Legend: New Text Removed Text Unchanged Text Moved Text Section

SUMMARY RISK FACTORS This risk factor summary does not contain all of the information that may be important to you, and you should read this risk factor summary together with the more detailed discussion of risks and uncertainties set forth following this section under the heading "Risk Factors," as well as elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Additional risks, beyond those summarized below or discussed elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, may apply to the Company's business, activities or operations as currently conducted or as may be conducted in the future. These risks include, but are not limited to, the following: Risks Related to the Company's Education Business • Changes in International Laws and Regulations, Travel Restrictions and Sanctions. • Difficulties of Managing Properties in the U. K. • Difficulties in Managing Foreign Operations and Failure to Comply with Foreign Regulatory Requirements. • Changes in U. K. Tax Laws. • Failure to Comply with Statutory and Regulatory Requirements as a Third- Party Servicer to Title IV Participating Institutions. • Failure to Comply with the ED's Title IV Incentive Compensation Rule. • Failure to Comply with the ED's Title IV Misrepresentation Regulations. • Compliance Reviews, Program Reviews, Audits and Investigations, Including in Connection with Borrower Defense to Repayment Claims, • Noncompliance with Regulations by KNA's Client Institutions, • Failure to Realize the Anticipated Benefits of the Purdue Global Transaction. • Regulatory Changes and Developments. • Changes Reductions in the Use of Extent to Which Standardized Tests are Used and Increased Competition. • Postponement and Cancellation of Examinations and Changes in the Extent to Which Licensing and Proficiency Examinations Are Used. Risks Related to the Company's Television Broadcasting and Media Businesses • Changing Perceptions about About the Effectiveness of Television Broadcasting in Delivering Advertising. • Increased Competition Resulting from Technological Innovations and Changing Consumer Behavior. • Changes in the Nature and Extent of Government Regulations. • Transition to New Technical Standards for Broadcast Television Stations. • Changes in MVPD Subscriber Numbers, Retransmission Consent Fees, and " Reverse Retransmission Consent "Payments to the Networks , and Broadcast Exclusivity . • Potential Liability for Intellectual Property Infringement. Risks Related to the Company's Manufacturing Businesses • Failure to Recruit and Retain Production Staff Needed to Meet Customer Demand. • Potential Liability Claims, Risks Related to the Company's Healthcare Business • Extensive Regulation of the Healthcare Industry . • Federal and State Changes to Reimbursement and Other Aspects of Medicare and Medicaid. • Continued Nursing Staffing Shortages. • Negative Impact on Medicare Reimbursement from Value- based Purchasing Requirements. • Limited Ability to Control Rates Received for Services. Risks Related to the Company's Automotive Businesses • Termination or Non-renewal of a-Dealership Agreements and Limitations on the Company's Ability to Acquire Additional Dealerships. • Changes Affecting Automobile Manufacturers. • Changes to State Dealer Franchise Laws and Technological Innovations. • Changes in a Manufacturer's Incentive Programs. • Changes in Economic Conditions and Vehicle Inventories. Risks Related to the Company's Other Businesses • Current Macroeconomic Conditions. • Failure by Saatchi Art Group, Society6 and WGB to Attract and Retain Artists, Customers and Visitors, and Successfully Drive Traffic to their Leaf's Marketplaces and Media Properties and Expand its Customer Base for its Marketplaces. • Failure by WGB to Effectively Distribute Leaf's Media Content on Social Media Platforms and or Effectively Optimize its Mobile Devices Solutions. • Significant Competition for Leaf's Businesses. • Failure to Recruit and Retain Employees in the Company's Restaurants, • Food-Borne Illness Concerns and Damage to the Company's Reputation, • Concentration of the Company's Restaurants in the Washington, D. C. Region. Risks Related to the Company's Stock Ownership and Operations • As a Controlled Company, the Rights of Class B Common Stockholders are Limited. • Pandemics or Other Outbreaks of Disease. • Failure to Comply with Environmental and Health and Safety Laws. • Failure to Successfully Integrate Acquired Businesses. • Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets Impairment. • Changes in International Income Tax Laws. Risks Related to Cybersecurity, Information Technology Privacy, Artificial Intelligence and Data Management Intellectual Property • System Disruptions and Security Threats to the Company's Information Technology Infrastructure. • Failure to Comply with Privacy Laws or Regulations . • Artificial Intelligence Concerns. • Potential Liability for Intellectual **Property Infringement**. The Company faces a number of risks and uncertainties in connection with its operations. Described below are the most material risks faced by the Company. These risks and uncertainties may not be the only ones faced by the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known, or currently deemed immaterial, may adversely affect the Company in the future. In addition to the other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, investors should carefully consider the following risk factors. If any of the events or developments described below occurs, it could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations. • Changes in International Laws and Regulations and Travel Restrictions Have Materially Adversely Affected and Together with Changes in Immigration Laws or Sanctions Could Continue to Materially Adversely Affect International Student Enrollments and Kaplan's Business. Kaplan is subject to a wide range of laws and regulations relating to its international operations. These include domestic laws with extraterritorial reach, such as the U. S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, international laws, such as the U. K. Bribery Act, as well as the local regulatory regimes of the countries in which Kaplan operates. These laws and regulations change frequently. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations could result in significant penalties or the revocation of Kaplan's authority to operate in the applicable jurisdiction, each of which could have a material adverse effect on Kaplan's operating results. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, many governments imposed student travel restrictions (applicable to exit and entry), made recommendations for their students to return home and closed physical campus locations, and many state and professional bodies postponed or canceled examination dates related to state examinations and professional education programs, all of which

```
have materially adversely affected Kaplan International's operations and resulted in significant losses at Kaplan Languages
Group during . Certain of these -- the pandemic restrictions remained in place in 2022 and some may remain in place into 2023
. The emergence of new variants of COVID- 19, and consequential changes to travel and study arrangements could further
negatively affect Kaplan International and its operating results. Further changes to the regulatory environment, including
changes to government policy or practice in oversight and enforcement, or other factors, including geopolitical instability,
imposition or extension of international sanctions, a natural disaster or a pandemic in either the students' countries of origin or
countries in which they desire to study, could continue to negatively affect Kaplan's ability to attract and retain students and
negatively affect Kaplan's operating results. Additionally, increasingly, governments have begun imposing sales taxes on
digital services, such as education, offered in their jurisdictions by foreign providers. Any significant changes to the availability
of government funding for education, visa policies for students and their dependents, or other administrative immigration
requirements, or the tax environment, including changes to tax laws, policies and practices, in any one or more countries in
which KI operates or makes its services available could negatively affect its operating results. KI's operations, institutions and
programs in the U. S. may be subject to state-level regulation and oversight by state regulatory agencies, whose approval or
exemption from approval is necessary to allow an institution to operate in the state. These agencies may establish standards for
instruction, qualifications of faculty, location and nature of facilities, financial policies and responsibility responsibilities and
other operational matters. Institutions that seek to admit international students are required to be federally certified and legally
authorized to operate in the state in which the institution is physically located in order to be allowed to issue the relevant
documentation to permit international students to obtain a visa. A substantial portion of K1's revenue comes from programs that
prepare international students to study and travel in English- speaking countries. In 2022-2023, university preparation programs
were principally delivered in Australia, Singapore and the U. K. KI's ability to enroll students in these programs is directly
dependent on its ability to comply with complex regulatory environments. For example, the impact of Brexit on KI over time
will depend on the agreed terms of 's ability to enroll international students in programs in the U. K. 's withdrawal from,
Singapore, Australia, and the other countries EU. Uncertainty over the impact and terms of Brexit trade deals may materially
<del>diminish interest in traveling to recruit students the U. K. for study with KI's partners is directly dependent on the laws</del>
and regulations governing student immigration . If Changes have already been proposed to Australian and Canadian
<mark>student immigration rules, and are under consideration for</mark> the U. K. <mark>Overall, there</mark> is <del>no longer viewed as</del> a <mark>trend of</mark>
tightening of favorable study destination, KI's ability to recruit international students—student immigration regulations
would be adversely impacted, which would materially adversely affect KI's results of operations and eash flows access to
student visas worldwide. Changes to levels of direct and indirect government funding for international education programs
would also materially affect the success of KI's operations. For example, if access to student loans or other funding were to be
lost for KI operations that admit students who are entitled to receive the benefit of this funding, Kaplan's operating results
could be materially adversely affected. In January 2021, President Biden reversed a previously enacted ban on travel from
certain countries to the U. S. and directed the State Department to restart visa processing for individuals from the affected
countries. There have since been new, unrelated travel restrictions into into U. S. due to COVID-19, and those restrictions can
be expected to continue changing. On September 25, 2020, the previous U. S. presidential administration proposed significant
changes to the visa rules governing entry of non-immigrant academic students and exchange visitors. In July 2021, the Biden
administration formally withdrew the notice of proposed rulemaking regarding these changes. Nevertheless, negative
perceptions regarding travel to the U.S. could continue to have a significant negative impact on KI's ability to recruit
international students, and Kaplan's business could be materially adversely affected. • Difficulties of Managing Properties in
the U. K. Could Materially Impact Kaplan's Expenses Kaplan has a number of real estate investments in the U. K.,
usually on long- term leases. The U. K. has substantially updated its building and fire safety laws in the last few years. As
the tenant, Kaplan is required to keep the buildings in repair. Kaplan usually benefits from a package of contractor and
subcontractor arrangements in relation to defects that arise as a result of poor construction or failure to adhere to
property regulations. If, however, the entities who have entered into these collateral agreements become insolvent,
Kaplan, as the tenant, may be expected to remedy the relevant defect. The relevant costs may be material. • Difficulties
in Managing Foreign Operations and Failure to Comply with Foreign Regulatory Requirements Have Negatively Impacted and
Could Continue to Negatively Affect Kaplan's Business. Kaplan has operations and investments in a growing number of
foreign countries and regions, including Australia, Canada, the People's Republic of China, Colombia, France, Germany, Hong
Kong, India, Ireland, Japan, New Zealand, Nigeria, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, the U. K. and the United Arab Emirates. Operating
in foreign countries and regions presents a number of inherent risks, including the difficulties of complying with unfamiliar laws
and regulations, effectively managing and staffing foreign operations, successfully navigating local customs and practices,
preparing for potential political and economic instability and adapting to currency exchange rate fluctuations. Countries have
also increasingly begun imposing national data protection laws, which increases compliance costs and creates additional
legal risk in relation to operating internationally. Failure to effectively manage these risks could have a material adverse
effect on Kaplan's operating results. In June 2021, the Committee for Private Education (CPE) in Singapore instructed Kaplan
Singapore to cease new enrollments for certain diploma programs, comprising three marketing diploma programs on both a full
and part-time basis due to noncompliance with minimum entry level requirements for admission and to teach out existing
students in these programs. On August 23, 2021, the CPE issued the same instructions with respect to the Kaplan Foundation
diploma programs and four information technology diploma programs on both a full and part-time basis. In November 2021, the
CPE issued the same instructions with respect to a further 23 full- time or part- time diploma programs. Kaplan Singapore
successfully applied for re- registration of certain diploma and additional full- time and part- time programs in 2022. In May
2022, CPE also renewed Kaplan Singapore' s <del>registrations</del>- <mark>registration</mark> as a private education institution for a four- year
period expiring in 2026. In 2023, Kaplan Singapore successfully will apply to renew-renewed the certification required for
```

```
private education institutions to enroll international students and offer certain programs. As enrollments in diploma programs and
undergraduate degree programs are not yet at levels existing prior to the regulatory actions in 2021, the impact from regulatory
actions by the CPE will continue to have an adverse impact on Kaplan Singapore's revenues, operating results and cash flows in
the future while enrollment levels stabilize. • Changes in U. K. Tax Laws Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on Kaplan
International. The UK Pathways Colleges located in England were required to register with the Office for Students (OfS) to
ensure they could continue operating as English higher education providers. The UK Pathways Colleges (excluding Glasgow
and York) were entered on the OfS register of approved providers with Approved Fee Cap Status in August 2020. These
colleges now operate under the regulatory oversight of the OfS. Colleges registered with the OfS under Approved Fee Cap
status do not charge students Value Added Tax (VAT) on tuition fees based on a statutory exemption available to Approved Fee
Cap providers. The York College forms part of the University of York's Approved Fee Cap registration. If KI Pathways were to
lose its Approved Fee Cap status with the OfS, KI Pathways Colleges' financial results may be materially adversely impacted.
The Glasgow College is not currently included in the OfS registration as it is located in Scotland. Under a different statutory
VAT exemption, bodies which that qualify for VAT purposes as "colleges of a university" are able to exempt their tuition fees
from VAT, and UK Pathways Glasgow International College applies this status. In 2019, a tax case was determined by the U.
K. Supreme Court on the meaning of "college of a university." The U. K. Supreme Court decided the case in the college's favor. The result was more favorable to private providers working in collaboration with a university. The U. K. Supreme Court
emphasized five principal tests for a private provider to meet, for it to be sufficiently integrated with a university, to qualify as a
"college of a university" even if it does not have a constitutional link to the university. Although the focus on these five tests
has now been incorporated into official His Majesty's Revenue and Customs (HMRC) guidance, it is not yet clear how HMRC
will apply the Supreme Court judgment and the five key tests in practice. If the HMRC's application of the Supreme Court
judgment and the five key tests deems Glasgow International College not to constitute a "college of a university" and
not entitled to a VAT exemption, KI Pathways Colleges' financial results may be materially adversely impacted if they are not
able to meet any new requirements. Following the departure of the U. K. from the European Union (EU) on December 31,
2020, the U. K. may further develop its VAT rules in this complex area separate from the EU rules but has not yet done so.
Kaplan continues to closely monitor this area. The next U. K. general election will be no later than January 28, 2025, but is
expected to be held in 2024. If the Labour Party forms a new government following this election, their policy is to end the
VAT exemption for private schools and may make other changes to U. K. tax laws which increase the tax costs of these
schools. KI management presently believes it is likely that such a change would only affect MPW but would need to
carefully review the implementation of this policy. • Failure to Comply with Statutory and Regulatory Requirements as a
Third- Party Servicer to Title IV Participating Institutions Could Result in Monetary Liabilities or Subject Kaplan to Other
Material Adverse Consequences. KNA provides services to Purdue Global (, including financial aid services to Purdue Global),
Purdue University and other Title IV participating institutions, and as such, KNA is a "third Third party servicer
Servicer" for Purdue Global as currently defined by the ED and in the Title IV regulations. As a result, KNA is subject to
applicable statutory provisions of Title IV and ED regulations that, among other things, require Kaplan to be jointly and
severally liable with its Title IV participating client institution (s) to the ED for any violation by such client institution (s) of any
Title IV statute or ED regulation or requirement. Separately, if the ED expands the definition of what services or entities fall
within the Third- Party Servicer regulations, and / or, if KNA provides financial aid services to more than one Title IV
participating institution, it will be required to arrange for an independent auditor to conduct an annual Title IV audit of KNA's
compliance with applicable ED requirements . KNA provides non- financial aid services to institutions such as Purdue
University, Wake Forest University, and other Title IV participating institutions. As such, if the Third-Party Servicer
regulations or the interpretation of those regulations by the ED change, KNA could be considered a Third- Party
Servicer to its multiple client institutions as well. KNA is also subject to other federal and state laws, including federal and
state consumer protection laws and rules prohibiting unfair or deceptive marketing practices; data privacy, data protection and
information security requirements established by federal, state and foreign governments, including, for example, the Federal
Trade Commission; and applicable provisions of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act regarding the privacy of
student records. Failure to comply with these and other federal and state laws and regulations could result in adverse
consequences, including, for example: • The imposition on Kaplan of fines, other sanctions or liabilities, including repayment
obligations for Title IV funds to the ED or the termination or limitation of Kaplan's eligibility to provide services as a third
Third - party Party servicer Servicer to any Title IV participating institution if KNA fails to comply with statutory or
regulatory requirements applicable to such service providers; • Adverse effects on Kaplan's business and operations from a
reduction or loss in KNA's revenues under the TOSA or any other agreement with any Title IV participating institution if a
client institution loses or has limits placed on its Title IV eligibility, accreditation, operations or state licensure or is subject to
fines, repayment obligations or other adverse actions owing to noncompliance by KNA (or the institution) with Title IV,
accreditor, federal or state agency requirements; • Liability under the TOSA or any other agreement with any Title IV
participating institution for noncompliance with federal, state or accreditation requirements arising from KNA's conduct; and •
Liability for noncompliance with Title IV or other federal or state requirements occurring prior to the transfer of KU-Kaplan
University to Purdue. Although KNA endeavors to comply with all U. S. federal and state laws and regulations, KNA cannot
guarantee that its implementation of the relevant rules will be upheld by the ED or other agencies or upon judicial review. The
laws, regulations and other requirements applicable to KNA and its client institutions are subject to change and to interpretation.
In addition, there are other factors related to KNA's client institutions' compliance with federal, state and accrediting agency
requirements, some of which are outside of KNA's control, that could have a material adverse effect on KNA's client
institutions' revenues and, in turn, on KNA's operating results. • Failure to Comply with the ED's Title IV Incentive
Compensation Rule Could Subject Kaplan to Liabilities, Sanctions and Fines. Under the ED's incentive compensation rule, an
```

institution participating in Title IV programs may not provide any commission, bonus or other incentive payment to any person or entity engaged in any student recruiting or admission activities or in making decisions regarding the awarding of Title IV funds if such payment is based directly or indirectly on success in securing enrollments or financial aid. KNA is a third party providing bundled services to Title IV participating institutions, including recruiting and, in the case of Purdue Global, financial aid services. As such, KNA is also subject to the incentive compensation rule and cannot provide any commission, bonus or other incentive payment to any covered employees, subcontractors or other parties engaged in certain student recruiting, admission or financial aid activities based on success in securing enrollments or financial aid. In addition, KNA's client Title IV institutions' payments to KNA (including payments under the TOSA with Purdue Global 's payments to KNA under the TOSA (as well as any other agreement with any Title IV participating institution) must comply with revenue sharing guidance provided by the ED related to bundled services agreements. In 2011 guidance, the ED provided that in certain arrangements with Title IV participating institutions where student recruiting services are "bundled" with other non-recruiting services, revenue sharing may be allowable despite the incentive compensation rule's general prohibition on such revenue sharing with entities or individuals that provide recruiting services. Because this guidance is not codified in any rule or law, but is instead an ED opinion guidance on the applicability of the incentive compensation rule, such guidance can be revoked at any time and without notice. The ED has indicated it is considering a change to this guidance as Some some lawmakers and states, such as California, have publicly called for the revocation of this guidance or sought to introduce federal and state legislation seeking to prevent any such revenue sharing with entities that engage in recruiting students. The change of control of the executive branch in 2021 increased the likelihood of changes to this guidance and to the incentive compensation rule or limitations on the bundled service allowance through additional federal rulemaking. As previously described, the TOSA revenue sharing fee provisions are defined as deferred purchase price payments rather than payments for services. KNA's services under the TOSA are paid for as a percentage of KNA's costs of delivering those services to Purdue Global. KNA cannot predict how the ED or a federal court will interpret, revise or enforce all aspects of the incentive compensation rule or the bundled service revenue sharing guidance in the future or how they would be applied to the TOSA or any of KNA's agreements by the ED or in any litigation. Any revisions or changes in interpretation or enforcement could require KNA and its client institutions to change their practices or renegotiate the tuition revenue sharing payment terms of KNA's agreements with such client institutions and could have a material adverse effect on Kaplan's business and results of operations. Additionally, failure to comply with the incentive compensation rule could result in litigation or enforcement actions against KNA or its clients and could result in liabilities, fines or other sanctions against KNA or its clients, which could have a material adverse effect on Kaplan's business and results of operations. • Failure to Comply with the ED's Title IV Misrepresentation Regulations Could Subject Kaplan to Liabilities, Sanctions and Fines. A Title IV participating institution is required to comply with the ED regulations related to misrepresentations and with related federal and state laws. These laws and regulations are broad in scope and may extend to statements by servicers, such as KNA, that provide marketing or certain other services to such institutions. These laws and regulations may also apply to KNA's employees and agents, with respect to statements addressing the nature of an institution's programs, financial charges or the employability of its graduates. KNA provides eertain marketing and other services to Title IV participating institutions. On October 31, 2022, the ED published a new final rule governing the "Borrower Defense to Repayment "rules that became will be effective July 1, 2023. Among other things, the final rule refines the standard for aggressive and deceptive recruitment tactics that might constitute misrepresentation and provides additional bases for future borrowers' defense claims against their current or former institutions. The failure to comply with these or other federal and state laws and regulations regarding misrepresentation and marketing practices could result in the imposition on KNA or its client institutions of fines, other sanctions or liabilities, including federal student aid repayment obligations to the ED, the termination or limitation of Kaplan's eligibility to provide services as a third-party servicer to Title IV participating institutions, the termination or limitation of a client institution's eligibility to participate in the Title IV programs, or legal action by students or other third parties. A violation of misrepresentation regulations or other federal or state laws and regulations applicable to the services KNA provides to its client institutions arising out of statements by KNA, its employees or agents could require KNA to pay the costs associated with indemnifying its client institutions from applicable losses resulting from the violation or could result in termination by such client institutions of their services agreements with KNA. • Compliance Reviews, Program Reviews, Audits and Investigations, Including in Connection with Borrower Defense to Repayment Claims, Could Result in Findings of Noncompliance with Statutory and Regulatory Requirements and Result in Liabilities, Sanctions and Fines. KNA and its client institutions are subject to reviews, audits, investigations and other compliance reviews conducted by various regulatory agencies and auditors, including, among others, the ED, the ED's Office of the Inspector General, accrediting bodies and state and various other federal agencies. These compliance reviews can result in findings of noncompliance with statutory and regulatory requirements that can, in turn, result in the imposition of fines, liabilities, civil or criminal penalties or other sanctions against KNA and its client institutions, which could have an adverse effect on Kaplan's financial results and operations. Separately, if KNA provides financial aid services to more than one Title IV participating institution, it will be required to arrange for an independent auditor to conduct an annual Title IV compliance audit of KNA's compliance with applicable ED requirements. KNA's client institutions are also required to arrange for an independent auditor to conduct an annual Title IV audit of their compliance with applicable ED requirements, including requirements related to services provided by KNA. On September 3, 2015, Kaplan sold substantially all of the assets of the former Kaplan Higher Education Campuses (KHE Campuses). As part of the transaction, similar to the transfer of KU-Kaplan University, Kaplan retained liability for the pre- sale conduct of the KHE schools. Although Kaplan no longer owns KU-**Kaplan University** or the former KHE Campuses, Kaplan may be liable to the current owners of KU Kaplan University and the former KHE Campuses, for the pre- sale conduct of the schools, and the pre- sale conduct of the schools has been and could be the subject of future compliance reviews, regulatory proceedings or lawsuits that could result in monetary liabilities or fines or other sanctions. On-In May 6, 2021,

```
Kaplan received a-notice from the ED that it would be conducting a fact-finding process pursuant to the borrower defense to
repayment regulations to determine the validity of more than 800 borrower defense to repayment claims and a request for
documents related to several of Kaplan's previously owned schools. In Beginning in July 2021, Kaplan received started
receiving the claims and related information requests seeking. In total, Kaplan received 1, 449 borrower defense applications
that seek-discharge of approximately $ 35 million in loans, excluding interest, . Most claims received are from former KU
Kaplan University students. The ED's process for adjudicating these claims is subject to the borrower defense regulations but
it is not clear to what extent the ED will exclude claims based on the underlying statutes of limitations, evidence provided by
Kaplan, or any prior investigation related to schools attended by the student applicants. Kaplan believes it has defenses that
would bar any student discharge or school liability including that the claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations,
unproven, incomplete and fail to meet regulatory filing requirements. The ED's process for adjudicating these claims is
subject to the borrower defense regulations but it is not clear to what extent the ED will exclude claims based on the
underlying statutes of limitations, evidence provided by Kaplan, or any prior investigation related to schools attended by
the student applicants. On August 16, 2022, the ED announced the approval of discharges for just under 100 borrowers who
had enrolled in the medical assistant or medical billing and coding program at Kaplan Career Institute's Kenmore Square
location in Massachusetts from July 1, 2011 to February 16, 2012, when the institution stopped enrolling new students. These
are borrowers identified by the Massachusetts Attorney General identified as part of an investigation in 2013-2015. The
location closed in February 2013. The To date, the ED has not to date sought to recoup any discharged amount from Kaplan.
Although the ED did not announce the total amount discharged, Kaplan believes it to be approximately $ 200, 000. Kaplan
believes that each of the students subject to discharge were was likely previously covered by Kaplan's prior settlement with the
Massachusetts Attorney General through which they should have received refunds of all or part of their tuition. As part of the
Sweet v. Cardona settlement described below, the ED agreed to review any borrower defense applications submitted
between June 23, 2022, and November 15, 2022 on an expedited basis. In January 2024, Kaplan was informed that the
ED received applications during this time period regarding former Kaplan University and Purdue Global students and
Kaplan has begun to receive them. Unknown at this time is the total discharge amount sought or how much of that
amount would apply to Kaplan University students. The Sweet v. Cardona settlement requires the ED to adjudicate
applications received during the designated time period pursuant to the requirements of the 2016 Borrower Defense
Regulation. To the extent these applications apply to Kaplan University, Kaplan anticipates that it will have defenses
similar to those described above. The settlement agreement in Sweet v. Cardona, a case brought by plaintiffs against the ED
and described below, discharges all pending BDTR claims against Kaplan filed through the date of the settlement agreement in
June 2022. Although the ED may argue that it has the right to separately adjudicate those BDTR claims to attempt to seek
recoupment from Kaplan, it is not clear whether a federal court would hold that the Sweet settlement resolves or moots all such
claims. As noted above, the Sweet settlement also applies to claims filed prior to November 15, 2022. Although those
post- June 23, 2022 claims were not automatically discharged, the settlement commits the ED to adjudicate those claims
prior to January 2026. In any case, Kaplan expects to vigorously defend any attempt by the ED to hold Kaplan liable for any
ultimate student discharges and responded is responding to all the prior claims with documentary and narrative evidence to
refute the allegations, demonstrate their lack of merit and support the denial of all such claims by the ED . Kaplan will
similarly respond to all future claims it receives. As noted, if the claims are successful, the ED may seek reimbursement for
the amount discharged from Kaplan. If the ED initiates a reimbursement action against Kaplan following approval of additional
former students' borrower defense to repayment applications, Kaplan may be subject to significant liability. • Noncompliance
with Regulations by KNA's Client Institutions May Adversely Impact Kaplan's Results of Operations, KNA currently provides
services to higher education institutions that are heavily regulated by federal and state laws and regulations and by accrediting
bodies. Currently, a substantial portion of KNA's revenue is attributable to service fees and deferred purchase price payments it
receives under its agreement with Purdue Global, which , in the case of the deferred purchase price, are dependent upon
revenue generated by Purdue Global and upon Purdue Global's eligibility to participate in the Title IV federal student aid
program. To maintain Title IV eligibility, Purdue Global and KNA's other client institutions must be certified by the ED as
eligible institutions, maintain authorizations by applicable state education agencies and be accredited by an accrediting
commission recognized by the ED. Purdue Global and KNA's other client institutions must also comply with the extensive
statutory and regulatory requirements of the Higher Education Act and other state and federal laws and accrediting standards
relating to their financial aid management, educational programs, financial strength, disbursement and return of Title IV funds,
facilities, recruiting practices, representations made by the school and other parties, and various other matters. Additionally,
Purdue Global and other client institutions are subject to laws and regulations that, among other things, limit student default
rates on the repayment of Title IV loans; permit borrower defenses to repayment of Title IV loans based on certain conduct of
the institution; establish specific measures of financial responsibility and administrative capability; regulate the addition of new
campuses and programs and other institutional changes; require compliance with state professional licensure board requirements
to the extent applicable to institutional programs; require compliance with the Title IV definition of nonprofit institution; and
require state authorization and institutional and programmatic accreditation. In addition, the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and
Economic Security (CARES) Act, the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021 and subsequent guidance from the ED have
created changes in the administration of federal financial assistance programs, the interpretation of which may not yet be fully
understood. If the ED finds that Purdue Global or any other KNA client institution has failed to comply with Title IV
requirements or improperly disbursed or retained Title IV program funds, it may take one or more of a number of actions,
including: fining the school, requiring the school to repay Title IV program funds, limiting or terminating the school's
eligibility to participate in Title IV programs, initiating an emergency action to suspend the school's participation in the Title IV
programs without prior notice or opportunity for a hearing, transferring the school to a method of Title IV payment that would
```

adversely affect the timing of the institution's receipt of Title IV funds, requiring the school to submit a letter of credit, denying or refusing to consider the school's application for renewal of its certification to participate in the Title IV programs or for approval to add a new campus or educational program, requiring the institution to comply with additional regulatory requirements reserved for schools not meeting the definition of a nonprofit institution including 90 / 10 and Gainful Employment requirements, and / or referring the matter for possible civil or criminal investigation. There can be no assurance that the ED will not take any of these or other actions in the future, whether as a result of lawsuits, program reviews or otherwise. In addition, on August 18, 2022 the ED granted **Purdue Global a** new provisional certification ("PPPA") until June 30, 2024. Under this most recent PPPA, Purdue Global must apply for and receive approval for expansion or any substantial change before it may award, disburse or distribute Title IV funds based on the substantial change. Substantial changes generally include, but are not limited to: (a) **the** establishment of an additional location; (b) an increase in the level of academic offering beyond those listed in the institution's Eligibility and Certification Approval Report; (c) the addition of any educational program (including degree, non-degree or short- term training programs), or (d) the addition of any new degree program. In addition, the institution must pay any liabilities found in a currently open program review prior to the expiration of the PPPA. Purdue Global must also quarterly inform the ED of any governmental investigations involving the university as well as provide a summary of any student complaints. The provisional certification ends upon the ED's notification to the institution of the ED' s decision to grant or deny a six- year certification to participate in the Title IV, HEA Higher Education Act programs. If Purdue Global or another KNA client institution loses or has limits placed on its Title IV eligibility, accreditation or state licensure, or if Purdue Global or another KNA client institution is subject to fines, repayment obligations or other adverse actions owing to its or Kaplan's noncompliance with Title IV regulations, accreditor or state agency requirements, or other state or federal laws, Kaplan's financial results of operations could be adversely affected. Additionally, as a prior owner of Title IV institutions, KNA may retain certain liability for student loans related to the current or future BDTR applications described above or future similar applications. In turn, any of the aforementioned consequences could have a material adverse effect on Kaplan's operating results even though such institution's compliance is affected by circumstances beyond Kaplan's control, including, for example: • a reduction or loss in KNA's revenues under the TOSA or other client agreements if Purdue Global or any other KNA client institution loses or has limits placed on its Title IV eligibility, accreditation or state licensure; • a reduction or loss in KNA's revenues under the TOSA or other client agreements if Purdue Global or any other client institution is subject to fines, repayment obligations or other adverse actions owing to noncompliance by Purdue Global-the institution (or Kaplan) with Title IV, accreditor or state agency requirements; • the imposition on KNA of fines or repayment obligations to the ED or the termination or limitation on Kaplan's eligibility to provide services to Purdue Global or other Title IV participating institutions if findings of noncompliance by Purdue Global or such other institution result in a determination that Kaplan failed to comply with statutory or regulatory requirements applicable to service providers; and • liability under the TOSA or other client agreements for noncompliance with federal, state or accreditation requirements arising from KNA's conduct. • Kaplan May Fail to Realize the Anticipated Benefits of the Purdue Global Transaction. Kaplan's ability to realize the anticipated benefits of the Purdue Global transaction will depend, in part, on its ability to successfully and efficiently provide services to Purdue Global. Achieving the anticipated benefits is subject to a number of uncertainties, including whether the services can be provided in the manner and at the cost Kaplan anticipated and whether Purdue Global is able to realize anticipated student enrollment levels. If Kaplan is unable to effectively execute its post-transaction strategy, it may take longer than anticipated to achieve the benefits of the transaction or it may not realize those benefits at all. In 2022 Purdue Global began working with KNA to provide certain human resources, finance and accounting, facility management, and communications services itself, inhouse. The TOSA (Kaplan's service agreement with Purdue Global) acknowledges that the Purdue Global Board of Trustees controls the university. While the TOSA provides financial protections to Kaplan to ensure payment of certain of its fees, actions by Purdue Global that change university policies, direct the provision of certain non-academic service functions, or increase costs associated with the non-academic service functions could impact Kaplan's ability to achieve the benefits of the transaction. • Regulatory Changes and Developments Could Negatively Impact Kaplan's Results of Operations. Any legislative, regulatory or other development that has the effect of materially reducing the amount of Title IV financial assistance or other federal, state or private financial assistance available to the students of Purdue Global or any other client institution could have a material adverse effect on Kaplan's business and results of operations. In addition, any development that has the effect of making the terms on which Title IV financial assistance or other financial assistance funds are available to Purdue Global's or other client institutions' students materially less attractive could have a material adverse effect on Kaplan' s business and results of operations. The laws, regulations and other requirements applicable to KNA or any KNA client institutions are subject to change and to interpretation. Regulations drafted as a result of the 2021 Negotiated Rulemaking and released in 2022 and effective in July 2023 include restrictions on revenue - sharing arrangements between universities and former university owners, as discussed above. This could impact KNA Higher Education managed service provider contracts with Purdue Global. In addition, any change in general to the currently allowed revenue sharing requirements or limitations could impact other KNA client institutions such as Wake Forest, Purdue, Creighton, or Lynn (or others). These and other regulatory, policy or legal changes could include imposing outcome metrics on universities, a form of free community college, and changes to the financial aid system, including broad loan forgiveness. In addition, the 2021 Negotiated Rulemaking also resulted in new rules that cover, in part, rules related to the borrower defense to repayment adjudication process and recovery from institutions, closed school loan discharges, disability loan discharges, public loan forgiveness, income - driven repayment plans and arbitration agreements. The ED also changed the Title IV definition of "nonprofit" institution to generally exclude from that definition any institution that is an obligor on a debt owed to a former owner of the institution or maintains a revenue-based service agreement with a former owner of the institution. Such regulatory changes as well as those described above could subject Purdue Global to additional regulatory requirements. The new rules and changes to existing rules became will not be effective until July 1, 2023.

In addition, there are other factors related to Purdue Global's and other client institutions' compliance with federal, state and accrediting agency requirements — many of which are largely outside of Kaplan's control — that could have a material adverse effect on Purdue Global's and other client institutions' revenues and, in turn, on Kaplan's operating results, including, for example: Reduction in Title IV or other federal, state or private financial assistance: KNA receives revenue based on its agreements with client institutions and particularly revenue from Purdue Global under the TOSA. Purdue Global is expected to derive a significant percentage of its tuition revenues from its participation in Title IV programs. Any legislative, regulatory or other development that materially reduces the amount of Title IV, federal, state or private financial assistance available to the students of Purdue Global and other client institutions could have a material adverse effect on Kaplan's business and results of operations. In addition, any development that makes the terms of such financial assistance less attractive could have a material adverse effect on Kaplan's business and results of operations. Compliance reviews and litigation: Institutions participating in the Title IV programs, including Purdue Global and other client institutions, are subject to program reviews, audits, investigations and other compliance reviews conducted by various regulatory agencies and auditors, including, among others, the ED, the ED's Office of the Inspector General, accrediting bodies and state and various other federal agencies, as well as annual audits by an independent certified public accountant of compliance with Title IV statutory and regulatory requirements. Purdue Global and other client institutions may also may be subject to various lawsuits and claims related to a variety of matters, including but not limited to alleged violations of federal and state laws and accrediting agency requirements. These compliance reviews and litigation matters could extend to activities conducted by KNA on behalf of Purdue Global or other client institutions and to KNA itself as a third- party servicer subject to Title IV regulations. Legislative and regulatory change: Congress periodically revises the Higher Education Act and other laws and enacts new laws governing the Title IV programs and annually determines the funding level for each Title IV program and may make changes in the laws at any time. The ED and other federal and state agencies may also may issue new regulations and guidance or change their interpretation of regulations at any time. For example, on October 27, 2022 and October 31, 2022 the ED released new final regulations (effective July 1, 2023) that further change the borrower defense regulations, including changes affecting the ability of student borrowers to obtain discharges of their obligations to repay certain Title IV loans that were first disbursed on or after July 1, 2023; relating to recoupment of BDTR discharges from institutions; adding a new definition for nonprofit institutions that limits the ability of such institutions to contract with former owners; and, establishing new accountability rules for colleges and universities undergoing changes in ownership. The application of these regulations to KNA for loans disbursed between July 1, 2017, and March 22, 2018, the close of the Purdue Global transaction, could materially affect Kaplan's revenues. Additionally, changes to the ability of students to discharge loans owing to prior school closures could impose liability on Kaplan for loans made to students at institutions previously owned by Kaplan and closed during Kaplan's ownership. Any action by Congress or the ED that significantly reduces funding for Title IV programs or the ability of Purdue Global or other client institutions to receive funding through these programs could reduce Purdue Global's or other client institutions' enrollments and tuition revenues and, in turn, the revenues KNA receives under the TOSA or other agreements. Any action by Congress or the ED that impacts the ability of Purdue Global to contract with KNA to receive a share of revenue as deferred payment for the sale of KU **Kaplan University** or the ability of KNA to contract with any client institution to provide bundled services in exchange for a share of tuition revenue could require KNA to modify the TOSA, other agreements or its practices and could impact the revenues KNA may receive under such agreements. Congress, the ED and other federal and state regulators may create new laws or take actions that may require Purdue Global, other client institutions or KNA to modify practices in ways that could have a material adverse effect on Kaplan's business and results of operations. Increased regulatory scrutiny of postsecondary education and service providers: The increased scrutiny of online schools that offer programs similar to those offered by Purdue Global or other client institutions and of service providers that provide services similar to Kaplan's has resulted, and may continue to result, in additional enforcement actions, investigations and lawsuits by the ED, other federal agencies, Congress, state Attorneys General and state licensing agencies, or private plaintiffs. Recent enforcement actions have resulted in substantial liabilities, restrictions and sanctions and in some cases have led to the loss of Title IV eligibility and closure of institutions. The change of control of the executive branch and Congress in 2021 could increase the amount of regulation and scrutiny of service companies like Kaplan and online schools like Kaplan's client institutions, and has resulted in new regulations as described in part above. This increased activity and other current and future activity may result in further legislation, rulemaking and other governmental actions affecting the amount of student financial assistance for which Purdue Global's or other client institutions' students are eligible, or Kaplan's participation in Title IV programs as a third-party servicer to Purdue Global or such other client institutions. In addition, increased scrutiny and legislative proposals restricting the ability of entities like KNA that provide certain admissions - related services to Title IV participating institutions under revenue sharing arrangements could impact KNA agreements. Such scrutiny could result in requests to Kaplan for information or negative publicity that could adversely affect KNA and its client institutions. • Changes-Reductions in the Use of Extent to Which Standardized Tests are Used in the Admissions Process by Colleges or Graduate Schools and Increased Competition Could Reduce Demand for KNA Supplemental Education Test Preparation Offerings. KNA Supplemental Education Exam Preparation provides courses that prepare students for a broad range of admissions examinations that are considered by colleges and graduate schools. Historically, colleges and graduate schools have required standardized tests as part of the admissions process. Certain colleges have moved There has been some movement away from the historical reliance on standardized admissions tests among certain colleges, which have phased out admissions tests, are in the process of phasing out admissions tests or have adopted "test-optional" admissions policies. Moreover, as a part of a settlement in a lawsuit brought by students in 2019, a large public university will no longer use the SAT and ACT for admissions or scholarship decisions for its system of 10 schools. Reductions in the use of standardized tests in the college or graduate school admissions processes have had and could continue to have an adverse effect on KNA's operating results. Additionally, KNA faces increased competition from

```
competitors offering lower- cost or free test prep products that may be used by students to piece together alternatives to
traditional comprehensive test prep programs. Kaplan's operating results may be adversely affected if student demand for
KNA's traditional comprehensive programs shifts to KNA's lower-cost, stand- alone offerings, or if competitors offer lower-
cost, stand- alone offerings or free test prep products that are more attractive to students than KNA's products. • Postponement
and Cancellation of Examinations and Changes in the Extent to Which Licensing and Proficiency Examinations Are Used to
Qualify Individuals to Pursue Certain Careers Could Reduce Demand for Kaplan's Offerings. A material portion of KNA's and
KI's revenue comes from preparing individuals for licensing or technical proficiency examinations in various fields. Any
significant relaxation or elimination of licensing or technical proficiency requirements in those fields served by KNA's and KI'
s businesses could negatively affect Kaplan's operating results. As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, a number of
professional certification examinations have been canceled or permanently altered. While the impact of these changes on
Kaplan's operations continues to improve relative to 2020, further changes and impacts on student timing due to the pandemic
may impact Kaplan's results. • Changing Perceptions about About the Effectiveness of Television Broadcasting in Delivering
Advertising Could Adversely Affect the Profitability of Television Broadcasting, Historically, television broadcasting has been
viewed as a cost- effective method of delivering various forms of advertising. There can be no guarantee that this historical
perception will guide future decisions by advertisers. To the extent that advertisers shift advertising expenditures , including
local advertising, away from broadcast television to other media outlets, including digital distribution platforms, the
profitability of the Company's television broadcasting business could be adversely affected. • Increased Competition Resulting
from Technological Innovations in News, Information and Video Programming Distribution Systems and Changing Consumer
Behavior Could Adversely Affect the Company's Operating Results. The continuing growth and technological expansion of
internet- based services has increased competitive pressure on the Company's media businesses. Examples of such
developments include delivery of programming via online platforms, including both ad-supported and subscription video
programming services and the national broadcast networks' direct- to- consumer services, technologies that enable users to
fast-forward or skip advertisements, and devices that allow users to consume content on demand and in remote locations while
avoiding traditional commercial advertisements or cable and satellite subscriptions. Changing consumer behavior may also put
pressure on the Company's media businesses to change traditional distribution methods. The Company obtains significant
revenue from its retransmission consent agreements with traditional cable and satellite distributors. These payments are
calculated on a per- subscriber basis, so that payments to the Company may decrease as customers "cut the cord" and cancel
their cable and satellite subscriptions. The Company also receives payments for the distribution of its stations' signals on certain
internet online "over-based the-top" services; however, these revenues may be less than those received from traditional
cable and satellite distribution. Anticipating and adapting to changes in technology and consumer behavior on a timely basis will
affect the ability of the Company's media businesses 'ability to continue to increase their revenue. The development and
deployment of new technologies and changing consumer behavior have the potential to negatively and significantly affect the
Company's media businesses in ways that cannot now be reliably predicted and that may have a material adverse effect on the
Company's operating results. • Changes in the Nature and Extent of Government Regulations Could Adversely Affect the
Company's Television Broadcasting Business and Other Businesses. The Company's television broadcasting business operates
in a highly regulated environment. Complying with applicable regulations has significantly increased, and may continue to
increase, the costs, and has reduced the revenues, of the business. Changes in regulations have the potential to negatively impact
the television broadcasting business, not only by increasing compliance costs and reducing revenues through restrictions on
certain types of advertising, limitations on pricing flexibility, or other means, but also by possibly creating more favorable
regulatory environments for the providers of competing services, including unregulated digital programming distribution
platforms. In addition, changes to the FCC's rules governing broadcast ownership may affect the Company's ability to expand
its television broadcasting business and / or may enable the Company's competitors to improve their market positions through
consolidation. More generally, significant changes in applicable regulations could adversely affect the profitability and / or
competitive positions of the Company's businesses. • Transition to New Technical Standards for Broadcast Television Stations
May Alter the Competitive Environment in the Company's Stations' Markets or Cause the Company to Incur Increased Costs.
The Company cannot predict how the market will evolve as the new broadcast television station technical standard, ATSC 3.0,
is made available in a growing number of television markets <del>aeross <mark>As part of</mark> the <del>country voluntary transition ;today</del> , <mark>many</mark></del>
station groups are beginning to test ATSC 3.0 streams.Notably,there is a large consortium led by Pearl TV (of which
GMG is a member) that has been leading test trials in the Phoenix, Detroit, Portland and other markets. ATSC 3.0 streams
are now available in more than 70-60 markets across the country. Competing stations that transition to ATSC 3.0 may increase
competition for the Company's stations and / or create competitive pressure for the Company's stations to launch ATSC 3.0
streams. As noted above, GMG stations WDIV-TV, WKMG-TV, WSLS-TV, and KPRC-TV have begun broadcasting ATSC
3.0 streams <del>,and it is anticipated that KSAT-TV, WJXT-TV and WCWJ-TV will launch ATSC 3-. 0 streams this year. The pace</del>
of transition to the ATSC 3.0 broadcasting standard may also be affected by the availability of ATSC 3.0- capable consumer-
Equipment manufacturers began releasing certain TV set models with built- in ATSC 3. 0- capable receivers in 2020, and an
increasing number of external tuners or converter boxes are available, but ATSC 3. 0- capable consumer devices are not yet
widely available or in use in the U.S. As part of the voluntary transition,..... broadcasting ATSC 3.0 streams. The ongoing
transition to ATSC 3. 0 may cause the Company to incur substantial costs over time. More generally, the deployment of ATSC
3. 0 may have other material effects on the Company's media businesses that cannot now be reliably predicted and that may
have a material adverse effect on the Company's operating results. • Changes in MVPD Subscriber Numbers, Retransmission
Consent Fees, and "Reverse Retransmission Consent" Payments to the Networks, and Broadcast Exclusivity Could
Adversely Affect the Company's Revenues. As the number of subscribers to traditional cable, satellite and telecommunications
services declines, the Company faces the possibility of declining revenues under its existing retransmission agreements, which
```

```
typically provide for payment to the Company on a per-subscriber basis. Those subscribers who "cut the cord" and move to
internet- based streaming services may not generate the same revenues as the Company receives under its existing
retransmission consent agreements, because the distribution agreements that apply to "virtual" MVPDs are negotiated by the
national networks, and the per- subscriber fees paid to network- affiliated stations are determined by the network rather than by
the Company in direct negotiation with those distributors. At the same time, the Company's network affiliation agreements
typically require payments to the networks with which GMG stations are affiliated in the form of "reverse retransmission"
consent fees," which require the Company to share a specified portion of retransmission consent fees with the respective
networks. As reverse retransmission consent fee payments required to be paid to the networks escalate, the Company potentially
could retain smaller shares of revenues generated by its retransmission consent agreements. The reverse retransmission consent
fee obligations are sometimes structured as annual flat fees. In those cases, as the number of subscribers to traditional MVPD
platforms decreases, the Company alone bears the costs and risks of declining retransmission consent revenues. As the national
networks have launched and continue to invest in their direct- to- consumer platforms, an increasing amount of network
programming that was once available exclusively on an in- market network- affiliated station is now being made
available on ad- supported or subscription services, either exclusively or simultaneously with its over- the- air broadcast.
The diminishing program exclusivity provided by network affiliation could decrease local broadcasters' leverage in
retransmission consent negotiations with MVPDs. Taken together, these factors together could adversely affect GMG's
revenues and operating results . • Potential Liability for Intellectual Property Infringement Could Adversely Affect the
Company's Businesses. The Company periodically receives claims from third parties alleging that the Company's businesses
infringe on the intellectual property rights of others. It is likely that the Company will continue to be subject to similar claims,
particularly as they relate to its media businesses. Other parts of the Company's business could also be subject to such claims.
Addressing intellectual property claims is a time- consuming and expensive endeavor, regardless of the merits of the claims. In
order to resolve such claims, the Company may have to change its method of doing business, enter into licensing agreements
with copyright holders, or incur substantial monetary liability. It is also possible that one of the Company's businesses could be
enjoined from using the intellectual property at issue, causing it to significantly alter its operations. Although the Company
cannot predict the impact at this time, if any such claim is successful, the outcome would likely affect the business utilizing the
intellectual property at issue and could have a material adverse effect on that business's operating results or prospects. • Failure
to Recruit and Retain Production Staff Needed to Meet Customer Demand Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on the
Company's Manufacturing Businesses. The Company's manufacturing operations are experiencing a highly competitive
market for production labor that may limit its ability to meet customer demand. If staffing cannot be hired at a cost- efficient
wage rate relative to product pricing, volume will be impacted. • The Company May Be Subject to Liability Claims That Could
Have a Material Adverse Effect on Its Business. The Company's manufacturing operations are subject to hazards inherent in
manufacturing and production- related facilities. An accident involving these operations or equipment may result in losses due
to personal injury; loss of life; damage or destruction of property, equipment or the environment; or a suspension of operations.
Insurance may not protect the Company against liability for certain kinds of events, including those involving pollution or losses
resulting from business interruption. Any damages caused by the Company's operations that are not covered by insurance, or
are in excess of policy limits, could materially adversely affect the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash
flows. • Extensive Regulation of the Healthcare Industry Could Adversely Affect the Company's Healthcare Businesses and
Results of Operations. The home health and hospice industries are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws, with
regulations affecting a wide range of matters, including licensure and certification, quality of services, qualifications of
personnel, confidentiality and security of medical records, relationships with physicians and other referral sources, operating
policies and procedures, and billing and coding practices. These laws and regulations change frequently, and the manner in
which they will be interpreted is subject to change in ways that cannot be predicted. Reimbursement for services by third-party
payors, including Medicare, Medicaid and private health insurance providers, may decline, while authorization, audit and
compliance requirements continue to add to the cost of providing those services. Managed- care organizations, hospitals,
physician practices and other third- party payors continue to consolidate in response to the evolving regulatory environment,
thereby enhancing their ability to influence the delivery of healthcare services and decreasing the number of organizations
serving patients. This consolidation could adversely impact GHG's businesses if they are unable to maintain their ability to
participate in established networks. In addition, CSI Pharmacy and Weiss Medical both face risks from manufacturer supply
shortages, competitive vertical integration and pricing power, and government intervention on drug pricing. GHG is also subject
to periodic and routine reviews, audits and investigations by federal and state government agencies and private payors, which
could result in negative findings that adversely impact the business. The federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
(CMS) increasingly uses third- party, for- profit contractors to conduct these reviews, many of which share in the amounts that
CMS denies. These reviews, audits and investigations consume significant staff and financial resources and may take years to
resolve. • Federal and State Changes to Reimbursement and Other Aspects of Medicare and Medicaid Could Have a
Material Adverse Effect on the Company's Healthcare Business The Company's Healthcare business derives revenue
primarily from Medicare. Payments received from Medicare are subject to changes made through federal legislation.
When changes are implemented, internal billing processes and procedures must be modified, which can require
significant time and expense. These changes can include changes to base payments, adjustments for home health services,
changes to cap limits and per diem rates for hospice services, changes to Medicare eligibility and documentation
requirements and changes designed to restrict utilization. Health care reform and legislation and continuing efforts of
governmental payors to contain health care costs could decrease payments made for services. Within the Medicare
program, the hospice benefit is often specifically targeted for cuts. Reimbursement payments under governmental payor
programs, including Medicare supplemental insurance policies, may not remain at levels comparable to present levels or
```

```
be sufficient to cover the costs allocable to patients eligible for reimbursement pursuant to these programs. Any such
changes, including retroactive adjustments, could have a material adverse effect on our business and consolidated
financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. • Continued Nursing Staffing Shortages Could Adversely Affect the
Growth of the Company's Healthcare Businesses. The country's severe shortage of nurses could adversely affect GHG's
ability to meet customer demand and may impact its ability to take on new business. In addition, competition to attract new
nurses necessitates offering increased wages and benefits, which increases costs. • Value- based Purchasing Could Negatively
Impact Medicare Reimbursement. Both private and government payors are increasingly looking to value-based purchasing to
lower costs. Value- based purchasing focuses on quality of outcomes and care efficiency, rather than quantity of care. Effective
January 1, 2023, under according to the 2022 Home Health final rule for Medicare home health providers, value-based
purchasing was will be expanded to all 50 states. Under the expanded model, home health agencies receive adjustments to their
Medicare fee- for- service payments based on their performance against a set of quality measures, relative to their peers'
performance. Performance on these quality measures in a specified year (performance year) impacts payment adjustments in a
later year (payment year). The Home Health Final Rule for 2024, published on November 1, 2023, contained many
changes that will impact the home health value- based purchasing model in 2025. However, the value- based purchasing
model will remain unchanged in 2024, with the baseline year of 2022 still in effect. CMS could also create a similar plan for
hospice providers in the future. Private and government payors' implementation of value-based purchasing requirements could
negatively impact Medicare reimbursement and have an adverse effect on GHG's financial condition, results of operations and
overall cash flows . • The Company's Healthcare Business is Limited in its Ability to Control Rates Received for its
Services Which Could Materially Adversely Affect its Business if it is Unable to Maintain or Reduce Costs to Provide
Such Services. Medicare is the primary payor for the Company's Healthcare business and rates are established through
federal legislation. Additionally, non- Medicare rates are difficult to negotiate because such payors are under pressure to
reduce their own costs. As a result, the Healthcare business must manage costs in order to achieve a desired level of
profitability including, but not limited to, centralization of various processes, utilization of technology and management
of the number of employees utilized. If the Healthcare business is unable to streamline its processes and reduce costs, its
business and consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected
. • Termination or Non- renewal of a Dealership Agreement by an Automobile Manufacturer and Limitations on the Company's
Ability to Acquire Additional Dealerships Could Adversely Affect the Company's Automotive Business and Results of
Operations. The Company's automobile dealerships are dependent on maintaining strong relationships with manufacturers, and
the Company's ownership and operation of automobile dealerships is subject to its ability to comply with various requirements
established by automobile manufacturers. The Company's dealerships operate under separate agreements with each applicable
automobile manufacturer. Manufacturers may terminate their agreements for a variety of reasons, including a dealership's
failure to meet a manufacturer's standards for financial and sales performance, customer satisfaction, facilities and the quality
of dealership management; and any unapproved change in ownership or management. These agreements also limit the
Company's ability to acquire multiple dealerships of the same brand within a particular market and preclude the Company from
establishing new dealerships within an area already served by another dealer of the same vehicle brand. In addition, dealerships
controlled by related parties of the management team operating the Company's dealerships may restrict the Company's ability
to acquire new dealerships within an area in which such dealerships operate. Manufacturers also have the right of first refusal if
the Company seeks to sell dealerships and may limit the Company's ability to transfer ownership of a dealership without the
prior approval of the manufacturer. Failure to maintain ownership of the dealerships in compliance with manufacturer
agreements could constitute a breach of the agreements and could result in termination or non-renewal of existing dealer
agreements. If one of the Company's manufacturers does not renew its dealer agreement or terminates the agreement, the
Company's dealership would be unable to sell or distribute new vehicles or perform manufacturer - authorized warranty service,
which would adversely affect the Company's automotive business. • Changes Affecting Automobile Manufacturers Could
Adversely Affect the Company's Automotive Business. The Company's dealerships are dependent on the products and
services offered by the brand of automobiles that its dealerships sell. The ability of the Company's dealerships to sell and
service these brands may be adversely affected by negative conditions faced by manufacturers such as negative changes to a
manufacturer's financial condition, negative publicity concerning a manufacturer or vehicle model, declines in consumer
demand or brand preferences, changes in consumer preferences driven by fuel price volatility, disruptions in production and
delivery, including those caused by natural disasters or labor strikes, new laws or regulations, including more stringent fuel
economy and greenhouse gas emission standards, and technological innovations in ride-sharing, electric vehicles and
autonomous driving. The ability of the Company's dealerships to align with manufacturers and adapt to evolving consumer
demand for electric vehicles could adversely affect new and used vehicle sales volumes, parts and service revenue and results of
operations. • Changes to State Dealer Franchise Laws to Permit Manufacturers to Enter the Retail Market Directly and
Technological Innovations Could Adversely Impact the Company's Traditional Dealership Model. Changes to state dealer
franchise laws to permit the sale of new vehicles without the involvement of franchised dealers could adversely affect the
Company's dealerships. Certain manufacturers have been challenging state dealer franchise laws in many states and some have
expressed interest in selling directly to customers. The Company's dealership model could be adversely affected if new vehicle
sales are allowed to be conducted on the internet without the involvement of franchised dealers. • Changes in a Manufacturer's
Incentive Programs Could Adversely Affect the Dealerships' Sales Volume and Profit Margins. Automobile manufacturers offer
various marketing and sales incentive programs to promote and support new vehicle sales. These programs include customer
rebates, dealer incentives on new vehicles, employee pricing, manufacturer floor plan interest assistance, advertising assistance
and product warranties. A reduction or discontinuation of a manufacturer's incentive programs could adversely affect vehicle
demand and results of operations. • Changes in Economic Conditions and Vehicle Inventories Are Difficult to Predict and May
```

```
Adversely Impact the Results of Operations of the Company's Dealerships. Sales of new and used vehicles are cyclical.
Historically there have been periods of downturns characterized by weak demand due to general economic conditions, excess
supplies, consumer confidence, discretionary income and credit availability. Recently, supply shortages have led to a period of
higher average new and used selling prices as a result of strong consumer demand and inventory shortages related to supply
chain disruptions and production delays at vehicle manufacturers. These conditions may deteriorate in the future. Changes in
these conditions could materially adversely impact sales and related margins of new and used vehicles, parts and repair and
maintenance services. • If Saatchi Art Group Current Macroeconomic Conditions May Adversely Affect Revenue
Opportunities for Leaf's Businesses, Global and regional business. Society6 macroeconomic and geopolitical conditions have
had, and may continue to have, an and WGB adverse impact on advertising revenue. Historically, in times of economic
uncertainty, advertising budgets are generally reduced as advertisers seek to reduce expenses while they assess an uncertain
economic climate. In 2022, the effects of inflation, rising interest rates and broader economic uncertainty contributed to a
decrease in advertising spend. In addition, art is often considered a discretionary expenditure, and as such, this economic
uncertainty may contribute to a decrease in sales. Leaf expects such trends to continue in 2023. • If Leaf is-Unable to Attract
and Retain Artists, Customers and Visitors, and Successfully Drive Traffic to its their Marketplaces and Media Properties
their and Expand its Customer Base for its Marketplaces, its Business and Results of Operations Would be Adversely Affected.
Saatchi Art Group's business and results of operation depend upon attracting and retaining artists whose artwork adds
value to the marketplaces and that consumers want to purchase, and upon attracting customers who convert into new
and repeat purchasers. Saatchi Art Group must continue to ensure there is a strong value proposition for artists to join
and remain in the marketplace due to the quality of the service offered and the sales commissions they can generate.
Society 6 Group's business and results of operation depend upon attracting and retaining artists who upload quality
content that consumers want to purchase and upon attracting and retaining customers who convert into new and repeat
purchasers. Their ability to attract new customers, some of whom may already purchase similar products from
competitors, depends in part on their ability to successfully drive traffic to their marketplaces using social media
platforms, email marketing campaigns and promotions, paid referrals, and search engines. In order for <del>Leaf</del> WGB 's
businesses -- business to grow, Leaf WGB must attract new visitors and customers to its marketplaces and media properties and
retain its existing visitors and customers. Leaf WGB 's success in attracting traffic to its media properties and converting these
visitors into repeat users depends, in part, upon Leaf WGB's ability to identify, create and distribute high- quality and reliable
content through engaging products and Leaf WGB 's ability to meet rapidly changing consumer demand. Leaf WGB may not
be able to identify and create the desired content or produce an engaging user experience in a cost- effective or timely manner, if
at all. Leaf WGB depends on search engines, primarily Google, to direct a significant amount of traffic to its media and
marketplace properties, and Leaf WGB utilizes search engine optimization efforts to help generate search referral traffic to its
media and marketplace properties. Changes in the methodologies or algorithms used by search engines to display results could
cause Leaf WGB 's properties to receive less favorable placements in the search results. Google and other search engines
regularly deploy changes to their search engine algorithms. The changes to search engine algorithms by Google and other search
engines have resulted in the past, and may result in the future, in substantial declines in traffic to certain of the Leaf's media
properties, which contributed to revenue declines from Leaf's media properties. For example, in the third quarter of 2022,
Google made a search engine update that Leaf believes negatively impacted the volume of referral traffic to older lifestyle
content, impacting LiveStrong, com, Hunker, com, as well as other Leaf Group media properties. If Leaf WGB is unable to
successfully modify its search engine optimization practices in response to changes regularly implemented by search engine
algorithms and in search query trends, or if Leaf WGB is unable to generate increased or diversified traffic from other sources
such as social media, email, direct navigation and online marketing activities, Leaf-WGB could experience substantial declines
in traffic to its marketplace properties, its media properties and to its partners' media properties, which would adversely impact
Leaf WGB' s business and results of operations. One of the key factors to growing the marketplace platforms for Society6
Group and Saatchi Art Group is expanding their new and repeat customer base. Their ability to attract new customers, some of
whom may already purchase similar products from competitors, depends in part on Leaf's ability to successfully drive traffic to
Leaf's marketplaces using social media platforms, email marketing campaigns and promotions, paid referrals and search
engines. • If Leaf WGB is Unable to Effectively Distribute its Media Content on Social Media Platforms or Effectively
Optimize its Mobile Devices Solutions in Order to Improve User Experience or Comply with Requirements of Leaf's
Advertising Partners, Leaf WGB's Business and Results of Operation Could Be Negatively Impacted. The number of people
who access the internet through mobile devices such as smartphones and tablets, rather than through desktop or laptop
computers, has increased substantially in recent years. Additionally, individuals are increasingly consuming publisher content
through social media platforms. If Leaf WGB cannot effectively distribute its media content, products and services on these
devices or through these platforms, Leaf WGB could experience a decline in visits and traffic and a corresponding decline in
revenue. The significant increase in consumption Consumption of Leaf WGB's media content on mobile devices and rather
than through desktop or laptop computers decreases revenue per visits. As a result of these factors, the increasing use of
mobile devices and social media platforms depresses revenue per one thousand visits, or RPVs. As a result of these factors, the
increasing use of mobile devices and social media platforms to access Leaf WGB 's content could negatively impact its
business and results of operations. Further, consumers are increasingly conducting online shopping on mobile devices,
including smartphones and tablets, rather than on desktop or laptop computers. Although Leaf continually strives to improve the
mobile experience for users accessing its marketplaces through mobile devices, the smaller screen size and reduced functionality
associated with some mobile device interfaces may make the use of Leaf's marketplace platforms more difficult or less
appealing to its members. Historically, visits to Leaf's marketplaces on mobile devices have not converted into purchases as
often as visits made through desktop or laptop computers, and the average order value for mobile transactions has been lower
```

than desktop transactions. If conversion rates and average order values for mobile transactions on Leaf's marketplaces do not increase, the revenue and results of operations of Society6 Group and Saatchi Art Group may be adversely affected. • Leaf's Businesses Face Significant Competition, Which Leaf Expects Will Continue to Intensify, and Leaf May Not Be Able to Maintain or Improve its Competitive Position or Market Share. Leaf's Society Group and Saatchi Art Group businesses compete with a wide variety of online and brick- and- mortar companies selling comparable products. Leaf expects competition to continue to intensify given the low barrier of entry into online channels and the increase in conversion and competition between online and offline businesses. Leaf's Media Group faces intense competition from a wide range of competitors. Leaf's eurrent principal competitors include online media properties, some of which have much larger audiences than Leaf, Leaf also competes with companies and individuals that provide specialized consumer information online, including through enthusiast websites, message boards and blogs. Many of Leaf's current and potential competitors enjoy substantial competitive advantages, such as greater brand recognition, greater technical capabilities, access to larger customer bases and, in some cases, the ability to combine their online marketing products with traditional offline media such as newspapers or magazines. These companies may use these advantages to offer similar products and services at a lower price, develop different products to compete with Leaf's current offerings and respond more quickly and effectively than Leaf can to new or changing opportunities, technologies, standards or customer requirements. For example, if Google chose to compete more directly with Leaf as a publisher of similar content, Leaf may face the prospect of the loss of business or other adverse financial consequences due to Google's significantly greater customer base, financial resources, distribution channels and patent portfolio. • Failure to Recruit and Retain Employees in the Company's Restaurants Could Adversely Impact the Company's Restaurant Business. Historically, competition among restaurant companies for qualified management and staff has been very high. The Company's ability to recruit and retain managers and staff to operate the Company's restaurants is critical to a customer's dining experience. Failure to recruit and retain employees, low levels of unemployment or high turnover levels could negatively affect the Company's restaurant business. Tipped wage legislation is presenting new challenges to balance menu pricing, service standards, staffing levels, operating costs and public awareness as new wage laws are implemented . • Food- Borne Illness Concerns and Damage to the Company's Reputation Could Harm the Company's Restaurant Business. Historically, reports of food-borne illness or food safety issues at restaurants, even if caused by food suppliers or distributors, have had negative effects on restaurant sales. Because food safety issues could be experienced at the source by food suppliers or distributors, food safety could, in part, be out of the Company's control. Even instances of food-borne illness at a location served by one of the Company's competitors could result in negative publicity regarding the food service industry generally and could negatively impact restaurant revenue. Regardless of the source or cause, negative publicity about food-borne illness or other food safety issues could adversely impact the Company's reputation. Similarly, publicity about litigation, violence, complaints, or government investigations could have a negative effect on restaurant sales. • Concentration of the Company's Restaurants in the Washington, D. C. Region Subjects the Company's Restaurant Business to Regional Economic Conditions. The concentration of the Company's restaurants in the Washington, D. C. region subjects it to adverse economic conditions and trends in the region that are out of the Company's control. For example, increases in the level of unemployment, a temporary government shutdown or a decrease in tourism would decrease customers' disposable income available for discretionary spending. These and other national, regional and local economic pressures could result in decreases in customer traffic and lower sales and profits. The Company has two classes of shares, Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock. Class B Common Stock has limited voting rights, including the right to elect 30 % of the Company's Board of Directors, to vote on the reservation of shares for option grants and on the acquisition of the stock or assets of other companies under certain circumstances. The descendants of Katharine Graham and trusts for the benefit of those descendants own the majority of the shares of Class A Common Stock and have the right to vote for 70 % of the Board of Directors and to vote on all other matters. As a result, control of the Company has been and is expected to remain with members of the Graham family. In addition, the Company is a "controlled company" under the corporate governance rules of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and as such, the Company is exempt from certain corporate governance requirements of the NYSE. • Pandemics or Other Outbreaks of Disease, such <mark>Such</mark> as the COVID- 19 Pandemic, Have Had, and Future Outbreaks, Could Have, Adverse Impacts on the Company's Business, Results of Operations and Cash Flows. Pandemics and other disease outbreaks, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, have materially affected, and may in the future, materially adversely affect the Company's businesses, including the demand for its products and services. As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, travel restrictions and school closures impeded the ability of students to travel to undertake overseas study resulting in reduced enrollments for programs offered by Kaplan International, reduced demand for student housing and delays and cancellations of standardized tests. The COVID-19 pandemic also led to plant closures and disruptions in the Company's supply chains, declines in demand for products and advertising, closures of the Company's restaurants and live art fairs, and increased competition for labor and absenteeism affecting the Company's media, manufacturing, healthcare, automotive and other businesses. The adverse impact of a new health crisis could include, and in the past has included, reduced demand for the Company's products and services, supply chain disruptions, asset impairment charges, labor disruptions and manufacturing, restaurant and other closures. Additionally, to the extent a pandemic or other health crisis adversely affects the Company's business operations, financial condition or operating results, it may also have the effect of heightening many of the other risks described in this "Risk Factors" section. • Failure to Comply with Environmental and Health and Safety Laws Applicable to the Company's Operations Could Negatively Impact the Company's Businesses. The Company's operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the environment, as well as health and workplace safety, including those set forth by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and state and local regulatory authorities in the U. S. as well as similar laws and regulations internationally where the Company operates. Such laws and regulations affect operations and require compliance with various environmental registrations, licenses, permits, inspections and other approvals.

```
In the U. K., the Company will be subject to new registration requirements under the U. K. Building Safety Act in 2022 with
respect to its dormitories as well as compliance with existing U. K. and local legislation regarding licensing occupancy of such
dormitories. The Company incurs substantial costs to comply with these regulations, and any failure to comply may expose the
Company to civil, criminal and administrative fees, fines, penalties and interruptions in operations that could have a material
adverse impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows. Environmental laws and regulations to
which the Company is subject include those governing discharges into the air and water, the operation and removal of above-
ground and underground storage tanks, the use, handling, storage and disposal of hazardous substances and other materials, and
the investigation and remediation of environmental contamination at facilities that are owned or operated. The Company may be
subject to liability, for example, in the automotive business, because the business involves the generation, use, handling and
contracting for recycling or disposal of hazardous or toxic substances or wastes, including environmentally sensitive materials
such as motor oil, filters, transmission fluid, antifreeze, refrigerant, batteries, solvents, lubricants, tires and fuel. In addition,
climate change could cause increases in hurricanes, floods, wildfires, and other risks that could produce losses affecting our
businesses. Although in connection with certain acquisitions, the Company has obtained indemnification for certain
environmental liabilities and insurance policies, such rights and policies may not be sufficient to reimburse the Company for all
losses that it might incur. The Company has incurred, and will continue to incur, capital and operating expenditures and other
costs in complying with such laws and regulations and changes to such regulations, including any new regulations related to
climate change, could give rise to additional compliance or remedial costs. • Failure to Successfully Integrate Acquired
Businesses Could Negatively Affect the Company's Business. Acquisitions involve various inherent risks and uncertainties,
including difficulties in efficiently integrating the service offerings, accounting and other administrative systems of an acquired
business; the challenges of assimilating and retaining key personnel; the consequences of diverting the attention of senior
management from existing operations; the possibility that an acquired business does not meet or exceed the financial projections
that supported the purchase price; and the possible failure of the due diligence process to identify significant business risks or
liabilities associated with the acquired business. A failure to effectively manage growth and integrate acquired businesses could
have a material adverse effect on the Company's operating results. • Changes in Business Conditions Have Caused and May in
the Future Cause Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets to Become Impaired. Goodwill generally represents the purchase price
paid in excess of the fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired in a business combination. Goodwill is not
amortized and remains on the Company's balance sheet indefinitely unless there is an impairment or a sale of a portion of the
business. Goodwill is subject to an impairment test on an annual basis and when circumstances indicate that an impairment is
more likely than not. Such circumstances include an adverse change in the business climate for one of the Company's
businesses or a decision to dispose of a business or a significant portion of a business. Each of the Company's businesses faces
uncertainty in its business environment due to a variety of factors, including challenges in operating environments created by the
COVID- 19 pandemic and changes in demand for products and services. In the fourth third quarter of 2022-2023, the Company
recorded a goodwill impairment of $ 129-50, 2 million at Leaf WGB and $ 47, 8 million at Dekko. Additional declines in
revenue could result in adverse changes in projections for future operating results or other key assumptions, such as projected
revenue, profit margin, capital expenditures or cash flows associated with fair value estimates and could lead to additional future
impairments, which could be material. The Company may experience other unforeseen circumstances that adversely affect the
value of the Company's goodwill or intangible assets and trigger an evaluation of the amount of the recorded goodwill and
intangible assets. There also exists a reasonable possibility that changes to the discounted cash- flow model used to perform the
quantitative goodwill impairment review, including a decrease in the assumed projected cash flows or long-term growth rate, or
an increase in the discount rate assumption, could result in an impairment charge. Future write- offs of goodwill or other
intangible assets as a result of an impairment in the business could materially adversely affect the Company's results of
operations and financial condition. • Changes in International Income Tax Laws Could Subject the Company to Increased
Taxes and Increased Compliance Costs Many countries have proposed or enacted changes to their tax laws to implement
a minimum 15 % tax rate on certain multinational companies based on a set of rules known as Pillar Two issued by the
Organization for Economic Co- operation and Development (OECD). Global tax developments, such as Pillar Two,
could subject the Company to increased taxes and increased compliance costs. • System Disruptions and Security Threats
to the Company's Information Technology Infrastructure Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on Its Businesses and Results
of Operations. The Company relies extensively on information technology systems, networks and services, including internet
sites, data hosting and processing facilities and tools and other hardware, software and technical platforms, some of which are
managed, hosted, provided and / or used by third parties or their vendors, to assist in conducting the Company's business. The
Company's systems and the third- party systems on which it relies are subject to damage or interruption from a number of
causes, including but not limited to power outages; computer and telecommunications failures; computer viruses; industry-wide
software supply chain vulnerabilities, security breaches, cyberattacks, including phishing and other forms of social engineering,
hacking, denial- of- service attacks, cyber extortion, including the use of ransomware and other actions or attempts to exploit
vulnerabilities; catastrophic events such as fires, floods, earthquakes, tornadoes and hurricanes; infectious disease outbreaks
(such as COVID-19); acts of war or terrorism; and design or usage errors by our employees, contractors or third-party service
providers. The techniques used by computer hackers and cyber criminals to obtain unauthorized access to data or to sabotage
computer systems change frequently, continue to grow in sophistication and volume, and may not be detected until after an
incident has occurred. These techniques include using artificial intelligence (AI), including generative AI to enhance their
attacks, which may increase our cybersecurity risk. Although the Company and the third- party service providers seek to
maintain their respective systems effectively and to successfully address the risk of compromise of the integrity, security and
consistent operations of these systems, such efforts may not be successful. As a result, the Company or its service providers
could experience errors, interruptions, delays or cessations of service in key portions of the Company's information technology
```

infrastructure, which could significantly disrupt its operations, including manufacturing production delays, and be costly, time- consuming and resource- intensive to remedy. Any security breach or unauthorized access also could result in a misappropriation of the Company's proprietary information or the proprietary information of the Company's users, customers or partners, which could result in significant legal and financial exposure and damage to the Company's reputation. If an actual or perceived breach of the Company's security occurs, or if the Company's consumer - facing sites become the subject of external attacks that affect or disrupt service or availability, the market perception of the effectiveness of the Company's security measures could be harmed and the Company could lose users, customers, advertisers or partners, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. Any security breach at a company providing services to the Company or the Company's users, including third- party payment processors, could have similar effects and the Company may not be fully indemnified for the costs it may incur as a result of any such breach. To the extent that such vulnerabilities require remediation, such remedial measures could require significant resources and may not be implemented before such vulnerabilities are exploited. As the cybersecurity landscape evolves, the Company may also find it necessary to make significant further investments to protect data and infrastructure, including continuing to evaluate control changes and investments needed to support an increased remote workforce. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on the Company's businesses and results of operations. Sustained or repeated system failures or security breaches that interrupt the Company's ability to process information in a timely manner or that result in a breach of proprietary or personal information could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations and reputation. In addition, minor incidents, even if dealt with promptly, could lead to severe legal, financial and reputational issues, such as investigations by authorities, enforcement, lawsuits and negative publicity, and a collection of incidents, though not considered material individually at the time they occur, may be deemed material later in the aggregate. • Failure to Comply with Privacy Laws or Regulations Could Have an Adverse Effect on the Company's Businesses. Various U. S. federal, state and international laws and regulations govern the collection, use, retention, sharing and security of personal data. This area of the law is evolving, and interpretations of applicable laws and regulations differ. Legislative activity in the privacy area may result in new laws that are relevant to the Company's operations, including restrictions on the collection, use and sharing of personal data that could limit our ability to use the data for marketing or advertising, and could result in exposure to material liability. For example, data privacy regulations adopted by the EU European Union known as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), became effective in May 2018. These regulations require certain of the Company's operations to meet extensive requirements regarding the handling of personal data, including its use, protection and transfer. In addition, the GDPR provides the legal right for persons whose data is stored to request access to or correction or deletion of their personal data, among other rights. Failure to meet the applicable requirements in the GDPR could result in fines of up to 4 % of the Company's annual global revenues. In addition to the GDPR in Europe, new privacy laws and regulations are rapidly developing and being implemented elsewhere around the globe, including amendments to the scope, penalties and other provisions of existing data protection laws. Failure to comply with these international data protection laws and regulations could have a negative impact on the Company's reputation and subject the Company to significant fines, penalties or other liabilities or restrict the Company's ability to continue operating its existing business processes, all of which may increase the cost of operations, reduce customer growth, or otherwise harm the Company's business. The California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018 (CCPA), which became effective on January 1, 2020, provided a new private right of action for data breaches and requires companies that process personal information pertaining to California residents to make disclosures to consumers about their data collection, use and sharing practices and allows consumers to opt out of certain data sharing with third parties. The enforcement of the CCPA by the California Attorney General commenced on July 1, 2020. In November 2020, the California Privacy Rights Act (CPRA) was approved by California voters, and went into effect on January 1, 2023. The CPRA includes included new requirements that are were not in the CCPA. Similar privacy laws also include Virginia's, that went into effect January 1 in Virginia, Colorado, Connecticut and Utah during 2023 ; Colorado and Connecticut's , <mark>other privacy laws have been passed that will go into effective---- <mark>effect in July 1, 2023</mark></mark> <mark>2024 ;</mark> and Utah's effective December 31, 2023 <mark>2025</mark> . In addition, <mark>and</mark> data privacy bills have been <mark>continue to be</mark> introduced at the in various U. S. state level legislatures, including, but not limited to Washington, New York and Florida. There are also **comprehensive privacy** bills that have been introduced at the U. S. federal level **. In addition to the comprehensive privacy** laws and bills, the recent emergence of new AI tools has raised some additional information security and privacy issues. There are currently numerous bills for new laws to regulate the use of AI both at the U. S. federal and state level, and in other locations in which the Company does business such as the EU. The passage of any additional laws could result in further uncertainty and cause the Company to incur additional costs and expenses in order to comply. Compliance with the GDPR, the CCPA, the CPRA and other applicable international and U. S. privacy laws can be costly and time- consuming. If the Company fails to properly respond to security breaches of its or its third- party's information technology systems or fails to properly respond to an individual's requests under these laws, the Company could experience damage to its reputation, adverse publicity, loss of consumer confidence, reduced sales and profits, complications in executing the Company's growth initiatives and regulatory and legal risk, including criminal penalties or civil liabilities. Claims of failure to comply with the Company's privacy policies or applicable laws or regulations could form the basis of governmental or private party actions against the Company and could result in significant penalties. Additionally, evolving concerns regarding data privacy may cause the Company's customers and potential customers to resist providing the data necessary to allow the Company to deliver its solutions effectively. Even the perception that personal information is not satisfactorily protected or does not meet regulatory requirements could inhibit sales and any failure to comply with such laws and regulations could lead to significant fines, penalties or other liabilities. Such claims and actions could cause damage to the Company's reputation and could have an adverse effect on the Company's businesses. • Uncertainty in the Development, Deployment, and use of AI in the Company's Products and Services, as well as its Businesses More Broadly, Could Adversely Affect the Company's

Business and Reputation. The Company is building and expects to use systems and tools that incorporate AI- based technologies, including generative AI, for its customers and workforce. The development, adoption and use of generative AI technology remains in early stages, and effective or inadequate AI or generative AI development or deployment practices by the Company or third parties could result in unintended consequences. For example, AI algorithms that the Company uses may be flawed or may be (or perceived to be) based on datasets that are biased or insufficient. In addition there is uncertainty around the validity and enforceability of intellectual property rights related to the Company's development, deployment and use of AI. Compliance with new or changing laws, regulations or industry standards relating to AI may impose significant operational costs and may limit the Company's ability to develop, deploy or use AI technologies. Failure to appropriately respond to this evolving landscape may result in legal liability, regulatory action or brand and reputational harm. • Potential Liability for Intellectual Property Infringement Could Adversely Affect the Company's Businesses. The Company periodically receives claims from third parties alleging that the Company's businesses infringe on the intellectual property rights of others. It is likely that the Company will continue to be subject to similar claims, particularly as they relate to its media businesses. Other parts of the Company's business could also be subject to such claims. Addressing intellectual property claims is a time- consuming and expensive endeavor, regardless of the merits of the claims. In order to resolve such claims, the Company may have to change its method of doing business, enter into licensing agreements with copyright holders, or incur substantial monetary liability. It is also possible that one of the Company' s businesses could be enjoined from using the intellectual property at issue, causing it to significantly alter its operations. Although the Company cannot predict the impact at this time, if any such claim is successful, the outcome would likely affect the business utilizing the intellectual property at issue and could have a material adverse effect on that business' s operating results or prospects.