Legend: New Text Removed Text-Unchanged Text Moved Text Section

The following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations, and the trading price of our common stock could decline. These risk factors do not identify all risks that we face, and our operations could also be affected by factors that are not presently known to us or that we currently consider to be immaterial to our operations. Due to risks and uncertainties, known and unknown, our past financial results may not be a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods. Refer also to the other information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10- K, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our financial statements and the related notes thereto. Risks Related to the COVID-19 Pandemic The ongoing COVID-19, pandemic has caused severe disruptions in the U. S. and global economy and to our business, and may have an adverse impact on our performance and results of operations. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic has rapidly evolved around the globe, with many countries, at various times, taking meaningful measures to limit the spread of the virus by instituting quarantines or lockdowns, imposing travel restrictions and vaccination mandates for certain workers or activities and limiting operations of certain non-essential businesses. While restrictions have eased and the global economy has largely re-opened, many medical and public health experts believe that COVID-19 could perpetually reoccur for years, such as seasonally in winter, and even if generally ceasing to be fatal for most people, such reoccurrence could increase the possibility of periods of increased restrictions on business operations. The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted global supply ehains, contributed to increased inflation, increased rates of unemployment and adversely impacted many industries, including industries related to the collateral underlying certain of our loans. In 2021 and 2022, the global economy has, with certain setbacks, begun reopening, and wider distribution of vaccines will likely encourage greater economic activity. While vaccine availability and uptake has increased, the longer- term macro- economic effects of the pandemic continue to impact many industries, including those of certain of KREF's borrowers, and the market turmoil and other changes associated with the pandemic may have lasting effects on our business and operations. In particular, the increase in remote working arrangements in response to the pandemic has contributed, and may further contribute, to a decline in commercial real estate values and reduced demand for commercial real estate compared to pre-pandemic levels, which may adversely impact certain of KREF's borrowers and may persist even as the pandemic continues to subside. Although it is impossible to predict with certainty the potential full magnitude of the business and economic ramifications of the pandemic, COVID-19 has impacted, and may further impact, our business in various ways, including but not limited to: • the inability of our borrowers' tenants to pay rent on their leases or our borrowers' inability to re- lease space that becomes vacant, which inability, if extreme, could cause our borrowers to default on their loans and could cause us to: (i) no longer be able to pay dividends at our current rates or at all in order to preserve liquidity and (ii) be unable to meet our debt obligations to lenders or satisfy our debt covenants, which could cause us to have to sell our investments or refinance debt on unattractive terms; • a severe disruption and instability in the global financial markets or deteriorations in credit and financing conditions may affect our ability to access capital necessary to fund our investments at attractive interest rates, or at all, and may adversely affect the valuation of financial assets and liabilities, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and eash flows; • uncertainties created by the COVID-19 pandemic may make it difficult to estimate provisions for loan losses; • deterioration in the performance of the properties securing our investments that may cause deterioration in the performance of our investments and, potentially, principal losses to us; * difficulty or delays in redeploying the proceeds from repayments of our existing investments; * provisions in our current and future financing agreements may require us to provide additional collateral or pay down debt; • economic and market conditions affecting the value of our financial instruments and the value of particular assets and liabilities; and • fluctuations in equity market prices, interest rates and credit spreads limiting our ability to raise or deploy capital on a timely basis and affecting our overall liquidity. We maintain a robust asset management relationship with our borrowers and have utilized these relationships to proactively address the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on our loans secured by properties experiencing eash flow or other negative business pressures, most significantly hospitality and retail assets. The pandemic may result in more frequent modifications of our loans in the future and instances of default or forcelosure on assets underlying our loans. Given the ongoing nature of the outbreak, at this time we cannot reasonably estimate the magnitude of the ultimate impact that COVID-19 will have on our business, financial performance and operating results. We believe any future impact of COVID-19 on our business, financial performance and operating results will in part be significantly driven by a number of factors that we are unable to predict or control, including, for example: the severity and duration of the pandemie; the distribution and acceptance of vaccines and their impact on the timing and speed of economic recovery; the spread of new variants of the virus; the pandemie's impact on the U.S. and global economies, including concerns regarding additional surges of the pandemic or the expansion of the economic impact thereof as a result of certain jurisdictions "re- opening" or otherwise lifting certain restrictions prematurely; the availability of U. S. federal, state, local or non-U. S. funding programs aimed at supporting the economy during the COVID-19 pandemic, including uncertainties regarding the potential implementation of new or extended programs; the timing, scope and effectiveness of additional governmental responses to the pandemie, including the potential re-imposition of guarantines, states of emergencies, restrictions on travel, stay- at- home orders, and other measures taken to curb the spread of COVID-19; and the negative impact on our financing sources, vendors and other business partners that may indirectly adversely affect us. The prolonged duration and impact of the COVID-19 pandemic could materially disrupt our business operations and negatively impact our business, financial performance and operating results.

Risks Related to Our Lending and Investment Activities We operate in a competitive market for lending and investment opportunities, and competition may limit our ability to originate or acquire desirable loans and investments or dispose of assets we target and could also affect the yields of these assets and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. A number of entities compete with us to make the types of loans and investments we seek to originate or acquire. Our profitability depends, in large part, on our ability to originate or acquire target assets on attractive terms. In originating or acquiring target assets, we compete with a variety of institutional lenders and investors, including other REITs, specialty finance companies, public and private funds (including funds that sponsored, advised and / or managed by KKR or its affiliates may in the future sponsor, advise and or manage, commercial and investment banks, commercial finance and insurance companies and other financial institutions. Several other REITs have raised, or are expected to raise, significant amounts of capital, and may have investment objectives that overlap with ours, which may create additional competition for lending and investment opportunities. Some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and access to funding sources that are not available to us, such as the U. S. government. Many of our competitors are not subject to the operating constraints associated with REIT rule compliance or maintenance of an exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of loans and investments, offer more attractive pricing or other terms and establish more relationships than us. Furthermore, competition for originations of and investments in our target assets may lead to the yields of such assets decreasing, which may further limit our ability to generate satisfactory returns. In addition, changes in the financial regulatory regime could decrease the current restrictions on banks and other financial institutions and allow them to compete with us for investment opportunities that were previously not available to them. See "Risks Related to Our Company — Changes in laws or regulations governing our operations, changes in the interpretation thereof or newly enacted laws or regulations and any failure by us to comply with these laws or regulations, could require changes to certain of our business practices, negatively impact our operations, cash flow or financial condition, impose additional costs on us, subject us to increased competition or otherwise adversely affect our business" below. As a result of this competition, desirable loans and investments in our target assets may be limited in the future and we may not be able to take advantage of attractive lending and investment opportunities from time to time. We can provide no assurance that we will be able to identify and originate loans or make investments that are consistent with our investment objectives. We cannot assure you that the competitive pressures we face will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, our investment strategy with respect to certain types of investments may depend, in part, on our ability to enter into satisfactory relationships with joint ventures, operating partners and / or strategic co- investors. There can be no assurance that current relationships with such parties will continue (whether on currently applicable terms or otherwise) or that we will be able to establish relationships with other such persons in the future if desired and on terms favorable to us. Our loans and investments expose us to risks associated with debt- oriented real estate investments generally. We seek to invest primarily in debt investments in or relating to real estate assets. Any deterioration Deterioration of real estate fundamentals generally, and in the United States in particular, has could negatively impact our performance, increase increased the default risk applicable to borrowers, and for make made it relatively more difficult for us to generate attractive risk- adjusted returns and comtinue to negatively impact our performance. Changes in general economic conditions have, including as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, will affect affected the creditworthiness of borrowers and for the value of underlying real estate collateral relating to our investments. Such changes and have included and / or may in the future include economic and / or market fluctuations, increases in remote working arrangements, changes in environmental, zoning and other laws, casualty or condemnation losses, regulatory limitations on rents or moratoriums against tenant evictions or forcelosures, decreases in property values, changes in the appeal of properties to tenants, changes in supply and demand of real estate products, fluctuations in real estate fundamentals (including average occupancy and room rates for hotel properties), energy and supply shortages, various uninsured or uninsurable risks, natural disasters, terrorism, acts of war, outbreaks of pandemic or contagious diseases such as COVID-19, changes in government regulations (such as rent control), political and legislative uncertainty, changes in monetary policy, changes in real property tax rates and operating expenses, changes in interest rates, changes in the availability of debt financing and / or mortgage funds which may render the sale or refinancing of properties difficult or impracticable, increased mortgage defaults, increases in borrowing rates, escalating global trade tensions like, the conflict between Russia and Ukraine, deteriorating geopolitical conditions in the Middle East, the adoption or expansion of economic sanctions or trade restrictions, negative developments in the economy that depress travel activity, adverse changes in demand and / or real estate values generally and other factors that are beyond our control. In addition, our investments may be exposed to new or increased risks and liabilities associated with global climate change, such as increased frequency or intensity of adverse weather and natural disasters, which could negatively impact our and our borrowers' businesses and the value of the properties securing our investments. We cannot predict the degree to which economic conditions generally, and the conditions for real estate debt investing in particular, will improve or decline. Any **future** declines in the performance of the U. S. and global economies or in the real estate debt markets could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. Fluctuations in interest rates and credit spreads could reduce our ability to generate income on our loans and other investments, which could lead to a significant decrease in our results of operations, cash flows and the market value of our investments and could materially impair our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders. Our primary interest rate exposures relate to the yield on our loans and other investments and the financing cost of our debt, as well as any interest rate swaps that we may utilize for hedging purposes. Changes in interest rates and credit spreads will affect our net income from loans and other investments, which is the difference between the interest and related income earned on interest- earning investments and the interest and related expense incurred in financing these investments. As of December 31, 2022-<mark>2023</mark> , <mark>our 55. 4 % and 44. 6 % of floating <mark>-</mark> rate loans by loan exposure</mark> **portfolio and financing arrangements** were <mark>all</mark> indexed to Term SOFR and LIBOR, respectively. In a declining interest rate

environment, our interest income generally decreases as index rates decrease. Also, in a declining interest rate environment, the value of our fixed- rate investments may increase and if interest rates were to increase, the value of these fixed- rate investments may fall; however, the interest income generated by these fixed- rate investments would not be affected by market interest rates. The interest rates we pay under our current financing facilities are floating - rate. Accordingly, our interest expense will generally increase as interest rates increase and decrease as interest rates decrease. Generally, the composition of our investments is such that rising interest rates will increase our net income, while declining interest rates will decrease our net income. However, rate floors relating to our floating - rate loans may offset some of the impact from declining rates. There can be no assurance that we will continue to utilize rate floors. In recent years, interest rates had remained at relatively low levels on a historical basis. However, in since January 2022, in light of increasing inflation, the U. S. Federal Reserve increased interest rates seven eleven times. The These U.S. Federal Reserve has also indicated that it expects continued increases have in interest rates in 2023 and 2024. Any such increases would increase increased our borrowers interest payments, and could result in higher borrower default rates. Such increases could also adversely affect affected commercial real estate property values . Our operating results depend, in part, on differences between the..... spreads may negatively affect demand for loans and could result in higher borrower default rates , while decreases in. Notwithstanding the current period of relatively high interest rates and for credit spreads, the U. S. Federal Reserve has indicated that it may decrease interest rates in 2024. In a period of declining interest rates, our interest income on floating- rate investments in part, on differences between the income earned on our investments, net of credit losses, and our financing costs. The yields we earn on our floating- rate assets and our borrowing costs tend to move in the same direction in response to changes in interest rates. However, one can rise or fall faster than the other, causing our net interest margin to expand or contract. In addition, we could experience reductions in the yield on our investments and an increase in the cost of our financing. Although we seek to match the terms of our liabilities to the expected lives of loans that we acquire or originate, circumstances may arise in which our liabilities are shorter in duration than our assets, resulting in their adjusting faster in response to changes in interest rates. For any period during which our investments are not match-funded, the income earned on such investments may respond more slowly to interest rate fluctuations than the cost of our borrowings. Consequently, changes in interest rates, particularly short- term interest rates, may immediately and significantly decrease our results of operations and cash flows and the market value of our investments. Interest rate and credit spread fluctuations resulting in our interest and related expense exceeding interest and related income would result in operating losses for us, and any such reduction in our net interest income could materially impair our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders. Changes in the level of interest rates and credit spreads may also affect our ability to make loans or investments and the value of our loans and investments. Furthermore, increases in interest rates and / or credit spreads may negatively affect demand for loans and could and may lead to a higher prepayment rates on our loans. We may not have control over certain of our loans and investments. Our ability to manage our portfolio of loans and investments may be limited by the form in which they are made. In certain situations, we may: • acquire investments subject to rights of senior classes, special servicers or collateral managers under intercreditor, servicing agreements or securitization documents; • pledge our investments as collateral for financing arrangements; • acquire only a minority and / or a non- controlling participation in an underlying investment; • coinvest with others through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, thereby acquiring non- controlling interests; or • rely on independent third- party management or servicing with respect to the management of an asset. Therefore, we may not be able to exercise control over all aspects of our loans or investments. Such financial assets may involve risks not present in investments where senior creditors, junior creditors, servicers or third- party controlling investors are not involved. Our rights to control the process following a borrower default may be subject to the rights of senior or junior creditors or servicers whose interests may not be aligned with ours. A partner or co-venturer may have financial difficulties resulting in a negative impact on such asset. may have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with ours, or may be in a position to take action contrary to our investment objectives. In addition, we will generally pay all or a portion of the expenses relating to our joint ventures and we may, in certain circumstances, be liable for the actions of our partners or co-venturers. CRE- related investments that are secured, directly or indirectly, by real property are subject to delinquency, foreclosure and loss, which could result in losses to us. CRE debt instruments (e. g., mortgages, mezzanine loans and preferred equity) that are secured by commercial property are subject to risks of delinquency and foreclosure and risks of loss that are greater than similar risks associated with loans made on the security of single- family residential property. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by an income-producing property typically is dependent primarily upon the successful operation of the property rather than upon the existence of independent income or assets of the borrower. If the net operating income of the property is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Net operating income of an income-producing property can be affected by, among other things: • tenant mix and tenant bankruptcies; • success of tenant businesses; • property management decisions, including with respect to capital improvements, particularly in older building structures; • property location and condition; • competition from other properties offering the same or similar services; • changes in laws that increase operating expenses or limit rents that may be charged; • any liabilities relating to environmental matters at the property; • changes in global, national, regional or local economic conditions and / or specific industry segments; • increases in remote working arrangements and the subsequent effect on demand for CRE; • global trade disruption, supply chain issues, significant introduction of trade barriers and bilateral trade frictions; • labor shortages and increasing wages; • higher inflation rates; • declines in global, national, regional or local real estate values; • declines in global, national, regional or local rental or occupancy rates; • changes in interest rates and in the state of the credit and securitization markets and the debt and equity capital markets, including diminished availability or lack of debt financing for CRE; • changes in real estate tax rates and other operating expenses; • changes in governmental rules, regulations and fiscal policies, including environmental legislation, income tax regulations and other tax legislation; • outbreaks of contagious or pandemic diseases, including COVID-19; • acts of God, natural disasters, climate change related risks, terrorism, social unrest and civil disturbances, which may decrease the availability of or increase the cost of insurance or result

```
in uninsured losses; and • adverse changes in zoning laws. In addition, we are exposed to the risk of judicial proceedings with
our borrowers and entities in which we invest, including bankruptcy or other litigation, as a strategy to avoid foreclosure or
enforcement of other rights by us as a lender or investor. In the event that any of the properties or entities underlying or
collateralizing our loans or investments experiences any of the foregoing events or occurrences, the value of, and return on, such
investments could decline and could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Loans on properties in
transition will involve a greater risk of loss than conventional mortgage loans. We primarily invest in transitional loans to
borrowers who are typically seeking short-term capital to be used in an acquisition or rehabilitation of a property. The typical
borrower under a transitional loan has usually identified an undervalued asset that has been under-managed and or is located in
a recovering market. If the market in which the asset is located fails to improve according to the borrower's projections, or if
the borrower fails to improve the quality of the asset's management and or the value of the asset, the borrower may not
receive a sufficient return on the asset to satisfy the transitional loan, and we bear the risk that we may not recover some or all
of our investment. Furthermore, the renovation, refurbishment or expansion of a property by a borrower involves risks of cost
overruns and noncompletion. Estimates of the costs of improvements to bring an acquired property up to standards established
for the market position intended for that property may prove inaccurate. Other risks may include rehabilitation costs exceeding
original estimates, possibly making a project uneconomical, environmental risks, delays in legal and other approvals (e.g., for
condominiums) and rehabilitation and subsequent leasing of the property not being completed on schedule. If such renovation is
not completed in a timely manner, or if it costs more than expected, the borrower may experience a prolonged reduction of net
operating income and may not be able to make payments on our investment on a timely basis or at all, which could result in
significant losses. In addition, borrowers usually use the proceeds of a conventional mortgage to repay a transitional loan.
Transitional loans therefore are subject to risks of a borrower's inability to obtain permanent financing to repay the transitional
loan <mark>and to the broader availability of conventional mortgages at amenable rates</mark> . In the event of any default under
transitional loans that may be held by us, we bear the risk of loss of principal and non-payment of interest and fees to the extent
of any deficiency between the value of the mortgage collateral and the principal amount and unpaid interest of the transitional
loan. To the extent we suffer such losses with respect to these transitional loans, it could adversely affect our results of
operations and financial condition. Prepayment rates may adversely affect the value of our portfolio of assets. Generally, our
borrowers may repay their loans prior to their stated final maturities. In periods of declining interest rates and / or credit spreads,
prepayment rates on loans generally increase. If general interest rates and credit spreads decline at the same time, the proceeds
of such prepayments received during such periods are likely to be reinvested by us in assets yielding less than the yields on the
assets that were prepaid. We may not be able to reinvest the principal repaid at the same or higher yield of the original
investment. Conversely, in periods of rising interest rates, prepayment rates are likely to decrease and the number of our
borrowers who exercise extension options, which could extend beyond the term of certain secured financing agreements we use
to finance our loan investments, is likely to increase. This could have a negative impact on our results of operations, and in some
situations, we may be forced to sell assets to maintain adequate liquidity, which could cause us to incur losses. In addition, the
value of our assets may be affected by prepayment rates on loans. If we originate or acquire mortgage- related securities or a
pool of mortgage securities, we anticipate that the underlying mortgages will prepay at a projected rate generating an expected
yield. If we purchase assets at a premium to par value, when borrowers prepay their loans faster than expected, the
corresponding prepayments on the mortgage- related securities may reduce the expected yield on such securities because we
will have to amortize the related premium on an accelerated basis. Conversely, if we purchase assets at a discount to par value,
when borrowers prepay their loans slower than expected, the decrease in corresponding prepayments on the mortgage- related
securities may reduce the expected yield on such securities because we will not be able to accrete the related discount as quickly
as originally anticipated. In addition, as a result of the risk of prepayment, the market value of the prepaid assets may benefit less
than other fixed income securities from declining interest rates. Prepayment rates on loans may be affected by a number of
factors including, but not limited to, the then-current level of interest rates and credit spreads, fluctuations in asset values, the
availability of mortgage credit, the relative economic vitality of the area in which the related properties are located, the servicing
of the loans, possible changes in tax laws, other opportunities for investment, and other economic, social, geographic,
demographic and legal factors and other factors beyond our control. Consequently, such prepayment rates cannot be predicted
with certainty and no strategy can completely insulate us from prepayment or other such risks. If prepayment rates exceed our
expectations, we may have greater difficulty in redeploying the proceeds into new investment opportunities, which may
significantly increase our cash balance and exacerbate the risks related to our cash management strategy. For further discussion
of the risks related to capital deployment, see "Difficulty in redeploying the proceeds from repayments of our existing loans and
investments may cause our financial performance and returns to investors to suffer "below. Our loans often contain call
protection provisions that require a certain minimum amount of interest due to us regardless of when the loan is repaid. These
include prepayment fees expressed as a percentage of the unpaid principal balance, or the amount of foregone net interest
income due us from the date of repayment through a date that is frequently 12 or 18 months after the origination date. Loans that
are outstanding beyond the end of the call protection or yield maintenance period can be repaid with no prepayment fees or
penalties. The absence of call protection provisions may expose us to the risk of early repayment of loans, and the inability to
redeploy capital accretively. Difficulty in redeploying the proceeds from repayments of our existing loans and investments may
cause our financial performance and returns to investors to suffer. As our loans and investments are repaid, we may
redeploy the proceeds we receive into new loans and investments, repay borrowings under our credit facilities, pay
dividends to our stockholders or repurchase outstanding shares of our common stock. It is possible that we will fail to
identify reinvestment options that would provide returns or a risk profile that is comparable to the asset that was repaid.
If we fail to redeploy the proceeds we receive from repayment of a loan in equivalent or better alternatives in a timely
manner, our financial performance and returns to investors could suffer. In light of our investment strategy and the need to
```

be able to invest capital quickly to capitalize on potential investment opportunities, we may from time to time maintain cash pending deployment into investments, which may at times be significant. Such cash may be held in an account of ours for the benefit of stockholders or may be invested in money market accounts or other similar temporary investments. While the duration of such holding period is expected to be relatively short, in the event we are unable to find suitable investments, such cash positions may be maintained for longer periods. It is not anticipated that the temporary investment of such cash into money market accounts or other similar temporary investments pending deployment into investments will generate significant interest, and such low interest payments on the temporarily invested cash may adversely affect our financial performance and returns to investors. In addition, we have also invested in CMBS, and may from time to time invest in CMBS and CRE CLO securities as part of our short- term cash management strategy. Subordinate interests such as CMBS, CRE CLO securities and similar structured finance investments generally are not actively traded and are relatively illiquid investments. Volatility in CMBS and CRE CLO trading markets may cause the value of these investments to decline. In addition, if the underlying mortgage portfolio has been overvalued by the originator, or if the values subsequently decline and, as a result, less collateral value is available to satisfy interest and principal payments and any other fees in connection with the trust or other conduit arrangement for such securities, we may incur significant losses. For further discussion of the risks related to such investments, see "Our investments in CMBS and other similarly structured finance investments, as well as those we structure, sponsor or arrange, would pose additional risks, including the risks of the securitization process, the risk that we will not be able to recover some or all of our investment, the possibility that the CMBS market will be significantly affected by current or future regulation and the risk that we will not be able to hedge or transfer our CMBS B- Piece investments for a significant period of time" below. The due diligence process that our Manager undertakes in regard to investment opportunities may not reveal all facts that may be relevant in connection with an investment and if our Manager incorrectly evaluates the risks of our investments, we may experience losses. Before making investments for us, our Manager conducts due diligence that it deems reasonable and appropriate based on the facts and circumstances relevant to each potential investment. When conducting due diligence, our Manager may be required to evaluate a number of important issues, including but not limited to those relating to business, financial, tax, accounting, environmental, social & corporate governance ("ESG"), technology, cybersecurity, legal, regulatory and macroeconomic trends . Outside consultants, legal advisors, accountants and investment banks may be involved in the due diligence process in varying degrees depending on the investment. The nature and scope of our Manager's ESG diligence, if any, will vary based on the investment opportunity, but may include a review of, among other things: energy management, air and water pollution, land contamination, diversity, human rights, employee health and safety, accounting standards and bribery and corruption. Outside consultants, legal advisors, accountants and investment banks may be involved in the due diligence process in varying degrees depending on the type of potential investment. Selecting and evaluating material ESG factors is subjective by nature, and there is no guarantee that the criteria utilized or judgment exercised by our Manager or a third- party ESG specialist (if any) will reflect the beliefs, values, internal policies or preferred practices of any particular investor or align with the beliefs or values or preferred practices of other asset managers or with market trends. The materiality of ESG risks and impacts on an individual potential investment or portfolio as a whole are dependent on many factors, including the relevant industry, country, asset class and investment style. Our Manager's loss estimates based on its due diligence process may not prove accurate, as actual results may vary from estimates. If our Manager underestimates the asset-level losses relative to the price we pay for a particular investment, we may experience losses with respect to such investment. Moreover, investment analyses and decisions by our Manager may frequently be required to be undertaken on an expedited basis to take advantage of investment opportunities. In such cases, the information available to our Manager at the time of making an investment decision may be limited, and it may not have access to detailed information regarding such investment. Further, certain considerations covered by our Manager's diligence, such as ESG, are continuously evolving, including from an assessment, regulatory and compliance standpoint, and our Manager may not accurately or fully anticipate such evolution. Therefore, we cannot assure you that our Manager will have knowledge of all circumstances that may adversely affect such investment. In addition, it is difficult for real estate debt investors in certain circumstances to receive full transparency with respect to underlying investments because transactions are often effectuated on an indirect basis through pools or conduit vehicles rather than directly with the borrower. Loan structures or the terms of investments may make it difficult for us to monitor and evaluate investments. Therefore, we cannot assure you that our Manager will have knowledge of all information that may adversely affect such investment. CMBS B- Pieces, mezzanine loans, preferred equity and other investments that are subordinated or otherwise junior in an issuer's capital structure and that involve privately negotiated structures expose us to greater risk of loss. We invest in debt instruments (including, indirectly through RECOP I, in CMBS B- Pieces) and may invest in preferred equity that are subordinated or otherwise junior in an issuer's capital structure and that involve privately negotiated structures. Our investments in subordinated debt and mezzanine tranches of a borrower's capital structure and our remedies with respect thereto, including the ability to foreclose on any collateral securing such investments, are subject to the rights of any senior creditors and, to the extent applicable, contractual intercreditor and / or participation agreement provisions. Significant losses related to such loans or investments could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Investments in subordinated debt involve greater credit risk of default than the senior classes of the issue or series. As a result, with respect to our investments in CMBS B- Pieces, mezzanine loans and other subordinated debt, we would potentially receive payments or interest distributions after, and must bear the effects of losses or defaults on the senior debt (including underlying senior loans, senior mezzanine loans, B-Notes, preferred equity or senior CMBS bonds, as applicable) before, the holders of other more senior tranches of debt instruments with respect to such issuer. As the terms of such loans and investments are subject to contractual relationships among lenders, co-lending agents and others, they can vary significantly in their structural characteristics and other risks. Mezzanine loans are by their nature structurally subordinated to more senior property- level financings. If a borrower defaults on our mezzanine loan or on debt senior to our loan, or if the borrower is in bankruptcy, our mezzanine loan will be satisfied

only after the property- level debt and other senior debt is paid in full. In addition, mezzanine loans may have higher loan- tovalue ratios than conventional mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the property and increasing the risk of loss of principal. As a result, a partial loss in the value of the underlying collateral can result in a total loss of the value of the mezzanine loan. Moreover, even if we are able to foreclose on the underlying collateral following a default on a mezzanine loan, we would be substituted for the defaulting borrower and, to the extent income generated on the underlying property is insufficient to meet outstanding debt obligations on the property, may need to commit substantial additional capital and / or deliver a replacement guarantee by a creditworthy entity, which could include us, to stabilize the property and prevent additional defaults to lenders with existing liens on the property. Significant losses related to our mezzanine loans and our indirect CMBS B- Piece investments would could result in operating losses for us and may limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. Investments in preferred equity involve a greater risk of loss than conventional debt financing due to a variety of factors, including their non-collateralized nature and subordinated ranking to other loans and liabilities of the entity in which such preferred equity is held. Accordingly, if the issuer defaults on our investment, we would only be able to proceed against such entity in accordance with the terms of the preferred equity, and not against any property owned by such entity. Furthermore, in the event of bankruptcy or foreclosure, we would only be able to recoup our investment after all lenders to, and other creditors of, such entity are paid in full. As a result, we may lose all or a significant part of our investment, which could result in significant losses. In addition, our investments in senior loans may be effectively subordinated to the extent we borrow under a warehouse loan (which can be in the form of a repurchase agreement) or similar facility and pledge the senior loan as collateral. Under these arrangements, the lender has a right to repayment of the borrowed amount before we can collect on the value of the senior loan, and therefore if the value of the pledged senior loan decreases below the amount we have borrowed, we would experience a loss. Investments may be concentrated in terms of geography, asset types and sponsors, which could subject us to increased risk of loss. We are not required to observe specific diversification criteria, except as may be set forth in the investment guidelines adopted by our board of directors. Therefore, our investments in our target assets may at times be concentrated in certain property types that may be subject to higher risk of default or foreclosure, or secured by properties concentrated in a limited number of geographic locations. To the extent that our assets are concentrated in any one region, sponsor or type of asset, economic and business downturns generally relating to such type of asset, sponsor or region may result in defaults on a number of our investments within a short time period, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, because of asset concentrations, even modest changes in the value of the underlying real estate assets could have a significant impact on the value of our investment. As a result of any high levels of concentration, any adverse economic, political, climate-related or other conditions that disproportionately affects those geographic areas or asset classes could have a magnified adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition, and the value of our stockholders' investments could vary more widely than if we invested in a more diverse portfolio of loans. Our investment strategy may be changed without stockholder consent. While we primarily seek to make real estate- related debt investments, our Manager may otherwise implement on our behalf strategies or discretionary approaches it believes from time to time may be best suited to prevailing market conditions in furtherance of that purpose, subject to the supervision and direction of our board of directors and the limitations set forth in our organizational documents and governing agreements. There can be no assurance that our Manager will be successful in implementing any particular investment strategy. Our Manager may change our investment strategy or asset allocation at any time without the consent of stockholders, which could result in our Manager making investments that are different from, and possibly riskier than, the investments described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. A change in our investment strategy may also increase our exposure to interest rate and real estate market fluctuations and could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Our investments in CMBS and other similarly structured finance investments, as well as those we structure, sponsor or arrange, would pose additional risks, including the risks of the securitization process, the risk that we will not be able to recover some or all of our investment, the possibility that the CMBS market will be significantly affected by current or future regulation and the risk that we will not be able to hedge or transfer our CMBS B- Piece investments for a significant period of time. We have invested and may from time to time invest in pools or tranches of CMBS and other similar securities. The collateral underlying CMBS generally consists of commercial mortgages or real property that have a multifamily or commercial use, such as retail space, office buildings, warehouse property and hotels. CMBS have been issued in a variety of issuances, with varying structures including senior and subordinated classes. Our investments in CMBS are subject to losses. In general, losses on a mortgaged property securing a senior loan included in a securitization will be borne first by the equity holder of the property, then by a cash reserve fund or letter of credit, if any, then by the holder of a mezzanine loan or B- Note, if any, then by the "first loss" subordinated security holder (generally, the B-Piece buyer) and then by the holder of a higher-rated security. In the event of default and the exhaustion of any equity support, reserve fund, letter of credit, mezzanine loans or B- Notes, and any classes of securities junior to those in which we invest, we will not be able to recover some or all of our investment in the securities we purchase. There can be no assurance that our CMBS underwriting practices will yield their desired results and there can be no assurance that we will be able to effectively achieve our investment objective or that projected returns will be achieved. In addition, the CMBS market may be significantly affected by current or future regulation. The risk retention rules under the Dodd- Frank Act, which generally require a sponsor of a CMBS transaction to retain, directly or indirectly, at least 5 % of the credit risk of the securitized assets collateralizing the CMBS, went into effect in December 2016. The impact of these current and future requirements on the CMBS securitization market generally are uncertain and may result in many CMBS market participants ceasing origination of and investment in CMBS, a lack of liquidity in the CMBS market and increased costs in CMBS transactions. As a result, there may be little or no CMBS investment opportunities available to us and any opportunities that are available may be less attractive than CMBS opportunities prior to the effectiveness of the risk retention rules. The rules may also negatively affect the market value of our current CMBS holdings as well as the larger commercial real estate debt markets. If we invest in a CMBS B- Piece because a

```
sponsor of a CMBS utilizes us as an eligible third- party purchaser to satisfy the risk retention rules under the Dodd- Frank Act,
we will be required to meet certain conditions, including holding the related CMBS B- Piece, without transferring or hedging
the CMBS B- Piece, for a significant period of time (at least five years), which could prevent us from mitigating losses on the
CMBS B- Piece. Even if we seek to transfer the CMBS B- Piece after five years, any subsequent purchaser of the CMBS B-
Piece will be required to satisfy the same conditions that we were required to satisfy when we acquired the interest from the
CMBS sponsor. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that any secondary market liquidity will exist for such CMBS B-
Pieces. We currently have and expect to make our CMBS B- Piece investments indirectly through our investment in an
aggregator vehicle alongside RECOP I, a KKR- managed investment fund. See "Risks Related to Our Relationship with Our
Manager and Its Affiliates — There are various conflicts of interest in our relationship with KKR, including with our Manager
and in the allocation of investment opportunities to KKR investment vehicles and us, which could result in decisions that are not
in the best interests of our stockholders" and Part II, Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition
and Results of Operations — Our Portfolio. "Any credit ratings assigned to our investments or to us will be subject to ongoing
evaluations and revisions and we cannot assure you that those ratings will not be downgraded. Some of our investments may be
rated by rating agencies. Any credit ratings on assigned to our investments or to us are subject to ongoing evaluation by credit
rating agencies, and we cannot assure you that any such ratings will not be downgraded or withdrawn by a rating agency in the
future if, in its judgment, circumstances warrant. If rating agencies assign a lower- than- expected rating or reduce or withdraw,
or indicate that they may reduce or withdraw, their ratings of our investments in the future, the value and liquidity of our
investments could significantly decline, which would adversely affect the value of our investment portfolio and could result in
losses upon disposition or the failure of borrowers to satisfy their debt service obligations to us. Further, any downgrade of the
Company's credit ratings by any of credit agencies that cover our debt may make it more difficult and costly for us to access
capital. Our credit rating has been downgraded in the past and There there can be no assurances that our credit ratings will
not be downgraded in the future, whether as a result of deteriorating general economic conditions, failure to successfully
implement our operating strategy or the adverse impact on our results of operations or liquidity position of any of the above, or
otherwise. We have in the past, and may in the future, need to foreclose on certain of the loans we originate or acquire, which
could result in losses that harm our results of operations and financial condition. We may find it necessary or desirable to
foreclose on certain of the loans we originate or acquire, have acquired and may in the future further acquire through foreclosure
or deed- in- lieu of foreclosure, the ownership of property securing our loans, and the foreclosure process may be lengthy and
expensive. If we foreclose on an asset, we may take title to the property securing that asset, and if we do not or cannot sell the
property, we would then come to own and operate it as "real estate owned". Owning and operating real property involves risks
that are different (and in many ways more significant) than the risks faced in owning a loan secured by that property. The costs
associated with operating and redeveloping the property, including any operating shortfalls and significant capital expenditures,
could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. In addition, at such time that we
elect to sell such property, the liquidation proceeds upon sale of the underlying real estate may not be sufficient to recover our
cost basis, resulting in a loss to us. Furthermore, any costs or delays involved in the maintenance or liquidation of the underlying
property will further reduce the net proceeds and, thus, increase the loss. Whether or not we have participated in the negotiation
of the terms of any such loans, we cannot assure you as to the adequacy of the protection of the terms of the applicable loan,
including the validity or enforceability of the loan and the maintenance of the anticipated priority and perfection of the
applicable security interests. Furthermore, claims may be asserted by lenders or borrowers that might interfere with enforcement
of our rights. Borrowers may resist foreclosure actions by asserting numerous claims, counterclaims and defenses against us,
including, without limitation, lender liability claims and defenses, even when the assertions may have no basis in fact, in an
effort to prolong the foreclosure action and seek to force the lender into a modification of the loan or a favorable buy- out of the
borrower's position in the loan. In some states, foreclosure actions can take several years or more to litigate. At any time prior
to or during the foreclosure proceedings, the borrower may file for bankruptcy, which would have the effect of staying the
foreclosure actions and further delaying the foreclosure process and potentially resulting in a reduction or discharge of a
borrower's debt. Foreclosure may create a negative public perception of the related property, resulting in a diminution of its
value . Even if we are successful in foreclosing on a loan, the liquidation proceeds upon sale of the underlying real estate
may not be sufficient to recover our cost basis in the loan, resulting in a loss to us. Furthermore, any costs or delays
involved in the foreclosure of the loan or a liquidation of the underlying property will further reduce the net sale
proceeds and, therefore, increase any such losses to us. We may also be subject to environmental liabilities arising from such
properties acquired in the foreclosure process. Under various U. S. federal, state and local laws, an owner or operator of real
property may become liable for the costs of removal of certain hazardous substances released on its property. These laws often
impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release of such hazardous
substances. If we assume ownership of any properties underlying our loans, the presence of hazardous substances on a property
may adversely affect our ability to sell the property and we may incur substantial remediation costs. As a result, the discovery of
material environmental liabilities attached to such properties could materially and adversely affect us. We may be subject to
lender liability claims, and if we are held liable under such claims, we could be subject to losses. In recent years, a number of
judicial decisions have upheld the right of borrowers to sue lending institutions on the basis of various evolving legal theories,
collectively termed "lender liability." Generally, lender liability is founded on the premise that a lender has either violated a
duty, whether implied or contractual, of good faith and fair dealing owed to the borrower or has assumed a degree of control
over the borrower resulting in the creation of a fiduciary duty owed to the borrower or its other creditors or stockholders. No
assurance can be given that such claims will not arise or that we will not be subject to significant liability if a claim of this type
did arise. Any distressed loans or investments we make, or loans and investments that later become distressed, may subject us to
losses and other risks relating to bankruptcy proceedings. While our investment strategy focuses primarily on investments in "
```

performing "real estate- related interests, our investment program may include making distressed investments from time to time (e. g., investments in defaulted, out- of- favor or distressed loans and debt securities) or may involve investments that become " non-performing" following our origination or acquisition thereof. Certain of our investments may, therefore, include specific securities of companies that typically are highly leveraged, with significant burdens on cash flow and, therefore, involve a high degree of risk of substantial or total losses on our investments and in certain circumstances, may become subject to certain additional potential liabilities that may exceed the value of our original investment therein. For example, under certain circumstances, a lender who has inappropriately exercised control over the management and policies of a debtor may have its claims subordinated or disallowed or may be found liable for damages suffered by parties as a result of such actions. During an economic downturn or recession, securities of financially troubled or operationally troubled issuers are more likely to go into default than securities of other issuers. Securities of financially troubled issuers and operationally troubled issuers are less liquid and more volatile than securities of companies not experiencing financial difficulties. The market prices of such securities are subject to erratic and abrupt market movements and the spread between bid and ask prices may be greater than normally expected. Investment in the securities of financially troubled issuers and operationally troubled issuers involves a high degree of credit and market risk. In certain limited cases (e. g., in connection with a workout, restructuring and / or foreclosing proceedings involving one or more of our debt investments), the success of our investment strategy with respect thereto will depend, in part, on our ability to effectuate loan modifications and or restructures and improve the operations of our borrower entities. The activity of identifying and implementing any such restructuring programs entails a high degree of uncertainty. There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully identify and implement such restructuring programs. Further, such modifications and / or restructuring may entail, among other things, a substantial reduction in the interest rate and a substantial write- off offs of the principal of such loan, debt securities or other interests. However, even if a restructuring were successfully accomplished, a risk exists that, upon maturity of such real estate loan, debt securities or other interests replacement "takeout" financing will not be available. These financial difficulties may never be overcome and may cause borrowers to become subject to bankruptcy or other similar administrative and operating proceedings. There is a possibility that we may incur substantial or total losses on our investments and in certain circumstances, become subject to certain additional potential liabilities that may exceed the value of our original investment therein. For example, under certain circumstances, a lender who has inappropriately exercised control over the management and policies of a debtor may have its claims subordinated or disallowed or may be found liable for damages suffered by parties as a result of such actions. In any reorganization or liquidation proceeding relating to our investments, we may lose our entire investment, may be required to accept cash or securities with a value less than our original investment and / or may be required to accept payment over an extended period of time. In addition, under certain circumstances, payments to us and distributions by us to the stockholders may be reclaimed if any such payment or distribution is later determined to have been a fraudulent conveyance, preferential payment or similar transaction under applicable bankruptcy and insolvency laws. Furthermore, bankruptcy laws and similar laws applicable to administrative proceedings may delay our ability to realize value on collateral for loan positions held by us or may adversely affect the priority of such loans through doctrines such as equitable subordination or may result in a restructure of the debt through principles such as the "cramdown" provisions of the bankruptcy laws. A prolonged economic slowdown, a lengthy or severe recession or declining real estate values could impair our investments and harm our operations. We believe the risks associated with our business will be more severe during periods of economic slowdown or recession if these periods are accompanied by declining real estate values. Declining real estate values will likely reduce the level of new mortgage and other real estate - related loan originations since borrowers often use appreciation in the value of their existing properties to support the purchase or investment in additional properties. Borrowers may also be less able to pay principal and interest on our loans if the value of real estate weakens, Further, declining real estate values significantly increase the likelihood that we will incur losses on its loans in the event of default because the value of our collateral may be insufficient to cover its cost on the loan. Any sustained period of increased payment delinquencies, foreclosures or losses could adversely affect our Manager's ability to invest in, sell and securitize loans, which would materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and business and our ability to pay dividends to stockholders. Market disruptions in a single country could cause a worsening of conditions on a regional and even global level, and economic problems in a single country are increasingly affecting other markets and economies. A continuation of this trend could result in problems in one country adversely affecting regional and even global economic conditions and markets. For example, concerns about the fiscal stability and growth prospects of certain European countries in the last economic downturn had a negative impact on most economies of the Eurozone and global markets. More recently, Russia's invasion of Ukraine has disrupted energy prices and the movement of goods in Europe resulting in rising energy costs and inflation more generally, particularly in Europe. The occurrence of similar crises in the future could cause increased volatility in the economies and financial markets of countries throughout a region, or even globally. Additionally, global trade disruption, significant introductions of trade barriers and bilateral trade frictions, including due to war or other hostilities, together with any future downturns in the global economy resulting therefrom, could adversely affect our performance. We may experience a decline in the value of our assets. A decline in the value of our assets may require us to recognize an "other-than-temporary" impairment or write-offs against such assets under GAAP if we were to determine that, with respect to any assets in unrealized loss positions, we do not have the ability and intent to hold such assets to maturity or for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery to the original acquisition cost of such assets. If such a determination were to be made, we would recognize unrealized losses through earnings and write- off offs the amortized cost of such assets to a new cost basis, based on the value of such assets on the date they are considered to be other-thantemporarily impaired. Such impairment charges reflect non- cash losses at the time of recognition; subsequent disposition or sale of such assets could further affect our future losses or gains, as they are based on the difference between the sale price received and adjusted amortized cost of such assets at the time of sale. If we experience a decline in the value of our assets, it could

adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Some of our portfolio investments may be recorded at fair value and, as a result, there will be uncertainty as to the value of these investments. Some or all of our portfolio investments may be in the form of positions or securities that are not publicly traded and are recorded at their estimated fair value. The fair value of investments that are not publicly traded may not be readily determinable. Our Manager will determine the fair value of these investments which may include unobservable inputs. Because such valuations are subjective, the fair value of certain of our assets may fluctuate over short periods of time and our Manager's determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used if a ready market for these securities existed. Our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected if our Manager's determinations regarding the fair value of these investments were materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon their disposal. We may invest in derivative instruments, which would subject us to increased risk of loss. Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we may also invest in, or use as part of our investment strategy, certain derivative instruments, including swaps, futures, forwards and options. Generally, a derivative is a financial contract the value of which depends upon, or is derived from, the value of an underlying asset, reference rate or index and may relate to individual debt or equity instruments, interest rates, currencies or currency exchange rates, commodities, related indices or other assets. The gross returns to be exchanged or swapped between the parties under a derivative instrument are generally calculated with respect to a "notional amount," which may be significantly greater than the amount of cash or assets required to establish or maintain the derivative position. Accordingly, trading in derivative instruments can result in large amounts of leverage, which may magnify the gains and losses experienced by us in respect of derivative instruments and may result in a loss of capital that is more exaggerated than would have resulted from an investment that did not involve the use of leverage inherent in the derivative contract. While the judicious use of derivative instruments can be beneficial, such instruments involve risks different from, and, in certain cases, greater than, the risks presented by more traditional investments. Many of the derivative instruments used by us will be privately negotiated in over- the- counter ("OTC") markets. Such derivatives are highly specialized instruments that require investment techniques and risk analyses different from those associated with equities and bonds. The use of derivative instruments also requires an understanding not only of the underlying asset, reference rate or index but also of the derivative itself, without the benefit of observing the performance of the derivative under all possible market conditions. The use of derivative instruments may also require us to sell or purchase portfolio securities at inopportune times or for prices below or above the current market values, may limit the amount of appreciation we can realize on an investment or may cause us to hold a security that it might otherwise want to sell. We may also have to defer closing out certain derivative positions to avoid adverse tax consequences and there may be situations in which derivative instruments are not elected that result in losses greater than if such instruments had been used. Furthermore, amounts paid by us as premiums and cash or other assets held in margin accounts with respect to our derivative instruments would not be available to us for other investment purposes, which may result in lost opportunities for gain. Investing in derivative instruments may present various additional market and counterparty- related risks including, but not limited to: • Lack of Liquidity: Derivative instruments, especially when purchased in large amounts, may not be liquid in all circumstances, so that in volatile markets we may not be able to close out a position without incurring a loss. Although both OTC and exchange- traded derivative markets may experience the lack of liquidity, OTC non-standardized derivative transactions are generally less liquid than exchange- traded instruments, particularly because participants in OTC markets are not required to make continuous markets in the contracts they trade. • Volatility: The prices of derivative instruments, including swaps, futures, forwards and options, are highly volatile and such instruments may subject us to significant losses. The value of such derivatives also depends upon the price of the underlying asset, reference rate or index, which may also be subject to volatility. In addition, actual or implied daily limits on price fluctuations and speculative position limits on the exchanges or OTC markets in which we may conduct our transactions in derivative instruments may prevent prompt liquidation of positions, subjecting us to the potential of greater losses. Derivative instruments that may be purchased or sold by us may include instruments not traded on an exchange. The risk of nonperformance by the obligor on such an instrument may be greater and the ease with which we can dispose of or enter into closing transactions with respect to such an instrument may be less than in the case of an exchange-traded instrument. In addition, significant disparities may exist between "bid" and "asked" prices for derivative instruments that are traded OTC and not on an exchange. Such OTC derivatives are also typically not subject to the same type of investor protections or governmental regulation as exchange traded instruments. • Imperfect Correlation: When used for hedging purposes, an imperfect or variable degree of correlation between price movements of the derivative instrument and the underlying asset, reference rate or index sought to be hedged may prevent us from achieving the intended hedging effect or expose us to the risk of loss. The imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative and the underlying assets may result in losses on the derivative transaction that are greater than the gain in the value of the underlying assets in our portfolio. • Valuation Risk: The derivative instruments used by us may be difficult to value or involve the risk of mispricing or improper valuation, especially where the markets for such derivatives instruments are illiquid and / or such derivatives involve complex structures, or where there is imperfect correlation between the value of the derivative instrument and the underlying asset, reference rate or index. Counterparty Risk: Derivative instruments also involve exposure to counterparty risk, since contract performance depends in part on the financial condition of the counterparty. See "—Risks Related to Our Financing and Hedging — We will-may be subject to counterparty risk associated with any hedging activities." Additionally, our Manager may cause us to take advantage of investment opportunities with respect to derivative instruments that are neither presently contemplated nor currently available, but which may be developed in the future, to the extent such opportunities are both consistent with our investment objectives and legally permissible. Any such investments may expose us to unique and presently indeterminate risks, the impact of which may not be capable of determination until such instruments are developed and / or our Manager determines to make such an investment on our behalf. Transactions denominated in foreign currencies may subject us to foreign currency risks. Although we have not done so to date, we may originate, invest in or acquire assets denominated in foreign currencies, which

```
may expose us to foreign currency risk. As a result, a change in foreign currency exchange rates may have an adverse impact on
the valuation of our assets, as well as our income and distributions. Any such changes in foreign currency exchange rates may
impact the measurement of such assets or income for the purposes of the REIT tests and may affect the amounts available for
payment of dividends on our common stock. See "Risks Related to Our REIT Status and Certain Other Tax Considerations."
Loans or investments involving international real estate- related assets are subject to special risks that we may not manage
effectively, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our
stockholders. Our investment guidelines permit investments in non- U. S. assets, subject to the same guidelines as investments in
U. S. assets. To the extent that we invest in non- U. S. real estate- related assets, we may be subject to certain risks associated
with international investments generally, including, among others: • currency exchange matters, including fluctuations in
currency exchange rates and costs associated with conversion of investment principal and income from one currency to another;
· less developed or efficient financial markets than in the United States, which may lead to potential price volatility and relative
illiquidity; • the burdens of complying with international regulatory requirements and prohibitions that differ between
jurisdictions; • changes in laws or clarifications to existing laws that could impact our tax treaty positions, which could
adversely impact the returns on our investments; • a less developed legal or regulatory environment, differences in the legal and
regulatory environment or enhanced legal and regulatory compliance; • political hostility to investments by foreign investors; •
higher transaction costs; • difficulty enforcing contractual obligations; • fewer investor protections; • war or other hostilities; •
potentially adverse tax consequences; or • other economic and political risks, including potential exchange control
regulations and restrictions on our non- U. S. investments and repatriation of profits from investments or of capital
invested, the risks of political, economic or social instability, the possibility of expropriation or confiscatory taxation and
adverse economic or political developments. If any of the foregoing risks were to materialize, they could adversely affect our
results of operations and financial condition . Concerns regarding the stability of the sovereign debt of certain European
countries and other geopolitical issues and market perceptions concerning the instability of the Euro, the potential re-
introduction of individual currencies within the Eurozone, or the potential dissolution of the Euro entirely, could adversely
affect our business, results of operations and The sovereign debt crisis experienced by several European Union (E. U.) countries
in 2008-2012, together with the risk of contagion to other more financially stable countries, raised a number of uncertainties
regarding the stability and overall standing of the European Monetary Union. Concern over such uncertainties has been
exacerbated by other geopolitical issues that may affect the Eurozone, including the United Kingdom's (U. K.) exit from the E.
U., or Brexit. Any further deterioration in the global or Eurozone economy could have an adverse effect on our activities, if any,
and the value of any investments secured by European collateral. If any country were to leave the Eurozone, or if the Eurozone
were to break up entirely, the treatment of debt obligations previously denominated in Euros is uncertain. A number of issues
would be raised, such as whether obligations that are expressed to be payable in Euros would be re-denominated into a new
eurrency. The answer to this and other questions is uncertain and would depend on the way in which the break- up occurred and
also on the nature of the transaction; the law governing it; which courts have jurisdiction in relation to it; the place of payment;
and the place of incorporation of the payor. If we were to hold any investments in Euros at the time of any Eurozone exits or
break- up, this uncertainty and potential re-denomination could have a material adverse effect on the value of our investments
and the income from them. The U. K.'s exit from the E. U. could adversely affect us. The United Kingdom left the E. U. on
January 31, 2020. On May 1, 2021, the E. U.- U. K. Trade and Cooperation Agreement, or the TCA, became effective. The
TCA provides the United Kingdom and E. U. members with preferential access to each other's markets, without tariffs or
quotas on imported products between the jurisdictions, provided that certain rules of origin requirements are complied with.
However, economic relations between the United Kingdom and the E. U. will now be on more restricted terms than existed
prior to Brexit. The long-term effects of Brexit are expected to depend on, among other things, any agreements the U. K. has
made, or makes to retain access to E. U. markets. Brexit could adversely affect European or worldwide economic or market
conditions and could contribute to instability in global financial and real estate markets. In addition, Brexit could lead to legal
uncertainty and potentially divergent national laws and regulations as the U. K. determines which E. U. laws to replace or
replicate. Any of these effects of Brexit, and others we cannot anticipate, could adversely affect our business, business
opportunities, results of operations, financial condition and eash flows to the extent we operate or hold assets in such
jurisdiction. Likewise, similar actions taken by other European and other countries in which we may operate could have a
similar adverse impact. The lack of liquidity in certain of our target assets may adversely affect our business. The illiquidity of
some or all of our investments may make it difficult for us to sell such investments if the need or desire arises. In addition,
certain of our investments may become less liquid after investment as a result of periods of delinquencies, defaults or turbulent
market conditions, which may make it more difficult for us to dispose of such assets at advantageous times or in a timely
manner. Moreover, many of our investments will not be registered under the relevant securities laws, resulting in prohibitions on
their transfer, sale, pledge or their disposition except in transactions that are exempt from registration requirements or are
otherwise in accordance with such laws. As a result, many of our investments are expected to be illiquid, and if we are required
to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we previously
recorded our investments. Further, we may face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment to the extent that we
or our Manager has or could be attributed as having material, non-public information regarding such business entity. As a result,
our ability to vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic or other conditions may be relatively limited, which could
adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. We have utilized and may utilize in the future non-recourse
long- term securitizations to finance our loans and investments, which may expose us to risks that could result in losses. We
have utilized and may utilize in the future, non-recourse securitizations of certain of our portfolio investments to generate cash
for funding new loans and investments and other purposes. These transactions generally involve us creating a special-purpose
vehicle, contributing a pool of our assets to the entity, and selling interests in the entity on a non-recourse basis to purchasers
```

(whom we would expect to be willing to accept a lower interest rate to invest in investment- grade loan pools). We would expect to retain all or a portion of the equity and potentially other tranches in the securitized pool of loans or investments. In addition, we have retained in the past and may in the future retain a pari passu participation in the securitized pool of loans. Prior to any such financing, we may use short- term facilities to finance the acquisition of securities until a sufficient quantity of investments had been accumulated, at which time we would refinance these facilities through a securitization, such as a CMBS, or issuance of CLOs, or the private placement of loan participations or other long-term financing. As a result, we would be subject to the risk that we would not be able to acquire, during the period that our short- term facilities are available, a sufficient amount of eligible investments to maximize the efficiency of a CMBS, CLO or private placement issuance. We also would be subject to the risk that we would not be able to obtain short- term credit facilities or would not be able to renew any short- term credit facilities after they expire should we find it necessary to extend our short- term credit facilities to allow more time to seek and acquire the necessary eligible investments for a long- term financing. The inability to consummate securitizations of our portfolio to finance our loans and investments on a long- term basis could require us to seek other forms of potentially less attractive financing or to liquidate assets at an inopportune time or price, which could adversely affect our performance and our ability to grow our business. Moreover, conditions in the capital markets which we are currently experiencing, including volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets, may not permit a non-recourse securitization at any particular time or may make the issuance of any such securitization less attractive to us even when we do have sufficient eligible assets. We may also suffer losses if the value of the mortgage loans we acquire declines prior to securitization. Declines in the value of a mortgage loan can be due to, among other things, changes in interest rates and changes in the credit quality of the loan. In addition, we may suffer a loss due to the incurrence of transaction costs related to executing these transactions. To the extent that we incur a loss executing or participating in future securitizations for the reasons described above or for other reasons, it could materially and adversely impact our business and financial condition. In addition, the securitization of our portfolio might magnify our exposure to losses because any equity interest we retain in the issuing entity would be subordinate to the notes issued to investors and we would, therefore, absorb all of the losses sustained with respect to a securitized pool of assets before the owners of the notes experience any losses. The inability to securitize our portfolio may hurt our performance and our ability to grow our business. At the same time, the securitization of our loans or investments might expose us to losses, as the residual loans or investments in which we do not sell interests will tend to be riskier and more likely to generate losses. Moreover, the Dodd-Frank Act contains a risk retention requirement for all asset-backed securities, which requires both public and private securitizers to retain not less than 5 % of the credit risk of the assets collateralizing any asset-backed security issuance. Significant restrictions exist, and additional restrictions may be added in the future, regarding who may hold risk retention interests, the structure of the entities that hold risk retention interests and when and how such risk retention interests may be transferred. Therefore such risk retention interests will generally be illiquid. As a result of the risk retention requirements, we have and may in the future be required to purchase and retain certain interests in a securitization into which we sell mortgage loans and / or when we act as issuer, may be required to sell certain interests in a securitization at prices below levels that such interests have historically yielded and / or may be required to enter into certain arrangements related to risk retention that we have not historically been required to enter into. Accordingly, the risk retention rules may increase our potential liabilities and / or reduce our potential profits in connection with securitization of mortgage loans. It is likely, therefore, that these risk retention rules will increase the administrative and operational costs of asset securitizations. All of our assets may be subject to recourse. All of our assets, including any investments made by us and any funds held by us, may be available to satisfy all of our liabilities and other obligations. If we become subject to a liability, parties seeking to have the liability satisfied may have recourse to our assets generally and not be limited to any particular asset, such as the asset representing the investment giving rise to the liability. Accounting rules for certain of our transactions are highly complex and involve significant judgment and assumptions, which could impact our ability to timely prepare consolidated financial statements. Accounting rules for current expected credit losses, transfers of financial assets, securitization transactions, consolidation of VIEs and other aspects of our operations are highly complex and involve significant judgment and assumptions. These complexities could lead to a delay in preparation of financial information and the delivery of this information to our stockholders. Changes in accounting interpretations or assumptions could also impact our consolidated financial statements and our ability to timely prepare our consolidated financial statements. Our inability to timely prepare our consolidated financial statements in the future would likely have a significant adverse effect on our stock price. Provisions for credit losses are difficult to estimate. Our provision for credit losses is evaluated on a quarterly basis. The determination of our provision for credit losses requires us to make certain estimates and judgments, which may be difficult to determine. Our estimates and judgments are based on a number of factors, including projected cash flow from the collateral securing our loans, debt structure, including the availability of reserves and recourse guarantees, likelihood of repayment in full at the maturity of a loan, potential for refinancing and expected market discount rates for varying property types, all of which remain uncertain and are subjective. Our estimates and judgments may not be correct and, therefore, our results of operations and financial condition could be severely impacted. In addition, on January 1, 2020, we adopted Accounting Standards Update 2016-13, "Financial Instruments — Credit Losses, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments (Topic 326), "which replaced the " incurred loss" model for recognizing credit losses with an "expected loss" model referred to as the Current Expected Credit Loss model, or CECL. Under the CECL model, we are required to present certain financial assets carried at amortized cost, such as loans held for investment, at the net amount expected to be collected. The measurement of expected credit losses is based on information about past events, including historical experience, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts that affect the collectability of the reported amount. This measurement will take place at the time the financial asset is first added to the balance sheet and updated quarterly thereafter. This differs significantly from the "incurred loss" model previously required under GAAP, which delayed recognition until it was probable a loss has been incurred. Accordingly, the adoption of the CECL model has materially affected, and will continue to materially affect, how we determine our allowance for loan losses

```
and could require us to significantly increase our allowance and recognize provisions for credit losses earlier in the lending
cycle. Moreover, the CECL model may create more volatility in the level of our allowance for credit losses. If we are required
to materially increase our level of allowance for credit losses for any reason, such increase could adversely affect our business,
financial condition and results of operations. There are increased risks involved with our construction lending activities.
We have invested and may invest in mortgage loans and mezzanine loans in which the underlying real property was
under construction, which may expose us to increased lending risks. Construction lending generally is considered to
involve a higher degree of risk of non- payment and loss than other types of lending due to a variety of factors, including
the difficulties in estimating construction costs and anticipating construction delays (or governmental shut-downs of
construction activity) and, generally, the dependency on timely, successful completion and the lease-up and
commencement of operations post- completion. In addition, since such loans generally entail greater risk than mortgage
loans collateralized by income-producing property, we may need to increase our allowance for loan losses in the future
to account for the likely increase in probable incurred credit losses associated with such loans. Further, as the lender
under a construction loan, we may be obligated to fund all or a significant portion of the loan at one or more future
dates. We may not have the funds available at such future date (s) to meet our funding obligations under the loan. In that
event, we would likely be in breach of the loan unless we are able to raise the funds from alternative sources, which we
may not be able to achieve on favorable terms or at all. If a borrower fails to complete the construction of a project or
experiences cost overruns, there could be adverse consequences associated with the loan, including a decline in the value
of the property securing the loan, a borrower claim against us for failure to perform under the loan documents if we
choose to stop funding, increased costs to the borrower that the borrower is unable to pay, a bankruptcy filing by the
borrower, and abandonment by the borrower of the collateral for the loan. Insurance on loans and real estate securities
collateral may not cover all losses. There are certain types of losses, generally of a catastrophic nature, such as
earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, terrorism or acts of war, which may be uninsurable or not economically insurable.
Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors also might result in
insurance proceeds insufficient to repair or replace a property if it is damaged or destroyed. Under these circumstances,
the insurance proceeds received with respect to a property relating to one of our investments might not be adequate to
restore our economic position with respect to our investment. Any uninsured loss could result in the corresponding
nonperformance of or loss on our investment related to such property. Our indebtedness may subject us to increased risk of
loss and could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. We currently have outstanding indebtedness
and, subject to market conditions and availability, we may incur a significant amount of additional debt through bank credit
facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), warehouse facilities and structured financing arrangements, public and
private debt issuances (including through securitizations) and derivative instruments, in addition to transaction or asset-specific
funding arrangements and additional repurchase agreements. We may also issue debt or equity securities to fund our growth.
The percentage of leverage we employ will vary depending on our available capital, our ability to obtain and access financing
arrangements with lenders, the type of asset we are funding, whether the financing is recourse or non-recourse, debt restrictions
contained in those financing arrangements and the lenders' and rating agencies' estimate of the stability of our investment
portfolio's cash flow. We may significantly increase the amount of leverage we utilize at any time without approval of our
board of directors. In addition, we may leverage individual assets at substantially higher levels. Incurring substantial debt could
subject us to many risks that, if realized, would materially and adversely affect us, including the risk that: • our cash flow from
operations may be insufficient to make required payments of principal of and interest on our debt or we may fail to comply with
covenants contained in our debt agreements, which is likely to result in (+i) acceleration of such debt (and any other debt
containing a cross- default or cross- acceleration provision), which we then may be unable to repay from internal funds or to
refinance on favorable terms, or at all, (2-ii) our inability to borrow undrawn amounts under our financing arrangements, even if
we are current in payments on borrowings under those arrangements, which would result in a decrease in our liquidity, and / or (
3-iii) the loss of some or all of our collateral assets to foreclosure or sale; • our debt may increase our vulnerability to adverse
economic and industry conditions with no assurance that investment yields will increase in an amount sufficient to offset the
higher financing costs; • we may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on
our debt, thereby reducing funds available for operations, future business opportunities, stockholder distributions or other
purposes; and • we may not be able to refinance any debt that matures prior to the maturity (or realization) of an underlying
investment it was used to finance on favorable terms or at all. There can be no assurance that a leveraging strategy will be
successful, and such strategy may subject us to increased risk of loss and could adversely affect our results of operations and
financial condition. We leverage certain of our target assets, which may adversely affect our return on our investments and may
reduce cash available for distribution. We leverage certain of our target assets through borrowings under our repurchase
agreements. Leverage can enhance our potential returns but can also exacerbate losses. The return on our investments and cash
available for distribution to stockholders may be reduced if market conditions cause the cost of our financing to increase relative
to the income that can be derived from the assets acquired, which could adversely affect the price of our common stock. In
addition, our debt service payments will reduce cash flow available for distributions to stockholders. As a borrower, we are also
subject to the risk that we may not be able to meet our debt service obligations. To the extent that we cannot meet our debt
service obligations, we risk the loss of some or all of our assets to foreclosure or sale to satisfy our debt obligations. The
utilization of any of our repurchase facilities is subject to the pre- approval of the lender. We utilize repurchase agreements to
finance the purchase of certain investments. In order for us to borrow funds under a repurchase agreement, our lender must have
the right to review the potential assets for which we are seeking financing and approve such assets in its sole discretion.
Accordingly, we may be unable to obtain the consent of a lender to finance an investment and alternate sources of financing for
such asset may not exist. Our master repurchase agreements impose, and additional lending facilities may impose, restrictive
```

covenants, which would restrict our flexibility to determine our operating policies and investment strategy and to conduct our business. We borrow funds under master repurchase agreements with various counterparties. The documents that govern these master repurchase agreements and the related guarantees contain, and additional lending facilities may contain, customary affirmative and negative covenants, including financial covenants applicable to us that may restrict our flexibility to determine our operating policies and investment strategy. In particular, our master repurchase agreements require us to maintain a certain amount of cash or set aside assets sufficient to maintain a specified liquidity position that would allow us to satisfy our collateral obligations. As a result, we may not be able to leverage our assets as fully as we would otherwise choose, which could reduce our return on assets. If we are unable to meet these collateral obligations, our financial condition and prospects could deteriorate rapidly. If we fail to meet or satisfy any of these covenants, we would be in default under these agreements, and our lenders could elect to declare outstanding amounts due and payable, terminate their commitments, require the posting of additional collateral and enforce their interests against existing collateral. We may also be subject to cross- default and acceleration rights in our other debt facilities. Further, this could also make it difficult for us to satisfy the requirements necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT for U. S. federal income tax purposes or to maintain our exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act. Our master repurchase agreements also grant certain consent rights to the lenders thereunder which give them the right to consent to certain modifications to the pledged collateral. This could limit our ability to manage a pledged investment in a way that we think would provide the best outcome for our stockholders. These types of financing arrangements also involve the risk that the market value of the assets pledged or sold by us to the provider of the financing may decline in value, in which case the lender or counterparty may require us to provide additional collateral or lead to margin calls that may require us to repay all or a portion of the funds advanced. We may not have the funds available to repay our debt at that time, which would likely result in defaults unless we are able to raise the funds from alternative sources including by selling assets at a time when we might not otherwise choose to do so, which we may not be able to achieve on favorable terms or at all. Posting additional margin would reduce our cash available to make other, higher yielding investments (thereby decreasing our return on equity). If we cannot meet these requirements, the lender or counterparty could accelerate our indebtedness, increase the interest rate on advanced funds and terminate our ability to borrow funds from it, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and ability to implement our investment strategy. In the case of repurchase transactions, if the value of the underlying security has declined as of the end of that term, or if we default on our obligations under the repurchase agreement, we will likely incur a loss on our repurchase transactions. We depend on, or may in the future depend on, repurchase agreements, bank credit facilities, warehouse facilities and structured financing arrangements, public and private debt issuances (including through securitizations) and derivative instruments, in addition to transaction or asset-specific funding arrangements and other sources of financing to execute our business plan, and our inability to access funding could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and business. Our ability to fund our investments may be impacted by our ability to secure bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), warehouse facilities and structured financing arrangements, public and private debt issuances (including through securitizations) and derivative instruments, in addition to transaction or asset-specific funding arrangements and additional repurchase agreements on acceptable terms. We may also rely on short-term financing that would be especially exposed to changes in availability. Our access to sources of financing will depend upon a number of factors, over which we have little or no control, including: • general economic or market conditions; • the market's view of the quality of our assets; • the market's perception of our growth potential; • our current and potential future earnings and cash distributions; and • the market price of the shares of our common stock. We may need to periodically access the capital markets to raise cash to fund new investments. Unfavorable economic or capital market conditions may increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or could result in a decision by our potential lenders not to extend credit. An inability to successfully access the capital markets could limit our ability to grow our business and fully execute our business strategy and could decrease our earnings and liquidity. In addition, any dislocation or weakness in the capital and credit markets could adversely affect our lenders and could cause one or more of our lenders to be unwilling or unable to provide us with financing or to increase the costs of that financing. In addition, as regulatory capital requirements imposed on our lenders are increased, they may be required to limit, or increase the cost of, financing they provide to us. In general, this could potentially increase our financing costs and reduce our liquidity or require us to sell assets at an inopportune time or price. Further, as the lender to our borrowers, we may be obligated to fund all or a significant portion of a loan we have agreed to at one or more future dates. If we are unable to access funding, we may not have the funds available at such future date (s) to meet our funding obligations under a loan. In that event, we would likely be in breach of our agreement under such loan. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to obtain any such financing on favorable terms or at all. Interest rate fluctuations could increase our financing costs, which could lead to a significant decrease in our results of operations, cash flows and the market value of our investments. To the extent that our financing costs are determined by reference to floating rates, such as LIBOR, SOFR or a Treasury index, the amount of such costs will depend on the level and movement of interest rates. In recent years, interest rates had remained at relatively low levels on a historical basis. However, in since January 2022, in light of increasing inflation, the U. S. Federal Reserve has increased interest rates seven eleven times -The U. S. Federal Reserve has also indicated that it expects continued increases in interest rates in 2023 and 2024. In a period of rising interest rates, our interest expense on floating- rate debt would increase, while any additional interest income we earn on our floating- rate investments may be subject to caps and may not compensate for such increase in interest expense. Specifically, in a rising interest environment, our interest income on our current portfolio is expected to increase. At the same time, the interest income we earn on our fixed- rate investments would not change, the duration and weighted average life of our fixed- rate investments would increase and the market value of our fixed- rate investments would decrease. Similarly Notwithstanding the current period of relatively high interest rates, the U.S. Federal Reserve has indicated that it may

decrease in interest rates in 2024. In a period of declining interest rates, our interest income on floating- rate investments

```
would generally decrease, while any decrease in the interest we are charged on our floating- rate debt may be subject to floors
and may not compensate for such decrease in interest income , however However, rate floors relating to our loan portfolio
may offset some of the impact from declining rates. In addition, interest we are charged on our fixed- rate debt would not
change. Any such scenario could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition . The planned discontinuation
of LIBOR may affect the value of the financial obligations to be held or issued by us that are linked to LIBOR and could affect
our results of operations or financial condition. On March 5, 2021, the FCA, which regulates LIBOR, announced that all LIBOR
tenors relevant to us will cease to be published or will no longer be representative after June 30, 2023. The FCA Announcement
coincides with the March 5, 2021 announcement of LIBOR's administrator, the IBA, indicating that, as a result of not having
access to input data necessary to calculate LIBOR tenors relevant to us on a representative basis after June 30, 2023, the IBA
would have to cease publication of such LIBOR tenors immediately after the last publication on June 30, 2023. Further, on
March 15, 2022, the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2022, which includes the Adjustable Interest Rate (LIBOR) Act, was
signed into law in the U. S. This legislation establishes a uniform benchmark replacement process for financial contracts
maturing after June 30, 2023 that do not contain clearly defined or practicable fallback provisions. The legislation also creates a
safe harbor that shields lenders from litigation if they choose to utilize a replacement rate recommended by the Federal Reserve.
The United States Federal Reserve has also advised banks to cease entering into new contracts that use USD LIBOR as a
reference rate. The Federal Reserve, in conjunction with the Alternative Reference Rate Committee, a committee convened by
the Federal Reserve that includes major market participants, has identified SOFR, a new index calculated by short-term
repurchase agreements, backed by Treasury securities, as its preferred alternative rate for LIBOR. There are significant
differences between LIBOR and SOFR, such as LIBOR being an unsecured lending rate while SOFR is a secured lending rate,
and SOFR is an overnight rate while LIBOR reflects term rates at different maturities. If our LIBOR-based borrowings are
converted to SOFR, the differences between LIBOR and SOFR, and potential margin adjustments in connection with the
transition, could result in higher interest costs for us, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.
Although SOFR is the ARRC's recommended replacement rate, it is also possible that lenders may instead choose alternative
replacement rates that may differ from LIBOR in ways similar to SOFR or in other ways that would result in higher interest
costs for us. We cannot predict the effect of the decision not to sustain LIBOR, or the potential transition to SOFR or another
alternative reference rate as LIBOR's replacement. As of December 31, 2022, 55. 4 % and 44. 6 % of our loans by principal
amount were indexed to Term SOFR and LIBOR, respectively; and 60.5 % and 39.5 % of our outstanding floating rate
financing arrangements bear interest indexed to Term SOFR and LIBOR, respectively. All of our LIBOR-based arrangements
provide procedures for determining an alternative base rate in the event that LIBOR is discontinued. Regardless, there can be no
assurances as to what additional alternative base rates may be and whether such base rate will be more or less favorable than
LIBOR and any other unforeseen impacts of the potential discontinuation of LIBOR. Any changes, reforms or replacements
relating to LIBOR could increase our interest expense and could have an adverse impact on the market for or value of any
LIBOR-linked securities, loans, derivatives and other financial obligations or extensions of credit held by or due to us or on our
overall financial condition or results of operations. In addition, there could be a mismatch between the timing of adjusting the
floating base rate from LIBOR to an alternative base rate upon the discontinuation of LIBOR, between our financing
arrangements and our loan investments, which may have an immediate and significant adverse impact on our results of
operations and eash flows and the market value of our investments. We are monitoring the developments with respect to the
potential phasing out of LIBOR and are working with our lenders and borrowers to minimize the impact of any LIBOR
transition on our financial condition and results of operations, but can provide no assurances regarding the impact of the
discontinuation of LIBOR. We may be subject to counterparty risk associated with our debt obligations. Our counterparties for
critical financial relationships may include both domestic and international financial institutions. These institutions could be
severely impacted by credit market turmoil, changes in legislation, allegations of civil or criminal wrongdoing and may as a
result experience financial or other pressures. In addition, if a lender or counterparty files for bankruptcy or becomes insolvent,
our borrowings under financing agreements with them may become subject to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, thus
depriving us, at least temporarily, of the benefit of these assets. Such an event could restrict our access to financing and increase
our cost of capital. If any of our counterparties were to limit or cease operation, it could lead to financial losses for us. We may
utilize a wide variety of derivative financial instruments for risk management purposes, the use of which may entail greater than
ordinary investment risks. While not anticipated to be a meaningful component of our investment strategy, we may, subject to
maintaining our qualification as a REIT, utilize a wide variety of derivative financial instruments for risk management purposes,
the use of which is a highly specialized activity that may entail greater than ordinary investment risks. Any such hedging
transactions may not be effective in mitigating risk in all market conditions or against all types of risk (including unidentified or
unanticipated risks), thereby resulting in losses to us. Engaging in hedging transactions may result in a poorer overall
performance for us than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transaction, and our Manager may not be able to effectively
hedge against, or accurately anticipate, certain risks that may adversely affect our investment portfolio. In addition, our
investment portfolio will always be exposed to certain risks that cannot be fully or effectively hedged, such as credit risk relating
both to particular securities and counterparties. Hedging against interest rates - rate or currency exposure may adversely affect
our earnings, which could reduce our cash available for distribution to stockholders. Subject to maintaining our qualification as a
REIT, we may pursue various hedging strategies to seek to reduce our exposure to adverse changes in interest rates and
fluctuations in currencies. Our hedging activity may vary in scope based on the level and volatility of interest rates, exchange
rates, the type of assets held and other changing market conditions. Interest rate and currency hedging may fail to protect or
could adversely affect us because, among other things: • interest rate, currency and / or credit hedging can be expensive and
may result in us generating less net income; • available interest rate or currency rate hedges may not correspond directly with
the interest rate or currency risk for which protection is sought; • due to a credit loss, prepayment or asset sale, the duration of
```

the hedge may not match the duration of the related asset or liability; • the amount of income that a REIT may earn from hedging transactions (other than hedging transactions that satisfy certain requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") or that are done through a taxable REIT subsidiary) to offset interest rate losses is limited by U. S. federal income tax provisions governing REITs ; • the hedging counterparty owing money in the hedging transaction may **default on its obligation to pay**; • the credit quality of the hedging counterparty owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; • we may fail to recalculate, readjust and execute hedges in an efficient manner; and • legal, tax and regulatory changes could occur and may adversely affect our ability to pursue hedging strategies and / or increase the costs of implementing such strategies. Any hedging activity in which we engage may materially and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows. Therefore, while we may enter into such transactions seeking to reduce risks, unanticipated changes in interest rates, credit spreads or currencies may result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in a hedging strategy and price movements in the portfolio positions or liabilities being hedged may vary materially. Moreover, for a variety of reasons, we may not seek to establish a perfect correlation between such hedging instruments and the portfolio positions or liabilities being hedged. Any such imperfect correlation may prevent us from achieving the intended hedge and expose us to risk of loss. In addition, some hedging instruments involve additional risk because they are not traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U. S. or foreign governmental authorities. Consequently, we cannot assure you that a liquid secondary market will exist for hedging instruments purchased or sold, and we may be required to maintain a position until exercise or expiration, which could result in significant losses. In addition, certain regulatory requirements with respect to derivatives, including record keeping, financial responsibility or segregation of customer funds and positions are still under development and could impact our hedging transactions and how we and our counterparty must manage such transactions . We may be subject to counterparty risk associated with any hedging activities. We may be subject to credit risk with respect to the counterparties to derivative contracts (whether a clearing corporation in the case of exchange- traded instruments or to our hedge counterparty in the case of OTC instruments). If a counterparty becomes bankrupt or otherwise fails to perform its obligations under a derivative contract due to financial difficulties, we may experience significant delays in obtaining any recovery under the derivative contract in a dissolution, assignment for the benefit of creditors, liquidation, winding- up, bankruptcy, or other analogous proceeding. In the event of the insolvency of a counterparty to a derivative transaction, the derivative transaction would typically be terminated at its fair market value. If we are owed this fair market value in the termination of the derivative transaction and our claim is unsecured, we will be treated as a general creditor of such counterparty, and will not have any claim with respect to the underlying security. We may obtain only a limited recovery or may obtain no recovery in such circumstances. In addition, the business failure of a hedging counterparty with whom we enter into a hedging transaction will most likely result in its default, which may result in the loss of unrealized profits and force us to cover our commitments, if any, at the then current market price. Currently, certain categories of interest rate and credit default swaps are subject to mandatory clearing, and more are expected to be cleared in the future. The counterparty risk for cleared derivatives is generally lower than for uncleared OTC derivative transactions because generally a clearing organization becomes substituted for each counterparty to a cleared derivative contract and, in effect, guarantees the parties' performance under the contract as each party to a trade looks only to the clearing house for performance of financial obligations. However, there can be no assurance that a clearing house, or its members, will satisfy the clearing house's obligations to us. Counterparty risk with respect to certain exchange- traded and OTC derivatives may be further complicated by recently enacted U. S. financial reform legislation. We may enter into hedging transactions that could expose us to contingent liabilities in the future. Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, part of our investment strategy may involve entering into hedging transactions that could require us to fund cash payments in certain circumstances (such as the early termination of the hedging instrument caused by an event of default or other early termination event, or the decision by a counterparty to request margin securities it is contractually owed under the terms of the hedging instrument). The amount due with respect to an early termination would generally be equal to the unrealized loss of such open transaction positions with the respective counterparty and could also include other fees and charges. These economic losses will be reflected in our results of operations, and our ability to fund these obligations will depend on the liquidity of our assets and access to capital at the time, and the need to fund these obligations could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. If we enter into certain hedging transactions or otherwise invest in certain derivative instruments, failure to obtain and maintain an exemption from being regulated as a commodity pool operator by our Manager could subject us to additional regulation and compliance requirements which could materially adversely affect our business and financial condition. The Commodity Exchange Act of 1936, as amended, and rules promulgated thereunder (the "CFTC Rules") by the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC ") establish a comprehensive regulatory framework for certain derivative instruments, including swaps, futures and foreign exchange derivatives ("Regulated CFTC Instruments"). Under this regulatory framework, mortgage real estate investment trusts ("mREITs") that trade in Regulated CFTC Instruments are considered "commodity pools" and the operators of such mREITs would be considered "commodity pool operators" ("CPOs "). Absent an exemption, a CPO of an mREIT must register with the CFTC and become subject to CFTC Rules applicable to registered CPOs, including with respect to disclosure, reporting, recordkeeping and business conduct in respect of the mREIT. We may from time to time, directly or indirectly, invest in Regulated CFTC Instruments, which may subject us to oversight by the CFTC. Our Manager has qualified for the exemption from the CPO registration requirement in respect of our company pursuant to the no- action relief issued by the CFTC staff to operators of qualifying mREITs and has filed a notice of exemption with the CFTC. Our Manager qualifies for the exemption in respect of our company on the basis that we identify as a " mortgage REIT " for U. S. federal income tax purposes and our trading in Regulated CFTC Instruments does not exceed a certain de minimis threshold identified in the no- action relief. Subject to any amendments to CFTC Rules or the position of the

```
CFTC staff, including the continuing availability of the mREIT no- action relief, our Manager will seek to either comply with
CFTC Rules without relying on any exemption from CPO registration or rely on other exemptions (which may prevent us from
trading in Regulated CFTC Instruments in order to satisfy the conditions for the relevant exemption). The CFTC has substantial
enforcement power with respect to violations of the laws over which it has jurisdiction, including anti-fraud and anti-
manipulation provisions. Among other things, the CFTC may suspend or revoke the registration of a person who fails to
comply, prohibit such a person from trading or doing business with registered entities, impose civil money penalties, require
restitution and seek fines or imprisonment for criminal violations. Additionally, a private right of action exists against those who
violate the laws over which the CFTC has jurisdiction or who willfully aid, abet, counsel, induce or procure a violation of those
laws. In the event we fail to receive interpretive relief from the CFTC on this matter, are unable to claim an exemption from
registration and fail to comply with the regulatory requirements of these new rules, we may be unable to use certain types of
hedging instruments or we may be subject to significant fines, penalties and other civil or governmental actions or proceedings,
any of which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. We depend on our Manager and its
personnel for our success. We may not find a suitable replacement for our Manager if the management agreement is terminated,
or if key personnel cease to be employed by our Manager and its affiliates or otherwise become unavailable to us. We do not
have any employees and are externally managed and advised by our Manager, an indirect subsidiary of KKR. Our Manager has
significant discretion as to the implementation of our investment and operating policies and strategies. Accordingly, our success
depends on the efforts, experience, diligence, skill and network of business contacts of the officers and key personnel of our
Manager and its affiliates. Our Manager is managed by senior professionals of KKR Real Estate. These individuals evaluate,
negotiate, execute and monitor our loans and investments and advise us regarding maintenance of our qualification as a REIT
and exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act; therefore, our success will depend on their skill and
management expertise and continued service with our Manager and its affiliates. Our operating results are dependent upon,
among other things, the availability of, as well as our Manager's ability to identify, structure, consummate, leverage,
manage and realize returns on our investments. We cannot assure you that our Manager will be successful in identifying
and consummating investments that satisfy our rate of return objectives or that such investments, once made, will
perform as anticipated. Furthermore, there is increasing competition among financial sponsors, investment banks and other
real estate debt investors for hiring and retaining qualified investment professionals and there can be no assurance that such
professionals will continue to be associated with us, our Manager or its affiliates or that any replacements will perform well. The
departure of any of the officers or key personnel of our Manager and its affiliates could have a material adverse effect on our
performance. In addition, pursuant to the terms of our Management management Agreement agreement, our Manager retains,
for and on our behalf and at our expense, the services of certain other persons and firms as our Manager deems necessary or
advisable in connection with managing our operations. We expect that certain of these providers will include affiliates of KKR
and its portfolio companies as we expand our business and leverage service providers to manage our growth. In addition, we can
offer no assurance that our Manager will remain our investment manager or that we will continue to have access to our Manager'
s officers and key personnel. The current term of the management agreement extends to December 31, 2023 2024 and will be
automatically renewed for additional one-year terms thereafter; provided, however, that our Manager may terminate the
management agreement annually upon 180 days' prior notice. If the management agreement is terminated and no suitable
replacement is found to manage us, we may not be able to execute our business plan. Termination of the management agreement
would be costly. Termination of the management agreement without cause will be difficult and costly. The management
agreement may be terminated upon the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of our independent directors, based upon our
determination that (1) our Manager's performance is unsatisfactory and performance by our Manager that is materially
detrimental to us and our subsidiaries taken as a whole or (2) our determination that the management fee and incentive fee
payable to our Manager are not fair, subject to our Manager's right to prevent any termination due to unfair fees by accepting a
reduction of management and / or incentive fees agreed to by at least two- thirds of our independent directors. We must provide
our Manager 180 days' written notice of any termination. Additionally, upon such a termination, or if we materially breach the
management agreement and our Manager terminates the management agreement, the management agreement provides that we
will pay our Manager a termination fee equal to three times the sum of the average annual management fee and the average
annual incentive fee, in each case earned by our Manager during the 24- month period immediately preceding the most recently
completed calendar quarter prior to the date of termination. These provisions increase the cost to us of terminating the
management agreement and adversely affect our ability to terminate the management agreement without cause. Our Manager's
liability is limited under the management agreement and we have agreed to indemnify our Manager against certain liabilities.
Pursuant to the management agreement, our Manager does not assume any responsibility other than to render the services called
for thereunder in good faith and is not responsible for any action of our board of directors in following or declining to follow any
advice or recommendations of our Manager, including as set forth in the investment guidelines of the management agreement.
Our Manager maintains a contractual as opposed to a fiduciary relationship with us. Under the terms of the management
agreement, our Manager and its affiliates and their respective directors, officers, employees, managers, trustees, control persons,
partners, equityholders and stockholders are not liable to us, our directors, stockholders or any subsidiary of ours, or their
directors, officers, employees or stockholders for any acts or omissions performed in accordance with and pursuant to the
management agreement, whether by or through attempted piercing of the corporate veil, by or through a claim, by the
enforcement of any judgment or assessment or by any legal or equitable proceeding, or by virtue of any statute, regulation or
other applicable law, or otherwise, except by reason of acts or omissions constituting bad faith, willful misconduct, gross
negligence, or reckless disregard of their duties under the management agreement. We have agreed to indemnify our Manager
and its affiliates and their respective directors, officers, employees and stockholders with respect to all expenses, losses,
damages, liabilities, demands, charges and claims arising from acts or omissions of our Manager not constituting bad faith,
```

fraud, willful misconduct, gross negligence, or reckless disregard of duties, performed or not performed in good faith in accordance with and pursuant to the management agreement. As a result, we could experience poor performance or losses for which our Manager would not be liable. The historical returns generated by funds managed by affiliates of our Manager should not be considered indicative of our future results or of any returns expected on an investment in shares of our common stock. The past performance of vehicles and funds advised by affiliates of our Manager, as well as KKR's and its affiliates' other investment funds, vehicles and accounts, is not predictive of our performance, in particular because the investment objectives of such other funds, vehicles and accounts differ from our investment objectives. Investors should not assume that they will experience returns, if any, comparable to those experienced by investors in such vehicles. Moreover, we and the other vehicles advised by affiliates of our Manager are different in several respects, including: • asset or instrument types targeted may differ; • our use of leverage and hedging strategies may differ; • our fee structures differ; • we may not acquire or sell assets at similar times; and • the other vehicles advised by affiliates of our Manager have operated under market conditions that may differ materially from market conditions that will exist at the time we make investments. Our Manager's fee structure may not create proper incentives or may induce our Manager and its affiliates to make certain loans or investments, including speculative investments, which increase the risk of our loan and investment portfolio. We pay our Manager base management fees regardless of the performance of our portfolio. Our Manager's entitlement to base management fees, which are not based upon performance metrics or goals, might reduce its incentive to devote its time and effort to seeking loans and investments that provide attractive risk- adjusted returns for our portfolio. Because the base management fees are also based in part on our outstanding equity, our Manager may also be incentivized to advance strategies that increase our equity, and there may be circumstances where increasing our equity will not optimize the returns for our stockholders. Consequently, we are required to pay our Manager base management fees in a particular period despite experiencing a net loss or a decline in the value of our portfolio during that period. Our Manager has the ability to earn incentive fees each quarter based on our earnings, which may create an incentive for our Manager to invest in assets with higher yield potential, which are generally riskier or more speculative, or sell an asset prematurely for a gain, in an effort to increase our short-term net income and thereby increase the incentive fees to which it is entitled. In addition, we are required to reimburse our Manager or its affiliates for documented costs and expenses incurred by it and its affiliates on our behalf, except those specifically required to be borne by our Manager under our Management Agreement. Accordingly, to the extent that our Manager retains other parties to provide services to us, expenses allocable to us will increase. If our interests and those of our Manager are not aligned, the execution of our business plan and our results of operations could be adversely affected, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. There are various conflicts of interest in our relationship with KKR, including with our Manager and in the allocation of investment opportunities to KKR investment vehicles and us, which could result in decisions that are not in the best interests of our stockholders. As of December 31, 2022-2023, KKR and its affiliates beneficially owned shares of our common stock providing them with an aggregate 14. 5-4 % of the total voting power of our company. By virtue of KKR's stock ownership, KKR has the power to significantly influence our business and affairs and is able to influence the outcome of matters required to be submitted to stockholders for approval, including the election of our directors, amendments to our charter, mergers or sales of assets. In addition, we are managed by our Manager, a KKR affiliate, and our executive officers are employees of our Manager or one or more of its affiliates. Our Bylaws provide that, so long as our Manager or any of its affiliates serve as our manager, in order for an individual to be qualified to be nominated for election as a director or to serve as a director, the nominee together with all other individuals nominated for election and any individuals who will continue to serve as a director after such election must include at least one individual that is or was designated by KKR Group Holdings L. P. (successor to KKR Fund Holdings L. P.). There is no guarantee that the policies and procedures adopted by us, the terms and conditions of the management agreement or the policies and procedures adopted by our Manager, KKR and their affiliates, will enable us to identify, adequately address or mitigate these conflicts of interest. Some examples of conflicts of interest that may arise by virtue of our relationship with our Manager and KKR include: • Fees and expenses. KKR may earn fees and / or other compensation from us, our holding vehicles and other entities through which we invest, and, in connection with equity investments made by us, if any, entities in which we invest ("portfolio entities"). In particular, KKR has in the past and may in the future act as underwriter or placement agent in connection with an offering of securities or instruments by us and other entities in which we invest and may also provide syndication services to such entities, including in respect of co-investments in transactions in which we participate. The fee potential inherent in a particular investment or transaction could be viewed as an incentive for our Manager to seek to refer, allocate or recommend an investment or transaction to us. In addition, we or our portfolio entities may engage consultants, including KKR Capstone, a group of entities that are not KKR affiliates or subsidiaries but operate under several consulting agreements with KKR, and our Manager's network of senior advisors, industry advisors and real estate consultants. We will directly bear, or indirectly bear through portfolio entities, the cost of operating and consulting services provided by these consultants. While our Manager believes that the fees, reimbursable expenses and other compensation paid to these consultants are reasonable and generally at market rates for the relevant activities, such compensation is not negotiated at arm's length and from time to time may be in excess of fees, reimbursable expenses or other compensation that may be charged by comparable third parties. In addition, we may provide loans or otherwise invest alongside one or more KKR investment vehicles or with KKR (investing for their own account) and other co-investors. We and KKR investment vehicles may also pursue similar real estate credit investment strategies. Our Manager and KKR will determine, in their sole discretion, the appropriate allocation of investment-related expenses, including broken deal expenses incurred in respect of unconsummated investments and expenses more generally relating to a particular investment strategy, among the funds, vehicles and accounts participating or that would have participated in such investments or that otherwise participate in the relevant investment strategy, as applicable, which may result in us bearing more or less of these expenses than other participants or potential participants in the relevant investments. • KKR's investment advisory and proprietary activities. KKR may make

strategic investments or enter into transactions for operational funding purposes, which, in each case, will be investments or transactions that are not offered to us, and also may make opportunistic investments pursuant to investment strategies that mirror, or are similar to in whole or in part, investment strategies implemented by us and KKR on behalf of itself and KKR investment vehicles. For example, in February 2021, KKR acquired Global Atlantic Financial Group Limited ("Global Atlantic "), a leading retirement and life insurance company. Therefore, KKR and its affiliates (including Global Atlantic) may compete with, and have interests adverse to us. The existence of KKR, its affiliates and KKR investment vehicles investing in the same or similar investments that may be made by us could, among other adverse consequences, affect the terms of loans and other investments pursued by us and the demand for such financing. In such circumstances, KKR's interest in maximizing the investment return of its proprietary entities creates a conflict of interest in that our Manager may be motivated to allocate more attractive investments to the proprietary entities under its management and allocate less attractive investments to us. Similarly, KKR may be motivated to allocate scarce investment opportunities to the proprietary entities under its management rather than to us. In the case of KKR's allocation of investment opportunities between us and Global Atlantic, for example, we will continue to be KKR's primary vehicle for transitional senior loans, receiving first priority with respect to those investments, which have been our primary target asset since our IPO, while Global Atlantic will receive priority with respect to stabilized senior loan opportunities. Additionally, KKR has in the past given and is expected to continue to give advice or take action (including entering into short sales or other "opposite way trading" activities) with respect to the investments held by, and transactions of, KKR investment vehicles or proprietary entities of KKR that are different from or otherwise inconsistent with, the advice given or timing or nature of any action taken with respect to the investments held by us and our transactions. Additionally, the investment programs employed by KKR for KKR investment vehicles or proprietary entities of KKR could conflict with the transactions and strategies employed by our Manager in managing our company. Where our company, proprietary entities of KKR and KKR investment vehicles have provided financing to the same borrower, their interests may be in conflict irrespective of whether their investments are at different levels of the capital structure. • Other KKR activities. Conflicts of interest may arise in allocating time, services or resources among our investment activities, KKR investment vehicles, KKR, other entities affiliated with KKR and the senior officers of KKR. Although members of the KKR Real Estate team intend to devote such time as may be necessary to conduct our business affairs in an appropriate manner, our Manager and KKR will continue to devote the resources necessary to manage the investment activities of KKR, KKR investment vehicles, other entities affiliated with KKR and the executives of KKR and, therefore, conflicts may arise in the allocation of time, services and resources. KKR is not precluded from conducting activities unrelated to us. In addition, KKR may expand the range of services that it provides over time. Except as and to the extent expressly provided in the management agreement with our Manager, our Manager and KKR will not be restricted in the scope of their business or in the performance of any such services (whether now offered or undertaken in the future) even if such activities could give rise to conflicts of interest. • No assurance of ability to participate in investment opportunities. As indicated above, certain KKR investment vehicles, including any seed investments, do and may in the future pursue the same investment opportunities as us. Subject to our organizational documents and governing agreements, KKR has sole discretion to determine the manner in which investment opportunities are allocated between us, KKR and KKR investment vehicles. This allocation presents inherent conflicts of interest where demand exceeds available supply. As a result, our share of investment opportunities may be materially affected by competition from KKR investment vehicles and from proprietary entities of KKR. The conflicts inherent in making such allocation decisions may not always be resolved to our advantage. Generally, and subject to our organizational documents and governing agreements, our Manager will allocate investment opportunities between us and KKR investment vehicles in a manner that is consistent with an allocation methodology established by our Manager reasonably designed to help ensure allocations of opportunities are made over time on a fair and equitable basis. However, we will not necessarily have any priority in respect of any category of investments, and the allocation of investment opportunities in accordance with our Manager's allocation methodology may result in us being allocated less than a pro rata share of an investment opportunity or none of such opportunity. For example, on January 10, 2017 we made a \$ 40. 0 million commitment to an aggregator vehicle alongside RECOP I, a KKR-managed investment fund. During the aggregator vehicle's investment period, investment opportunities available to KKR that fall within the primary investment strategy of acquiring newly issued CMBS B- Pieces will be shared pro rata between such aggregator vehicle and another KKR aggregator vehicle based on capital commitments. In respect of investments that are within the vehicles' investment objective but outside the primary investment strategy that are suitable for us or other KKR investment vehicles, KKR will allocate such opportunities among the aggregators, us and such other KKR investment vehicles in their sole discretion. For more information, see Part II, Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Our Portfolio." In addition, certain KKR investment vehicles have priority investment rights to certain investment opportunities that may be suitable for us, and such vehicles with priority investment rights could be established by KKR in the future. These include, but are not limited to, KKR's special situations, mezzanine and real estate funds. • Duties owed to KKR investment vehicles. KKR, including our Manager, may structure an investment as a result of which one or more KKR investment vehicles are offered the opportunity to participate in the same or separate debt tranche of an investment allocated to us. As advisor to such KKR investment vehicles, KKR, including our Manager, may owe a fiduciary or other duty to the KKR investment vehicles and may face a conflict of interest in respect of the advice they give to, or the decisions made with regard to, us and such KKR investment vehicles. • Co- investments. We may co- invest together with KKR investment vehicles and / or KKR proprietary balance sheet entities in some or all of our investment opportunities. KKR may also offer coinvestment opportunities to vehicles in which KKR personnel, non-employee consultants and other associated persons of KKR or any of its affiliate entities may invest and to third- party co- investors. In such circumstances, the size of the investment opportunity otherwise available to us may be less than it would otherwise have been, and we may participate in such opportunities on different and potentially less favorable economic terms than such parties if our Manager deems such

participation as being otherwise in our best interests. Furthermore, when KKR proprietary entities or KKR investment vehicles have interests or requirements that do not align with our interests, including differing liquidity needs or desired investment horizons, conflicts may arise in the manner in which any voting or control rights are exercised with respect to the relevant investment, potentially resulting in an adverse impact on us. Generally, such transactions are not required to be presented to our board of directors for approval, and there can be no assurances that any conflicts will be resolved in our favor. • Investments in which KKR and or KKR investment vehicles have a different principal interest. Without the approval of KKR's global conflicts and compliance committee, we will not acquire a controlling interest in any class or tranche of debt securities of any borrower in which KKR or any KKR investment vehicle has a pre- existing controlling equity interest (excluding any investments shared by us and such parties upon initial investment or any related follow- on investment). However, in circumstances where KKR's global conflicts and compliance committee approves a transaction of this type, approval by our board of directors is generally not required, and our interests and those of KKR or such KKR investment vehicle may not always be aligned, which may give rise to actual or potential conflicts of interest and actions taken for us may be adverse to KKR or such KKR investment vehicle, or vice versa. • Competing interests; allocation of resources. KKR may make investments on behalf of itself and / or KKR investment vehicles that are competitive with our investments. In providing advice and recommendations to, or with respect to, such investments and in dealing in such investments on behalf of such KKR investment vehicles or KKR, to the extent permitted by law, KKR will not take into consideration our interests or our Manager's investments. Accordingly, such advice, recommendations and dealings may result in adverse consequences to us and our investments. Conflicts of interest may also arise with respect to the allocation of our Manager's time and resources between our investments and other investments. In addition, conflicts of interest may arise where KKR personnel and non-employee consultants serve as directors or interim executives of, or otherwise are associated with, our portfolio entities (e.g., if the entity is in financial difficulty) or entities that are competitors of certain of our portfolio entities. • Information sharing. Although we have leveraged, and plan to continue to leverage KKR's firm- wide resources to help source, conduct due diligence on, structure, syndicate and create value for our investments, the information- sharing policies and procedures of KKR relating to confidential information and the information barrier between the public and private side of KKR, as well as certain legal and contractual and tax constraints, could significantly limit our ability to do so. In addition, in providing services in respect of our investments and other investments, our Manager may come into possession of information that it is prohibited from acting on (including on our behalf) or disclosing as a result of applicable confidentiality requirements or applicable law, even though such action or disclosure would be in our interests. Furthermore, to the extent not restricted by confidentiality requirements or applicable law, KKR may apply experience and information gained in providing services to our investments to provide services to competing investments of KKR investment vehicles, which may have adverse consequences for us or our investments. • Other affiliate transactions. We may borrow money from multiple lenders, including KKR. Although our Manager will approve such transactions only on terms, including the consideration to be paid, that are determined by our Manager in good faith to be appropriate for us, it is possible that the interests of such affiliated lender could be in conflict with ours and the interests of our stockholders. KKR may also, on our behalf, effect transactions, including transactions in the secondary markets where KKR is also acting as a broker or other advisor on the other side of the same transaction. Notwithstanding that KKR may not receive commissions from such agency cross-transactions, it may nonetheless have a potential conflict of interest with respect to us and the other parties to those transactions to the extent it receives commissions or other compensation from such other parties. KKR stakes in third- party hedge fund managers. KKR has stakes in third- party hedge fund managers. Funds and accounts managed by such third-party managers and underlying portfolio funds and accounts may invest in securities or other financial instruments of companies in which we may also have an interest, or in competitors of ours or our investments. Actions taken by any of these third- party hedge fund managers in respect of any of the foregoing may adversely impact our company. Transactions with any KKR fund or affiliate. Pursuant to the terms of the management agreement, and subject to applicable law, our Manager will not consummate on our behalf any transaction that involves (i) the sale of any investment to or (ii) the acquisition of any investment from KKR, any KKR fund or any of their affiliates unless such transaction (A) is on terms no less favorable to us than could have been obtained on an arm's length basis from an unrelated third party and (B) has been approved in advance by a majority of our independent directors. Although our Manager will seek to resolve any conflicts of interest in a fair and equitable manner in accordance with the allocation policy and its prevailing policies and procedures with respect to conflicts resolution among KKR funds generally, only those transactions set forth in this paragraph will be required to be presented for approval by the independent directors. • Management agreement. The management agreement was negotiated between related parties and its terms, including fees payable to our Manager, may not be as favorable to us as if they had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party. In addition, we may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under the management agreement because of our desire to maintain an ongoing relationship with our Manager. • Service providers. Certain advisors and other service providers, or their affiliates (including accountants, administrators, lenders, bankers, brokers, attorneys, consultants and investment or commercial banking firms), to us and our investments may also provide goods or services to or have business, personal, political, financial or other relationships with KKR (including our Manager). Such advisors and service providers may be investors in KKR investment vehicles, sources of investment opportunities for KKR, our company or KKR investment vehicles or may otherwise be co-investors with or counterparties to transactions involving the foregoing. These relationships may influence our Manager in deciding whether to select or recommend such a service provider to perform services for us or a borrower (the cost of which will generally be borne directly or indirectly by us or such borrower, as applicable). Our Manager manages our portfolio pursuant to very broad investment guidelines and is not required to seek the approval of our board of directors for each investment, financing, asset allocation or hedging decision made by it, which may result in riskier loans and investments and which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Our Manager is authorized to follow very broad investment guidelines that provide it with

broad discretion over investment, financing, asset allocation and hedging decisions. Our board of directors will periodically review our investment guidelines and our loan and investment portfolio but will not, and will not be required to, review and approve in advance all of our proposed loans and investments or our Manager's financing, asset allocation or hedging decisions. In addition, in conducting periodic reviews, our directors may rely primarily on information provided to them by our Manager or its affiliates. Subject to maintaining our REIT qualification and our exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act, our Manager has significant latitude within the broad investment guidelines in determining the types of loans and investments it makes for us, and how such loans and investments are financed or hedged, which could result in investment returns that are substantially below expectations or that result in losses, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. We do not own the KKR name, but we will use it as part of our corporate name pursuant to a license agreement with KKR. Use of the name by other parties or the termination of our license agreement may harm our business. We entered into a license agreement with KKR pursuant to which it granted us a fully paid-up, royalty- free, non-exclusive license to use the name "KKR Real Estate Finance Trust Inc." and the ticker symbol "KREF". Under this agreement, we have a right to use this name and ticker symbol for so long as our Manager (or another affiliate of KKR) serves as our Manager pursuant to the management agreement and our Manager (or another managing entity) remains an affiliate of KKR under the license agreement. The license agreement may also be earlier terminated by either party as a result of certain breaches or for convenience upon 90 days' prior written notice. KKR and its affiliates retain the right to continue using the "KKR" name. We are also unable to preclude KKR and its affiliates from licensing or transferring ownership of the "KKR" name to third parties, some of whom may compete with us. Consequently, we are unable to prevent any damage to goodwill that may occur as a result of the activities of KKR or others. Furthermore, in the event that the license agreement is terminated, we will be required to change our name and ticker symbol and cease using the "KKR" name. Any of these events could disrupt our recognition in the marketplace, damage any goodwill we may have generated and otherwise harm our business. Legal and Regulatory Risks State licensing requirements will cause us to incur expenses and our failure to be properly licensed may have a material adverse effect on us and our operations. Nonbank companies are generally required to hold licenses in a number of U. S. states to conduct lending activities. State licensing statutes vary from state to state and prescribe or impose various recordkeeping requirements; restrictions on loan origination and servicing practices, including limits on finance charges and the type, amount and manner of charging fees; disclosure requirements; requirements that licensees submit to periodic examination; surety bond and minimum specified net worth requirements; periodic financial reporting requirements; notification requirements for changes in principal officers, stock ownership or corporate control; restrictions on advertising; and requirements that loan forms be submitted for review. Obtaining and maintaining licenses will cause us to incur expenses and failure to be properly licensed under state law or otherwise may have a material adverse effect on us and our operations. Maintaining an exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act imposes significant limits on our operations. Your investment return may be reduced if we are required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. We currently conduct, and intend to continue to conduct, our operations so that we are not required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. We believe we are not an investment company under Section 3 (a) (1) (A) of the Investment Company Act because we do not engage primarily, or hold ourselves out as being engaged primarily, in the business of investing, reinvesting or trading in securities. In addition, we intend to conduct our operations so that we do not come within the definition of an investment company under Section 3 (a) (1) (C) of the Investment Company Act because less than 40 % of our total assets (exclusive of U. S. government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis will consist of "investment securities" (the "40 % test"). Excluded from the term "investment securities" (as defined in the Investment Company Act) are securities issued by majorityowned subsidiaries that are themselves not investment companies and are not relying on the exclusion from the definition of investment company set forth in Section 3 (c) (1) or Section 3 (c) (7) of the Investment Company Act. Our interests in whollyowned or majority- owned subsidiaries that qualify for the exclusion pursuant to Section 3 (c) (5) (C), or Rule 3a-7, each as described below, or another exemption or exclusion under the Investment Company Act other than Section 3 (c) (1) or Section 3 (c) (7) thereof, do not constitute "investment securities." To maintain an exclusion from registration as an investment company, the securities issued to us by any wholly- owned or majority- owned subsidiaries that we may form in the future that are excluded from the definition of investment company under Section 3 (c) (1) or Section 3 (c) (7) of the Investment Company Act, together with any other investment securities we may own, may not have a value in excess of 40 % of the value of our total assets on an unconsolidated basis (exclusive of U. S. government securities and cash items). We will monitor our holdings to ensure ongoing compliance with this 40 % test, but there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain an exclusion from registration as an investment company. The 40 % test limits the types of businesses in which we may engage through our subsidiaries. In addition, the assets we and our subsidiaries may originate or acquire are limited by the provisions of the Investment Company Act and the rules and regulations promulgated under the Investment Company Act, which may adversely affect our business. We hold our assets primarily through direct or indirect wholly- owned or majority- owned subsidiaries, certain of which are excluded from the definition of investment company pursuant to Section 3 (c) (5) (C) of the Investment Company Act. To qualify for the exclusion pursuant to Section 3 (c) (5) (C) based on positions set forth by the staff of the SEC, each such subsidiary generally is required to hold at least (i) 55 % of its assets in "qualifying" real estate assets and (ii) at least 80 % of its assets in "qualifying" real estate assets and real estate- related assets." Qualifying "real estate assets for this purpose include senior loans, certain B- Notes and certain mezzanine loans that satisfy various conditions as set forth in SEC staff no- action letters and other guidance, and other assets that the SEC staff in various no- action letters and other guidance has determined are the functional equivalent of senior loans for the purposes of the Investment Company Act. We treat as real estate- related assets B- Notes and mezzanine loans that do not satisfy the conditions set forth in the relevant SEC staff noaction letters and other guidance, and debt and equity securities of companies primarily engaged in real estate businesses. Unless a relevant SEC staff no- action letter or other guidance applies, we expect to treat preferred equity interests as real estate- related

assets. The SEC has not published guidance with respect to the treatment of CMBS for purposes of the Section 3 (c) (5) (C) exclusion. Unless the SEC or its staff issues guidance with respect to CMBS, we intend to treat CMBS as a real estate- related asset. These no- action positions are based on specific factual situations that may be substantially different from the factual situations we and our subsidiaries may face, and a number of these no- action positions were issued more than twenty years ago. There may be no guidance from the SEC staff that applies directly to our factual situations and as a result we may have to apply SEC staff guidance that relates to other factual situations by analogy. No assurance can be given that the SEC or its staff will concur with our classification of our assets. In addition, the SEC or its staff may, in the future, issue further guidance that may require us to re- classify our assets for purposes of the Investment Company Act, including for purposes of our subsidiaries' compliance with the exclusion provided in Section 3 (c) (5) (C) of the Investment Company Act. There is no guarantee that we will be able to adjust our assets in the manner required to maintain an exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act and any adjustment in our strategy or assets could have a material adverse effect on us. Certain of our subsidiaries rely on the exclusion from the definition of investment company set forth in Rule 3a-7 under the Investment Company Act. We refer to these subsidiaries as our "CLO subsidiaries." Rule 3a-7 under the Investment Company Act is available to certain structured financing vehicles that are engaged in the business of holding financial assets that, by their terms, convert into cash within a finite time period and that issue fixed income securities entitling holders to receive payments that depend primarily on the cash flows from these assets, provided that, among other things, the structured finance vehicle does not engage in certain portfolio management practices resembling those employed by management investment companies (e. g., mutual funds). Accordingly, each of these CLO subsidiaries is subject to an indenture (or similar transaction documents) that contains specific guidelines and restrictions limiting the discretion of the CLO subsidiary and its collateral manager, if applicable. In particular, these guidelines and restrictions prohibit the CLO subsidiary from acquiring and disposing of assets primarily for the purpose of recognizing gains or decreasing losses resulting from market value changes. Thus, a CLO subsidiary cannot acquire or dispose of assets primarily to enhance returns to the owner of the equity in the CLO subsidiary; however, subject to this limitation, sales and purchases of assets may be made so long as doing so does not violate guidelines contained in the CLO subsidiary's relevant transaction documents. A CLO subsidiary generally can, for example, sell an asset if the collateral manager believes that its credit characteristic qualifies it as an impaired asset, subject to fulfilling the requirements set forth in Rule 3a-7 under the Investment Company Act and the CLO subsidiary's relevant transaction documents. As a result of these restrictions, our CLO subsidiaries may suffer losses on their assets and we may suffer losses on our investments in those CLO subsidiaries. As a consequence of our seeking to maintain an exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act on an ongoing basis, we and / or our subsidiaries may be restricted from making certain investments or may structure investments in a manner that would be less advantageous to us than would be the case in the absence of such requirements. In particular, a change in the value of any of our assets could negatively affect our ability to maintain an exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act and cause the need for a restructuring of our investment portfolio. For example, these restrictions may limit our and our subsidiaries' ability to invest directly in mortgage- backed securities that represent less than the entire ownership in a pool of senior loans, debt and equity tranches of securitizations and certain asset-backed securities, non-controlling equity interests in real estate companies or in assets not related to real estate; however, we and our subsidiaries may invest in such securities to a certain extent. In addition, seeking to maintain an exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act may cause us and / or our subsidiaries to acquire or hold additional assets that we might not otherwise have acquired or held or dispose of investments that we and / or our subsidiaries might not have otherwise disposed of, which could result in higher costs or lower proceeds to us than we would have paid or received if we were not seeking to comply with such requirements. Thus, maintaining an exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits. We will determine whether an entity is a majority- owned subsidiary of our company. The Investment Company Act defines a majority- owned subsidiary of a person as a company 50 % or more of the outstanding voting securities of which are owned by such person, or by another company which is a majority- owned subsidiary of such person. The Investment Company Act defines voting securities as any security presently entitling the owner or holder thereof to vote for the election of directors of a company. We treat entities in which we own at least a majority of the outstanding voting securities as majority- owned subsidiaries for purposes of the 40 % test. We have not requested that the SEC or its staff approve our treatment of any entity as a majority- owned subsidiary, and neither has done so. If the SEC or its staff were to disagree with our treatment of one or more subsidiary entities as majority- owned subsidiaries, we may need to adjust our strategy and our assets in order to continue to pass the 40 % test. Any adjustment in our strategy or assets could have a material adverse effect on us. SEC staff no- action positions are based on specific factual situations that may be substantially different from the factual situations we and our subsidiaries may face, and a number of the no- action positions relevant to our business were issued more than twenty years ago. There may be no guidance from the SEC staff that applies directly to our factual situations and as a result we may have to apply SEC staff guidance that relates to other factual situations by analogy. No assurance can be given that the SEC or its staff will concur with our classification of our assets. In addition, the SEC or its staff may, in the future, issue further guidance that may require us to re- classify our assets for purposes of the Investment Company Act, including for purposes of our subsidiaries' compliance with the exclusion provided in Section 3 (c) (5) (C) of, or Rule 3a-7 under, the Investment Company Act. There is no guarantee that we will be able to adjust our assets in the manner required to maintain an exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act and any adjustment in our strategy or assets could have a material adverse effect on us. To the extent that the SEC or its staff provide new specific guidance regarding any of the matters bearing upon the definition of investment company and the exemptions or exclusions to that definition, we may be required to adjust our strategy accordingly. Any additional guidance from the SEC or its staff could provide additional flexibility to us, or it could further inhibit our ability to pursue the strategies we have chosen. There can be no assurance that we and our subsidiaries would be able to successfully avoid operating as an unregistered investment company. If it were established that we were an unregistered

investment company, there would be a risk that we would be subject to monetary penalties and injunctive relief in an action brought by the SEC, that we would be unable to enforce contracts with third parties, that third parties could seek to obtain rescission of transactions undertaken during the period it was established that we were an unregistered investment company. If we were required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, we would become subject to substantial regulation with respect to our capital structure (including our ability to use borrowings or corporate leverage, which would have an adverse impact on our investment returns), management, operations, transactions with affiliated persons (as defined in the Investment Company Act) and portfolio composition, including disclosure requirements and restrictions with respect to diversification and industry concentration and other matters. Compliance with the Investment Company Act would, accordingly, limit our ability to make certain investments and require us to significantly restructure our business plan, which could materially adversely affect our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders. Changes in laws or regulations governing our operations, changes in the interpretation thereof or newly enacted laws or regulations and any failure by us to comply with these laws or regulations, could require changes to certain of our business practices, negatively impact our operations, cash flow or financial condition, impose additional costs on us, subject us to increased competition or otherwise adversely affect our business. The laws and regulations governing our operations, as well as their interpretation, may change from time to time, and new laws and regulations may be enacted. Accordingly, any change in these laws or regulations, changes in their interpretation, or newly enacted laws or regulations and any failure by us to comply with these laws or regulations, could require changes to certain of our business practices, negatively impact our operations, cash flow or financial condition, impose additional costs on us or otherwise adversely affect our business. For example, from time to time the market for real estate debt transactions has been adversely affected by a decrease in the availability of senior and subordinated financing for transactions, in part in response to regulatory pressures on providers of financing to reduce or eliminate their exposure to such transactions. Furthermore, if regulatory capital requirements- whether under the Dodd- Frank Act, Basel III (i. e., the framework for a comprehensive set of capital and liquidity standards for internationally active banking organizations, which was adopted in June 2011 by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, an international body comprised of senior representatives of bank supervisory authorities and central banks from 27 countries, including the United States) or other regulatory action- are imposed on private lenders that provide us with funds, or were to be imposed on us, they or we may be required to limit, or increase the cost of, financing they provide to us or that we provide to others. Among other things, this could potentially increase our financing costs, reduce our ability to originate or acquire loans and reduce our liquidity or require us to sell assets at an inopportune time or price. Various laws and regulations currently exist that restrict the investment activities of banks and certain other financial institutions but do not apply to us, which we believe creates opportunities for us to participate in certain investments that are not available to these more regulated institutions. Any deregulation of the financial industry, including by amending the Dodd- Frank Act, may decrease the restrictions on banks and other financial institutions and would create more competition for investment opportunities that were previously not available to the financial industry. For example, in 2018, a bill was signed into law that eased the regulation and oversight of certain banks under the Dodd-Frank Act. See "Risks Related to Our Lending and Investment Activities — We operate in a competitive market for lending and investment opportunities, and competition may limit our ability to originate or acquire desirable loans and investments or dispose of assets we target and could also affect the yields of these assets and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations." Efforts by the current administration could have further impacts on our industry if previously enacted laws are amended or if new legislative or regulatory reforms are adopted. In addition, the change in administration has led and will lead to leadership changes at a number of U. S. federal regulatory agencies with oversight over the U. S. financial services industry. This poses uncertainty with respect to such agencies' policy priorities and may lead to increased regulatory enforcement activity in the financial services industry. Although there is a substantial lack of clarity regarding the likelihood, timing and details of potential changes or reforms by the new administration and U. S. Congress, such changes or reforms may impose additional costs on our current or future investments, require the attention of senior management or result in other limitations on our business or investments. We are unable to predict at this time the effect of any such reforms. In recent years, There there has been increasing commentary amongst regulators and intergovernmental institutions on the role of nonbank institutions in providing credit and, particularly, so-called "shadow banking," a term generally taken to refer to credit intermediation involving entities and activities outside the regulated banking system. For example, in August 2013, the Financial Stability Board has issued a policy framework for strengthening oversight and regulation of "shadow banking" entities. The report outlined initial steps to define the scope of the shadow banking system and proposed general governing principles for a monitoring and regulatory framework. A number of other regulators, such as the Federal Reserve, and international organizations, such as the International Organization of Securities Commissions, are studying the shadow banking system. It At this time, it is too early not possible to assess whether any rules or regulations will be proposed or to what extent any finalized rules or regulations will have on the nonbank lending market. If rules or regulations were to extend to us or our affiliates the regulatory and supervisory requirements, such as capital and liquidity standards, currently applicable to banks, then the regulatory and operating costs associated therewith could adversely impact the implementation of our investment strategy and our returns. In an extreme eventuality, it is possible that such regulations could render the continued operation of our company unviable. In the United States, the process established by the Dodd-Frank Act for designation of systemically important nonbank firms has provided a means for ensuring that the perimeter of prudential regulation can be extended as appropriate to cover large shadow banking institutions. The Dodd- Frank Act established the Financial Stability Oversight Council (the "FSOC"), which is comprised of representatives of all the major U. S. financial regulators, to act as the financial system's systemic risk regulator. The FSOC has the authority to review the activities of nonbank financial companies predominantly engaged in financial activities and designate those companies as "systematically important financial institutions" ("SIFIs") for supervision by the Federal Reserve. Such designation is applicable to companies where material distress or failure could pose risk to the financial stability of the United

States. On December 18, 2014, the FSOC released a notice seeking public comment on the potential risks posed by aspects of the asset management industry, including whether asset management products and activities may pose potential risks to the U. S. financial system in the areas of liquidity and redemptions, leverage, operational functions, and resolution, or in other areas. On April 18, 2016, the FSOC released an update on its multi- year review of asset management products and activities and created an interagency working group to assess potential risks associated with certain leveraged funds. On December 4, 2019, the FSOC issued final guidance regarding the FSOC's procedures for designating nonbank financial companies as SIFIs. This guidance implemented a number of reforms to the FSOC's prior SIFI designation approach by shifting from an "entity-based" approach to an "activities-based" approach whereby the FSOC will primarily focus on regulating activities that pose systematic risk to the financial stability of the United States, rather than designations of individual firms. Under the guidance, designation of a nonbank financial company as a SIFI would only occur if the FSOC determined that the expected benefits justify the expected costs of the designation. While the impact of this guidance cannot be known at this time, increased regulation of nonbank credit extension could negatively impact our operations, cash flows or financial condition, impose additional costs on us, intensify the regulatory supervision of us or otherwise adversely affect our business. Changes in laws or regulations governing the operations of borrowers could affect our returns with respect to those borrowers. Government counterparties or agencies may have the discretion to change or increase regulation of a borrower's operations, or implement laws or regulations affecting a borrower's operations, separate from any contractual rights it may have. A borrower could also be materially and adversely affected as a result of statutory or regulatory changes or judicial or administrative interpretations of existing laws and regulations that impose more comprehensive or stringent requirements on such company. Governments have considerable discretion in implementing regulations, for example, the possible imposition or increase of taxes on income earned by a borrower or gains recognized by us on our investment in such borrower, that could impact a borrower's business as well as our return on our investment with respect to such borrower. Changes in government rules, regulations and fiscal policies, including increases in property taxes, changes in zoning laws and increasing costs to comply with environmental law could increase operating expenses for our borrowers. Likewise, changes in rent control or rent stabilization laws or other residential landlord / tenant laws could result in lower revenue growth or significant unanticipated expenditures for our borrowers. For example, in 2016, voters in Mountain View, California passed a referendum that limits rent increases on existing tenants (but not on new move-ins) in communities built before 1995. These initiatives and any other future enactments of rent control or rent stabilization laws or other laws regulating multifamily housing may reduce our borrowers' rental revenues or increase their operating costs. Such laws and regulations may limit our borrowers' ability to charge market rents, increase rents, evict tenants or recover increases in their operating costs, which may, in turn, impact our return on our investment with respect to such borrowers. Climate change, climate change- related initiative and regulation and the increased focus on environmental, social and governance issues, may adversely affect our business and financial results and damage our reputation. Recently, there has been growing concern from advocacy groups, government agencies and the general public over the effects of climate change on the environment. Transition risks, such as government restrictions, standards or regulations intended to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and potential climate change impacts, are emerging and may increase in the future in the form of restrictions or additional requirements on the development of commercial real estate. Such restrictions and requirements could increase our costs or require additional technology and capital investment by our borrowers, which could adversely affect our results of operations. This is a particular concern in the western and northeastern United States, where some of the most extensive and stringent environmental laws and building construction standards in the U. S. have been enacted, and where we have properties securing our investment portfolio. Additionally, ESG and other sustainability matters and our response to these matters could harm our business, including in areas such as diversity, equity and inclusion, human rights, climate change and environmental stewardship, support for local communities, corporate governance and transparency and considering ESG factors in our investment processes. Increasing governmental, investor and societal attention to ESG matters, including expanding mandatory and voluntary reporting, diligence, and disclosure on topics such as climate change, human capital, labor and risk oversight, could expand the nature, scope, and complexity of matters that we are required to control, assess and report. These factors may alter the environment in which we do business and may increase the ongoing costs of compliance and adversely impact our results of operations and cash flows. If we are unable to adequately address such ESG matters or we or our borrowers fail or are perceived to fail to comply with all laws, regulations, policies and related interpretations, it could negatively impact our reputation and our business results. Further, significant physical effects of climate change including extreme weather events such as hurricanes or floods, can also have an adverse impact on certain of our borrowers' properties. As the effects of climate change increase, we expect the frequency and impact of weather and climate related events and conditions to increase as well. While the geographic distribution of our portfolio somewhat limits our physical climate risk, some physical risk is inherent in the properties of our borrowers, particularly in certain borrowers' locations and in the unknown potential for extreme weather or other events that could occur related to climate change. We are subject to risks from litigation filed by or against us. Legal or governmental proceedings brought by or on behalf of third parties may adversely affect our financial results. Our investment activities may include activities that are hostile in nature and will subject it to the risks of becoming involved in such proceedings. The expense of defending claims against us and paying any amounts pursuant to settlements or judgments would be borne by us and would reduce net assets. Our Manager will be indemnified by us in connection with such proceedings, subject to certain conditions. Similarly, we may from time to time institute legal proceedings on behalf of ourselves or others, the ultimate outcome of which could cause us to incur substantial damages and expenses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. The obligations associated with being a public company require significant resources and attention from our Manager's senior management team. As a public company with listed equity securities, we must comply with laws, regulations and requirements, including the requirements of the Exchange Act, certain corporate governance provisions of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Sarbanes- Oxley Act"), related regulations of the SEC and requirements of the NYSE, with

which we were not required to comply as a private company. The Exchange Act requires that we file annual, quarterly and current reports with respect to our business and financial condition. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we establish and maintain effective internal controls and procedures for financial reporting. These reporting and other obligations place significant demands on our Manager's senior management team, administrative, operational and accounting resources and cause us to incur significant expenses. We may need to upgrade our systems or create new systems, implement additional financial and other controls, reporting systems and procedures, and create or outsource an internal audit function. If we are unable to accomplish these objectives in a timely and effective fashion, our ability to comply with the financial reporting requirements and other rules that apply to reporting companies could be impaired. If we are unable to implement and maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting in the future, investors may lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports and the market price of our common stock may be negatively affected. As a public company, we are required to maintain internal controls over financial reporting and to report any material weaknesses in such internal controls. In addition, we are required to furnish a report by management on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Our independent registered public accounting firm is required to formally attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting on an annual basis. The process of designing, implementing and testing the internal controls over financial reporting required to comply with this obligation is time consuming, costly and complicated. If we identify material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting, if we are unable to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act in a timely manner or to assert that our internal controls over financial reporting is effective or if our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to express an opinion as to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, investors may lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports and the market price of our common stock could be negatively affected. We could also become subject to investigations by the stock exchange on which our securities are listed, the SEC or other regulatory authorities, which could require additional financial and management resources. If we do not maintain our qualification as a REIT, we will be subject to tax as a regular corporation and could face a substantial tax liability. We expect to continue to operate so as to qualify as a REIT under the Code. However, qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which only a limited number of judicial or administrative interpretations exist. Our continued qualification as a REIT will depend on our continuing ability to meet various requirements concerning, among other things, our sources of income, the nature of our investments, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We also own interests in entities that have elected to be taxed as REITs. If any such entity were to fail to qualify as a REIT, it could negatively impact our ability to satisfy the REIT requirements. Notwithstanding the availability of cure provisions in the Code, various compliance requirements could be failed and could jeopardize our REIT status. Furthermore, new tax legislation, administrative guidance or court decisions, in each instance potentially with retroactive effect, could make it more difficult or impossible for us to continue to qualify as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any tax year, then: • we would be taxed as a regular domestic corporation, which under current law, among other things, means being unable to deduct distributions to stockholders in computing taxable income and being subject to U. S. federal income tax on taxable income at regular corporate income tax rates; • any resulting tax liability could be substantial and could have a material adverse effect on our book value; • unless we were entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we would be required to pay taxes as described above, and thus, our cash available for distribution to stockholders would be reduced for each of the years during which we do not qualify as a REIT and for which we had taxable income; and • we generally would not be eligible to elect to be taxed as a REIT for the subsequent four full taxable years. Even if we maintain our qualification as a REIT, we may incur tax liabilities that would reduce our cash available for distribution to stockholders. Even if we maintain our qualification as a REIT, we may become subject to U. S. federal income taxes and related state and local taxes, and foreign taxes. For example, net income from the sale of properties that are "dealer" properties sold by a REIT (a "prohibited transaction" under the Code) will be subject to a 100 % tax. Our distributions may not be sufficient to avoid excise taxes applicable to REITs. Similarly, if we were to fail an income or asset test (and did not lose our REIT status because such failure was due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect), we would have to pay a penalty tax, which could be material. We also may decide to retain net capital gain we earn from the sale or other disposition of our investments and pay income tax directly on such income. In that event, our stockholders would be treated as if they earned that income and paid the tax on it directly. However, stockholders that are tax- exempt, such as charities or qualified pension plans, would have no benefit from their deemed payment of such tax liability unless they file U.S. federal income tax returns and seek a refund of such tax on such return. We also may be subject to state and local or foreign taxes on our income or property, including franchise, payroll, mortgage recording and transfer taxes, either directly or at the level of the other companies through which we indirectly own assets. For example, our domestic taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to full U. S. federal, state, local and foreign corporate-level income taxes. Any taxes we pay directly or indirectly will reduce our cash available for distribution to stockholders. Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities and limit our expansion opportunities. In order to qualify as a REIT for U. S. federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, our sources of income, the nature of our investments in real estate and related assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We may also be required to make distributions to stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution, requiring us to borrow funds or sell a portion of our investments at disadvantageous prices. Thus, compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits. Complying with REIT requirements may force us to liquidate or restructure otherwise attractive investments. In order to qualify as a REIT, we must also ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75 % of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and qualified REIT real estate assets. The remainder of our investments in securities cannot include more than 10 % of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or 10 % of the total value of the outstanding securities of any

one issuer unless we and such issuer jointly elect for such issuer to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary under the Code. The total value of all of our investments in taxable REIT subsidiaries cannot exceed 20 % of the value of our total assets. In addition, no more than 5 % of the value of our assets can consist of the securities of any one issuer other than a taxable REIT subsidiary, and no more than 25 % of our assets can consist of debt of "publicly offered" REITs (i. e., REITs that are required to file annual and periodic reports with the SEC under the Exchange Act) that is not secured by real property or interests in real property. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we must dispose of a portion of our assets or otherwise come into compliance within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter in order to avoid losing our REIT status and suffering adverse tax consequences. As a result, we may be required to liquidate or restructure otherwise attractive investments. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income and amounts available for distribution to our stockholders. Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively and may cause us to incur tax liabilities. The REIT provisions of the Code substantially limit our ability to hedge liabilities and assets. Any income from a properly identified hedging transaction we enter into to manage risk of interest rate changes with respect to borrowings made or to be made to acquire or carry real estate assets or to manage risk of currency fluctuations with respect to our REIT qualifying income, or to offset any such hedging transaction, does not constitute "gross income" for purposes of the 75 % or 95 % gross income tests that we must satisfy in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT. To the extent that we enter into other types of hedging transactions, the income from those transactions is likely to be treated as non-qualifying income for purposes of both of these gross income tests. As a result of these rules, we intend to limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement those hedges through a taxable REIT subsidiary. This could increase the cost of our hedging activities because our taxable REIT subsidiaries would be subject to tax on gains or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to bear. In addition, losses in our taxable REIT subsidiaries generally will not provide any tax benefit, except for being carried forward against future taxable income in the taxable REIT subsidiaries. Our charter does not permit any person (including certain entities treated as individuals for this purpose) to own more than 9.8 % of any class or series of our outstanding capital stock, and attempts to acquire shares of any class or series of our capital stock in excess of this 9.8 % limit would not be effective without an exemption from those prohibitions by our board of directors. To maintain our qualification as a REIT, not more than 50 % in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities). Our charter provides that no person may beneficially or constructively own more than 9.8 % in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of any class or series of our outstanding capital stock, provided that KKR and certain of its affiliates are excluded from this limitation. Our board of directors, in its sole discretion, may exempt (prospectively or retroactively) a person from this limitation if it obtains such representations, covenants and undertakings as it deems appropriate to conclude that granting the exemption will not cause us to lose our status as a REIT. The constructive ownership rules under the Code and our charter are complex and may cause shares of our outstanding stock owned by a group of related individuals or entities to be deemed to be constructively owned by one individual. As a result, the acquisition of less than 9.8 % of any class or series of our outstanding capital stock by an individual or entity could cause an individual to own constructively in excess of 9.8 % of such class or series of our outstanding capital stock, and thus violate the ownership limit. Any attempted transfer of our capital stock that, if effective, would result in a violation of the ownership limit, will cause the number of shares causing the violation to automatically be transferred to a trust for the exclusive benefit of one or more charitable beneficiaries designated by us and the intended transferee will acquire no rights in the shares. Despite these restrictions, it is possible that there could be five or fewer individuals who own more than 50 % in value of our outstanding capital stock, which could cause us to fail to continue to qualify as a REIT. In addition, there can be no assurance that our board of directors, as permitted in our charter, will not decrease this ownership limit in the future (provided, however, that a decreased stock ownership limit will not be effective for any person whose ownership of our stock is in excess of the decreased ownership limit until such person's ownership percentage of our stock equals or falls below the decreased ownership limit). The ownership limit could have the effect of discouraging a takeover or other transaction in which holders of our common stock might receive a premium for their shares over the then prevailing market price or which holders might believe to be otherwise in their best interests (and even if such change in control would not reasonably jeopardize our REIT status). The exemptions to the ownership limit granted to date may limit our board of directors' power to increase the ownership limit or grant further exemptions in the future. We may choose to make distributions in the form of shares of our own stock, in which case stockholders may be required to pay income taxes without receiving any cash dividends. In connection with our qualification as a REIT, we are required to annually distribute to our stockholders at least 90 % of our REIT taxable income (which does not equal net income, as calculated in accordance with GAAP), determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain. To satisfy this requirement, we may make distributions that are payable in cash and / or shares of our common stock at the election of each stockholder. As a publicly offered REIT, as long as at least 20 % of the total dividend is available in cash and certain other requirements are satisfied, the IRS will treat the stock distribution as a dividend (to the extent applicable rules treat such distribution as being made out of our earnings and profits). This threshold has been temporarily reduced in the past and may be reduced in the future by IRS guidance. Taxable stockholders receiving such distributions will be required to include the full amount of such distributions as ordinary dividend income to the extent of our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U. S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, U. S. holders may be required to pay income taxes with respect to such distributions in excess of the cash portion of the distribution received. Accordingly, U. S. holders receiving a distribution of our shares may be required to sell shares received in such distribution or may be required to sell other stock or assets owned by them, at a time that may be disadvantageous, in order to satisfy any tax imposed on such distribution. If a U. S. holder sells the stock that it receives as part of the distribution in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount it must include in income with respect to the distribution, depending on the value of our shares at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to certain non- U. S. holders, we may be required to withhold U. S. tax with

respect to such distribution, including in respect of all or a portion of such distribution that is payable in stock, by withholding or disposing of part of the shares included in such distribution and using the proceeds of such disposition to satisfy the withholding tax imposed. In addition, if a significant number of our stockholders determine to sell shares of our common stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividend income, such sale may put downward pressure on the market price of our common stock. Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends. Under current law, the maximum U. S. federal income tax rate applicable to qualified dividend income payable to certain non-corporate U. S. holders is 20 %. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced qualified dividend rates. For taxable years beginning before January 1, 2026, however, non-corporate taxpayers may deduct up to 20 % of certain pass-through business income, including "qualified REIT dividends" (generally, dividends received by a REIT shareholder that are not designated as capital gain dividends or qualified dividend income), subject to certain limitations, resulting in an effective maximum U. S. federal income tax rate of 29.6 % on such income. Although the reduced U. S. federal income tax rate applicable to qualified dividend income does not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends payable by REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends and the reduced corporate tax rate could cause certain non-corporate investors to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including our common stock. Our taxable income may be greater than our cash flow available for distribution, including as a result of our investments in certain debt instruments, causing us to recognize "phantom income" for U. S. federal income tax purposes, and certain modifications of debt instruments by us could cause the modified debt to not qualify as a good REIT asset, thereby jeopardizing our REIT qualification. To qualify as a REIT, we generally must distribute annually to our stockholders at least 90 % of our net taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends- paid deduction and excluding net capital gains. We will be subject to regular corporate income taxes on any undistributed REIT taxable income each year, including net capital gains. Additionally, we will be subject to a 4 % nondeductible excise tax on any amount by which distributions paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85 % of our ordinary income, 95 % of our capital gain net income and 100 % of our undistributed income from previous years. Our taxable income may substantially exceed our net income as determined based on GAAP, or differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash may occur. For example, we may acquire assets, including debt securities requiring us to accrue OID original issue discount or recognize market discount income, that generate taxable income in excess of economic income or in advance of the corresponding cash flow from the assets referred to as "phantom income." In addition, if a borrower with respect to a particular debt instrument encounters financial difficulty rendering it unable to pay stated interest as due, we may nonetheless be required to continue to recognize the unpaid interest as taxable income with the effect that we will recognize income but will not have a corresponding amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders. Finally, we may be required under the terms of indebtedness that we incur to use cash received from interest payments to make principal payments on that indebtedness, with the effect of recognizing income but not having a corresponding amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders. Also, in certain circumstances, our ability to deduct interest expenses for U. S. federal income tax purposes may be limited. As a result of the foregoing, we may generate less cash flow than taxable income in a particular year and find it difficult or impossible to meet the REIT distribution requirements in certain circumstances. In such circumstances, we may be required to (a) sell assets in adverse market conditions, (b) borrow on unfavorable terms, (c) distribute amounts that would otherwise be used for future acquisitions or used to repay debt, or (d) make a taxable distribution of our common stock as part of a distribution in which stockholders may elect to receive shares of our common stock or (subject to a limit measured as a percentage of the total distribution) cash, in order to comply with the REIT distribution requirements. We may agree to modify the terms of distressed and other debt instruments that we hold. If the amendments to the outstanding debt are "significant modifications" under the applicable U.S. Treasury regulations, the modified debt may be considered to have been reissued to us in a debt- for- debt taxable exchange with the borrower. In certain circumstances, this deemed reissuance may prevent the modified debt from qualifying as a good REIT asset if the underlying security has declined in value and could cause us to recognize income to the extent the principal amount of the modified debt exceeds our adjusted tax basis in the unmodified debt. The failure of a mezzanine loan to qualify as a real estate asset could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. We originate and acquire mezzanine loans, for which the IRS has provided a safe harbor but not rules of substantive law. Pursuant to the safe harbor, if a mezzanine loan meets certain requirements, it will be treated by the IRS as a real estate asset for purposes of the REIT asset tests, and interest derived from the mezzanine loan will be treated as qualifying mortgage interest for purposes of the REIT 75 % income test. Our mezzanine loans may not meet all of the requirements of this safe harbor. In the event we own a mezzanine loan that does not meet the safe harbor, the IRS could challenge such loan's treatment as a real estate asset for purposes of the REIT asset and income tests and, if such a challenge were sustained, we could fail to qualify as a REIT, unless we are able to qualify for a statutory REIT "savings" provision, which may require us to pay a significant penalty tax to maintain our REIT qualification. Our investments in certain loans may require us to make estimates about the fair value of real property improvements that may be challenged by the IRS. We have invested and may invest in mortgage loans and mezzanine loans in which the underlying real property was under construction. Such mortgage loans (and mezzanine loans, to the extent they are otherwise qualifying) generally will be treated as real estate assets for purposes of the REIT asset tests, and interest derived from such loans will be treated as qualifying mortgage interest for purposes of the REIT 75 % income test, provided that the "loan value" of the real property securing the loan is equal to or greater than the highest outstanding principal amount of the loan during any taxable year. With respect to construction loans, the value of the real property securing the loan is the fair value of the land plus the reasonably estimated cost of improvements or developments (other than personal property) that secure the loan and that are to be constructed from the proceeds of the loan. The IRS could challenge our estimates as to the loan value of the real property associated with such construction loans. If such a challenge were sustained and all or a portion of the loan was not treated as a real estate asset, we could fail to qualify as a REIT,

unless we are able to qualify for a statutory REIT "savings" provision, which may require us to pay a significant penalty tax to maintain our REIT qualification. We may fail to qualify as a REIT if the IRS successfully challenges our characterization for U. S. federal income tax purposes of our mezzanine loans or preferred equity investments. We have invested and may invest in the future in preferred equity investments and mezzanine loans. There is limited case law and administrative guidance addressing whether instruments similar to our mezzanine loans and preferred equity investments will be treated as equity or debt for U. S. federal income tax purposes. We typically do not anticipate obtaining private letter rulings from the IRS or opinions of counsel on the characterization of those investments for U. S. federal income tax purposes. If the IRS successfully recharacterizes a mezzanine loan or preferred equity investment as equity for U. S. federal income tax purposes, we would be treated as owning the assets held by the partnership or limited liability company that issued the security and we would be treated as receiving our proportionate share of the income of that entity. If that partnership or limited liability company owned nonqualifying assets or earned nonqualifying income, we may not be able to satisfy all of the REIT income or asset tests. Alternatively, if we are treating a mezzanine loan or preferred equity investment as equity for U. S. federal income tax purposes and the IRS successfully recharacterizes the investment as debt, then that investment may be treated as a nonqualifying asset for purposes of the 75 % asset test and as producing nonqualifying income for 75 % gross income test. In addition, such an investment may be subject to the 10 % value test and the 5 % asset tests. Accordingly, we could fail to qualify as a REIT if the IRS successfully challenges our characterization of our mezzanine loans or preferred equity investments for U. S. federal income tax purposes unless we are able to qualify for a statutory REIT "savings" provision, which may require us to pay a significant penalty tax to maintain our REIT qualification. The tax on prohibited transactions will limit our ability to engage in transactions, including certain methods of securitizing or syndicating mortgage loans that would be treated as sales for U. S. federal income tax purposes. A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100 % tax with no offset for losses. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, but including mortgage loans, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. We might be subject to this tax if we dispose of, securitize or syndicate loans in a manner that was treated as a sale of the loans, if we frequently buy and sell securities in a manner that is treated as dealer activity with respect to such securities for U. S. federal income tax purposes. Therefore, in order to avoid the prohibited transactions tax, we may choose to engage in certain sales of assets through a taxable REIT subsidiary and not at the REIT level, and may limit the structures we utilize for our securitization transactions, even though the sales or structures might otherwise be beneficial to us. The failure of assets subject to repurchase agreements to qualify as real estate assets could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. We have entered into financing arrangements that are structured as sale and repurchase agreements pursuant to which we nominally sell certain of our assets to a counterparty and simultaneously enter into an agreement to repurchase these assets at a later date in exchange for a purchase price. Economically, these agreements are financings that are secured by the assets sold pursuant thereto. We believe that we are treated for REIT asset and income test purposes as the owner of the assets that are the subject of such sale and repurchase agreements notwithstanding that such agreements may transfer record ownership of the assets to the counterparty during the term of the agreement. It is possible, however, that the IRS could assert that we do not own the assets during the term of the related sale and repurchase agreement, in which case we could fail to qualify as a REIT. Liquidation of assets may jeopardize our REIT qualification. To qualify as a REIT, we must comply with requirements regarding our assets and our sources of income. If we are compelled to liquidate our investments to repay obligations to our lenders, we may be unable to comply with these requirements, ultimately jeopardizing our qualification as a REIT, or we may be subject to a 100 % tax on any resultant gain if we sell assets that are treated as dealer property or inventory. Certain financing activities may subject us to U. S. federal income tax and could have negative tax consequences for our stockholders. We may enter into securitization transactions and other financing transactions that could result in us, or a portion of our assets, being treated as a taxable mortgage pool for U. S. federal income tax purposes. If we enter into such a transaction in the future, we could be taxable at the highest corporate income tax rate on a portion of the income arising from a taxable mortgage pool, referred to as "excess inclusion income," that is allocable to the percentage of our shares held in record name by disqualified organizations (generally tax- exempt entities that are exempt from the tax on unrelated business taxable income, such as state pension plans and charitable remainder trusts and government entities). In that case, we could reduce distributions to such stockholders by the amount of tax paid by us that is attributable to such stockholder's ownership. If we were to realize excess inclusion income, IRS guidance indicates that the excess inclusion income would be allocated among our stockholders in proportion to the dividends paid. Excess inclusion income cannot be offset by losses of a stockholder. If the stockholder is a tax- exempt entity and not a disqualified organization, then this income would be fully taxable as unrelated business taxable income under Section 512 of the Code. If the stockholder is a foreign person, it would be subject to U. S. federal income tax at the maximum tax rate and withholding will be required on this income without reduction or exemption pursuant to any otherwise applicable income tax treaty. Our qualification as a REIT may be dependent on the accuracy of legal opinions or advice rendered or given or statements by the issuers of assets that we acquire, and the inaccuracy of any such opinions, advice or statements may adversely affect our REIT qualification and result in significant corporate-level tax. When purchasing securities, we may rely on opinions or advice of counsel for the issuer of such securities, or statements made in related offering documents, for purposes of determining whether such securities represent debt or equity securities for U. S. federal income tax purposes, the value of such securities, and also to what extent those securities constitute qualified real estate assets for purposes of the REIT asset tests and produce income that qualifies under the 75 % gross income test. The inaccuracy of any such opinions, advice or statements may adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT and result in significant corporate- level tax. Any taxable REIT subsidiaries owned by us are subject to corporate- level taxes and our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries may be subject to 100 % excise tax. A REIT may own up to 100 % of the stock of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. Both the subsidiary and the REIT must jointly elect to treat the subsidiary as a taxable REIT subsidiary. A corporation of which a taxable REIT subsidiary directly or indirectly owns more than 35 % of the voting

```
power or value of the stock will automatically be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Overall, no more than 20 % of the gross
value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. The value of our interests
in and, therefore, the amount of assets held in a taxable REIT subsidiary may also be restricted by our need to qualify
for an exclusion from regulation as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. A taxable REIT
subsidiary will pay U. S. federal, state and local income tax at regular corporate rates on any income that it earns. In
addition, the taxable REIT subsidiary rules limit the deductibility of amounts paid or accrued by a taxable REIT subsidiary to its
parent REIT to assure that the taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation. The rules also
impose a 100 % excise tax on certain transactions between a taxable REIT subsidiary and its parent REIT that are not conducted
on an arm's length basis. Domestic taxable REIT subsidiaries that we own or may form will pay U. S. federal, state and local
income tax on their taxable income, and their after- tax net income will be available for distribution to us but will not be
required to be distributed to us, unless necessary to maintain our REIT qualification. In certain circumstances, the ability of our
taxable REIT subsidiaries to deduct interest expenses for U. S. federal income tax purposes may be limited. While we plan to
monitor the aggregate value of the securities of our taxable REIT subsidiaries and intend to conduct our affairs so that such
securities will represent less than 20 % of the value of our total assets, there can be no assurance that we will be able to comply
with the taxable REIT subsidiary limitation or avoid the application of the 100 % excise tax discussed above in all market
conditions. Failure of our subsidiary REIT to qualify as a REIT could adversely impact our ability to qualify as a REIT. We
indirectly own an interest in an entity that has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the U. S. federal income tax laws, and may
own interests in additional entities that elect to be taxed as REITs in the future (together, "Subsidiary REITs"). Subsidiary
REITs are subject to the various REIT qualification requirements that are applicable to us. If any Subsidiary REIT were to fail to
qualify as a REIT, then (i) that Subsidiary REIT would become subject to regular U. S. federal, state, and local corporate income
tax, (ii) our interest in such Subsidiary REIT would cease to be a qualifying asset for purposes of the REIT asset tests, and (iii) it
is possible that we would fail certain of the REIT asset tests, in which event we also would fail to maintain our qualification as a
REIT unless we could avail ourselves of certain relief provisions. While we believe that the our Subsidiary REIT has qualified
as a REIT under the Code, we have joined the Subsidiary REIT in filing a "protective" taxable REIT subsidiary election under
Section 856 (1) of the Code. We cannot assure you that such "protective" taxable REIT subsidiary elections would be effective
to avoid adverse consequences to us. Moreover, even if the "protective" election were to be effective, the Subsidiary REIT
would be subject to regular corporate income tax, and we cannot assure you that we would not fail to satisfy the requirement that
not more than 20 % of the value of our total assets may be represented by the securities of one or more taxable REIT
subsidiaries. We may be subject to adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes that could increase our tax liability, reduce our
operating flexibility and reduce the price of our common stock. The present U. S. federal income tax treatment of REITs may be
modified, possibly with retroactive effect, by legislative, judicial, or administrative action at any time, which could affect the U.
S. federal income tax treatment of an investment in us. The U. S. federal income tax rules dealing with REITs constantly are
under review by persons involved in the legislative process, the IRS, and the U. S. Treasury, which results in statutory changes
as well as frequent revisions to regulations and interpretations. We cannot predict when or if any new U. S. federal income tax
law, regulation or administrative interpretation, or any amendment to any existing U. S. federal income tax law, regulation or
administrative interpretation, will be adopted, promulgated or become effective and any such law, regulation or interpretation
may take effect retroactively. Future revisions in the U. S. federal tax laws and interpretations thereof could affect or cause us to
change our investments and commitments and affect the tax considerations of an investment in us. Any such revisions could
have an adverse effect on an investment in our shares or on the market value or the resale potential of our assets. You are urged
to consult with your tax advisor with respect to the impact of such revisions on your investment in our shares and the status of
legislative, regulatory or administrative developments and proposals and their potential effect on an investment in our shares. On
August 16, 2022, President Biden signed into law the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022, or the IRA. The IRA includes numerous
tax provisions that impact corporations, including the implementation of a corporate alternative minimum tax as well as a 1 %
excise tax on certain stock repurchases and economically similar transactions. However, REITs are excluded from the definition
of an "applicable corporation" and therefore are not subject to the corporate alternative minimum tax. Additionally, the 1 %
excise tax specifically does not apply to stock repurchases by REITs. Any taxable REIT subsidiaries of ours operate as
standalone corporations and therefore could be adversely affected by the IRA. We will continue to analyze and monitor the
application of the IRA to our business; however, the effect of these changes on the value of our assets, shares of our common
stock or market conditions generally, is uncertain. Although REITs generally receive certain tax advantages compared to entities
taxed as regular corporations, it is possible that future legislation would result in a REIT having fewer tax advantages, and it
could become more advantageous for a company that invests in real estate to elect to be treated for U. S. federal income tax
purposes as a corporation. Our charter provides our board of directors with the power, under certain circumstances, to revoke or
otherwise terminate our REIT election and cause us to be taxed as a regular corporation, without the vote of our stockholders.
Our board of directors has duties to us and could only cause such changes in our tax treatment if it determines in good faith that
such changes are in our best interest. Structural, Organizational and Operational Risks Operational risks, including the risk of
cyberattacks, may disrupt our business, result in losses or limit our growth. We rely heavily on KKR's financial, accounting,
communications and other data processing systems. Such systems may fail to operate properly or become disabled as a result of
tampering or a breach of the network security systems or otherwise. In addition, such systems are from time to time subject to
cyberattacks , which may continue to increase in sophistication and frequency in the future . Breaches-Attacks of our
network security systems and those of KKR, our affiliates and service providers could involve, and in some instances have
in the past involved, attacks that are intended to obtain unauthorized access to our proprietary information or personal
identifying information of our stockholders, destroy data or disable, degrade or sabotage our systems, or divert or otherwise
steal funds, often through the introduction of "phishing" attempts and other forms of social engineering, computer viruses,
```

```
eyberattacks and other means malicious code. Our information and technology systems as well as those of KKR, its
portfolio entities and other related parties, such as service providers, may be vulnerable to damage or interruption from
cybersecurity breaches, computer viruses or other malicious code, network failures, computer and telecommunication
failures, infiltration by unauthorized persons and other security breaches, usage errors by their respective professionals
or service providers, power, communications or other service outages and catastrophic events such as fires, tornadoes,
floods, hurricanes and earthquakes. Cyberattacks, ransomware and other security threats could originate from a wide
variety of sources, including cyber criminals, nation state hackers, hacktivists and other unknown <del>third p</del>arties outside the
firm. <del>We Cyberattacks</del> and other security threats could also originate from the malicious <del>our</del>- or <del>Manager accidental acts</del>
of insiders. There has been an increase in the frequency and sophistication of the cyber and security threats KKR faces,
with attacks ranging from those common to businesses generally to those that are more advanced and persistent, which
may target KKR because KKR holds a significant amount of confidential and sensitive information about its and our
investors, its portfolio companies and potential investments. As a result, we and KKR may face a heightened risk of a
security breach or disruption with respect to this information. If successful, these types of attacks on our or KKR^{\circ}s
employees network or other systems could have been a material adverse effect on our business and results expect to
continue to be the target of operations fraudulent calls, emails and due to, among other things, the loss of investor or
proprietary data, interruptions or delays in the operation of our business and damage to our reputation. There can be no
assurance that measures KKR takes to ensure the integrity of its systems will provide protection, especially because
cyberattack techniques used change frequently or are not recognized until successful. If unauthorized parties gain access
to such information and technology systems, they may be able to steal, publish, delete or modify private and sensitive
information, including nonpublic personal information related to shareholders (and their beneficial owners) and
material nonpublic information. Although we and KKR have implemented, and our service providers may implement,
various measures to manage risks relating to these types of events, such systems could prove to be inadequate and, if
compromised, could become inoperable <del>forms</del>--- <mark>for extended periods</mark> of <del>activities time, cease to function properly or fail</del>
to adequately secure private information. Neither we nor KKR control the cyber security plans and systems put in place
by third party service providers, and such third party service providers may have limited indemnification obligations to
KKR and us, each of which could be negatively impacted as a result. Breaches such as those involving covertly
introduced malware, impersonation of authorized users and industrial or other espionage may not be identified even
with sophisticated prevention and detection systems, potentially resulting in further harm and preventing them from
being addressed appropriately. The failure of these systems or of disaster recovery plans for any reason could cause
significant interruptions in KKR's, its affiliates' or our operations and result in a failure to maintain the security.
confidentiality or privacy of sensitive data, including personal information relating to shareholders, material nonpublic
information and the intellectual property and trade secrets and other sensitive information in the possession of KKR and
us. We and KKR could be required to make a significant investment to remedy the effects of any such failures, harm to
their reputations, legal claims that they and their respective affiliates may be subjected to, regulatory action or
enforcement arising out of applicable privacy and other laws, adverse publicity and other events that may affect their
business and financial performance. The costs related to cyber or other security threats or disruptions may not be fully
insured or indemnified by other means. In addition, cybersecurity has become a top priority for regulators around the world. In
addition, cybersecurity has become a top priority for regulators around the world. The SEC recently proposed
amendments to its rules related to cybersecurity risk management, strategy, governance, and incident reporting, and
many jurisdictions in which we and KKR operate have, or are considering adopting, laws and regulations relating to
data privacy, cybersecurity and protection of personal information, including the General Data Protection Regulation in
the European Union that went into effect in May 2018 and the California Consumer Privacy Act that became effective
on January 1, 2020 and is being amended by the California Privacy Rights Act, which became effective on January 1,
2023. Virginia, Colorado, Utah and Connecticut recently enacted similar data privacy legislation that will go into effect
in 2023, some Some jurisdictions have also enacted laws requiring companies to notify individuals of data security breaches
involving certain types of personal data . Breaches in security, whether malicious in nature or through inadvertent
transmittal or other loss of data, could potentially jeopardize our or KKR's, its employees', or our investors' or
counterparties' confidential, proprietary and other information processed and stored in, and transmitted through, our
or KKR's computer systems and networks, or otherwise cause interruptions or malfunctions in our or KKR's, its
employees', or our investors', our counterparties' or third parties' operations, which could result in significant losses,
increased costs, disruption of our business, liability to our investors and other counterparties, regulatory intervention or
reputational damage. Furthermore, if we or KKR fail to comply with the relevant laws and regulations or fail to provide
the appropriate regulatory or other notifications of a breach in a timely manner, it could result in regulatory
investigations and penalties, which could lead to negative publicity and may cause our investors or KKR's fund investors
and clients to lose confidence in the effectiveness of our or KKR's security measures. Although KKR takes various
measures to ensure the integrity of such systems, there can be no assurance that these measures will provide protection. If such
systems are compromised, do not operate properly or are disabled, or if we fail to comply with the relevant laws and regulations,
we could suffer financial loss, a disruption of our businesses, liability to investors, regulatory intervention or reputational
damage. Generally, our Manager will not be liable for losses incurred due to the failure of any such systems. In addition, we are
highly dependent on information systems and technology. Our information systems and technology may not continue to be able
to accommodate our growth, and the cost of maintaining such systems may increase from its current level. Such a failure to
accommodate growth, or an increase in costs related to such information systems, could have a material adverse effect on us.
Furthermore, we depend on our headquarters in New York City, where most of our Manager's personnel are located, for the
```

continued operation of our business. A disaster or a disruption in the infrastructure that supports our business, including a disruption involving electronic communications or other services used by us or third parties with whom we conduct business, or directly affecting our headquarters, could have a material adverse impact on our ability to continue to operate our business without interruption. KKR's disaster recovery programs may not be sufficient to mitigate the harm that may result from such a disaster or disruption. In addition, insurance and other safeguards might only partially reimburse us for our losses, if at all. Finally, we rely on third- party service providers for certain aspects of our business, including for certain information systems, technology and administration. Any interruption or deterioration in the performance of these third parties or failures of their information systems and technology could impair the quality of our operations and could affect our reputation and hence adversely affect our business. KKR has significant influence over us and its interests may conflict with ours or those of our stockholders in the future. As of December 31, 2022-2023, KKR and its affiliates beneficially owned shares of our common stock providing them with an aggregate 14. 5-4 % of the total voting power of our company. By virtue of KKR's stock ownership and voting power, KKR has the power to significantly influence our business and affairs and is able to influence the outcome of matters required to be submitted to stockholders for approval, including the election of our directors, amendments to our charter, mergers or sales of assets. The influence exerted by KKR over our business and affairs might not be consistent with the interests of some or all of our stockholders. In addition, the concentration of ownership in our officers or directors or stockholders associated with them may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our company, including transactions that would be in the best interests of our stockholders and would result in receipt of a premium to the price of our shares of common stock (and even if such change in control would not reasonably jeopardize our qualification as a REIT), and might negatively affect the market price of our common stock. Provisions of our charter and bylaws and Maryland law may deter takeover attempts, which may limit the opportunity of our stockholders to sell their shares at a favorable price. Some of the provisions of Maryland law and our charter and bylaws discussed below could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so might be beneficial to our stockholders by providing them with the opportunity to sell their shares at a premium to the then current market price. Issuance of stock without stockholder approval. Our charter authorizes our board of directors, without stockholder approval, to authorize the issuance of up to 300, 000, 000 shares of common stock and up to 50, 000, 000 shares of preferred stock. As of December 31, 2022 2023, 13, 160, 000 shares of preferred stock are classified as 6. 50 % Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock and one share of preferred stock is classified as special non-voting preferred stock. Our charter also authorizes our board of directors, without stockholder approval, to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of common stock and preferred stock into other classes or series of stock and to amend our charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series that are authorized by the charter to be issued. Preferred stock may be issued in one or more classes or series, the terms of which may be determined by our board of directors without further action by stockholders. Prior to issuance of any such class or series, our board of directors will set the terms of any such class or series, including the preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends or other distributions, qualifications and terms and conditions of redemption. The issuance of any preferred stock could materially adversely affect the rights of holders of common stock and, therefore, could reduce the value of the common stock. In addition, specific rights granted to future holders of our preferred stock could be used to restrict our ability to merge with, or sell assets to, a third party. The power of our board of directors to cause us to issue preferred stock could, in certain circumstances, make it more difficult, delay, discourage, prevent or make it more costly to acquire or effect a change in control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then current market price. Advance notice bylaw. Our bylaws contain advance notice procedures for the introduction by a stockholder of new business and the nomination of directors by a stockholder. These provisions could, in certain circumstances, discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for you and other stockholders to elect stockholdernominated directors and to propose and, consequently, approve stockholder proposals opposed by management. Maryland takeover statutes. The Maryland Business Combination Act could delay or prevent an unsolicited takeover of us. The statute substantially restricts the power of third parties who acquire, or seek to acquire, control of us without the approval of our board of directors to complete mergers and other business combinations even if such transaction would be beneficial to stockholders. " Business combinations" between such a third- party acquirer or its affiliate and us are prohibited for five years after the most recent date on which the acquirer becomes an "interested stockholder." An "interested stockholder" is defined as any person who beneficially owns 10 % or more of the voting power of our outstanding voting stock or an affiliate or associate of ours who, at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10 % or more of the voting power of our then outstanding stock. If our board of directors approved in advance the transaction that would otherwise give rise to the acquirer attaining such status, the acquirer would not become an interested stockholder and, as a result, it could enter into a business combination with us. Our board of directors may, however, provide that its approval is subject to compliance, at or after the time of approval, with any terms and conditions determined by it. Even after the lapse of the fiveyear prohibition period, any business combination with an interested stockholder must be recommended by our board of directors and approved by the affirmative vote of at least: • 80 % of the votes entitled to be cast by stockholders; and • twothirds of the votes entitled to be cast by stockholders other than the interested stockholder and affiliates and associates thereof. The super- majority vote requirements do not apply if, among other considerations, the transaction complies with a minimum price and form of consideration requirements prescribed by the statute. The statute permits various exemptions from its provisions, including business combinations that are exempted by the board of directors prior to the time that an interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. Our board of directors has by resolution exempted business combinations between us and any other person, provided that such business combination is first approved by our board of directors. The Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act of the Maryland General Corporation Law provides that a holder of control shares of a Maryland corporation acquired in a control share acquisition has no voting rights with respect to the control shares except to the

extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Shares owned by the acquiror, by officers or by employees who are directors of the corporation are excluded from shares entitled to vote on the matter. Control shares are voting shares of stock that, if aggregated with all other shares of stock owned by the acquiror or in respect of which the acquiror is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquiror to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of voting power: • one- tenth or more but less than one-third; • one- third or more but less than a majority; or • a majority or more of all voting power. Control shares do not include shares the acquiror is then entitled to vote as a result of having previously obtained stockholder approval or shares acquired directly from the corporation. A control share acquisition means the acquisition of issued and outstanding control shares, subject to certain exceptions. A person who has made or proposes to make a control share acquisition may compel the board of directors of the corporation to call a special meeting of stockholders to be held within 50 days of demand to consider the voting rights of the shares. The right to compel the calling of a special meeting is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including an undertaking to pay the expenses of the meeting. If no request for a meeting is made, the corporation may itself present the question at any stockholders meeting. If voting rights are not approved at the meeting or if the acquiror does not deliver an acquiring person statement as required by the statute, then the corporation may, subject to certain limitations and conditions, redeem for fair value any or all of the control shares, except those for which voting rights have previously been approved. Fair value is determined, without regard to the absence of voting rights for the control shares, as of the date of any meeting of stockholders at which the voting rights of the shares are considered and not approved or, if no meeting is held, as of the date of the last control share acquisition by the acquiror. If voting rights for control shares are approved at a stockholders meeting and the acquiror becomes entitled to exercise or direct the exercise of a majority of the voting power, all other stockholders may exercise appraisal rights. The fair value of the shares as determined for purposes of appraisal rights may not be less than the highest price per share paid by the acquiror in the control share acquisition. The control share acquisition statute does not apply to (a) shares acquired in a merger, consolidation or share exchange if the corporation is a party to the transaction or (b) acquisitions approved or exempted by the charter or bylaws of the corporation. Our bylaws contain a provision exempting any acquisition of our stock by any person from the foregoing provisions on control shares, which may be amended by our board of directors. In the event that our bylaws are amended to modify or eliminate this provision, acquisitions of our common stock may constitute a control share acquisition. The Maryland Unsolicited Takeovers Act (" MUTA ") permits the board of directors of a Maryland corporation with at least three independent directors and a class of stock registered under the Exchange Act, without stockholder approval and notwithstanding any contrary provision in its charter or bylaws, to implement certain takeover defenses, including adopting a classified board, increasing the vote required to remove a director or providing that each vacancy on the board of directors may be filled only by a majority of the remaining directors in office, even if the remaining directors do not constitute a quorum. These provisions could have the effect of limiting or precluding a third party from making an unsolicited acquisition proposal for our company or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then current market price. Our charter contains a provision whereby we have elected to be subject to the provisions of MUTA relating to the filling of vacancies on our board of directors. In addition, our charter includes certain limitations on the ownership and transfer of our common stock. See "Risks Related to Our REIT Status and Certain Other Tax Items Considerations — Our charter does not permit any person (including certain entities treated as individuals for this purpose) to own more than 9.8 % of any class or series of our outstanding capital stock, and attempts to acquire shares of any class or series of our capital stock in excess of this 9.8 % limit would not be effective without an a prior exemption from those prohibitions by our board of directors." Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited, which could limit your recourse in the event of actions not in your best interests. Our charter limits the liability of our present and former directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Under Maryland law, our present and former directors and officers will not have any liability to us and our stockholders for money damages other than liability resulting from: • actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or • active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action adjudicated. Our charter and bylaws require us to indemnify our present and former directors and officers to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service to us. In addition, we may be obligated to pay or reimburse the defense costs incurred by our present and former directors and officers without requiring a preliminary determination of their ultimate entitlement to indemnification. Our charter contains provisions that make removal of our directors difficult, which could make it difficult for our stockholders to effect changes to our management. Our charter provides that, subject to the rights of any series of preferred stock, a director may be removed only for cause upon the affirmative vote of at least two- thirds of the votes entitled to be cast generally in the election of directors. Under our charter, cause means conviction of a felony or a final judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction holding that a director caused demonstrable, material harm to our company through bad faith or active and deliberate dishonesty. Vacancies may be filled only by a majority of the remaining directors in office, even if less than a quorum. These requirements make it more difficult to change our management by removing and replacing directors and may prevent a change in control of our company that is in the best interests of our stockholders. Our charter contains provisions that are designed to reduce or eliminate duties of KKR and its affiliates and our directors with respect to corporate opportunities and competitive activities. Our charter contains provisions designed to reduce or eliminate duties of KKR and its affiliates and of our directors or any person our directors control to refrain from competing with us or to present to us business opportunities that otherwise may exist in the absence of such charter provisions. Under our charter, KKR and its affiliates and our directors or any person our directors control will not be obligated to present to us opportunities unless those opportunities are expressly offered to such person in his or her capacity as a director or

officer of our company and those persons will be able to engage in competing activities without any restriction imposed as a result of KKR's or its affiliates' status as a stockholder or KKR affiliates' status as officers or directors of our company. We have not established a minimum distribution payment level and we cannot assure you of our ability to pay distributions in the future. We are generally required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90 % of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain, each year for us to qualify as a REIT under the Code, which requirement we currently intend to satisfy through quarterly distributions of at least 90 % of our net taxable income in such year, subject to certain adjustments. Although we intend to make regular quarterly distributions to holders of our common stock and we currently expect to distribute at least 90 % of our net taxable income to our stockholders on an annual basis, we have not established a minimum distribution payment level and our ability to pay distributions may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including the risk factors described in this Annual Report on Form 10- K. Any distributions we make to our stockholders will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, financial condition, liquidity, debt covenants, maintenance of our REIT qualification, applicable law and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. We believe that a change in any one of the following factors could adversely affect our results of operations and impair our ability to pay distributions at current levels or at all to our stockholders: • our ability to make profitable investments; • margin calls or other expenses that reduce our cash flow; • defaults in our asset portfolio or decreases in the value of our portfolio; • the impact of declining interest rates on our net interest income; and • the fact that anticipated operating expense levels may not prove accurate, as actual results may vary from estimates. As a result, no assurance can be given that the level of any distributions we make to our stockholders will achieve a market yield or increase or even be maintained over time, any of which could materially and adversely affect the market price of our common stock. We may use net operating losses, to the extent available and subject to certain limitations, carried forward to offset future net taxable income, and therefore reduce our dividend requirements. In addition, some of our distributions may include a return of capital, which would reduce the amount of capital available to operate our business. In addition, distributions that we make to our stockholders will generally be taxable to our stockholders as ordinary income. REIT dividends (other than capital gain dividends) received by non-corporate stockholders may be eligible for a 20 % reduction. However, a portion of our distributions may be designated by us as long- term capital gains to the extent that they are attributable to capital gain income recognized by us or may constitute a return of capital to the extent that they exceed our earnings and profits as determined for U. S. federal income tax purposes. A return of capital is not taxable, but has the effect of reducing the basis of a stockholder's investment in our common stock. 53