## **Legend:** New Text Removed Text Unchanged Text Moved Text Section

Described below are certain risks and uncertainties that could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flow. These risks are not the only risks we face. Our business could also be affected materially and adversely by other risks and uncertainties that are not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be insignificant. Summary: Risks Related to Our Oil and Gas Business • Prices for our products are volatile can fluctuate widely and a substantial decline in prices over an extended period of low prices could materially and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and ability to invest in our assets. • Our producing properties are located exclusively in California, making us vulnerable to risks associated with having operations concentrated in this geographic area. • Drilling for and producing oil and natural gas carry significant operational risks and uncertainty. We may not drill wells at the times we scheduled, or at all. Wells we do drill may not yield production in economic quantities or generate the expected payback. • Our business involves substantial capital investments and we may be unable to fund these investments which could lead to a decline in our oil and natural gas reserves or production. • We have been negatively impacted by inflation. • We are subject to economic downturns and the effects of public health events which may materially and adversely affect the demand and the market price for our products. • The military conflicts in Ukraine, Israel and Yemen and the Red Sea have caused related price volatility and geopolitical instability could negatively impact our business. • From time to time we may engage in step- out drilling, or drilling in new or emerging plays. Our drilling results are uncertain, and the value of our undeveloped acreage may decline if drilling is unsuccessful. • Many of our competitors have greater resources than us and we may not be able to successfully <del>separate our earbon management business from our E & P business, compete in</del> acquiring and developing new properties. • Our hedging activities limit or our we may decide ability to realize the full benefits of increases in commodity prices. • Estimates of proved reserves and related future net cash flows are not precise to effect such separation. The actual quantities of our proved reserves and future net cash flows may prove to be higher or lower than estimated. Risks Related to Carbon TerraVault and Our Carbon Management Business • Our ability to achieve our 2045 Full- Scope Net Zero target and other goals related to carbon management activities, is subject to risks and uncertainties. \* Our ability We may not be able to grow our Carbon TerraVault business and develop large scale CCS projects is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. • Our Carbon TerraVault If we are unable to successfully execute our CCS strategy, it could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and other financial condition. • The economics of CCS projects depend on financial and tax incentives that to be economical, and these incentives may not currently be sufficient for our Carbon TerraVault business and other CCS projects to be economical, may not be fully realized, or could be changed or terminated. • Our Carbon TerraVault JV with Brookfield is subject to inherent uncertainties, which could force us to delay or cancel CCS projects or seek alternative sources of capital to fund our CCS projects and thereby adversely affect our ability to implement our carbon management strategy. Risk Factors Related to Our Business Generally • Drilling for and producing Increasing activism against the oil and natural gas industry presents carry significant operational and financial risks and uncertainty to our business. • Increasing attention to ESG matters may adversely impact our business. • We may not drill wells at decide to separate our carbon management business from our E & P business, or be successful in the times event we scheduled, or at all choose to pursue such separation. Wells • Acquisition and disposition activities, including the Aera Merger, involve substantial risks. • While the Aera Merger is pending, we will do drill may not yield production in economic quantities or generate the expected payback. • Our business involves substantial capital investments. We may be unable subject to certain contractual restrictions fund our capital program, or reach satisfactory terms for other future eapital requirements which could lead to a decline in our oil and natural gas reserves or production. Our capital investment program is also susceptible to risks that could materially adversely affect its implementation our business and operations. We <del>have been negatively impacted by inflation <mark>may incur substantial losses and be subject to substantial liability claims as</mark></del> a result of pollution, environmental conditions or catastrophic events. We may not be insured for, or our insurance may be inadequate to protect us against, these risks. • Cybersecurity attacks, systems failures We are subject to economic downturns and the other disruptions could effects of public health events, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, which may materially and adversely affect the demand and the market price for our products. • The conflict in Ukraine and related price volatility and geopolitical instability could negatively impact our business. • From time to time we may engage in step-out drilling, or drilling in new or emerging plays. Our drilling results are uncertain, and the value of our undeveloped acreage may decline if drilling is unsuccessful. • Many of our current and potential competitors have or may potentially have greater resources than we have and we may not be able to successfully compete in acquiring, exploring and developing new properties. • Our hedging activities limit our ability to realize the full benefits of increases in commodity prices. • Our level of hedging activities may be impacted by financial regulations that could increase our costs of hedging and / or limit the number of hedging counterparties available to us . • Estimates of proved reserves and related future net cash flows are not precise. The actual quantities of our proved reserves and future net eash flows may prove to be lower than estimated. Risks Related to Regulation and Government Action • We may not be able face material delays related to our ability to timely obtain drilling permits necessary for our operations, or be unable to secure such permits on favorable terms or at all as a result of numerous recent and future actions by the State of California political, regulatory, and legal developments. • Recent and future actions by the State of California could reduce both the demand for and supply of oil and natural gas within the state and consequently have a material and adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. • Our business is highly

```
regulated and government authorities can delay or deny permits and approvals or change requirements governing our operations,
which including hydraulic fracturing and other well stimulation methods, enhanced production techniques and fluid
injection or disposal, that could increase costs, restrict operations and change or delay the implementation of our business
plans. • Our Carbon TerraVault business and our CCS projects are subject to extensive government regulation much that,
among other things, requires us to obtain and maintain permits for the injection and sequestration of which is CO2. Many of
these regulations are still being developed. Failure to comply with these requirements and obtain the necessary permits, or the
development of government regulations that are unfavorable to our CCS projects, could have an adverse effect on our business,
results of operations and financial condition. • Recent changes in California law may New and developing regulations related
to CO2 unitization, permitting and pipeline safety could negatively impact our business, financial condition and result
results of operations in delays to our carbon capture, sequestration and storage projects. • Concerns about climate change and
other air quality issues may prompt governmental action that could materially affect our operations or results. • The Inflation
Reduction Act could accelerate the transition to a low- carbon economy and could impose new costs on our operations. • Tax
Adverse tax law changes may could have an adverse affect effect on our financial conditions, results of operations and cash
flows. • Recent action by the State of California imposing additional financial assurance requirements related to
plugging and abandonment costs, decommissioning, and site restoration on those who acquire the right to operate wells
and production facilities could impact our ability to sell or acquire assets in the state of California or increase our costs
in connection with the same. Risks Related to our Indebtedness • We may not be able to amend or refinance our existing debt
to create more operating and financial flexibility and to enhance shareholder returns. • Our existing and future indebtedness may
adversely affect our business and limit our financial flexibility. • We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of
our indebtedness and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy the obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be
successful. • The lenders under our Revolving Credit Facility could limit our ability to borrow and restrict our ability to use or
access to capital. • Restrictive covenants in our Revolving Credit Facility and the indenture governing our Senior Notes may
limit our financial and operating flexibility. • Variable rate indebtedness under our Revolving Credit Facility subjects us to
interest rate risk, which could cause our debt service obligations to increase significantly. Risks Related to Our Common Stock •
Our ability to pay dividends and repurchase shares of our common stock is subject to certain risks. • The trading price of our
common stock may decline, and you may not be able to resell shares of our common stock at prices equal to or greater than the
price you paid or at all. • Future issuances of our common stock could reduce our stock price, and any additional capital raised
by us through the sale of equity or convertible securities may dilute your ownership in us. • There is an increased potential for
short sales of our common stock due to the sales of shares issued upon exercise of warrants, which could materially affect the
market price of the stock. • The ownership position of certain of our stockholders limits other stockholders' ability to influence
corporate matters and could affect the price of our common stock. • Sales of shares of our common stock by our executive
officers could negatively impact the market price for our common stock. General Risk Factors • Increasing attention to ESG
matters may adversely impact our business. • Acquisition and disposition activities involve substantial risks. • We may incur
substantial losses and be subject to substantial liability claims as a result of pollution, environmental conditions or catastrophic
events. We may not be insured for, or our insurance may be inadequate to protect us against, these risks. • Cybersecurity attacks,
systems failures and other disruptions could adversely affect us. Our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and
ability to invest in our assets are highly dependent on oil, natural gas and NGL prices. A substantial decline in sustained period
of low-prices for these products oil, natural gas and NGLs would reduce our cash flows from operations and could reduce our
borrowing capacity or cause a default under our financing agreements. Prices for oil, natural gas and NGL may fluctuate widely
in response to relatively minor changes in domestic and global supply and demand, market uncertainty and a variety of
additional factors that are beyond our control, such as: * changes in domestic and global supply and demand; * domestic and
global inventory levels; • political and economic conditions, including international disputes such as the conflicts
between in Ukraine, Israel and Russia-Yemen and the Red Sea; pandemics, epidemics, outbreaks or other public health
events, such as the COVID-19 pandemic; • the actions of OPEC and other significant producers and governments; • changes or
disruptions in actual or anticipated production, refining and processing; • worldwide drilling and exploration activities; •
government energy policies and regulation, including with respect to climate change; • the effects of conservation; • natural
disasters, weather conditions and other seasonal impacts; • speculative trading in derivative contracts; • currency exchange
rates; • technological advances; • transportation and storage capacity, bottlenecks and costs in producing areas; • the price,
availability and acceptance of alternative energy sources; • regional market conditions; and • other matters affecting the supply
and demand dynamics for these products. Lower prices could have adverse effects on our business, financial condition, results
of operations and cash flow, including: • reducing our proved oil and natural gas reserves over time; • limiting our capital
expenditures and our ability to grow or maintain future production; • causing a reduction in our borrowing base under our
Revolving Credit Facility, which could affect our liquidity; • reducing our cash flow and ability to make interest payments or
maintain compliance with financial covenants in the agreements governing our indebtedness, which could trigger mandatory
loan repayments and default and foreclosure by our lenders and bondholders against our assets ; • affecting our ability to attract
eounterparties and enter into commercial transactions, including hedging, surety or insurance transactions; and • limiting our
access to funds through the capital markets and the price we could obtain for asset sales or other monetization transactions. Our
hedging program does not provide downside protection for all of our production. As a result, our hedges do not fully protect us
from commodity price declines, and we may be unable to enter into acceptable additional hedges in the future. Our operations
are concentrated in California. Because of this geographic concentration, the success and profitability of our operations may be
disproportionately exposed to the effect of regional conditions. These changes in state or regional laws and regulations affecting
our operations, local price fluctuations and other regional supply and demand factors, including gathering, pipeline,
transportation and storage capacity constraints, limited potential customers, infrastructure capacity and availability of rigs,
```

```
equipment, oil field services, supplies and labor. Our operations are also exposed to natural disasters and related events common
to California, such as wildfires, mudslides, high winds, earthquakes and extreme weather events, and the potential increase to the
frequency of drought and flooding. Further, our operations may be exposed to power outages, mechanical failures, industrial
accidents or labor difficulties. Any one of these events has the potential to cause producing wells to be shut in, delay operations
and growth plans, decrease cash flows, increase operating and capital costs, prevent development of lease inventory before
expiration and limit access to markets for our products, interpretations. We also bear The development of oil and natural gas
properties are subject to numerous operational risks, including the risks of permitting or construction delays, equipment
failures, accidents, environmental hazards, unusual geological formations or unexpected pressure or irregularities within
formations, adverse weather conditions, permitting or construction delays, title disputes, surface access disputes, disappointing
drilling results or reservoir performance (including lack of production response to workovers or improved and enhanced
recovery efforts), cost over- runs and other associated risks. Development activities Our decisions and ultimate profitability
are also affected by commodity prices depend in part on our analysis of geophysical, geologic the availability of capital,
engineering regulatory approvals, production available transportation and storage capacity, the political environment and other
factors technical data and processes, including the interpretation of 3D seismic data. This analysis Our cost of
drilling,completing,stimulating,equipping,operating,inspecting,maintaining and abandoning wells is also often uncertain
inconclusive or subject to varying interpretations. Any of the forgoing operational or financial risks could cause actual
results to differ materially from the expected payback or cause a well or project to become uneconomic or less profitable than
forecast. We have specifically identified locations for drilling over the next several years, which are an integral part of our
production strategy. Our actual drilling activities may materially differ from those presently identified. If future drilling
results in these projects do not establish sufficient production and reserves to achieve an economic return, we may curtail
drilling or development of these projects. We make assumptions about the consistency and accuracy of data when we
identify these locations that may prove inaccurate. We cannot guarantee that our identified drilling locations will ever be
<mark>drilled or if we will</mark> be able to <mark>produce crude oil </mark>successfully separate or finance our- <mark>or natural gas</mark> carbon management
business from our E & P business these drilling locations. In addition, some of or our leases could expire if we do may
decide-not establish production in the leased acreage. The combined net acreage covered by leases expiring in the next
three years represented 4 % of our total net undeveloped acreage at December 31, 2023. Our development activities
involve substantial capital investments. We intend to fund our 2024 capital program using cash flow from operations.
Accordingly, a reduction in projected operating cash flow could cause us to reduce our future capital investments. In
general, the ability to execute our capital plan depends on a number of factors, including: • the amount of oil, natural gas
and NGLs we are able to produce; • commodity prices; • regulatory and third- party approvals; • our ability to timely
drill, complete and stimulate wells; • our ability to secure equipment, services and personnel; and • our liquidity and
ability fund capital expenditures. Access to future capital may be limited by our lenders, capital markets constraints,
activist funds or investors, or poor stock price performance. Because of these and other potential variables, we may be
unable to deploy capital in the manner planned, which may negatively impact our production levels and development
activities and limit our ability to make acquisitions or enter into partnerships and farmout arrangements. Unless we
make sufficient capital investments and conduct successful development and exploration activities or acquire properties
containing proved reserves, our proved reserves will decline as those reserves are produced. Our ability to make the
necessary long- term capital investments or acquisitions needed to maintain or expand our reserves may be impaired to
the extent we have insufficient cash flow from operations or liquidity to fund those activities. Over the long term, a
continuing decline in our production and reserves would reduce our liquidity and ability to satisfy our debt obligations
by reducing our cash flow from operations and the value of our assets. Increases in inflation may have an adverse effect
such separation on us. On February 24 Current and future inflationary effects may be driven by 2023 among other
things, supply chain disruptions and governmental stimulus or fiscal policies, and geopolitical instability. We have taken
measures to limit the effects of the inflationary market by entering into contracts for materials and services with terms of
one to three years. Additionally, we continually look announced that we had adjusted our corporate operating structure,
including setting up a board of directors at Carbon TerraVault, productivity and performance improvements from our
vendors in order to facilitate mitigate the these price increases separate operation of our E & P and carbon management
businesses. We also intend to reduce volumes consumed pursue financing options for our carbon management business that are
separate from the rest of our business. However Our earbon management business faces operational, technological and
regulatory risks that could be considerable due to early stage nature of these projects and the sector generally, which may make
it more difficult to independently finance and there are no assurances that it will be a viable standalone business in the near term
or at all. Further, there can be no assurances that we such measures will be able to successfully separate effective. Inflation
could also result in higher interest rates in the United States, which could increase the cost of future financing efforts.
The marketing of our oil, natural gas and NGLs is dependent upon the existence of adequate markets for our products.
Imbalances between the supply of and demand for these products, including as a result of economic downturns our-
& P-the effects of public health events, could cause extreme market volatility and earbon management businesses a
substantial adverse effect on commodity prices. A world health event, the extent of actions that may be taken to contain
or treat their impact, and the impacts on the economy generally and oil prices in particular, are uncertain, rapidly
changing and hard to predict. This uncertainty could force us to reduce costs, including by decreasing operating
expenses and lowering capital expenditures, and such actions could negatively affect future production and our reserves
. We We may experience labor shortages if our employees are unwilling or unable to come to work because of
illness, quarantines, government actions or other restrictions in connection with the a pandemic. If our suppliers cannot deliver the
materials, supplies and services we need we may need to suspend operations. In addition, we are exposed to changes in
```

```
commodity prices which have been and will likely remain volatile.We cannot predict the duration and extent of <del>the a</del> pandemic'
s adverse impact on our operating results. Additionally, to the extent a world health event adversely impacts the COVID-19
pandemic or any resulting worsening of the global business and economic environment, which adversely affects our business
and financial results ,it may also have the effect of heightening or exacerbating may many of the other risks described in
the Risk Factors herein. The military conflicts in Ukraine, Israel and Yemen and the Red Sea have caused price
volatility and geopolitical instability could negatively impact our business. The military conflicts in Ukraine, Israel and
Yemen and the Red Sea have caused volatility in the prices of natural gas, oil and NGLs, and the extent and duration of
the military action, sanctions and resulting market disruptions have been significant and could continue to have a
substantial impact on the global economy and our business for an unknown period of time. During the fourth quarter of
2023, OPEC announced a continuation of its combined 4 million barrels per day voluntary reduction in production
quotas. While actual OPEC production capabilities are difficult to discern, any return to previous targeted production
levels — coupled with expanding Iranian, Venezuelan, Brazilian and U. S. production — could cause commodity prices
to decide decline which would reduce the revenues we receive for our oil and natural gas production. Materialization of
either of the events described above may also magnify the impact of the other risks described in this "Risk Factors"
section. From time to time we may engage in step- out drilling or drilling in new or emerging plays. Our drilling results
are uncertain, and the value of our undeveloped acreage may decline if drilling is unsuccessful. The risk profile for step-
out drilling or drilling in new or emerging plays is higher than for other locations because we have less geologic and
production data and drilling history, in particular for drilling in unconventional reservoirs, which are in unproven
geologic plays. Our ability to profitably drill and develop our identified drilling locations depends on a number of
variables, including crude oil and natural gas prices, capital availability, costs, drilling results, regulatory approvals,
available transportation capacity and other factors. We may not find commercial amounts of oil or natural gas or the
costs of drilling, completing, stimulating and operating wells in these locations may be higher than initially expected. If
future drilling results in these projects do not establish sufficient reserves to pursue such separation if achieve an economic
return, we may curtail drilling or development of these projects. In either case, the value of our undeveloped acreage may decline
and could be impaired. We face competition in every aspect of our business, including, but not limited to, acquiring reserves and
leases, obtaining goods and services and hiring and retaining employees needed to operate and manage our business and
marketing natural gas, NGLs or oil. Competitors include a multinational oil companies company, independent production
companies and individual producers and operators. In California, our competitors are few and large, which may limit available
acquisition opportunities. Many of our competitors have greater financial and other resources than we do we do not believe it.
As a result, these competitors may be able to address such competitive factors more effectively than we can or withstand
industry downturns more easily than we can. We enter into hedges to mitigate our economic exposure to commodity
price volatility and ensure our financial strength and liquidity by protecting our cash flows. Our Revolving Credit
Facility also includes a covenant that would maximize shareholder value. Many of these factors are outside management's
control and will affect whether the historical sources of proved reserves additions continue to provide reserves at similar
levels. Generally, lower prices adversely affect the quantity of our reserves as those reserves expected to be produced in later
years, which tend to be costlier on a per unit basis, become uneconomic. In addition, a portion of our proved undeveloped reserves
may no longer meet the economic producibility criteria under the applicable rules or may be removed due to the lack a lower
amount of <del>drilling permits or insufficient</del> capital available to develop these projects within the SEC- mandated five-year
limit. In addition, our reserves information represents estimates prepared by internal engineers. Although 88 85 % of our
estimated proved reserve volumes as of December 31, <del>2023-2022, were audited by our independent petroleum engineer</del>
engineers, Ryder Scott and NSAI, we cannot guarantee that the estimates are accurate. Reserves estimation is a partially
subjective process of estimating accumulations of oil and natural gas. Estimates of economically recoverable oil and natural gas
reserves and of future net cash flows from those reserves depend upon a number of variables and assumptions. Changes in these
variables and assumptions could require us to make significant negative reserves revisions, which could affect our liquidity by
reducing the borrowing base under our Revolving Credit Facility. In addition, factors such as the availability of
capital, geology, government regulations and permits, the effectiveness of development plans and other factors could affect the
source or quantity of future reserves additions. Our ability to achieve our 2045 Full- Scope Net Zero target and other goals
related to our carbon management activities, is subject to risks and uncertainties. We have adopted a number of targets and
objectives related to sustainability matters, including our 2045 Full-Scope Net Zero target and our energy transition strategy.
Our efforts to research, establish, accomplish, and accurately report on these targets and objectives expose us to numerous
operational, reputational, financial, legal, and other risks. Our ability to achieve any stated target or objective is not guaranteed
and is subject to numerous factors and conditions, some of which are outside of our control. In particular, our 2045 Full-Scope
Net Zero goal includes Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions and estimation and management of Scope 3 emissions is subject to some
degree of uncertainty. We cannot guarantee that we have been able to completely quantify the full scope of our emissions and
account for mitigating all such emissions in our Full-Scope Net Zero goal. Our ability to achieve our 2045 Full-Scope Net Zero
goal relies heavily on our ability to develop our Carbon TerraVault business and related CCS projects, which is subject to
uncertainties and risks .- See (including those Risks risks described herein) Related to our Business -- The economics of CCS
projects depend on financial and tax incentives that may not currently be sufficient for our CCS projects to be economical or
eould be changed or terminated, Risks Related to our Business - Our Carbon TerraVault JV with Brookfield is subject to
inherent uncertainties, which could force us to delay or cancel CCS projects or seek alternative sources of capital to fund our
CCS projects and thereby adversely affect our ability to implement our carbon management strategy. In addition, the
commercial and regulatory environment related to emissions reductions and reporting is evolving and uncertain, and changes in
GHG emission accounting methodologies or new developments related to climate science could impact our ability to claim
```

```
emissions reductions related to our sequestration activities and timely achieve our 2045 Full-Scope Net Zero goal or at all. If we
are not able to successfully develop Carbon TerraVault and its CCS projects and claim related emissions reductions, or we are
successful in separating our carbon management business, our ability to achieve our 2045 Full-Scope Net Zero goal would be
materially and adversely affected. Our business may face increased scrutiny from investors and other stakeholders related to our
sustainability activities, including the goals, targets, and objectives that we announce, and our methodologies and timelines for
pursuing them. If our sustainability practices do not meet investor or other stakeholder expectations and standards, which
continue to evolve, our reputation, our ability to attract or retain employees, and our attractiveness as an investment or business
partner could be negatively affected. Similarly, our failure or perceived failure to pursue or fulfill our sustainability- focused
goals, targets, and objectives, to comply with ethical, environmental, or other standards, regulations, or expectations, or to
satisfy various reporting standards with respect to these matters, within the timelines we announce, or at all, could adversely
affect our business or reputation, as well as expose us to government enforcement actions and private litigation. We are have
announced a strategy to pursue the development developing of a carbon management business in California that relies on CCS
projects. To our knowledge, there are no existing large - scale CCS projects in California similar to those that we are seeking to
have developed—- develop. These projects face operational, technological and regulatory risks that could be considerable due to
the early - stage nature of these projects and the sector generally. Our ability to successfully develop these projects depends on a
number of factors that we are not able to fully control, including the following: • The development of large -scale CCS projects
is an emerging sector and there are no meaningful precedents to gauge the likely range of economic terms upon which these
projects may be feasibly developed. In addition, any of the operational, regulatory or financial risks described herein could
cause actual results to differ materially from expected payback or cause a project to become uneconomic or less profitable than
forecast. • The development of CCS and related projects will require us, our joint venture partner, and third- party emitters to
make significant capital investments in the relevant technology and infrastructure and we may not have sufficient capital
resources to fund such investments. Such projects may also depend on third party financing and such financing may not be
available on reasonable terms or at all. In some cases, these projects will involve the production and sale of hydrogen, ammonia
or other products and markets for some of these products are still emerging being developed. • The development of a CCS
project will require us to enter into long term binding agreements with large carbon emitters and other third parties and we may
not be able to do so on agreeable terms or at all. Such agreements are complex and may involve allocation of not only fees but
also various credits, incentives and environmental attributes associated with the storage of CO2. Not all emission sources
produce sufficiently large quantities of pure or relatively pure streams of CO2, or have installed equipment to capture such CO2,
so as to be useable in one or more of our CCS projects. As a result, we cannot assure whether we will be able to procure access
CO2 emissions in sufficient quantities or of CO2 on terms that are acceptable to us, and the failure to do so may have a
material impact on our ability to execute our CCS strategy. • The development and operation of cost- effective, commercial-
scale hydrogen and ammonia production facilities and associated sequestration facilities is highly complex. We may
participate in the development of production facilities that provide the emissions for our CCS business. There can be no
assurances that we or our partners will be able to successfully develop these production facilities, or that we will be able to
develop the related sequestration facilities, in a timely manner or at all. In addition, there can be no assurances that these
facilities can be maintained and operated over the longer term. The financing and development of these projects may
depend on the availability of long term off- take agreements for these products and the market for hydrogen is still
developing. It may not be possible for us or our partners to enter into these types of agreements on acceptable terms or
at all. • Certain of our anticipated CCS project sites rely on pore space that we do not own and we may need to enter into
agreements with landowners to allow us to inject CO2. The market for such landowner agreements is evolving with the
evolution of the CCS industry and it may not be possible for us to enter into these types of agreements on acceptable
terms or at all. • Complex recordkeeping and GHG emissions / sequestration accounting may be required in connection with
one or more of our projects, which may increase the costs of such operations. Different methodologies may be required for
various regulatory and non-regulatory accounts regarding GHG emissions / sequestration at one or more of our projects,
including but not limited to compliance with the EPA's Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program. • Carbon capture may
be viewed as a pathway to the continued use of fossil fuels and there may be organized opposition to CCS projects from
environmental groups, local residents and legislators. • We may need to transport CO2 in pipelines if a CCS project relies on
storage space that is not co-located with the production facilities. Our ability to transport CO2 is subject to regulatory
uncertainty, see Risks Related to Regulation and Government Action – Senate Bill 905 may New and developing regulations
related to the CO2 unitization, permitting and pipeline safety could negatively impact our business, financial condition
and <del>result results of operations in delays to our CCS projects</del> described below. • Other regulatory uncertainties <del>, see Risks</del>
Related to Regulation and Government Action - Our Carbon TerraVault business and our CCS projects are subject to extensive
government regulation that, among other things, requires us to obtain permits for the injection of CO2. Many of these
regulations are still being developed. Failure to comply with these requirements and obtain the necessary permits, or the
development of government regulation that is unfavorable to our CCS projects, could have a material adverse effect on our
business, results of operations and financial condition described below. There can be no assurances that we will successfully
develop our CCS projects, including Carbon TerraVault and CalCapture, and such failure could have an adverse effect on our
business. Our carbon management business is currently in an early stage of development, and we do not expect the failure of a
single CCS project to create an impact on our overall financial condition or operations. However, as the scale of our CCS
projects grows, so will their impact on our overall financial condition and operations. Moreover, our failure to successfully
develop our CCS projects would adversely affect our ability to claim emissions reductions related to our sequestration activities
and our ability to meet our carbon management goals, which in turn could have an adverse effect on our business and reputation.
Congress has incentivized the development of carbon capture projects, clean hydrogen production projects and other
```

```
projects relating to the production of certain clean fuels through the establishment of various tax credits , including the
45Q credit (credit for <mark>carbon oxide <del>the capture and</del> sequestration ) and <del>of CO2,</del> the <mark>45V credit (credit for</mark> production of clean</mark>
hydrogen) and the production of other clean fuels. The successful development of our Carbon TerraVault business and
other CCS projects is dependent upon our ability to directly or indirectly benefit from these tax credits. The amount of tax
credits from which we may directly or indirectly benefit on in connection with our Carbon TerraVault business and other
CCS projects is dependent upon satisfaction of certain requirements, some of which have not been fully developed and issued
by the Treasury Department and IRS, and we cannot assure you that we (or our partners) will be able to satisfy. One of
those requirements is. For example, the Treasury Department and IRS recently issued proposed regulations pertaining to
the 45V credit which, among other things, indicated that the Treasury Department and IRS are considering imposing
certain requirements, restrictions and potential limitations on the use of renewable natural gas in connection with the
production of clean hydrogen that qualifies for the 45V credit, which, if implemented, could have a negative impact on
our minimum volume of CO2 is captured by the applicable carbon Carbon TerraVault business capture equipment during
each taxable year. If we or our counterparties are not able to capture the minimum volumes (which could be for a variety of
reasons), then the tax credit will not be available. Additional financial incentives may also be required for our Carbon
Terra Vault business and other CCS projects to be economical. In particular, we anticipate that CCS projects associated with
carbon emission reductions for transportation fuels will generate LCFS credits and that these additional credits will improve the
economics of CCS projects. If the existing legal requirements for incentives such as the tax 45Q credit, the 45V credit or
LCFS credits available for the capture and sequestration of CO2 and the production of clean hydrogen or LCFS are
subsequently amended in a manner that such incentives no longer apply or are restricted in application, directly or indirectly, to
our projects, we may not be able to successfully achieve an economic return from our Carbon TerraVault business and our
other CCS business-projects or, alternatively, the construction or operation of applicable projects may be substantially delayed
such that one or more projects is unprofitable or otherwise infeasible. The ability to monetize the tax 450 credits credit for
CO2 capture and sequestration is not certain. Either the new owners of the carbon capture facilities equipment or the
sequester must either have the ability to use the tax 45Q credit for itself, or the owner of the carbon capture equipment must
utilize direct pay (which is limited to the first five years of the twelve- year credit period), procure tax equity financing, or
transfer the credits to another tax-payer taxpayer. Similar issues exist with respect to the monetization of the 45V credit.
The <mark>accessibility of <del>ability to utilize</del> direct pay<mark>, and the tax equity financing</mark>, and the credit transfers <del>markets</del>-- <mark>market</mark> for tax</mark>
credits provided under the IRA are Inflation Reduction Act is still developing being analyzed and is subject to further
guidance from the IRS, and therefore many uncertainties and complexities with respect to the our (or our partners) ability to
efficiently monetize <del>these --</del> the 45Q credit and the 45V credit exist. The 45Q credit and the LCFS credits <del>exist. The tax</del>
eredit for the capture and sequestration of CO2 requires require that the captured CO2 be stored in secure geological storage
for long periods of time. If we are not able to satisfy this requirement for the duration of time required, there is the risk of
recapture of tax-45Q credits or LCFS credits from us (or our partners) by the government, as well as a risk of indemnification
obligations to our partners, claims from landowners and potential for fines and penalties for violations of environmental
requirements. Accidental releases of CO2 could also adversely impact our ability to meet our 2045 Full-Scope Net Zero goal.
There can be no assurances that we (or our partners) will successfully comply with the requirements for the available tax credits
or LCFS, and such failure could have an adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations. In August
2022, we entered into the Carbon TerraVault JV with Brookfield to pursue the development of a carbon management business
in California. The management and financing of the joint venture are subject to inherent uncertainties. These uncertainties could
potentially force us to delay or cancel CCS projects or to seek alternative sources of capital to fund our CCS projects, any of
which could adversely affect our ability to achieve our 2045 Full-Scope Net Zero target and other goals related to our carbon
management activities. Brookfield has committed an initial $ 500 million to invest in CCS projects that are jointly approved
through Carbon TerraVault JV, of which $ 46 million has been funded to date. At the time the Carbon TerraVault JV was
formed, Brookfield committed to make an initial investment of $ 137 million payable in three equal installments. The first $ 46
million installment was contributed to the joint venture in August 2022, and the next two installments are due upon completion
of certain pre- agreed milestones related to the permitting process with the EPA and final investment decision which are
anticipated (but not certain) to occur in 2024. Future storage projects for Brookfield's initial commitment are subject to
approval of the joint venture, including Brookfield. There can be no assurances that any of these funding milestones will be
achieved so that Brookfield will fund the rest of its commitment. Furthermore, even though we own a 51 % interest in the
Carbon TerraVault JV, we share decision making power with Brookfield on matters that most significantly impact the economic
performance of the joint venture. Any failure to reach a decision with Brookfield could potentially prevent or delay our pursuit
of CCS projects or cause such projects to be cancelled. Moreover, if Brookfield does not approve a proposed CCS project that
we want to pursue, we will have to seek alternative sources of capital to fund the project and there can be no assurances that such
sources of capital will be available. The exploration Opposition toward oil and gas drilling and development of activity has
been growing over time. Companies in the oil and gas industry are often the target of efforts to delay or prevent oil and
gas development by non- governmental organizations and individuals. This opposition also extends to our carbon
management business as certain activists oppose carbon capture and sequestration efforts by the oil and gas industry.
These activists use a variety of tactics that primarily rely on allegations regarding safety, environmental compliance and
business practices. At both the state and federal level, these tactics including seeking changes to laws, pressuring
governmental agencies to promulgate regulations or engage in rulemaking, or pursuing litigation. Due to heightened
concerns around global warming and GHG emissions, there is often considerable pressure on lawmakers, regulators and
others to take action with respect to these allegations regardless of their perceived merit. We may need to incur
significant costs associated with responding to these initiatives and such actions may materially adversely affect our
```

```
financial results. Complying with any resulting additional legal or regulatory requirements that are substantial or
prevent our activity could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of
operations. Organizations that provide information to investors on corporate governance and related matters have
developed ratings processes for evaluating companies on their approach to ESG matters. Such ratings are used by some
investors to evaluate their investment and voting decisions. Companies in the energy industry, and in particular those
focused on oil or natural gas extraction properties depend in part on our analysis of geophysical, geologic, engineering,
production and other technical data and processes, including the interpretation of 3D seismic data. This analysis is often
inconclusive or subject to varying interpretations...... If future drilling results in these projects do not establish sufficient
production and reserves score as well under ESG assessments compared to achieve companies in other industries.
Unfavorable ESG ratings may lead to increased negative investor sentiment toward us and to the diversion of their
investment away from the fossil fuel industry to other industries which could have a negative impact on our stock price
and our access to and costs of capital. To the extent ESG matters negatively impact our reputation, we may not be able to
compete as effectively or recruit or retain employees, which may adversely affect our operations. Moreover, while we
may create and publish voluntary disclosures regarding ESG matters from time to time, many of the statements in those
voluntary disclosures will be based on expectations and assumptions that may or may not be representative of actual
risks or events, including the costs associated therewith. Such expectations and assumptions are necessarily uncertain
<mark>and may be prone to error or subject to misinterpretation given the long timelines involved and the lack of</mark> an <del>economic</del>
return established single approach to identifying, measuring, and reporting on many ESG matters. Additionally, while
we may <del>curtail drilling or development of these projects <mark>also announce various voluntary ESG targets, such targets are</mark></del>
aspirational. We make assumptions may not be able to meet such targets in the manner or on such a timeline as initially
contemplated, including, about -- but the consistency and accuracy not limited to as a result of data when unforeseen costs
or technical difficulties associated with achieving such results. To the extent we identify do meet such targets, they may
ultimately be achieved through various contractual arrangements, including these--- the locations purchase of various
credits or offsets that may prove inaccurate be deemed to mitigate our ESG impact instead of actual changes in our ESG
performance . We However, we cannot guarantee that there our identified drilling locations will ever be drilled sufficient
offsets available or for if-purchase given the increased demand from numerous businesses implementing net zero goals,
or that, notwithstanding our reliance on any reputable third- party registries, that the offsets we do purchase will
successfully achieve the emissions reductions they represent. Also, despite these aspirational goals, we may receive
pressure from investors, lenders, or other groups to adopt more aggressive climate or other ESG- related goals, but we
cannot guarantee that we will be able to produce crude oil implement such goals because of potential costs or natural
technical or operational obstacles. Public statements with respect to ESG matters, such gas—as emissions reduction
goals, other environmental targets, or other commitments addressing certain social issues, are becoming increasingly
subject to heightened scrutiny from public and governmental authorities related to these--- the drilling locations risk of
potential "greenwashing," i. e., misleading information or false claims overstating potential ESG benefits. As a result,
we may face increased litigation risks from private parties and governmental authorities related to our ESG efforts. In
addition, any alleged claims of greenwashing against us or others in our industry may lead to further negative sentiment
and diversion of investments. Additionally, we could face increasing costs as we attempt to comply with and navigate
further ESG- related focus and scrutiny. Such ESG matters may also impact our customers or suppliers, which may
adversely impact our business, financial condition, or results of operations. We may not decide to separate our carbon
management business from our E & P business, or be successful in the event we choose to pursue separation. We are
considering the potential separation of our E & P and carbon management businesses at some point of our leases could
expire if we do not establish production in the leased acreage. The combined net acreage covered by leases expiring in the next
three--- the years represented 8 % of future. We are also pursuing financing options for our total net undeveloped acreage at
<del>December 31, 2022 carbon management business that are separate from the rest of our business.</del> Our carbon
management business faces operational involves substantial capital investments, technological which may include
acquisitions, partnerships or joint venture arrangements with other oil and regulatory natural gas exploration and production
companies or financial investors. We may be unable to fund our capital program, or reach satisfactory terms for other future
eapital requirements, which could lead to a decline in our oil and natural gas reserves or production. Our capital investment
program is also susceptible to risks that could materially affect be considerable due to early stage nature of these projects
and the sector generally, which may make its- it more difficult implementation. Our exploration, development and
acquisition activities can involve substantial capital investments. We intend to independently finance and there are no
assurances that it will be fund our 2023 capital program using cash flow from operations. Accordingly, a reduction in projected
operating eash flow could cause us to reduce our future capital investments. In general, the ability to execute our capital plan
depends on a number of factors, including: • the amount of oil, natural gas and NGLs we are able viable standalone business in
to produce; • commodity prices; • regulatory and third- party approvals; • our ability to timely drill, complete and stimulate
wells; • our ability to secure equipment, services and personnel; and • our liquidity and ability fund capital expenditures. Access
to future capital may be limited by our lenders, capital markets constraints, activist funds or investors, or poor stock price
performance. Because of these -- the near and other potential variables, we may be unable to deploy capital in the manner
planned, which may negatively impact our production levels and development activities and limit our ability to make
acquisitions or enter into partnerships and farmout arrangements. Unless we make sufficient capital investments and conduct
successful development and exploration activities or acquire properties containing proved reserves, our proved reserves will
decline as those reserves are produced. Our ability to make the necessary long-term capital investments or acquisitions needed
to maintain or expand our or reserves may be impaired to the extent we have insufficient eash flow from operations or liquidity
```

```
to fund those activities. Over the long term, a continuing decline in our production and reserves would reduce our liquidity and
ability to satisfy our debt obligations by reducing our cash flow from operations and the value of our assets. Increases in
inflation have had an adverse effect on us. Current and future inflationary effects may be driven by, among other things, supply
chain disruptions and governmental stimulus or fiscal policies, and geopolitical instability. In 2022, we experienced high single
digit inflation for certain materials and services we procure from vendors including OCTG, fluid hauling, drilling equipment and
mechanical and electrical labor services, among other items. We have taken measures to limit the effects of the inflationary
market by entering into contracts for materials and services with terms of one to three years. Additionally, we continually look
at all productivity and performance improvements from our vendors in order to mitigate these price increases and also to reduce
volumes consumed. However Further, there can be no assurances that we will be able to successfully separate our E & P
and carbon management businesses. We also may decide not to pursue such separation if we do not believe it would
maximize shareholder value. On February 7, 2024, we entered into the Merger Agreement with Aera. In addition, from
time to time, we engage in acquisition activities. The Aera Merger and other such activities carry risks that we may: • not
fully realize anticipated benefits due to less- than- expected reserves or production or changed circumstances; • bear
unexpected integration costs or experience other integration difficulties; • assume liabilities that are greater than
anticipated; and • be exposed to currency, political, marketing, labor and other risks. In connection with our
acquisitions, we are often only able to perform limited due diligence. Successful acquisitions of oil and natural gas
properties require an assessment of a number of factors, including estimates of recoverable reserves, the timing for
recovering the reserves, exploration potential, future commodity prices, operating costs and potential environmental,
regulatory and other liabilities. Such assessments are inexact and incomplete, and we may be unable to make these
assessments with a high degree of accuracy. The Aera Merger is expected to close in the second half of 2024 and is
subject to certain closing conditions, including the approval of the stock issuance by our stockholders and the receipt of
certain required government approvals, and other customary closing conditions. Our other acquisition activities may
similarly require us to seek approvals from government agencies and other regulatory bodies, depending on the nature
and extent of the businesses being acquired. There can be no assurances that we would be able to obtain such approvals.
If we are not able to complete acquisitions, we may not be able to grow our reserves or develop our properties in a timely
manner or at all. We regularly review our property base for the purpose of identifying nonstrategic assets, the disposition
of which would increase capital resources available for other activities and create organizational and operational
efficiencies. Our disposition activities carry risks that we may: • not be able to realize reasonable prices or rates of return
for assets; • be required to retain liabilities that are greater than desired or anticipated; • experience increased operating
costs; and • reduce our cash flows if we cannot replace associated revenue. There can be no assurance that we will be
able to divest assets on financially attractive terms or at all. Our ability to sell assets is also limited by the agreements
governing our indebtedness. If we are not able to sell assets as needed, we may not be able to generate proceeds to
support our liquidity and capital investments. In addition, we have expended and will continue to expend significant
time and resources in connection with the Aera Merger, as well as any future acquisition and disposition activities. For
example, time and resources will be expended in connection with seeking regulatory approvals for the Aera Merger. Due
to certain restrictions in the Merger Agreement on the conduct of business prior to completing the Aera Merger, we may
be unable, during the pendency of the Aera Merger, to pursue strategic transactions, undertake certain significant
financing transactions and otherwise pursue other actions, even if such actions would prove beneficial, and we may have
to forgo certain opportunities we might otherwise pursue. In addition, the Merger Agreement prohibits us from
initiating, soliciting or knowingly encouraging any competing acquisition proposals, subject to certain limited exceptions.
The Merger Agreement also contains certain termination rights for us and Aera. Upon termination of the Merger
Agreement in accordance with its terms, under certain circumstances, we will be required to pay Aera a termination fee
of $ 50 million, or $ 100 million in certain circumstances, including if the Merger Agreement is terminated by Aera due
to our Board changing its recommendation in favor of the Aera Merger to support a competing acquisition proposal. We
are not fully insured against all risks. Our business and assets are subject to risks from natural disasters and operating
risks associated with oil and natural gas exploration and production activities. Pollution or environmental conditions
with respect to our operations or on or from our properties, whether arising from our operations or those of our
predecessors or third parties, could expose us to substantial costs and liabilities. Such events may cause operations to
cease or be curtailed and could adversely affect our business, workforce and the communities in which we operate. The
cost and availability of obtaining insurance for natural disasters has increased in recent years. We may be unable to
obtain, or may elect not to obtain, insurance for certain risks if we believe that the cost of available insurance is excessive
relative to the risks presented. Cybersecurity attacks, systems failures, and other disruptions could adversely affect us.
We rely on electronic systems and networks to communicate, control and manage our exploration, development and
production activities. We also use these systems and networks to prepare our financial management and reporting
information, to analyze and store data and to communicate internally and with third parties, including our service
providers and customers. If we record inaccurate data or experience infrastructure outages, our ability to communicate
and control and manage our business could be adversely affected. Cybersecurity attacks on businesses have escalated
and become more sophisticated. If we or the third parties with whom we interact were to experience a successful attack,
the potential consequences to our business, workforce and the communities in which we operate could be significant,
including financial losses, loss of business, litigation risks and damage to reputation. We utilize various technologies,
controls and procedures, as well as internal staff and external specialists to protect our systems and data, to identify and
remediate vulnerabilities and to monitor and respond to threats. However, there can be no assurance that such measures
will be effective sufficient to prevent security breaches from occurring. If a breach occurs, it may remain undetected for
```

```
and - an extended period of time. If we anticipate modest price increases for - or materials and services in third parties with
whom we interact were to experience a cybersecurity attack or a successful breach, the potential consequences future.
Continuing increases in inflation could further increase be significant, including loss of data, loss of business, damage to our
reputation, potential financial our-, or legal liability requiring us to incur significant costs of labor, disruptions related to
investigations and other-costs related to remediation. Energy- related assets may be at a greater risk of strategic terrorist
attacks our- or business, which could have an adverse impact cybersecurity attacks than other targets. A cybersecurity
attack on our business, financial position, results of operations and cashflows. Inflation has also resulted in higher interest rates
in the United States, which could increase the cost of our future financing efforts. The COVID-19 pandemic has adversely
affected the global economy, and has resulted in, among other-- the digital technology things, travel restrictions, business
elosures and the institution of quarantining and other mandated and self-imposed restrictions on movement. The severity,
magnitude and duration of COVID-19 or another pandemic, the extent of actions that controls most have been or may be taken
to contain or treat their impact, and the impacts on the economy generally and oil prices in particular, are uncertain, rapidly
changing and hard to predict. This uncertainty could..... intensify, volatility in the prices of natural gas refining, oil and NGLs,
distribution necessary to transport and the extent and duration of the military action, sanctions and resulting market our
products could impact critical <del>disruptions</del> -- distribution have been and storage assets or the environment, disrupt energy
markets by delaying or preventing product delivery, or make it difficult or impossible to accurately account for
production and settle transactions. As cybersecurity threats continue to evolve in sophistication and magnitude, we may
<mark>be required to expend</mark> significant <del>and could-</del>additional resources to continue to modify have a substantial impact on the
global economy and our - or business enhance our protective measures for - or to investigate an and unknown period of time
<mark>remediate any cybersecurity vulnerabilities</mark> . Further, <mark>state in the fall of 2022, OPEC announced a 2 million barrel per day</mark>
reduction in production quotas. This action was taken largely in response to the U. S. decision to continue releasing crude from
its Strategie Petroleum Reserve. While actual OPEC production capabilities are difficult to discern, any return to previous
targeted production levels could cause commodity prices to decline which would reduce the revenues we receive for our oil and
federal cybersecurity natural gas production. Materialization of either of the events described above may also magnify the
impact of the other risks described in this "Risk Factors" section. From time to time we may engage in step- out drilling or
drilling in new or emerging plays. Our drilling results are uncertain, and the value of our undeveloped acreage may decline if
drilling is unsuccessful. The risk profile for step- out drilling or drilling in new or emerging plays is higher than for other
locations because we have less geologic and production data privacy and drilling history, in particular for...... 2010, established
federal oversight and regulation legislation of the over- the- counter (OTC) derivatives market and entities, like us, that
participate in that market. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act required the U. S. Commodity Futures Trading
Commission to promulgate a range of rules and regulations applicable to OTC derivatives transactions. These regulations can
affect both the size of positions that we may enter and the ability or willingness of counterparties to trade opposite us. In
addition, U. S. regulators adopted a final rule in November 2019 implementing a new approach for calculating the exposure
amount of derivative contracts under the applicable agencies' regulatory capital rules, referred to as the standardized approach
for counterparty credit risk (SA-CCR). Certain financial institutions were required to comply with the new SA-CCR rules
beginning on January 1, 2022. The new rules could significantly increase the capital requirements for some of our hedge
counterparties in the OTC derivatives market. These increased capital requirements could result in complex new requirements
significant additional costs being passed through to end users like us or reduce the number of participants or products available
to us in the OTC derivatives market. The European Union and other non-U. S. jurisdictions may implement regulations with
respect to the derivatives market. To the extent we transact with counterparties in foreign jurisdictions or counterparties with
other businesses that increase subject them to regulation in foreign jurisdictions, we may become subject to or our otherwise
impacted by such regulations, which..... as well as capital and operating costs - cost . Many of doing business these factors are
outside management's..... source or quantity of future reserves additions. We may face material delays related to our ability to
timely obtain permits necessary for our operations \tau or be unable to secure such permits on favorable terms or at all as a result of
numerous California political, regulatory, and legal developments. We must obtain various governmental permits to conduct
exploration and production activities, as well as other aspects of our operations. Obtaining the necessary governmental permits
is often a complex and time- consuming process involving numerous federal, state and local agencies. The duration and success
of each permitting effort is contingent upon many variables not within our control. In the context of obtaining permits or
approvals, the Company will need to comply with known standards, existing laws (such as CEQA), and regulations that may
entail greater or lesser costs and delays depending on the nature of the activity to be permitted and the interpretation of the laws
and regulations implemented by the permitting authority. In 2023 From time to time we have experienced significant delays
with respect to obtaining <del>drilling-<mark>new well, sidetrack, deepening and rework</mark> permits from CalGEM for our operations. A</del>
variety of factors outside of our control can lead to such delays. Recent changes in CalGEM management have contributed
to permitting delays and uncertainty with respect to our ability to timely obtain permits for our operations. Following
such change in management, during the second half of 2023 CalGEM focused on the development of standard operating
procedures (SOPs) for permit review, and as a practical matter ceased issuing permits pending the completion of this
process. CalGEM released its SOP for the review of applications for rework permits in late Q4 2023 and recently
finalized its Lead Agency Preliminary Review process for sidetrack permits. CalGEM has recently resumed issuing
permits for reworks to CRC and other operators. It has issued some permits for sidetracks to other operators. Subject to
limited exceptions, CalGEM has not issued any permits for new production wells to any operators since December 2022. We
Recently, we have experienced delays obtaining permits as a result of litigation related to the Kern County EIR for the past
several years. On January 26, Following a favorable trial court order in 2<del>023-<mark>2022, plaintiffs appealed, an and, the</del></del></mark>
appellate court issued a preliminary order reinstating a suspension of Kern County's ability to rely on anthe existing EIR to
```

```
meet the County's obligations under CEQA in connection with oil and gas permitting. The original suspension was put in place
in October 2021 in response to a lawsuit challenging the adequacy of that EIR for CEQA purposes. The county subsequently
issued a supplemental EIR and took other steps to address the issues raised by the original lawsuit and in November 2022 a trial
eourt approved the sufficiency of the supplemental EIR and lifted the suspension on Kern County's reliance on the EIR. On
January 26, 2023, the Appellate Court issued a preliminary order on the petition reinstating a suspension of Kern County's
ability to rely on the existing SREIR to meet CEQA requirements pending the outcome of a final order determining whether oil
and natural gas permitting shall remain suspended for the duration of the appeals process. That order We expect the Appellate
Court to issue is its still pending ruling on the matters at issue in the second quarter of 2024. While we can and intend to
We are in the process of pursuing alternative pathways for address addressing CEOA compliance for our oil and natural gas
permitting process through alternative pathways, this would be a lengthy process and we cannot predict with complete
certainty whether we would be able to timely obtain permits using this alternative. As a result of these issues and current lack
of permits with respect to our Kern County properties, we do not currently plan to operate one active rig drill and complete any
additional wells-within Kern County until permitting is resumed in the first half of 2024, and have the requisite number of
permits in hand to keep that rig active throughout the year. We plan to increase our active rig count in Kern County from
<mark>one rig to , which may be later in the three in the second half of</mark> 2024 <del>calendar year , assuming new well and sidetrac</del>k
permitting resumes in Kern County. However, there is no certainty that we will obtain permits on that timeline or at all,
which may further adversely affect our future development plans, proved undeveloped reserves, business, operations, cash
flows, financial position –and results of <del>operation operations</del>. Approximately 71 % $ 75 million of our proved undeveloped
reserves or our 9 % of our total proved reserves aggregate capital for oil and natural gas development in 2024 relate-
to drilling and completing wells to be drilled in Kern County beginning in 2024 for which we would need to obtain do not
presently have a permits- permit. We have also experienced delays obtaining drilling permits from CalGEM since the
passage of Senate Bill No. 1137, which established 3, 200 feet as the minimum distance between new oil and natural gas
production wells and certain sensitive receptors such as homes, schools and businesses open to the public. The law became
effective January 1, 2023 and CalGEM issued emergency regulations implementing the requirements of the law on January 6,
2023. However, on February 3, 2023, the Secretary of State of California certified voter signatures collected in connection with
a referendum for the November 2024 ballot to repeal Senate Bill No. 1137. As a result, any implementation of Senate Bill No.
1137 is stayed until it is put to a vote, although any stay could be delayed if there are legal challenges to the Secretary of State'
s certification. In addition, even during the stay, CalGEM could attempt to initiate new rulemaking with respect to setbacks.
There is significant uncertainty with respect to the ability to book proved undeveloped reserves and drill within the setback zone
established by Senate Bill No. 1137 and, as a result, we have only booked proved undeveloped reserves for which we already
have permits within the zone and or intend to develop have permits for prior to the November 2024 ballot. As a result of
December 31, 2022, changes in our development plans due to Senate Bill No. 1137, in 2023 we reduced the net present value
of our proved undeveloped reserves by 24-19 % and our overall proved reserves by 4-2 %. Recent changes (See Part I, Item 1
and 2 – Business and Properties, Regulation of Exploration and Production Activities for more information). In
addition, commencing in February 2023, CalGEM management began returning our applications for permits in the
Wilmington Oil Field, including permits for new production wells, workovers and plugging and abandonment
operations. CalGEM cited concerns regarding the adequacy of the related environmental impact report for purposes of
meeting CEQA requirements. We are working together with the City of Long Beach to address CalGEM's concerns
regarding conducting future re- drills, workover and plugging and abandonment activities. Approximately $ 25 million
of our aggregate capital for oil and natural gas development in 2024 relates to drilling and completing wells in
Wilmington for which we do not presently have <del>further lead a permit. We plan</del> to <del>additional operate one active rig on the</del>
THUMS Islands in the second half of 2024, assuming permitting delays of sidetracks and deepenings resumes. However,
there is no <del>uncertainty</del>-- <mark>certainty that we will <del>with respect to our ability to timely</del> obtain permits <mark>on that timeline for</mark>-- <mark>or at</mark></mark>
all, which may further adversely affect our future development plans, proved undeveloped reserves, business, operations,
cash flows, financial position and results of operations. We cannot guarantee that these issues or new ones that may arise in
the future will not continue to delay or otherwise impair our ability to obtain drilling permits. In the past we have generally been
able to mitigate permitting risks by building up a reserve of drilling permits for use throughout the year, but as a result of the
issues described above , we have not been able to build our reserve of approved permits to the same level as we have in the past.
If we cannot obtain new drilling or sidetrack permits in a timely manner, we have limited options to meet our drilling plans,
such as the use of workovers to extend the life of existing production, that may not ultimately be sufficient to achieve our
business goals. Any continuing Accordingly, the failure to obtain certain permits or the adoption of more stringent permitting
requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, properties, results of operations, and our financial
condition. In recent years, the Governor of California, the Legislature and state agencies have taken a series of actions that could
materially and adversely affect the state 's oil and natural gas sector. Most recently, on September 16, 2022, the Governor of
California signed Senate Bill No. 1137 into law, which establishes 3, 200 feet as the minimum distance between new oil and
natural gas production wells and certain sensitive receptors such as homes, schools or parks. Senate Bill No. 1137 is currently
stayed pending the outcome of the California General Election in November 2024. For additional information, see Part I, Item 1
and 2 – Business and Properties, Regulation of the Industries in Which We Operate, Regulation of Exploration and Production
Activities, and Risk Factors, We may face material delays related to our ability to timely obtain permits necessary for
our operations, or be unable to secure such permits on favorable terms or at all as a result of numerous California
political, regulatory, and legal developments. The trend in California is to impose increasingly stringent restrictions on oil
and natural gas activities. We cannot predict what actions the Governor of California, the Legislature or state agencies may take
in the future, but we could face increased compliance costs, delays in obtaining the approvals necessary for our operations,
```

```
exposure to increased liability, or other limitations as a result of future actions by these parties. Moreover, new developments
resulting from the current and future actions of these parties could also materially and adversely affect our ability to operate,
successfully execute drilling plans, or otherwise develop our reserves. Accordingly, recent and future actions by the Governor of
California, the Legislature, and state agencies could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, and
financial condition. Our business is highly regulated and government authorities can delay or deny permits and approvals or
change requirements governing our operations, including hydraulic fracturing and other well stimulation methods, enhanced
production techniques and fluid injection or disposal, that could increase costs, restrict operations and change or delay the
implementation of our business plans. Our operations are subject to complex and stringent federal, state, local and other laws
and regulations relating to the exploration and development of our properties, as well as the production, transportation,
marketing and sale of our products. To operate in compliance with these laws and regulations, we must obtain and maintain
permits, approvals and certificates from federal, state and local government authorities for a variety of activities including siting,
drilling, completion, stimulation, operation, inspection, maintenance, transportation, storage, marketing, site remediation,
decommissioning, abandonment, protection of habitat and threatened or endangered species, air emissions, disposal of solid and
hazardous waste, fluid injection and disposal and water consumption, recycling and reuse. For example, our operations in the
Wilmington Oil Field utilize injection wells to reinject produced water pursuant to waterflooding plans. These operations are
subject to regulation by both the City of Long Beach and CalGEM. We are currently in discussions with the City of Long Beach
and CalGEM with respect to what injection well pressure gradient complies with CalGEM's requirements for the protection of
underground aquifers sources of drinking water while at the same time mitigating subsidence risks. CalGEM ''s local office
has preliminarily indicated that the injection well pressure gradient should be reduced from the gradient that has been used for
several decades. As part of our ongoing discussions, we and the City of Long Beach have provided CalGEM with technical
information regarding how the historical injection well pressure gradient complies with CalGEM 's requirements and to
inform them of the absence of risk of leakage and a plan to gradually lower the injection gradient over time in a manner
that we believe would mitigate subsidence risks. If CalGEM were to ultimately disagree and determine to reduce the
injection well pressure gradient other than in a gradual manner, and we were unable to reverse that decision on appeal or
other legal challenge, we expect that any material reduction in injection well pressure gradient for our operations in the
Wilmington Oil Field would result in a decrease in production and reserves from the field. Failure to comply may result in the
assessment of administrative, civil and / or criminal fines and penalties, liability for noncompliance, costs of corrective action,
cleanup or restoration, compensation for personal injury, property damage or other losses, and the imposition of injunctive or
declaratory relief restricting or prohibiting certain operations or our access to property, water, minerals or other necessary
resources, and may otherwise delay or restrict our operations and cause us to incur substantial costs. Under certain
environmental laws and regulations, we could be subject to strict or joint and several liability for the removal or remediation of
contamination, including on properties over which we and our predecessors had no control, without regard to fault, legality of
the original activities, or ownership or control by third parties. Our ability to timely obtain and maintain permits for our
operations in 2023, including from CalGEM, has from time to time been subject to significant delays and uncertainties and is
subject to factor factors that are not within our control. These factors include changes in agency practices, new regulations, or
legal challenges to existing approvals for our operations from individual citizens and non-governmental organizations. For
example, beginning in 2021, CalGEM ceased issuing new well stimulation permits . In 2023, CalGEM virtually ceased
issuing permits for new wells, sidetracks, deepenings, and reworks throughout the state (though it recently resumed
issuing permits for reworks, and has slowed slowly been resuming the approval issuance of new drill permits for
<mark>sidetracks),</mark> even as it continues approving permits for plugging and <del>workovers abandonment</del>. CalGEM communicated
that permitting would resume (with In addition, in 2020 a group of plaintiffs challenged in court the ability exception of
permits for new wells in Kern County to, the issue issuance well of which has been stayed pending the final ruling of the
Appellate Court) upon its development of standard operating procedures for reviewing permits—permit in reliance on
applications and cited staffing shortages within its CEQA unit as an additional reason for the delays existing
Environmental Impact Report (EIR). See Part I, Item 1 and 2 – Business and Properties, Regulation of the Industries in Which
<mark>which <del>We we</del> Operate, <del>Regulation <mark>Regulations</mark> of</del> Exploration and Production Activities. We <mark>cannot guarantee ean also</mark></mark>
provide no assurances that we these issues or new ones that may arise in the future will not continue always be able to
successfully navigate these risks and timely delay or otherwise impair our ability to obtain drilling permits or obtain. In
them- the past on favorable terms. While we have existing generally been able to mitigate permitting risks by building up a
reserve of drilling permits for that will allow us use to run throughout the year, but as a modified drilling program in 2023
result of the issues described above, we have not been are unlikely to be able to offset projected oil production declines over
build our reserve of approved permits to the same period level as we have in the past. Changes to elected or appointed
officials or their priorities and policies could result in different approaches to the regulation of the oil and natural gas industry.
We If we cannot predict the actions the Governor of California obtain new drilling or sidetrack permits in a timely manner,
we have limited options to meet or our drilling plans, such as the use California legislature may take with respect to the
regulation of workovers to extend the life of existing production, that may not ultimately be sufficient to achieve our
business, the oil and natural gas goals industry or the state's economic, fiscal or environmental policies, nor can we predict
what actions may be taken at the federal level with respect to health, environmental safety, climate, labor or energy laws,
regulations and policies, including those that may directly or indirectly impact our operations. Any continuing failure Our
Carbon TerraVault business and our CCS projects are subject to extensive government regulation that, among other things,
requires us to obtain certain permits for the injection and sequestration of CO2. Many of these--- the adoption of more
stringent permitting regulations are still being developed. Failure to comply with these requirements and obtain the necessary
permits, or the development of government regulations that are unfavorable to our CCS projects, could have an a material
```

```
adverse effect on our business, operations, properties, results of operations, and our financial condition. Successful
development of CCS projects in the United States require that we comply with what we anticipate will be a stringent regulatory
scheme requiring that we obtain certain permits applicable to subsurface injection of CO2 for geologic sequestration. Moreover,
as operator of our CCS projects, we must demonstrate and maintain levels of financial assurance sufficient to cover the cost of
corrective action, injection well plugging, post injection site care and site closure, and emergency and remedial response. There
is are no assurances that we will be successful in obtaining or maintaining permits or adequate levels of financial assurance for
one or more of our CCS projects or that permits can be obtained on a timely basis, whether due to difficulty with the technical
demonstrations required to obtain such permits, public opposition, or otherwise. Separately, permitting CCS projects requires
obtaining a number of other permits and approvals unrelated to subsurface injection from various U. S. federal and state
agencies, such as for air emissions or impacts to environmental, natural, historic or cultural resources resulting from the
construction and operation of a CCS facility. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to obtain or maintain all applicable
permits for CCS activities on a timely basis or on favorable terms. Moreover, to the extent any of our CCS projects will
require any supporting pipeline infrastructure, we could face additional costs and delays obtaining the necessary
permits and rights of ways for such infrastructure, and increased risk of opposition to our projects, which may
ultimately mean we are unable to successfully pursue certain CCS projects because of these risks. As CCS and carbon
management represent an emerging sector, laws and regulations may evolve rapidly, which could impact the feasibility of one
or more of our anticipated projects. To the extent additional legal or regulatory requirements are imposed, are amended, or more
stringently enforced, we may incur additional costs in the pursuit of one or more of our carbon capture projects, which costs
may be material or may render any one or more of our projects uneconomical. On September 16, 2022, New and developing
regulations related to the Governor CO2 unitization, permitting and pipeline safety could negatively impact our business,
financial condition and results of California signed operations. Senate Bill No. 905 into law, which contemplates the
development of unitization, permitting and pipeline safety regulations over a multi- year period to facilitate the development of
CCS projects in California, though the legislation does not provide for compulsory unitization. Protocols to support CCS are A
unified permit application is to be adopted by January 1, <del>2024, and a unified permit application is to be adopted by January 1,</del>
2025. We believe our Carbon TerraVault projects, for which <mark>the EPA has issued draft</mark> permits <del>with the EPA have been filed</del>
that are open to public notice and comment until March 20, 2024, will continue to be developed on a timeline consistent
with our initial expectations. These initial projects are not reliant on the unitization or permitting regulations being developed. In
addition, our Carbon TerraVault projects are expected to either use emitters that are directly sited above these storage facilities
or rely on pipelines for transporting CO2 that will need to comply with yet to be developed CO2 pipeline safety regulations from
the federal Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, which could take a number of years to effect. Delays in
developing required pipeline safety regulations would delay projects requiring pipeline transportation of CO2. The lack of
compulsory unitization could also delay project timelines. The unified permitting process contemplated by Senate Bill No. 905
will be optional for project applicants and is intended to simplify the permitting process for CCS projects. In the meantime,
pursuant to this legislation we are permitted to proceed with our existing and future CCS Class VI permit applications with the
EPA. This law also contemplates the implementation of a new regulatory program incorporating standards that are not yet
defined and that could affect the timing of future CCS projects in California. Senate Bill No. 905 also prohibits CCS projects
that utilize and permanently sequester CO2 in connection with EOR projects. Although we do not have any existing oil and
natural gas production or proved reserves associated with EOR projects, this legislation required us to transition our CalCapture
project to target CCS and may require us to make other adjustments to projects in the future. Governmental, scientific and public
concern over the threat of climate change arising from GHG emissions, and regulation of GHGs and other air quality issues.
may materially affect our business in many ways, including increasing the costs to provide our products and services and
reducing demand for, and consumption of, our products and services, and we may be unable to recover or pass through a
significant portion of our costs. In addition, legislative and regulatory responses to such issues at the federal, state and local level
may increase our capital and operating costs and render certain wells or projects uneconomic, and potentially lower the value of
our reserves and other assets. Both the EPA and California have implemented laws, regulations and policies that seek to reduce
GHG emissions. California's cap- and- trade program operates under a market system and the costs of such allowances per
metric ton of GHG emissions are expected to increase in the future as the CARB tightens program requirements and annually
increases the minimum state auction price of allowances and reduces the state's GHG emissions cap. As the foregoing
requirements become more stringent, we may be unable to implement them in a cost- effective manner, or at all. In August
2022, President Biden signed the Inflation Reduction Act into law. The Inflation Reduction Act includes a charge on methane
emissions that is expected to be applicable to the reported annual methane emissions of certain oil and natural gas facilities,
above specified methane intensity thresholds, starting in 2024. The full impact of future climate regulations is uncertain at this
time and it is unclear what additional actions may be taken that may have an adverse effect upon our operations. To the extent
financial markets view climate change and GHG or other emissions as an increasing financial risk, this could adversely impact
our cost of, and access to, capital and the value of our stock and our assets. Current investors in oil and natural gas companies
may elect in the future to shift some or all of their investments into other sectors, and institutional lenders may elect not to
provide funding for oil and natural gas companies. There is also a risk that financial institutions will be required to adopt
policies that have the effect of reducing the funding provided to the fossil fuel sector. Additionally, in March 2022, the
Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) released a proposed rule that would establish a framework for the reporting of
climate risks, targets and metrics. We A final rule is expected to be released in Q2 2023, but we cannot predict the final form
and substance of the rule and its requirements. The Relatedly, California has enacted new laws requiring additional
disclosure with respect to certain ultimate climate impact related risks and GHG emissions reduction claims. (See Part
I, Item 1 and 2 – Business and Properties, Regulation of the Industries in Which We Operate, Regulation of Climate
```

```
Change and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions, California Climate Disclosures for more information). Non-compliance
with the these rule new laws may result in the imposition of substantial fines or penalties. Other states are considering
similar laws. Any new laws or regulations imposing more stringent requirements on our business is uncertain and upon
finalization related to the disclosure of climate- related risks may result in reputation harms among certain stakeholders if
they disagree with our approach to mitigating climate- related risks, additional costs to comply with any such disclosure
requirements and, alongside increased costs of and restrictions on access to capital. We believe, but cannot guarantee, that our
local production of oil, NGLs and natural gas will remain essential to meeting California's energy and feedstock needs for the
foreseeable future. We have also established 2030 Sustainability Goals for water recycling, renewables integration, methane
emission reduction and carbon capture and sequestration in our life- of- field planning in an attempt to align with the state's
long- term goals and support our ability to continue to efficiently implement federal, state and local laws, regulations and
policies, including those relating to air quality and climate, in the future. However, there can be no assurances that we will be
able to design, permit, fund and implement such projects in a timely and cost- effective manner or at all, or that we, our
customers or end users of our products will be able to satisfy long-term environmental, air quality or climate goals if those are
applied as enforceable mandates. The adoption and implementation of new or more stringent international, federal, state or local
legislation, regulations or policies that impose more stringent standards for GHG or other emissions from our operations or
otherwise restrict the areas in which we may produce oil, natural gas, NGLs or electricity or generate GHG or other emissions
could result in increased costs of compliance or costs of consuming, and thereby reduce demand for or the value of our products
and services. Additionally, political, litigation and financial risks may result in restricting or canceling oil and natural gas
production activities, incurring liability for infrastructure damages or other losses as a result of climate change, or impairing our
ability to continue to operate in an economic manner. Moreover, climate change may pose increasing risks of physical impacts to
our operations and those of our suppliers, transporters and customers through damage to infrastructure and resources resulting
from drought, wildfires, sea level changes, flooding and other natural disasters and other physical disruptions. One or more of
these developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In August
2022, President Biden signed the Inflation Reduction Act into law. The Inflation Reduction Act contains hundreds of billions
of dollars in incentives for the development of renewable energy, clean hydrogen, clean fuels, electric vehicles and supporting
infrastructure and CCS, amongst other provisions. In addition, the Inflation Reduction Act imposes the first ever federal fee on
the emission of GHGs through a methane emissions charge. The Inflation Reduction Act amends the Clean Air Act to impose
a fee on the emission of methane from sources required to report their GHG emissions to the EPA, including those sources in the
onshore petroleum and natural gas production categories. The methane emissions charge would start in calendar year 2024 at $
900 per ton of methane, increase to $1,200 in 2025, and be set at $1,500 for 2026 and each year thereafter. Calculation of the
fee is based on certain thresholds established in the Inflation Reduction Act . However, compliance with the EPA's new
methane rules (see Part I, Item 1 and 2 – Business and Properties, Regulation of the Industries in Which We Operate,
Regulation of Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions) would exempt an otherwise covered facility from
the requirement to pay the fee. In addition, the multiple incentives offered for various clean energy industries referenced
above could further accelerate the transition of the economy away from fossil fuels towards lower- or zero- carbon emission
alternatives. The methane charges and various incentives for clean energy industries could decrease demand for crude oil and
natural gas, increase our compliance and operating costs and consequently materially and adversely affect our business and
results of operations. Tax law changes could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and
cash flows. We are subject to taxation by various tax authorities at the federal, state and local levels where we do business. New
legislation could be enacted by any of these government authorities that could adversely affect our business. For example, the
Act includes a new excise tax on certain repurchases of corporate stock. The 1 % stock buyback excise tax applies to certain
publicly traded corporations that repurchase stock from their shareholders after December 31, 2022. The amount subject to the
excise tax is the fair market value of stock repurchased by such corporation net of the fair market value of any stock issued by
such corporation during such taxable year. Although the application of this excise tax is not entirely clear, any redemptions
made after December 31, 2022 in connection with our Share Repurchase Program will be subject to this excise tax. We do not
believe that the effect of this new excise tax will be significant in 2023. In addition, from time to time, legislation has been
proposed that would, if enacted into law, make significant changes to U. S. federal income tax laws, including the elimination of
certain U. S. federal income tax benefits currently available to oil and natural gas exploration and production companies. Such
changes have included, but have not been limited to, (i) the repeal of percentage depletion allowance for oil and natural gas
properties; (ii) the elimination of current deductions for intangible drilling and development costs; (iii) an extension of the
amortization period for certain geological and geophysical expenditures; (iv) the elimination of certain other tax deductions and
relief previously available to oil and natural gas companies; and (v) an increase in the U. S. federal income tax rate applicable to
corporations such as us. However, it is unclear whether any such changes will be enacted and, if enacted, how soon any such
changes would be effective. Additionally, legislation could be enacted that imposes new fees or increases the taxes on oil and
natural gas extraction, which could result in increased operating costs and / or reduced demand for our products. The passage of
any such legislation or any other similar change in U. S. federal income tax law could eliminate or postpone certain tax
deductions that are currently available with respect to natural gas and oil exploration and development or could increase costs
and any such changes could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Similarly,
legislation could be enacted that changes or terminates the current tax incentives that our CCS projects depend on to be
economical. The enactment of any legislation that reduces or eliminates 45Q credits or tax credits for the production of
clean hydrogen could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In
California, there have been numerous state and local proposals for additional income, sales, excise and property taxes, including
additional taxes on oil and natural gas production and a windfall profits tax on refineries. Although such proposals targeting the
```

```
oil and natural gas industry have not become law, campaigns by various interest groups could lead to additional future taxes. On
October 7, 2023, the California Governor signed into law Assembly Bill 1167 (AB 1167), which imposes more stringent
financial assurance requirements on persons who acquire the right to operate a well or production facility in the state of
California, requiring them to file either an individual indemnity bond for single- well or production facility acquisitions,
or a blanket indemnity bond for multiple wells or production facilities. The bond imposed on the acquirer will be in an
amount determined by the state to sufficiently cover plugging and abandonment costs, decommissioning, and site
restoration, and AB 1167 prohibits the closing of any acquisition of a well or production facility until a determination on
the appropriate bond amount has been completed by the state and the bond has been filed. We are still assessing the
impact of AB 1167. In addition, although AB 1167 has been signed into law, Governor Newsom has called for further
legislative changes to these new requirements to mitigate against the potential risk of the implementation of AB 1167
ultimately increasing the number of orphaned idle or low-producing wells in California, although no such changes have
yet been announced. We cannot predict what form these changes may ultimately take or if the legislature will act on the
Governor's request. Implementation of this law may lead to the delay or additional costs with respect to acquisitions or
dispositions, which could impact our ability to grow or explore new strategic areas - or exit others - within the state of
California. In light of our strategic goals and the restrictions under our existing debt, we are evaluating options to amend and
extend or replace our Revolving Credit Facility, as well as refinancing options for our Senior Notes. Our ability to refinance our
debt depends on a variety of factors, including our ability to access the commercial banking and debt capital markets. Changes
in interest rates could also impact our ability to refinance our debt. If interest rates increase, the interest expense burden of any
refinanced debt or other variable rate debt would increase even though the amount borrowed remained the same. There can be
no assurances that we will be successful in amending, replacing or refinancing our existing debt on acceptable terms or at all. As
of December 31, 2022-2023, we had $ 600-545 million of total long- term debt, and additional borrowing capacity of $ 458-477
million under the Revolving Credit Facility (after taking into account $ 144-153 million of outstanding letters of credit). The
terms of our Revolving Credit Facility and Senior Notes permit us to incur significant additional debt, some of which may be
secured. Our level of future indebtedness could affect our business in several ways, including the following: • limit
management's discretion in operating our business and our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and
the industry in which we operate; • require us to dedicate a portion of our cash flow from operations to service our existing debt,
thereby reducing the cash available to finance our operations and other business activities due to restrictions on our ability to
obtain additional financing, make investments, lease equipment, sell assets and engage in business combinations; • limit our
ability to pay dividends and repurchase shares; • increase our vulnerability to downturns and adverse developments in our
business and the economy generally; • limit our ability to access the capital markets to raise capital on favorable terms or to
obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, general corporate or other expenses, or to
refinance existing indebtedness; • make it more likely that a reduction in our borrowing base following a periodic
redetermination could require us to repay a portion of our then- outstanding bank borrowings; and • make us vulnerable to
increases in interest rates as our indebtedness under the Revolving Credit Facility varies with prevailing interest rates. Our
ability to satisfy our obligations depends on our future operating performance and on economic, financial, competitive and other
factors, many of which are beyond our control. Our business may not generate sufficient cash flow, and future financings may
not be available to provide sufficient net proceeds, to meet these obligations or to successfully execute our business strategy. We
may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness, and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy
the obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful. Our earnings and cash flow could vary significantly from
year to year due to the nature of our industry despite our commodity price risk-management activities. As a result, the amount
of debt that we can manage in some periods may not be appropriate for us in other periods. Additionally, our future cash flow
may be insufficient to meet our debt obligations and other commitments at that time. Any insufficiency could negatively impact
our business. A range of economic, competitive, business and industry factors will affect our future financial performance, and,
as a result, our ability to generate cash flow from operations and to pay our debt obligations. Many of these factors, such as oil
and natural gas prices, economic and financial conditions in our industry and the global economy and initiatives of our
competitors, are beyond our control as discussed in this "Risk Factors" section. We may not be able to maintain a level of cash
flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness.
The lenders under our Revolving Credit Facility could limit our ability to borrow and restrict our use or access to capital. Our
Revolving Credit Facility is an important source of our liquidity. Our ability to borrow under our Revolving Credit Facility is
limited by our borrowing base, the size of our lenders' commitments and our ability to comply with covenants. The borrowing
base under our Revolving Credit Facility is redetermined semi- annually by our lenders who review the value of our reserves
and other factors that may be deemed appropriate. Currently, our borrowing base is set at $ 1.2 billion and the availability
under our Revolving Credit Facility is limited by the aggregate elected commitment amount of our lenders, which as of February
1, <del>2023 <mark>2024</del> was set at $ <del>602 630</del> million. A reduction in our borrowing base below the aggregate commitment amount of our</del></mark>
lenders would materially and adversely affect our liquidity and may hinder our ability to execute on our business strategy. Both
our Revolving Credit Facility and the indenture governing our Senior Notes contain certain restrictions, which may have adverse
effects on our business, financial condition, cash flows or results of operations. These restrictions limit our ability to, among
other things, (i) incur additional indebtedness; (ii) pay dividends or repurchase shares; (iii) sell properties; and (iv) make capital
investments. The Revolving Credit Agreement Facility also requires us to comply with certain financial maintenance covenants,
including a leverage ratio and current ratio. A breach of any of these restrictive covenants could result in a default under the
Revolving Credit Facility and or the Senior Notes. If a default occurs under the Revolving Credit Facility, the lenders may
elect to declare all borrowings thereunder outstanding, together with accrued interest and other fees, to be immediately due and
payable. If we are unable to repay our indebtedness when due or declared due, the lenders under the Revolving Credit Facility
```

```
will also have the right to proceed against the collateral pledged to them to secure the indebtedness. An event of default under
the Senior Notes may cause all outstanding Senior Notes to become due and payable immediately or give the trustee and the
holders the right to declare all outstanding Senior Notes to become due and payable immediately. Borrowings under our
Revolving Credit Facility are at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk. As of December 31, 2022-2023, we
had no amounts borrowed under our Revolving Credit Facility. If in the future we borrow under the Revolving Credit Facility,
then our results of operations would be sensitive to movements in interest rates. There are many economic factors outside our
control that have in the past and may, in the future, impact rates of interest including publicly announced indices that underlie
the interest obligations related to our Revolving Credit Facility. Factors that impact interest rates include governmental
monetary policies, inflation, economic conditions, changes in unemployment rates, international disorder and instability in
domestic and foreign financial markets. If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness
would increase even though the amount borrowed remained the same, and our results of operations would be adversely
impacted. Such increases in interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of
operations if we borrow under the Revolving Credit Facility in the future. We have adopted a cash dividend policy which
anticipates a total annual dividend of $1.43-24 per share, payable to shareholders in quarterly increments of $0.2825-31 per
share of common stock, subject to board authorization and declaration each quarter. In addition, as We recently increased the
<mark>size</mark> of <del>December 31, 2022, we had remaining authorization under</del> our <del>Share <mark>share Repurchase Program to</del> repurchase program</del></mark>
by up to $ 389 million of shares of our common stock, before the increase of $ 250 million approved by our Board to $ 1. 35
billion and extended the program through December 31, 2025. As of Directors on February 23-6, 2023-2024 we had
<mark>approximately $ 747 million of remaining authorized capacity</mark> . Any payment of future dividends or repurchasing shares of
our common stock will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon, among other things, our earnings,
liquidity, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors deemed relevant. Our Revolving Credit Facility and Senior
Notes both limit our ability to pay dividends and repurchase shares of our common stock. In addition, cash dividend payments in
the future may only be made out of legally available funds and, if we experience substantial losses, such funds may not be
available. We can provide no assurances that we will continue to pay dividends at the anticipated rate or repurchase shares of
our common stock within the authorized amount or at all. The trading price of our common stock may decline for many reasons,
some of which are beyond our control. In the event of a drop in the market price of our common stock, you could lose a
substantial part or all of your investment in our common stock. Numerous factors, including those referred to in this Risk Factors
section could affect our stock price. These factors include, among other things, changes in our results of operations and financial
condition; changes in commodity prices; changes in the national and global economic outlook; changes in applicable laws and
regulations; variations in our capital plan; changes in financial estimates by securities analysts or ratings agencies; changes in
market valuations of comparable companies; and additions or departures of key personnel. We may sell additional shares of
common stock in subsequent public or private offerings. We may also issue additional shares of common stock or convertible
securities. As of December 31, 2022-2023, we had 71-68, 949-693, 742-885 outstanding shares of common stock and 4, 295
182, 434-521 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding warrants. Upon the completion of the Aera
Merger, we expect to issue 21, 170, 357 shares of common stock. We cannot predict the size of other future issuances of our
common stock or securities convertible into common stock or the effect, if any, that such other future issuances and sales of
shares of our common stock will have on the market price of our common stock. Sales of substantial amounts of our common
stock (including shares issued in connection with an acquisition), or the perception that such sales could occur, may adversely
affect prevailing market prices of our common stock. Downward pressure on the market price of our common stock that likely
will result from sales of our common stock issued in connection with the exercise of warrants could encourage short sales of our
common stock by market participants. Generally, short selling means selling a security, contract or commodity not owned by
the seller. The seller is committed to eventually purchase the financial instrument previously sold. Short sales are used to
capitalize on an expected decline in the security's price. Such sales of our common stock could have a tendency to depress the
price of the stock, which could increase the potential for short sales. As of December 31, 2022 2023, five four of our
shareholders owned at least 5 % each and collectively owned approximately 40 % of our common stock. As a result, each of
these stockholders, or any entity to which such stockholders sell their stock, may be able to exercise significant control over
matters requiring stockholder approval. Further, because of this large ownership position, if these stockholders sell their stock,
the sales could depress our share price. Following our emergence from bankruptey in October 2020, we granted our executive
officers restricted stock units and performance stock units under our Long Term Incentive Plan. These units are settled in shares
of our common stock and a significant portion of these grants vest in January 2024. Sales of our common stock by our executive
officers may adversely impact the trading price of our common stock, even when done in compliance with our policies with
respect to insider sales. Although we do not expect that the relatively small volume of such sales will itself significantly impact
the trading price of our common stock, the market could react negatively to the announcement of such sales, which could in turn
affect the trading price of our common stock. Organizations that provide information to investors on corporate governance and
related matters have developed ratings processes for evaluating companies on their approach to ESG matters. Such ratings are
used by some investors to evaluate their investment and voting decisions. Companies in the energy industry, and in particular
those focused on oil or natural gas extraction, often do not score as well under ESG assessments compared to companies in other
industries. Unfavorable ESG ratings may lead to increased negative investor sentiment toward us and to the diversion of their
investment away from the fossil fuel industry to other industries which could have a negative impact on our stock price and our
access to and costs of capital. To the extent ESG matters negatively impact our reputation, we may not be able to compete as
effectively or recruit or retain employees, which may adversely affect our operations. Moreover, while we may create and
publish voluntary disclosures regarding ESG matters from time to time, many of the statements in those voluntary disclosures
will be based on expectations and assumptions that may or may not be representative of actual risks or events, including the
```

costs associated therewith. Such expectations and assumptions are necessarily uncertain and may be prone to error or subject to misinterpretation given the long timelines involved and the lack of an established single approach to identifying, measuring, and reporting on many ESG matters. Additionally, while we may also announce various voluntary ESG targets, such targets are aspirational. We may not be able to meet such targets in the manner or on such a timeline as initially contemplated, including, but not limited to as a result of unforeseen costs or technical difficulties associated with achieving such results. To the extent we do meet such targets, they may ultimately be achieved through various contractual arrangements, including the purchase of various credits or offsets that may be deemed to mitigate our ESG impact instead of actual changes in our ESG performance. However, we cannot guarantee that there will be sufficient offsets available for purchase given the increased demand from numerous businesses implementing net zero goals, or that, notwithstanding our reliance on any reputable third-party registries, that the offsets we do purchase will successfully achieve the emissions reductions they represent. Also, despite these aspirational goals, we may receive pressure from investors, lenders, or other groups to adopt more aggressive climate or other ESG- related goals, but we cannot guarantee that we will be able to implement such goals because of potential costs or technical or operational obstacles. Public statements with respect to ESG matters, such as emissions reduction goals, other environmental targets, or other commitments addressing certain social issues, are becoming increasingly subject to heightened scrutiny from public and governmental authorities related to the risk of potential "greenwashing," i. e., misleading information or false claims overstating potential ESG benefits. As a result, we may face increased litigation risks from private parties and governmental authorities related to our ESG efforts. In addition, any alleged claims of greenwashing against us or others in our industry may lead to further negative sentiment and diversion of investments. Additionally, we could face increasing costs as we attempt to comply with and navigate further ESG-related focus and scrutiny. Such ESG matters may also impact our customers or suppliers, which may adversely impact our business, financial condition, or results of operations. Our acquisition activities carry risks that we may: • not fully realize anticipated benefits due to less-than-expected reserves or production or changed eircumstances; • bear unexpected integration costs or experience other integration difficulties; • assume liabilities that are greater than anticipated; and • be exposed to currency, political, marketing, labor and other risks. In connection with our acquisitions, we are often only able to perform limited due diligence. Successful acquisitions of oil and natural gas properties require an assessment of a number of factors, including estimates of recoverable reserves, the timing for recovering the reserves, exploration potential, future commodity prices, operating costs and potential environmental, regulatory and other liabilities. Such assessments are inexact and incomplete, and we may be unable to make these assessments with a high degree of accuracy. If we are not able to make acquisitions, we may not be able to grow our reserves or develop our properties in a timely manner or at all. We regularly review our property base for the purpose of identifying nonstrategic assets, the disposition of which would increase capital resources available for other activities and create organizational and operational efficiencies. Our disposition activities carry risks that we may: • not be able to realize reasonable prices or rates of return for assets; • be required to retain liabilities that are greater than desired or anticipated; • experience increased operating costs; and • reduce our cash flows if we cannot replace associated revenue. There can be no assurance that we will be able to divest assets on financially attractive terms or at all. Our ability to sell assets is also limited by the agreements governing our indebtedness. If we are not able to sell assets as needed, we may not be able to generate proceeds to support our liquidity and capital investments. We are not fully insured against all risks. Our business and assets are subject to risks from natural disasters and operating risks associated with oil and natural gas exploration and production activities. Pollution or environmental conditions with respect to our operations or on or from our properties, whether arising from our operations or those of our predecessors or third parties, could expose us to substantial costs and liabilities. Such events may cause operations to cease or be curtailed and could adversely affect our business, workforce and the communities in which we operate. The cost and availability of obtain insurance for natural disasters has increased in recent years. We may be unable to obtain, or may elect not to obtain, insurance for certain risks if we believe that the cost of available insurance is excessive relative to the risks presented. Cybersecurity attacks, systems failures, and other disruptions could adversely affect us. We rely on electronic systems and networks to communicate, control and manage our exploration, development and production activities. We also use these systems and networks to prepare our financial management and reporting information, to analyze and store data and to communicate internally and with third parties, including our service providers and customers. If we record inaccurate data or experience infrastructure outages, our ability to communicate and control and manage our business could be adversely affected. Cybersecurity attacks on businesses have escalated and become more sophisticated. If we or the third parties with whom we interact were to experience a successful attack, the potential consequences to our business, workforce and the communities in which we operate could be significant, including financial losses, loss of business, litigation risks and damage to reputation. We utilize various technologies, controls and procedures, as well as internal staff and external specialists to protect our systems and data, to identify and remediate vulnerabilities and to monitor and respond to threats. However, there can be no assurance that such measures will be sufficient to prevent security breaches from occurring. If a breach occurs, it may remain undetected for an extended period of time. If we or third parties with whom we interact were to experience a cybersecurity attack or a successful breach, the potential consequences eould be significant, including loss of data, loss of business, damage to our reputation, potential financial or legal liability requiring us to incur significant costs, disruptions related to investigations and costs related to remediation. Energy-related assets may be at a greater risk of strategic terrorist attacks or cybersecurity attacks than other targets. A cybersecurity attack on the digital technology that controls most oil and natural gas refining and distribution necessary to transport and market our products could impact critical distribution and storage assets or the environment, disrupt energy markets by delaying or preventing product delivery, or make it difficult or impossible to accurately account for production and settle transactions. As eybersecurity threats continue to evolve in sophistication and magnitude, we may be required to expend significant additional resources to continue to modify or enhance our protective measures or to investigate and remediate any eybersecurity vulnerabilities. Further, state and federal cybersecurity and data privacy legislation could result in complex new requirements

that increase our cost of doing business.