting some common activities from prosecution, but the exceptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly and require strict compliance in order to offer protection. A claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti- Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the FCA. Many states have similar laws that apply to their state health care programs as well as private payors. Violations of anti- kickback and other applicable laws can result in exclusion from federal

health care programs and substantial civil and criminal penalties. The federal civil and criminal false claims laws and civil monetary penalty laws, including the FCA, which prohibit, among other things, individuals or entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, false or fraudulent claims for payment to, or approval by Medicare, Medicaid, or other federal healthcare programs, knowingly making, using or causing to be made or used a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim or an obligation to pay or transmit money to the federal government, or knowingly concealing or knowingly and improperly avoiding or decreasing or concealing an obligation to pay money to the federal government. The FCA has been used to prosecute persons submitting claims for payment that are inaccurate or fraudulent, that are for services not provided as claimed, or for services that are not medically necessary. The FCA includes a whistleblower provision that allows individuals to bring actions on behalf of the federal government and share a portion of the recovery of successful claims. Some state law equivalents of the above federal laws, such as the Anti-Kickback Statute and FCA, apply to items or services regardless of whether the good or service was reimbursed by a government program, so called all-payor laws. These all-payor laws could apply to our sales and marketing activities even if the Anti-Kickback Statute and FCA laws are inapplicable. The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, which created new federal criminal statutes that prohibit knowingly and willfully executing, or attempting to execute, a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or obtain, by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, any of the money or property owned by, or under the custody or control of, any healthcare benefit program, regardless of the payor (e.g., public or private) and knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up by any trick or device a material fact or making any materially false statements in connection with the delivery of, or payment for, healthcare benefits, items or services relating to healthcare matters. Similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, a person or entity can be found guilty of violating HIPAA without actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it. HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009, or HITECH, and their implementing regulations, and as amended again by the Final HIPAA Omnibus Rule, published in January 2013, which imposes certain obligations, including mandatory contractual terms, with respect to safeguarding the privacy, security and transmission of ~~65~~ **individually -- individually** identifiable health information without appropriate authorization by covered entities subject to the rule, such as health plans, healthcare clearinghouses and certain healthcare providers, as well as their business associates that perform certain services involving the use or disclosure of individually identifiable health information also implicate our business. HITECH also created new tiers of civil monetary penalties, amended HIPAA to make civil and criminal penalties directly applicable to business associates, and gave state attorneys general new authority to file civil actions for damages or injunctions in federal courts to enforce the federal HIPAA laws and seek attorneys' fees and costs associated with pursuing federal civil actions. In addition to other federal laws, state laws and foreign laws, such as the General Data Protection Regulation in the European Union, or the GDPR, create the potential for substantial penalties in the event of any non-compliance with the applicable data privacy and data protection laws. The federal Physician Payment Sunshine Act, ~~created under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or the ACA, and its implementing regulations,~~ which requires manufacturers of drugs, devices, biologicals and medical supplies for which payment is available under Medicare, Medicaid or the Children's Health Insurance Program (with certain exceptions) to ~~report~~ **report 61** annually to the United States Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, information related to payments or other transfers of value made to physicians (defined to include doctors, dentists, optometrists, podiatrists and chiropractors) ~~and teaching hospitals~~, as well as ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members. ~~For the data submitted on or after January 1, 2022, these reporting obligations will extend to include transfers of value made to certain non-physician providers such as physician assistants and nurse practitioners~~ **certain non-physician providers such as physician assistants and nurse practitioners, and teaching hospitals, as well as ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members**. The scope and enforcement of each of these laws is uncertain and subject to rapid change in the current environment of healthcare reform, especially in light of the lack of applicable precedent and regulatory guidance. Federal and state enforcement bodies have recently increased their scrutiny of interactions between healthcare companies, healthcare providers and other third parties, including charitable foundations, which has led to a number of investigations, prosecutions, convictions and settlements in the healthcare industry. Responding to investigations can be time- and resource-consuming and can divert management's attention from the business. Any such investigation or settlement could increase our costs or otherwise have an adverse effect on our business. If our marketing or other arrangements were determined to violate anti-kickback or related laws, including the FCA or an all-payor law, then we could be subject to penalties, including administrative, civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, disgorgement, the exclusion from participation in federal and state healthcare programs, individual imprisonment, reputational harm and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, as well as additional reporting obligations and oversight if we become subject to a corporate integrity agreement or other agreement to resolve allegations of non-compliance with these laws. Any action for violation of these laws, even if successfully defended, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert management's attention from the operation of the business. Prohibitions or restrictions on sales or withdrawal of future marketed products could materially affect our business in an adverse way. Efforts to ensure that our business arrangements will comply with applicable healthcare laws may involve substantial costs. State and federal authorities have aggressively targeted pharmaceutical companies for alleged violations of these anti-fraud statutes, based on improper research or consulting contracts with doctors, certain marketing arrangements with pharmacies and other healthcare providers that rely on volume-based pricing, off-label marketing schemes, and other improper promotional practices. Companies targeted in such prosecutions have paid substantial fines, have been ordered to implement extensive corrective action plans, and have in many cases become subject to consent decrees severely restricting the manner in which they conduct their business, among other consequences. Additionally, federal and state regulators have brought criminal actions against individual employees responsible for alleged violations. If we become the target of such an investigation or prosecution based on our contractual relationships with providers or institutions or our marketing and promotional practices, we could face

similar sanctions, which would materially harm our business. Also, the FCPA and similar worldwide anti-bribery laws generally prohibit companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to non-United States officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. Our internal control policies and procedures may not protect us from reckless or negligent acts committed by our employees, future distributors, partners, collaborators or agents. Violations of these laws, or allegations of such violations, could result in fines, penalties or prosecution and have a negative impact on our business, results of operations and reputation. ~~Legislative or regulatory healthcare reforms in the United States and other countries may make it more difficult and costly for us to obtain regulatory clearance or approval of ABP-450 and to produce, market, and distribute our products after clearance or approval is obtained.~~ ~~66~~From time to time, legislation is drafted and introduced in the United States Congress or other countries that could significantly change the statutory provisions governing the regulatory clearance or approval, manufacture, and marketing of regulated products or the reimbursement thereof. In addition, regulations and guidance are often revised or reinterpreted by the FDA and other regulatory authorities in ways that may significantly affect our business and our products. Any new regulations, revisions or reinterpretations of existing regulations may impose additional costs or lengthen review times of ABP-450. Such changes could, among other things, require: • changes to manufacturing or marketing methods; • changes to product labeling or promotional materials; • recall, replacement, or discontinuance of one or more of our products; and • additional recordkeeping. Each of these would likely entail substantial time and cost and could materially harm our business and our financial results. In addition, delays in receipt of or failure to receive regulatory clearances or approvals for any future products would harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations. Inadequate funding for the FDA, the SEC and other government agencies, including from government shut downs, or other disruptions to these agencies' operations, could hinder their ability to hire and retain key leadership and other personnel, prevent new products and services from being developed or commercialized in a timely manner or otherwise prevent those agencies from performing normal business functions on which the operation of our business may rely, which could negatively impact our business. The ability of the FDA to review and approve new products can be affected by a variety of factors, including government budget and funding levels, ability to hire and retain key personnel and accept the payment of user fees, and statutory, regulatory and policy changes. Average review times at the agency have fluctuated in recent years as a result. Disruptions at the FDA and other agencies may also slow the time necessary for new product candidates to be reviewed and / or approved by necessary government agencies, which would adversely affect our business. In addition, government funding of the SEC and other government agencies on which our operations may rely, including those that fund R & D activities, is subject to the political process, which is inherently fluid and unpredictable. Disruptions at the FDA and other agencies may also slow the time necessary for new product candidates to be reviewed and / or approved by necessary government agencies, which would adversely affect our business. For example, over the last several years the ~~United States~~ **United States** government has shut down several times and certain regulatory agencies, such as the FDA and the SEC, have had to furlough critical FDA, SEC and other government employees and stop critical activities. **Separately, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the FDA postponed most inspections at domestic and foreign manufacturing facilities from March 2020 until July 2021. Even though the FDA has since resumed standard inspection operations, any resurgence of the virus may lead to other inspectional or administrative delays.** If a prolonged government shutdown occurs **, or if global health concerns hinder or prevent the FDA or other regulatory authorities from conducting regular inspections or review**, it could significantly impact the ability of the FDA to timely review and process our regulatory submissions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. Further, future government shutdowns could impact our ability to access the public markets and obtain necessary capital in order to properly capitalize and continue our operations. We are subject to stringent and often unsettled privacy laws, information security laws, regulations, policies and contractual obligations related to data privacy and security and changes in such laws, regulations, policies and contractual obligations could adversely affect our business. We are subject to data privacy and protection laws and regulations that apply to the collection, transmission, storage and use of personally identifying information or personal data, which among other things, impose certain requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of personal information. The legislative and regulatory landscape for privacy and data protection continues to evolve in jurisdictions worldwide, and there has been an increasing focus on privacy and data protection issues with the potential to affect our business. Failure to comply with any of these laws and regulations could result in enforcement action against us, including fines, imprisonment of company officials and public censure, claims for damages by affected individuals, damage to our reputation and loss of goodwill, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects. There are numerous United States federal and state laws and regulations relating to privacy and security of personal information. Data privacy remains an evolving landscape at both the domestic and international level, with new regulations coming into effect. For ~~67~~~~example~~ **example**, the State of California enacted the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018, or CCPA, which went into effect on January 1, 2020 and requires companies that process information on California residents to make new disclosures to consumers about their data collection, use and sharing practices, allow consumers to opt out of certain data sharing with third parties and provide a new cause of action for data breaches. Additionally, California voters approved a new privacy law, the California Privacy Rights Act, or CPRA, in the November 3, 2020 election. Effective starting on January 1, 2023, the CPRA significantly modifies the CCPA, including by expanding consumers' rights with respect to certain sensitive personal information. The CPRA also created a new state agency that is vested with authority to implement and enforce the CCPA and the CPRA. New legislation proposed or enacted in various other states will continue to shape the data privacy environment nationally. Certain state laws may be more stringent or broader in scope, or offer greater individual rights, with respect to confidential, sensitive and personal information than federal, international or other state laws, and such laws may differ from each other, which may complicate compliance efforts. In addition, all 50 states and the District of Columbia have enacted breach notification laws that may require us to notify patients, employees or regulators in the event of unauthorized access to or disclosure of personal or confidential information experienced by us or our service providers. These laws are not

consistent, and compliance in the event of a widespread data breach is difficult and may be costly. Moreover, states have been frequently amending existing laws, requiring attention to changing regulatory requirements. We also may be contractually required to notify patients or other counterparties of a security breach. Although we may have contractual protections with our service providers, any actual or perceived security breach could harm our reputation and brand, expose us to potential liability or require us to expend significant resources on data security and in responding to any such actual or perceived breach. Any contractual protections we may have from our service providers may not be sufficient to adequately protect us from any such liabilities and losses, and we may be unable to enforce any such contractual protections. In addition, the GDPR became applicable on May 25, 2018 in respect of processing operations carried out in the context of the activities of an establishment in the European Economic Area, or EEA, and any processing relating to the offering of goods or services to individuals in the EEA and / or the monitoring of their behavior in the EEA. While we do not at this time collect, store, use or process data on behalf of existing customers or for anyone residing in the United Kingdom or Europe, if we do so in the future, we will be subject to the rigorous and time-intensive policies of the GDPR. There is no assurance that our own limited privacy and security-related safeguards will protect us from all risks associated with data privacy and information security.

Risks Related to Being a Public Company and Ownership of Our Securities

The price of our common stock may be volatile. The price of our common stock has been and is likely to continue to be volatile. The market price for our common stock may be influenced by many factors, including the other risks described in this section of the ~~report~~ **Report** entitled “Risk Factors” and the following:

- our ability to advance our current or potential future product candidates throughout applicable clinical studies;
- results of preclinical studies for our current or potential future product candidates, or those of our competitors;
- regulatory or legal developments in the United States and other countries, especially changes in laws or regulations applicable to our future products;
- the success of competitive products or technologies;
- introductions and announcements of new product candidates by us or our competitors, and the timing of these introductions or announcements;
- actions taken by regulatory authorities with respect to our future product candidates, clinical trials, manufacturing process or sales and marketing terms;
- actual or anticipated variations in our financial results or those of companies that are perceived to be ~~68similar~~ **similar** to us;
- the success of our efforts to acquire or in-license additional technologies, products or product candidates;
- developments concerning any future collaborations, including, but not limited to, those with any sources of manufacturing supply and future commercialization collaborators;
- market conditions in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sectors;
- market conditions and sentiment involving companies that have recently completed a business combination with a special purpose acquisition company (“SPAC”);
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions, strategic alliances, joint ventures or capital commitments;
- developments or disputes concerning patents or other proprietary rights, including patents, litigation matters and our ability to obtain patent protection for its products;
- ability or inability to raise additional capital and the terms on which it is raised;
- the recruitment or departure of key personnel;
- changes in the structure of healthcare payment systems;
- actual or anticipated changes in earnings estimates or changes in stock market analyst recommendations regarding our common stock, other comparable companies or the industry generally;
- failure or the failure of our competitors to meet analysts’ projections or guidance that our or our competitors may give to the market;
- fluctuations in the valuation of companies perceived by investors to be comparable to us;
- announcement and expectation of additional financing efforts;
- speculation in the press or investment community;
- trading volume of our common stock, including as a result of the significant number of shares of our common stock (i) that the Sellers retained pursuant to the FPA Termination Agreements and may resell in the future, and (ii) that Daewoong may be issued upon any conversion of the Convertible Notes and may resell in the future;
- sales of our common stock by us or by our stockholders;
- the concentrated ownership of our common stock;
- changes in accounting principles;
- terrorist acts, acts of war or periods of widespread civil unrest;
- natural disasters, public health crises and other calamities; and
- general economic, industry and market conditions. In addition, the stock markets in general, and the markets for SPAC post-business combination businesses, pharmaceutical, biopharmaceutical and biotechnology stocks in particular, have experienced extreme volatility. This volatility can often be unrelated to the operating performance of the underlying business. These broad market and industry factors may seriously harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of AEON’s operating performance.

Sales of a substantial number of our securities in the public market by our existing securityholders could cause the price of our common stock and **Private Placement Warrants** to fall. Sales of a substantial number of our shares of common stock or **Private Placement Warrants** in the public market by the Registered Holders or by our other existing security holders, or the perception that those sales might occur, could depress the market price of our common stock and **Private Placement Warrants** and could impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional equity securities. As of March ~~2024~~ **2025**, holders of our **Private Placement Warrants** are entitled to exercise ~~their such~~ **their** warrants, on a cashless basis, in exchange for shares of our common stock, calculated based on the 10-day volume average weighted price prior to the Company’s receipt of the ~~warrant holders warrant holders~~ **warrant holders**’ notice. Such ~~warrant holders warrant holders~~ **warrant holders** may seek to monetize the return on their investment in the warrants quickly, which could adversely impact the price of our stock. We are unable to predict the effect that such sales may have on the prevailing market price of our common stock and **Private Placement Warrants**. The sale of all the securities, particularly at high volumes over a short period of time could result in a significant decline in the public trading price of our securities. Despite such a decline in the public trading price, some of the Registered Holders may still experience a positive rate of return on the securities they purchased due to the differences in the purchase prices described elsewhere in this ~~report~~ **Report**. Other security holders may not be able to experience positive rates of return on securities they purchase. ~~Additionally, we have agreed, at our expense, to prepare and file with the SEC certain registration statements providing for the resale of shares of common stock.~~ The resale, or expected or potential resale, of a substantial number of our shares of common stock in the public market could adversely affect the market price for our shares of common stock and make it more difficult for you to sell your shares of common stock at times and prices that you feel are appropriate. In particular, as a result of the termination of the

Forward Purchase Agreements, the Sellers are entitled to keep their shares and, following effectiveness of the a registration statement **related thereto**, may resell a significant number of shares of common stock in the market with respect to the shares that they retained pursuant to the FPA Termination Agreements. In addition, a significant number of shares of common stock may be issued upon conversion of the Convertible Notes ~~upon an~~ **pursuant to certain Automatic automatic Conversion or Optional optional Conversion conversions provisions under** (as defined in the Convertible Notes), and such shares of common stock may be resold by Daewoong in the future following effectiveness of a registration statement related thereto. Furthermore, we expect that, because there will be a large number of shares registered, the applicable selling securityholders will continue to offer such covered securities for a significant period of time, the precise duration of which cannot be predicted. Accordingly, the adverse market and price pressures resulting from an offering pursuant to a registration statement may continue for an extended period of time. In addition, because the current market price of our common stock is higher than the price certain selling securityholders paid for their securities, there is more likelihood that selling securityholders holding shares of common stock will sell their shares as soon as the applicable registration statement is declared effective and any applicable lock-up restrictions expire. Certain existing stockholders of AEON acquired securities at a price below the current trading price of such securities, and may experience a positive rate of return based on the current trading price or at lower trading prices. Future investors in AEON may not experience a similar rate of return. Prior to consummation of the Business Combination, certain existing stockholders of AEON acquired shares of common stock or Private Placement Warrants at prices below, and in some cases considerably below, the current trading price of our common stock or for no cash consideration at all. It is possible that these stockholders may experience a positive rate of return based on the current trading price or at lower trading prices. Given the relatively lower purchase prices that some of our stockholders paid to acquire some of their securities compared to the current trading price of our shares of common stock, these stockholders, some of whom are registered holders pursuant to registration statements we are obligated to file to register the resale of shares of common stock, in some instances may earn a positive rate of return on their investment, which may be a significant positive rate of return, depending on the market price of our shares of common stock at the time that such stockholders choose to sell their shares of common stock. **For example, based on the closing price of our Common Stock of \$ 0. 73 on March 17, 2025, the Sponsor and its permitted transferees could experience, with respect to 47, 921 Founder Shares (which excludes 47, 921 Founder Shares subject to the restrictions and forfeiture provisions set forth in the Sponsor Support Agreement), potential profit of up to \$ 0. 44 per share of Common Stock (although such shares are subject to a one-year lockup from the date of Closing), or \$ 3. 2 million in the aggregate, based on the Sponsor's initial purchase price of Founder Shares prior to Priveterra's initial public offering at a price of approximately \$ 0. 288 per share. Investors who purchased units in Priveterra's initial public offering at a public offering price of \$ 10. 00 per share on the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC following Priveterra's initial public offering or who purchased our Common Stock on NYSE American following consummation of the Business Combination may not experience a similar rate of return on the securities they purchased due to differences in the purchase prices and the prevailing trading price.** See the section of this Report titled " Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations " for additional information on the potential profits the other registered holders may experience.

~~Fluctuations~~ **Fluctuations** in our stock price may yield material changes in the valuation of the underlying derivatives securities associated with our capital structure, including our Contingent Consideration Shares ~~and Forward Purchase Agreements~~. We currently have multiple financial instruments, including underlying derivatives which we account for in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (" FASB ") Accounting Standards Codification (" ASC ") 815 Derivatives and Hedging: Embedded Derivatives. In accordance with the guidance, we value these derivatives at each reporting period and recognize the corresponding adjustments to fair value as changes to other income (expense), net in our Statements of Operations. The fair values are estimated using certain pricing models, which involve various inputs, including our current stock price as of the end of each reporting period. Period-over-period fluctuations in our stock price may result in material changes in the fair value of these derivatives, which in turn may materially impact (positively and negatively) our Statements of Operations.

~~70~~ **We** will require additional capital, which additional financing may result in restrictions on our operations or substantial dilution to our stockholders, to support the growth of our business, and this capital might not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. To date, our primary sources of capital have been ~~private~~ placements of ~~preferred~~ stock, sales of shares of Evolus, **and** debt financing agreements ~~and revenue from introductory financing services~~. We cannot be certain when or if our operations will generate sufficient cash to fully fund our ongoing operations or the growth of our business. We intend to continue to make investments to support our business, which may require us to engage in equity or debt financings to secure additional funds. Additional financing may not be available on terms favorable to us, if at all. If adequate funds are not available on acceptable terms, we may be unable to invest in future growth opportunities, which could harm our business, operating results, and financial condition. If we incur additional debt, the debt holders would have rights senior to holders of common stock to make claims on our assets, and the terms of any debt could restrict our operations. If we undertake discretionary financing by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience substantial dilution. We may sell common stock, convertible securities or other equity securities in one or more transactions at a price per share that is less than the price per share paid by current stockholders. If we sell common stock, convertible securities, or other equity securities in more than one transaction, stockholders may be further diluted by subsequent sales. Additionally, future equity financings may result in new investors receiving rights superior to our existing stockholders. Because our decision to issue securities in the future will depend on numerous considerations, including factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing, or nature of any future issuances of debt or equity securities. As a result, our stockholders bear the risk of future issuances of debt or equity securities reducing the value of our common stock and diluting their interests. We may incur significant costs from class action litigation due to the expected stock volatility. The price of common stock may fluctuate for many reasons, including as a result of public announcements regarding the progress of development efforts for our main product candidate, ABP- 450, the

development efforts of competitors, the addition or departure of key personnel, variations in quarterly operating results and changes in market valuations of biopharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. This risk is especially relevant to us because biopharmaceutical and biotechnology companies have experienced significant stock price volatility in recent years, including since the Closing. In addition, recently there has been significant stock price volatility involving the shares of companies that have recently completed a business combination with a SPAC. When the market price of a stock has been volatile as our common stock's price may be, holders of that stock have occasionally brought securities class action litigation against the company that issued the stock. Additionally, there has recently been a general increase in litigation against companies that have recently completed a business combination with a SPAC alleging fraud and other claims based on inaccurate or misleading disclosures. If any of our stockholders were to bring a lawsuit of this type against us, even if the lawsuit is without merit, we could incur substantial costs defending the lawsuit. Any such lawsuit could also divert the time and attention of management. Any failure to meet the continued listing requirements of NYSE American could result in a delisting of our common stock ~~and~~. **On February 3, 2025, the Company received a written notice of non-compliance from the NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American") stating that the Company is not in compliance with continued listing standards of Section 1003 (a) (i) of the NYSE American Company Guide (the "Company Guide"). The Company has been provided with a compliance period of 18 months to regain compliance. To regain compliance, the Company must submit a plan by March 5, 2025, advising of actions taken ~~our~~ or warrants will be taken to regain compliance with the continued listings standards of the Company Guide by August 3, 2026 (the "Plan"). The Plan will be subject to approval and periodic reviews by the NYSE American to monitor compliance with the Plan. If the Company does not submit a plan or if the Plan is not accepted, or if the Plan is accepted but the Company is not in compliance with the Minimum 66 Requirement by August 3, 2026, or if the Company does not make progress consistent with the Plan, then the NYSE American will initiate delisting proceedings as appropriate.** If we fail to satisfy the continued listing requirements of NYSE American, such as failing to satisfy any applicable corporate governance requirements or the minimum closing bid price requirement, NYSE American may take steps to delist our securities. Such a delisting would likely have a negative effect on the price of our securities and would impair your ability to sell or purchase the securities when you wish to do so. In the event of a delisting, we can provide no assurance that any action taken by us to restore compliance with listing requirements would allow our securities to become listed again, stabilize the market price or improve the liquidity of our securities, prevent our securities from dropping below the NYSE American minimum bid price requirement or prevent future non-compliance with NYSE American's listing requirements. Additionally, if our securities are not listed on, or become delisted from, NYSE American for any reason, and are quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board, an inter-dealer automated quotation system for equity securities that is not a national securities exchange, the liquidity and price of our securities may be more limited than if our securities were quoted or listed on NYSE American or another national securities exchange. You may be unable to sell your securities unless a market can be established or sustained. ~~71~~ **We** are an "emerging growth company" and it cannot be certain if the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies will make our common stock less attractive to investors, which may make it more difficult to compare our performance with other public companies. We are an emerging growth company as defined in the JOBS Act, and we intend to take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies for up to five years following the completion of the Merger, including not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in periodic reports and proxy statements and exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and stockholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved. To the extent we continue to take advantage of any of these exemptions, the information that we provide stockholders may be different than what is available with respect to other public companies. Investors may find the our common stock less attractive because we will continue to rely on these exemptions. If some investors find the our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for the common stock, and the stock price may be more volatile. An emerging growth company may elect to delay the adoption of new or revised accounting standards. Because we have made this election, Section 102 (b) (2) of the JOBS Act allows us to delay adoption of new or revised accounting standards until those standards apply to non-public business entities. As a result, the financial statements contained in this ~~report~~ **Report** and those that we will file in the future may not be comparable to companies that comply with public business entities revised accounting standards effective dates. We are also a "smaller reporting company" as such term is defined in the Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act, meaning that the market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates plus any proposed aggregate amount of gross proceeds to us as a result of any offering is less than \$ 700 million and our annual revenue is less than \$ 100 million during the most recently completed fiscal year. Even after we no longer qualify as an emerging growth company, we may still qualify as a "smaller reporting company" which would allow us to take advantage of many of the same exemptions from disclosure requirements, including exemption from compliance with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 and reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in periodic reports and proxy statements. Investors could find our common stock less attractive because it may rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and the trading price may be more volatile. ~~Future-67~~ **Future** sales and issuances of our common stock or rights to purchase our common stock could result in additional dilution of the percentage ownership of our stockholders and could cause our common stock price to fall. We expect to have sufficient cash to fund our operating plan ~~through June~~ **into the fourth quarter of 2024-2025**, including \$ 15 million of committed financing related to the issuance of certain Convertible Notes with Daewoong. For more information, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources." However, we have based these estimates on numerous assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and we could spend our available capital resources much faster than we currently expect or require more

capital to fund our operations than we currently expect. Significant additional capital will be needed in the future to continue our planned operations, including further development of our product candidate ABP-450, preparing INDs or equivalent filings, conducting preclinical studies and clinical trials, commercialization efforts, expanded R & D activities and costs associated with operating a public company. To raise capital, we may sell common stock, convertible securities or other equity securities in one or more transactions at prices and in a manner as determined from time to time. If we sell common stock, convertible securities or other equity securities, existing investors may be materially diluted by subsequent sales. New investors could gain rights, preferences and privileges senior to the holders of our common stock. Pursuant to the 2023 Incentive Award Plan, or “the 2023 Plan”, our board of directors (the “Board”) or our compensation committee (the “Compensation Committee”) is authorized to grant equity-based awards to our employees, directors and consultants. Initially, the aggregate number of shares of our common stock that may be issued pursuant to stock awards under the 2023 Plan is 353,332,839,892 shares. Additionally, the number of shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the 2023 Plan will automatically increase on January 1 of each year, beginning in 2024 and ending in 2033, by an amount equal to the lesser of (i) 4% of the number of fully-diluted number of shares outstanding (as calculated pursuant to the terms of the 2023 Plan) on the final day of the immediately preceding calendar year or (ii) such lesser number of shares as is determined by our Board. Pursuant to the Employee Stock Purchase Program, or ESPP, our employees will have the opportunity to purchase shares of our common stock at a discount through accumulated payroll deductions. Initially, the aggregate number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the ESPP is 488,614,678 shares. In addition, the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the ESPP will be annually increased on January 1 of each calendar year beginning in 2024 and ending in 2033 by an amount equal to the lesser of (a) 1% of the fully-diluted number of shares outstanding (as calculated pursuant to the terms of the ESPP) on the final day of the immediately preceding calendar year or (b) such lesser number of shares as is determined by our Board. Unless our Board elects not to increase the number of shares available for future grant each year, our stockholders may experience additional dilution, which could cause the price of our common stock to fall. Our issuance of additional shares of common stock or other equity securities of equal or senior rank would, all else being equal, have the following effects: existing stockholders’ proportionate ownership interests would decrease; the amount of cash available per share of common stock, including for payment of dividends in the future, may decrease; the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding share of common stock would be diminished; and the market price of shares of our common stock may decline. **The Warrants may never be in the money, and they may expire worthless and the terms of such Warrants may be amended in a manner adverse to a holder if holders of at least a majority of the then-outstanding Warrants approve of such amendment. The Warrants were issued in registered form pursuant to the Warrant Agreement. The Warrant Agreement provides that the terms of the Warrants may be amended without the consent of any holder to cure any ambiguity or correct any defective provision. Any amendment to the terms of the Private Placement Warrants requires the consent of the Company and the holders of a majority of the Private Placement Warrants. On March 29, 2024, we issued a notice of redemption to holders of the Public Warrants announcing that we would redeem all Public Warrants, for a redemption price of \$ 7.20 per Public Warrant, that remained outstanding as of 5:00 p. m. New York City time on April 29, 2024 (the “Redemption Date”). Immediately after the Redemption Date, no Public Warrants remained outstanding. We may receive up to an aggregate of approximately \$ 45.9 million from the cash exercise of the Private Placement Warrants. The exercise price of each of our Private Placement Warrants is \$ 828.00 per warrant and the reported sales price of our Common Stock on March 17, 2025 was \$ 0.73. The likelihood that holders of Private Placement Warrants will exercise their Private Placement Warrants, and therefore any amount of cash proceeds that we may receive, is dependent upon the trading price of our Common Stock. If the trading price for our Common Stock does not maintain a price above \$ 828.00 per share, we do not expect holders to exercise their Private Placement Warrants for cash. The Private Placement Warrants may be exercised on a cashless basis at any time and we would not receive any proceeds from such exercise, even if the Private Placement Warrants are in-the-money. We expect to use the net proceeds from the exercise of such securities, if any, for general corporate purposes, which may include acquisitions or other strategic investments. We will have broad discretion over the use of any proceeds from the exercise of such securities. Any proceeds from the exercise of such securities would increase our liquidity, but we are not currently budgeting for any cash proceeds from the exercise of the Private Placement Warrants when planning for our operational funding needs.**

Our disclosure controls and procedures may not prevent or detect all errors or acts of fraud. We must design our disclosure controls and procedures to reasonably assure that information we must disclose in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, and recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. We believe that any disclosure controls and procedures or internal controls and procedures, no matter how well-conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. For example, our directors or executive officers could inadvertently fail to disclose a new relationship or arrangement causing us to fail to make a required related party transaction disclosure. Additionally, controls and procedures can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by an unauthorized override of the controls. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in our control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Reports published by analysts, including projections in those reports that differ from our actual results, could adversely affect the price and trading volume of our common stock. We currently expect that securities research analysts will establish and publish their own periodic financial projections for the business of AEON. These projections may vary widely and may not accurately predict the results AEON actually achieves. AEON’s stock price may decline if its actual results do not match the projections of these securities research analysts. Similarly, if one or more of the analysts who write reports on AEON downgrades its stock or publishes inaccurate or

~~unfavorable research about its business, AEON's stock price could decline. If one or more of these analysts ceases coverage of AEON or fails to publish reports on AEON regularly, its stock price or trading volume could decline. While we expect research analyst coverage, if no analysts commence coverage of AEON, the trading price and volume for our common stock could be adversely affected.~~

The obligations associated with being a public company involve significant expenses and require significant resources and management attention, which may divert from AEON's business operations. As a public company, AEON is subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act and the Sarbanes- Oxley Act. The Exchange Act requires the filing of annual, quarterly and current reports with respect to a public company's business and financial condition. The Sarbanes- Oxley Act requires, among other things, that a public company establish and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting. The listing requirements of NYSE American also require that we satisfy certain corporate governance requirements. As a result, AEON will incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that AEON did not previously incur. AEON's entire management team and many of its other employees will need to devote substantial time to compliance, and may not effectively or efficiently manage its transition into a public company. ~~73~~ ~~These~~ **These** rules and regulations will result in AEON incurring substantial legal, financial and accounting compliance costs in addition to other expenses and will make some activities more time- consuming and costly. The increased costs will decrease our net income or increase our consolidated net loss, and may require us to reduce costs in other areas of our business or increase the prices of our products or services. For example, these rules and regulations will likely make it more difficult and more expensive for AEON to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and it may be required to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. We cannot predict or estimate the amount or timing of additional costs we may incur to respond to these requirements. As a result, it may be difficult for AEON to attract and retain qualified people to serve on its Board, its Board committees or as executive officers. Provisions in AEON's certificate of incorporation, AEON's bylaws and Delaware law have anti- takeover effects that discourage an acquisition of AEON by others, even if an acquisition would be beneficial to our stockholders, and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management, which could depress the trading price of our common stock. AEON's certificate of incorporation, bylaws, and Delaware law contain provisions that may have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing a change in control of us or changes in our management that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which you might otherwise receive a premium for your shares. AEON's certificate of incorporation and bylaws include provisions that: ~~•~~ ~~•~~ authorize " blank check " preferred stock, which could be issued by our Board without stockholder approval and may contain voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to common stock; ~~•~~ ~~•~~ create a classified Board whose members serve staggered three- year terms; ~~•~~ ~~•~~ specify that special meetings of our stockholders can be called only by our Board, the chairperson of the Board or our chief executive officer or president; ~~•~~ ~~•~~ prohibit stockholder action by written consent; ~~•~~ ~~69~~ ~~•~~ establish an advance notice procedure for stockholder approvals to be brought before an annual meeting of our stockholders, including proposed nominations of persons for election to our Board; ~~•~~ ~~•~~ specify that no stockholder is permitted to cumulate votes at any election of directors; ~~•~~ ~~•~~ expressly authorize our Board to adopt, amend or repeal our bylaws; and ~~•~~ ~~•~~ require supermajority votes of the holders of common stock to amend specified provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws. These provisions, alone or together, could delay or prevent hostile takeovers and changes in control or changes in our management. These provisions could also limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock, thereby depressing the market price of our common stock. In addition, because we are incorporated in the State of Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the DGCL, which prohibits a person who owns in excess of 15 % of our outstanding voting stock from merging or combining with us for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person acquired in excess of 15 % of our outstanding voting stock, unless the merger or combination is approved in a prescribed manner. Any provision of our certificate of incorporation, bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of our common stock, and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our common stock. AEON's certificate of incorporation and bylaws designate the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware as the exclusive forum for certain state law litigation that may be initiated by our stockholders and the United States federal district courts as the exclusive forum for certain securities law actions, which could limit our stockholders' ability to litigate disputes with us in a different judicial forum and increase the costs for our stockholders to pursue certain claims against us. Pursuant to AEON's bylaws and certificate of incorporation, unless we consent in writing to the selection of an alternative forum, the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the sole and exclusive forum for the following types of actions or proceedings under Delaware statutory or common law: (i) any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf; (ii) any action asserting a claim of ~~74~~ ~~breach~~ ~~--~~ **breach** of a fiduciary duty owed by any of our current or former directors, officers or employees to us or our stockholders; (iii) any action asserting a claim arising pursuant to any provision of the DGCL, AEON's certificate of incorporation and bylaws (including their interpretation, validity or enforceability); or (iv) any action asserting a claim governed by the internal affairs doctrine. This exclusive forum provision will not apply to any causes of action arising under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act or any other claim for which the federal courts have exclusive jurisdiction. Stockholders cannot waive compliance with the Securities Act, the Exchange Act or any other federal securities laws or the rules and regulations thereunder. Unless we consent in writing to the selection of an alternate forum, the United States federal district courts shall be the sole and exclusive forum for resolving any complaint asserting a cause of action arising under the Securities Act. In addition, our bylaws provide that any person or entity purchasing or otherwise acquiring any interest in shares of our capital stock is deemed to have notice of and consented to these exclusive forum provisions. The forum selection provisions in our bylaws may limit our stockholders' ability to litigate disputes with us in a judicial forum that they find favorable for disputes with us or our directors, officers or employees, which may discourage the filing of lawsuits against us and our directors, officers and employees, even though an action, if successful, might benefit our stockholders. In addition, these forum selection provisions may impose additional litigation costs for stockholders who

determine to pursue any such lawsuits against us. General RisksOur business and operations would suffer in the event of computer system failures, including but not limited to our information technology systems, infrastructure and data, or those of our third- party vendors, contractors or consultants failing, becoming unavailable, or suffering security breaches, losses or leakages of data and other disruptions, which could result in disruption of our services, compromise sensitive information (including personal information) related to our business, or prevent us from accessing critical information, potentially exposing us to liability or otherwise adversely affecting our business. We are increasingly dependent upon information technology systems, infrastructure and data to operate our business. In the ordinary course of business, we collect, store and transmit confidential information (including but not limited to intellectual property, proprietary business information and personal information). It is critical that we do so in a secure manner to maintain the confidentiality and integrity of such confidential information. We also have outsourced elements of our operations to third parties, and ~~as 70as~~ a result we manage a number of third- party vendors and other contractors and consultants who have access to our confidential information. Despite the implementation of security measures, our internal computer systems, and those of third parties on which we rely, are vulnerable to breakdown or other damage from service interruptions, computer viruses, malware, natural disasters, terrorism, war, telecommunication and electrical failures, cyber- attacks or cyber- intrusions, including ransomware attacks, over the internet, attachments to emails, persons inside our organization, or persons with access to systems inside our organization. The risk of a security breach or disruption, particularly through cyber- attacks or cyber- intrusions, including by computer hackers, foreign governments, and cyber- terrorists, has generally increased as the number, intensity and sophistication of attempted attacks and intrusions from around the world have increased. If such an event were to occur and cause interruptions in our operations, it could result in a material disruption of our current or future product development programs. For example, the loss of clinical study data from completed or any future ongoing or planned clinical studies could result in delays in our regulatory approval efforts and significantly increase our costs to recover or reproduce the data. To the extent that any disruption or security breach was to result in a loss of or damage to our data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential or proprietary information, we could incur material legal claims and liability, damage to our reputation, and the further development of our product candidate could be delayed. We cannot assure you that our data protection efforts and our investment in information technology will prevent breakdowns, data leakages, breaches in our systems, or those of our third- party vendors and other contractors and consultants, or other cyber incidents that could have a material adverse effect upon our reputation, business, operations, or financial condition. For example, if such an event were to occur and cause interruptions in our operations, or those of our third- party vendors and other contractors and consultants, it could result in a material disruption or delay of the development of ABP- 450 and future product candidates. Furthermore, significant disruptions of our internal information technology systems or those of our third- party vendors and other contractors and consultants, or security breaches could result in the loss, misappropriation, or unauthorized access, use, or disclosure of, or the prevention of access to, confidential information, which could result in financial, legal, business and reputational harm to us. For example, any such event that leads to actual or perceived unauthorized access, use, or disclosure of personal information, including personal information regarding our customers or employees, could harm our reputation directly, compel us to comply with federal or state breach notification laws and foreign law equivalents, subject us to mandatory corrective action, and otherwise subject ~~75us us~~ to liability under laws and regulations that protect the privacy and security of personal information, which could result in significant legal and financial exposure and reputational damages that could potentially have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. We rely on third parties to provide services and technology necessary for the operation of our business. Any failure of one or more of our vendors, suppliers or licensors to provide these services or technology could have a material adverse effect on our business. We rely on third- party vendors to provide critical services, including, among other things, services related to accounting, billing, human resources, and information technology that we cannot or do not provide ourselves. We depend on these vendors to ensure that our corporate infrastructure will consistently meet our business requirements. The ability of these third- party vendors to successfully provide reliable and high quality services is subject to technical and operational uncertainties that are beyond our control. While we may be entitled to damages if our vendors fail to perform under their agreements with us, the amount of damages we receive may be limited. In addition, we do not know whether we will be able to collect on any award of damages or that these damages would be sufficient to cover the actual costs we would incur as a result of any vendor' s failure to perform under its agreement with us. Any failure of our corporate infrastructure could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Upon expiration or termination of any of our agreements with third- party vendors, we may not be able to replace the services provided to us in a timely manner or on terms and conditions, including service levels and cost, that are favorable to us and a transition from one vendor to another vendor could subject us to operational delays and inefficiencies until the transition is complete. ~~71 If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or publish unfavorable research about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline. The trading market for our common stock will rely in part on the research and reports that equity research analysts publish about us and our business. We do not currently have and may never obtain research coverage by equity research analysts. Equity research analysts may elect not to provide research coverage of our common stock, and such lack of research coverage may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. In the event we obtain equity research analyst coverage, we will not have any control of the analysts or the content and opinions included in their reports. The price of our common stock could decline if one or more equity research analysts downgrades our common stock or issues other unfavorable commentary or research. If one or more equity research analysts ceases coverage of our company or fails to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our common stock could decrease, which in turn could cause the trading price or trading volume of our common stock to decline. Operating as a public company requires us to incur substantial costs and requires substantial management attention. In addition, our management team has limited experience managing a public company and the requirements of being a public company may strain our resources, divert management' s attention and affect our ability to attract~~

and retain additional executive management and qualified board members. As a public company, we will incur substantial legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. For example, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, the applicable requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and the rules and regulations of the SEC. The rules and regulations of NYSE American also apply to us. As part of the new requirements, we have established and will need to maintain effective disclosure and financial controls and have made and will need to maintain changes to our corporate governance practices. We expect that compliance with these requirements will increase our legal and financial compliance costs and will make some activities more time-consuming or costly, and increase demand on our systems and resources. We are leanly staffed and some of our management and other key personnel have limited experience managing a public company and preparing public filings. In addition, as a public company, certain of our management and other key personnel will be required to divert attention from other business matters to devote substantial time to the reporting and other requirements of being a public company. In particular, we expect to incur significant expense and devote substantial management effort to complying with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. We will need to hire additional accounting and financial staff with appropriate public company experience and technical accounting knowledge. 76