

Risk Factors Comparison 2024-02-15 to 2023-02-16 Form: 10-K

Legend: **New Text** ~~Removed Text~~ Unchanged Text **Moved Text** Section

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. The risks and uncertainties described below should be carefully considered, together with all of the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the section titled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and our consolidated financial statements and related notes, before making a decision to invest in our common stock. Our business, financial condition, results of operations, or prospects could also be harmed by risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently do not believe are material. If any of the risks actually occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations, and prospects could be adversely affected. In that event, the market price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose part or all of your investment.

SUMMARY OF RISK FACTORS The material risks that may affect our business, financial condition or results of operations include, but are not limited to, those relating to the following:

- ~~We experienced rapid growth in the past and have a relatively limited operating history, which may result in increased risks, uncertainties, expenses and difficulties, and makes it difficult to evaluate our future prospects.~~ • ~~Our revenue growth rate and financial performance in prior years may not be indicative of future performance.~~ • Our business has been and ~~may will~~ continue to be adversely affected by economic conditions and other factors that we cannot control, **including the recent bank failures and resulting disruption in the banking sector**.
- If we are unable to maintain diverse and ~~robust~~ **resilient** loan funding programs with ~~to our marketplace from~~ institutional investors, our growth prospects, business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.
- ~~If our existing lending partners cease or limit operations with us or if we are unable to attract and onboard new lending partners, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected.~~ • ~~We have incurred net losses, and we may not be able to achieve profitability in the future.~~ • Our quarterly results are likely to fluctuate and as a result may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.
- ~~If we are unable to continue to improve our AI models or if our AI models contain errors or are otherwise ineffective, our growth prospects, business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.~~ • ~~Our AI models have not yet been extensively tested during down-cycle economic conditions. If our AI models do not, or are perceived not to, accurately reflect a~~ **the impact of economic conditions on borrower borrowers**.
- ~~credit risk in such economic conditions a timely manner~~, the performance of Upstart-powered loans may be worse than anticipated **and our AI models may be perceived as ineffective.**
- ~~If our existing lending partners cease or limit their participation in our marketplace or if we are unable to attract new lending partners to our marketplace, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected.~~ • ~~We have a relatively limited operating history, which may result in increased risks, uncertainties, expenses and difficulties, and makes it difficult to evaluate our future prospects.~~ • ~~If we are unable to manage the risks associated with the Upstart Macro Index (UMI), which is at an early research and development stage with an unproven track record, our credibility, reputation, business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.~~ • ~~We have incurred net losses, and we may not be able to achieve profitability in the future.~~ • ~~If we are unable to manage risks associated with the loans on our balance sheet, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.~~ • ~~Our revenue growth rate and financial performance in the past may not be indicative of future performance.~~ • ~~Our quarterly results are likely to fluctuate and as a result may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.~~ • ~~Our loan funding arrangements with institutional investors, securitizations, whole loan sales and warehouse credit facilities expose us to certain risks, and if we fail to provide no assurance that we will be able to access the securitization markets successfully manage such risks, it~~ continue our whole loan sales, renew our existing warehouse credit facilities or obtain new warehouse credit facilities in the future. This may result in the reduced supply of loan funding capital or require us to seek more costly **or less efficient** financing for our marketplace.
- ~~Our top three~~ Cross River Bank and one other ~~lending partner~~ **partners** account for a ~~substantial~~ **significant** portion of the total number of loans ~~loan~~ facilitated by **originations on** our platform marketplace and our revenue.
- ~~The sales~~ **Our reputation and brand onboarding process of** are important to our success, and ~~if we are unable to continue developing our reputation and brand, our ability to retain existing and attract new lending partners, our ability to attract borrowers to our marketplace, our ability to maintain diverse and resilient loan funding and our ability to maintain and improve our relationship with regulators of our industry could be adversely affected~~ **take longer than expected, leading to fluctuations or variability in expected revenues and results of operations.**
- ~~The COVID-19 pandemic has harmed our business, financial condition and results of operations and the duration and extent to which it will impact our future results of operations and overall financial performance remains uncertain.~~ • Our business is subject to a wide range of laws and regulations, many of which are evolving, and failure or perceived failure to comply with such laws and regulations could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.
- ~~If we are unable to manage the risks related to our loan servicing and collections obligations, our business,~~ Substantially all of our revenue is derived from a single loan product, and we are thus particularly susceptible to fluctuations in the unsecured personal loan market. We also do not currently offer a broad suite of products that lending partners **or institutional investors** may find desirable.
- ~~The sales and onboarding process of new lending partners could take longer than expected, leading to fluctuations or variability in expected revenues and results of operations.~~ • We are continuing to introduce and develop new loan products and services offerings, and if these products are not successful or we are unable to manage the related risks, our growth prospects, business, financial, condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.
- ~~If we fail to effectively manage our growth, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.~~ • ~~Our reputation and brand are important to~~

our success, and if we are unable to continue developing our reputation and brand, our ability to retain existing and attract new lending partners, our ability to attract borrowers to our platform, our ability to maintain a diverse funding marketplace and our ability to maintain and improve our relationship with regulators of our industry could be adversely affected. • We may fail to achieve the expected cost savings and related benefits from our reduction in workforce initiated in November 2022 and January 2023. • We rely on strategic relationships with loan aggregators to attract applicants to our platform marketplace, and if we cannot maintain effective relationships with loan aggregators or successfully replace their services, or if loan aggregators begin offering competing products, our business could be adversely affected.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY lending marketplace arrangements remain through a full economic cycle. Our ability to attract potential borrowers, and thus increase the number of Upstart-powered loan loans originations or maintain or increase the average size of loans facilitated on our platform marketplace, depends in large part on our ability to effectively evaluate the creditworthiness of borrowers and likelihood of default and, based on that evaluation, offer competitively priced loans. Our overall operating efficiency and margins further depend in part on our ability to maintain a high degree of automation in our loan application process and achieve incremental improvements in the degree of automation. If our AI models fail to adequately predict the creditworthiness of borrowers and the likelihood of default due to the design of our models or programming or any other errors or inaccuracies, and our AI models do not detect or account for such errors or inaccuracies, or any of the other components of our credit decision process fails, we may experience higher than forecasted losses on loans. Any of the foregoing could result in sub-optimally priced loans or incorrect approvals or denials of loans, any of which may lead to lower demand by borrowers and reduce loan originations. Moreover, in addition to reduced borrower demand, higher than expected losses on Upstart-powered loans could further harm our ability to attract capital to our marketplace. Our lending partners and institutional investors may decide to limit their funding or reduce the number of loans or types of loans they fund if they experience higher than expected losses on their cost of funds due to underperformance of the loans. It may also hinder our ability to increase the size of, or enter into new, debt facilities or other financing arrangements. Our AI models also target and optimize other aspects of the lending process, such as borrower acquisition, fraud detection, default timing, loan stacking and, prepayment timing. Our and fee optimization, and our continued improvements to such models have allowed us to facilitate loans inexpensively and virtually instantly, with a high degree of consumer satisfaction while maintaining and with an insignificant impact on loan performance. However, such applications of our AI models may prove to be less predictive than we expect, or than they have been in the past, for a variety of reasons, including inaccurate assumptions or other errors made in constructing such models, incorrect interpretations of the results of such models and failure to timely update model assumptions and parameters in a timely manner. Additionally, such models may not be able to effectively account for matters that are inherently difficult to predict and beyond our control, such as macroeconomic conditions, credit market volatility and interest rate fluctuations, which often involve complex interactions between a number of dependent and independent variables and factors. Material errors or inaccuracies in such AI models could lead us to make inaccurate or sub-optimal operational or strategic decisions, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, errors or inaccuracies in our AI models could result in any person exposed to the credit risk of Upstart-powered loans, whether it be us, our lending partners or institutional investors in our loan funding programs, experiencing higher than expected losses or lower than desired returns, which could impair our ability to retain existing or attract new lending partners and institutional investors to participate in our loan funding programs, reduce the number, or limit the types, of loans lending partners and institutional investors are willing to fund, and limit our ability to increase commitments under our warehouse and other debt facilities. Any of these circumstances could reduce the number of Upstart-powered loans and harm our ability to maintain a diverse and robust loan funding program and could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Continuing to improve the accuracy of our AI models is central to our business strategy. However, such improvements could negatively impact transaction volume, such as by lowering approval or conversion rates. For example, in the third quarter of 2021, we made changes to our AI models in response to an increase in fraudulent activity on our platform. These changes, while effective at preventing fraudulent loans from being transacted, have resulted, and may in the future result in, a decrease in our Conversion Rate. While we believe that continuing to improve the accuracy of our AI models is key to our long-term success, those improvements have led us in the past and could, from time to time, lead us in the future to reevaluate our credit decisioning process, including the risks associated with certain borrowers. This has resulted, and which could in turn the future result in lower approval rates or higher interest rates for any borrowers identified as a higher risk, either of which could negatively impact our growth and results of operations in the short term. The performance of loans facilitated through by our marketplace platform is significantly dependent on the effectiveness of our proprietary AI models used to evaluate a borrower's credit profile and likelihood of default. While our AI models are continually adjusted to account for certain macroeconomic conditions, the bulk of the data gathered and the development of our AI models have largely occurred during a period of sustained economic growth or during the COVID-19 pandemic when extraordinary government stimulus impacted the economy. Our AI models have not been extensively tested during other adverse economic cycles downturn or recession. Even if credit decisions take into account macroeconomic conditions, there There is no assurance that our AI models can accurately predict loan performance during periods of adverse economic conditions. If or our quickly respond AI models are unable to changing accurately reflect the credit risk of loans under such economic conditions. If our AI models are unable to accurately reflect the credit risk of loans under such economic conditions, we, our lending partners, and our institutional investors would in our loan funding programs and we may experience greater than expected losses on such loans, which would harm our reputation and erode the trust we have built with our lending partners and institutional investors in our loan funding programs. We have experienced. For example, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the federal government quickly implemented stimulus measures. The subsequent discontinuation of those stimulus measures has increased, and may continue to experience high increase, the delinquency and default rates of borrowers of Upstart-

powered loans, which has increased uncertainty about the effectiveness of our AI models among lending partners, institutional investors and others. In addition, we consider credit underperformance performance to be one of loans originated using the most important measures of the effectiveness of our AI models and focus on credit performance compared to the expectations of our lending partners or AI models in recent periods institutional investors at the time of origination. For example, the quarterly vintages of core personal loans purchased by institutional investors, our that originated in the first quarter of 2021 through mid- the second quarter of 2023-2022 vintages have are forecasted to underperform underperformed relative to the target returns set at the time of loan origination. The Moreover, the fair value of the loans on our balance sheet has declined in the quarter ended December 31, 2022 and may continue to decline in future down- cycle economic conditions. Our Any of these factors could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. We have can continue to be adversely affected if our AI models are not able to accurately and timely assess the impact of macroeconomic conditions on the performance and default rates of loans facilitated securitizations, and may through our marketplace. Our success depends in significant part on the participation future facilitate additional securitizations, of certain Upstart- powered loans to allow certain of our lending partners, whole loan purchasers, pass-through certificate buyers and We were founded in 2012 and have experienced rapid growth in the past been a publicly traded company for a limited number of years. Our limited operating history may make it difficult to make accurate predictions about our future performance. Assessing our business and future prospects may also be difficult because of the risks and difficulties we face. These risks and difficulties include our ability to:

- successfully mitigate any adverse effects of economic conditions such as high interest rates, inflation, unemployment levels, personal savings rates and other macroeconomic factors on our business;
- improve the effectiveness and predictiveness of our AI models, including successfully adjusting our proprietary AI models, products and services in a timely manner in response to changing macroeconomic conditions and fluctuations in the credit market;
- maintain and increase the volume of loans facilitated through our AI lending marketplace;
- enter into successfully maintain diverse and resilient loan funding to our marketplace from institutional investors;
- attract new lending partners to our marketplace and maintain existing lending partnerships;
- successfully meet maintain a diversified loan funding strategy, including lending partnerships, whole loan sales and securitization transactions that enhance loan liquidity for the lending partners that use our marketplace, borrower demand with competitive products and long-term terms committed capital sources;
- successfully fund a sufficient quantity of our borrower loan demand with low cost lending partner funding to help keep interest rates offered offer to borrowers competitive;
- maintain competitive interest rates offered to borrowers on our platform marketplace, while enabling our lending partners and institutional investors to achieve an adequate return over their cost of funds, whether through their own balance sheets or through our loan funding programs;
- successfully build our brand and protect our reputation from negative publicity;
- increase the effectiveness of our marketing strategies, including our direct consumer marketing initiatives;
- continue to expand the number of potential borrowers;
- successfully adjust our proprietary AI models, products and services in a timely manner in response to changing macroeconomic conditions and fluctuations in the credit market;
- comply with and successfully adapt to complex and evolving regulatory environments;
- protect against increasingly sophisticated fraudulent borrowing and online theft;
- successfully compete with companies that are currently in, or may in the future enter, the business of providing online lending services to financial institutions or consumer financial services to borrowers;
- enter into new markets and introduce new products and services;
- effectively secure and maintain the confidentiality of the information received, accessed, stored, provided and used across our systems;
- successfully obtain and maintain corporate funding and liquidity to support growth and for general corporate purposes;
- realize the anticipated benefits of our acquisitions of or investments in complementary businesses and technologies;
- attract, integrate and retain qualified employees;
- successfully implement the reductions in workforce and achieve the anticipated cost reductions;
- and
- effectively manage and expand the capabilities of our operations teams, outsourcing relationships and other business operations.

If we are not able to timely and effectively address these risks and difficulties as well as those described elsewhere in this “Risk Factors” section, our business and results of operations may be harmed. UMI is our effort to quantify the level of macroeconomic risks in terms of the losses or defaults within Upstart- powered loan portfolios. UMI is at an early stage of its development and does not have a long history or track record. Since it is a relatively new initiative, UMI remains unproven and, therefore, may not perform as expected. We intend to continue our research and development efforts to improve UMI. In light of such efforts, we have revised our previously published UMI values, including to remove seasonal patterns, and may further change or revise the current or past UMI values in the future. Any significant changes or revisions could harm our reputation and credibility with our lending partners and institutional investors, which in turn could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, the correlation between UMI and the level of macroeconomic risks in terms of losses or defaults within Upstart- powered loan portfolios may not be as significant or meaningful as we expect. If the correlation between UMI and the level of macroeconomic risks is misaligned or skewed in a way that is unacceptable to our lending partners or institutional investors, or UMI fails to accurately or adequately quantify the level of macroeconomic risks, this lack of a meaningful correlation may result in distrust or disregard of UMI. This outcome could adversely affect our reputation and credibility with our lending partners and institutional investors and thus, negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. UMI is based on our analysis of the losses within Upstart- powered loan portfolios and is specific to our borrower base. UMI is not intended to measure the macroeconomic risks in terms of losses of loan portfolios or assets that are not Upstart- powered loans, including loans held by other segments of the U. S. population. It is not designed to measure the current state of the overall economy or to measure or predict future macroeconomic conditions, trends or risks. It is also not designed to measure or predict Upstart’ s future loan performance, results of operations or stock price. Investors, lending partners and analysts may improperly use or rely on UMI for these or other unintended purposes, or otherwise misunderstand or

misinterpret UMI. If UMI is misunderstood or misinterpreted in these ways, it could harm our reputation and credibility with our lending partners and institutional investors and impair our ability to retain and attract them to our lending marketplace. This could further reduce the number or types of loan products that our lending partners and institutional investors are willing to fund. Any failure to manage the foregoing risks could adversely affect our ability to maintain diverse and resilient loan funding to our marketplace, which in turn would negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. For the year ended December 31, 2023, we incurred a net loss of \$ 240. 1 million. We have expended, and intend to continue to expend, significant funds to develop and improve our proprietary AI models, attract additional borrowers to our marketplace, enhance the features and overall user experience on our platform, expand loan product offerings and otherwise continue to grow our business, and we may not be able to increase our revenue enough to offset these significant expenditures. We have incurred, and expect to incur in the future, significant losses for a number of reasons, including the other risks described in this section, and unforeseen expenses, difficulties, complications and delays, macroeconomic conditions and other unknown events. Any failure to increase our revenue sufficiently to keep pace with our investments and other expenses would prevent us from being profitable. If we are unable to successfully address these risks and challenges as we encounter them, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. We have held more Upstart- powered loans on our balance sheet in recent years and may continue to do so in the future. We have used, and may continue to use, our balance sheet to support our research and development activities for new loan products and borrower segments. In addition to research and development activities, we have used and may continue to use our balance sheet to purchase loans from lending partners to address fluctuations in supply and demand in our marketplace and periodically sell these loans to institutional investors prior to their maturity. As of December 31, 2023, out of the total principal of loan originations facilitated on our marketplace in 2023, 16 % were held on our balance sheet, excluding loans held in consolidated securitization. As of December 31, 2023, we held \$ 977. 3 million of loans on our balance sheet, excluding loans held in consolidated securitization. We hold loans on our balance sheet at fair value and estimate fair value using a discounted cash flow methodology. An increase in the market interest rates reduces the fair value of loans held on our balance sheet by increasing the discount rate used to determine fair value under the discounted cash flow methodology. Currently, we are in a high interest rate environment. The high interest rates have negatively affected the fair value of loans held on our balance sheet and may continue to do so in the future. In addition, for these loans and any future loans to be held on our balance sheet, we bear the credit risk in the event of borrower default. Our exposure to rising borrower default rates and their volatility has increased, and may continue to increase, as we hold more Upstart- powered loans on our balance sheet. The R & D loans make up a substantial portion of the loans held on our balance sheet and are generally more risky and more likely to default than the core personal loans. Recently, the default rates and charge- offs for these loans have been higher than expected for certain loan categories, and consequently, we had to make unfavorable fair value adjustments to the loans on our balance sheet. These unfavorable fair value adjustments have negatively impacted our revenue, and if we continue to experience higher than expected default rates or the loans otherwise fail to perform as expected, we would need to make additional unfavorable fair value adjustments in the future, which would negatively impact our revenue. It is also possible that we may recognize a loss if we sell the loans held on our balance sheet, such as the R & D loans, at an unfavorable price. From a liquidity perspective, the growing amount of loans on our balance sheet increases our liquidity risk. We cannot be certain whether we will be able to sell these loans, or any future loans to be put on our balance sheet, on commercially reasonable terms or at all. If we are unable to do so, it is possible that our ability to meet our operational needs and obligations may be disrupted. Moreover, the use of our balance sheet diverts financial resources from other uses, such as improving our products and services, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations. We grew rapidly in the past, and our historical revenue growth rate and financial performance may not be indicative of our future performance. Our revenue for any previous quarterly or annual periods should not be relied upon as any indication of our revenue or revenue growth in future periods. In fact, our revenue declined during the year ended December 31, 2022-2023. Our revenue may continue to decline in the future periods for a number of reasons, which may include: adverse macroeconomic conditions, changing interest rates, slowing demand for or reduced funding through our lending marketplace offerings and services, sales of loans held on our balance sheet at a loss, increasing competition, credit market volatility, increasing regulatory costs and challenges and our failure to capitalize on growth opportunities. We believe our growth was in the past has been driven in large part by our AI models and our continued improvements to our AI models. Future incremental improvements to our AI models may not lead to the same level of growth as they did in the past periods. In addition, we believe our past growth was driven in part by our ability to rapidly streamline and automate the loan application and origination process on our platform. We expect the Percentage of Loans Fully Automated to level off and remain relatively stable in the long term ; however However, the expansion of our loan offerings beyond unsecured personal loans, such as auto loans and home equity lines of credit, may cause fluctuations of such percentage from period to period depending on the loan offering mix. As a result of these factors, our revenue growth rates may further decline, and our financial performance may continue to be adversely affected. Uncertainty and negative trends in general economic conditions, including significant tightening of credit markets and periods of rising and / or high interest rates, historically have created a difficult operating environment for our industry. Many factors, including factors that are beyond our control, may impact our business, financial condition and results of operations by affecting the supply of capital by our lending partners and institutional investors to fund loans or the demand by borrowers to incur loan obligations or their ability or willingness to repay their loans. These factors include, but are not limited to, interest rates, inflation, unemployment levels, personal savings rates, lower consumer confidence, conditions in the housing market, immigration policies, gas prices, energy costs, government shutdowns, trade wars and delays in tax refunds, as well as events such as natural disasters, acts of war (including the recent Russia- Ukraine conflict), terrorism,

catastrophes and pandemics. The United States has recently experienced historically high levels of inflation. According to the U. S. Department of Labor, the annual inflation rate for the United States was approximately 6.5 % for December 2022. In response to high levels of inflation and recession fears, the U. S. Federal Reserve has raised, and may continue to raise, interest rates and implement fiscal policy interventions. Even if these interventions lower inflation, they may also reduce economic growth rates, create a recession and increase unemployment rates. Continuing economic uncertainty and the magnitude and duration of the resulting fluctuations in business activity cannot currently be estimated and has had several effects on our business and results of operations, including, among other things: • a reduction in the availability of loan funding and liquidity from institutional investors and the capital markets; • lower acceptance rates from borrowers; • reductions in workforce; • decreases in origination volumes on our platform; • increases in the use of our balance sheet to fund Upstart-powered loans; and • the potential for increased delinquencies and default rates for new and existing Upstart-powered loans. During periods of economic slowdown or recession, our current and potential institutional investors in our loan funding programs have reduced and may continue to reduce the number of loans or interest in loans they purchase or demand terms that are less favorable to us to compensate for any increased risks. For example, increasing interest rates caused, and may in the future cause, our lending partners and institutional investors in our loan funding programs to limit the amount of funding they wanted to provide on our platform for loans to borrowers, which reduced the volume of Upstart-powered loans we completed and therefore negatively impacted our revenue. In response to this constrained loan funding, we have increased, and may continue to increase, the use of our balance sheet to fund loans originated through our platform. This increase in the use of our balance sheet has diverted capital resources, increased our exposure to the changes in the fair value of such loans, and could result in losses if such loans held on our balance sheet default or we sell those loans at a loss. A further reduction in the volume of the loans and loan financing products we sell would negatively impact our ability to maintain or increase the number of loans facilitated through our marketplace. Furthermore, many new consumers on the Upstart platform have poor, limited or no credit history. Accordingly, such borrowers have historically been, and may in the future be, disproportionately affected by adverse macroeconomic conditions, such as the disruption and uncertainty caused by the COVID-19 pandemic or a recession. For example, nearly all personal loans presently facilitated through our platform are issued with fixed interest rates. As interest rates rise, potential borrowers could seek to defer loans as they wait for interest rates to stabilize. As a result of these circumstances, borrowers may be discouraged from engaging with our platform and as a result, reduce the volume of Upstart-powered loans. Additionally, increased interest rates may adversely impact the spending levels of individual borrowers and their ability and willingness to borrow money. Higher interest rates often lead to higher payment obligations, which may reduce the ability of individual borrowers to remain current on their obligations and therefore, lead to increased delinquencies, defaults, customer bankruptcies and charge-offs, and decreasing recoveries, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, major medical expenses, unemployment, divorce, death or other issues that affect borrowers could affect a borrower's willingness or ability to make payments on their loans. Recently, default rates on loans facilitated through our marketplace have increased. Increases in default rates increase our costs to service these loans without a corresponding increase in our servicing fees or other related fees. In addition, if we experience higher than expected default rates on loans held on our balance sheet, the value of those loans may decline. Higher default rates by borrowers may lead to lower demand by our lending partners and institutional investors to fund loans facilitated through our marketplace, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Any sustained decline in demand for loans or loan financing products, or any increase in delinquencies, defaults or foreclosures that result from economic downturns, may harm our ability to maintain robust loan funding programs, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. We continue to monitor the ongoing economic conditions to assess possible implications to our business and to take appropriate actions in an effort to mitigate the adverse consequences of uncertainty or negative trends. However, there can be no assurances that initiatives we undertake will be sufficient or successful. If there is an economic downturn that affects our current and prospective borrowers or our lending partners and institutional investors, or if we are unable to address and mitigate the risks associated with any of the foregoing, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. Our business depends on sourcing and maintaining diverse and robust loan funding programs to fund Upstart-powered loans that are not retained by our lending partners. In the year ended December 31, 2022, 30 % of the loans funded through our platform were retained by our lending partners, and 60 % of loans were purchased by institutional investors through our loan funding programs. Our loan funding programs include whole loan sales and pass-through certificate issuances to institutional investors, asset-backed securitization transactions, and utilization of warehouse credit facilities. While our loan funding programs are diverse, only a limited portion of such funding sources are committed. We have recently experienced reductions in capital from certain funding sources due to concerns about the current macroeconomic environment, including rapidly rising interest rates and recessionary concerns, which has resulted in, and could continue to result in, declines in Transaction Volume, Number of Loans and revenue. We cannot be sure that these funding sources will continue to be available on reasonable terms or at all beyond the current maturity dates of our debt financing arrangements. And while we are seeking to further diversify our funding sources to include long-term committed capital, we do not know when these types of funding sources will become available, if at all. And if they become available to us, they may be on terms that are more costly or have less favorable terms than our existing funding sources. For example, if we enter into long-term committed capital arrangements when interest rates are high and such arrangements remain through a full economic cycle, these funding sources may become more costly during periods with lower interest rates. Further, events of default or breaches of financial, performance or other covenants, or worse than expected performance of certain pools of loans underpinning our pass-through certificate transactions, asset-backed securitizations or other debt facilities, could limit our access to funding from institutional investors. Loan performance is dependent on a number of factors, including the predictiveness of our AI models and social and economic conditions. The availability and capacity of certain loan funding sources also depends on many factors that are outside of our control, such as capital markets and interest rate volatility,

economic conditions and regulatory reforms. For example, our revenue has been negatively impacted by reduced loan funding due to recent concerns about the macroeconomic environment, including rapidly rising interest rates, risk of increased loan default rates and recessionary concerns, among institutional investors. In the event of another sudden or unexpected shortage or limits on the availability of loan funding sources, we may not be able to maintain the necessary levels of funding to retain current loan volume without incurring substantially higher funding costs, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, we have utilized, and expect to continue utilizing, our balance sheet to support funding of loans that would otherwise be held by institutional investors. The increase in the percentage of loans held on our balance sheet has diverted capital resources, increased our exposure to the changes in the fair value of such loans and could result in losses if such loans held on our balance sheet default. Increases in the percentage of loans held on our balance sheet could further have these impacts and could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our success depends in significant part on the participation of our lending partners in our marketplace. In the year ended December 31, 2022, 108 % of our revenue was generated from platform, referral and servicing fees that we receive from our lending partners. Our lending partners may suspend, limit or cease their participation in our marketplace for a number of reasons. For example, several of our lending partners have recently paused or reduced loan origination in order to limit their exposure to consumer loans in the current macroeconomic environment. If our lending partners continue to suspend, limit or cease their operations or otherwise terminate their relationships with us, the number of loans facilitated through our platform will decrease and our revenue will be adversely affected. Moreover, our sales and onboarding process with new lending partners can be long and unpredictable. If we are unable to timely onboard our lending partners, or if our lending partners are not willing to work with us to complete a timely onboarding process, our results of operations could be adversely affected. We have entered into separate agreements with each of our lending partners. Our agreements with our lending partners are nonexclusive and may contain minimum fee amounts. Our lending partners could decide to stop working with us, ask to modify their agreement terms in a cost prohibitive manner when their agreement is up for renewal or enter into exclusive or more favorable relationships with our competitors. In addition, their regulators may require that they terminate or otherwise limit their business with us, or impose regulatory pressure limiting their ability to do business with us. In June 2022, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, or CFPB, Deputy Director indicated that relationships between banks and nonbank lenders, such as our lending partnerships, will be an area of increased regulatory focus for the agency in the near future. Previously, we received a no-action letter regarding the use of our AI model to underwrite and price unsecured closed-end loans. Our no-action letter was terminated upon our request in June 2022 to prevent delays from seeking regulatory approval of updates to our AI models. As a result, we can provide no assurance that the CFPB or any other federal or state regulator will not take supervisory or enforcement action against us in the future. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or OCC, the prudential regulator for national banks operating in the United States, recently announced that it will be prioritizing the review of third-party relationships between banks and financial technology companies like us as part of the agency's bank supervisory priorities for the upcoming calendar year. The threat of increased scrutiny from the CFPB, the OCC and other regulators of our lending partners has caused and could continue to cause some of our lending partners to pause, limit or cease their participation in our lending marketplace or to not renew their agreements with us. If additional lending partners stop working with us, suspend, limit or cease their operations or otherwise terminate their relationship with us, the number of loans facilitated through our platform will decrease and our revenue will be adversely affected. Moreover, we could in the future have disagreements or disputes with any of our lending partners, which could negatively impact or threaten our relationship with them. In our agreements with lending partners, we make certain representations and warranties and covenants concerning our compliance with specific policies of a lending partner, our compliance with certain procedures and guidelines related to laws and regulations applicable to our lending partners, as well as the services to be provided by us. If those representations and warranties were not accurate when made or if we fail to perform a covenant, we may be liable for any resulting damages, including potentially any losses associated with impacted loans, and our reputation and ability to continue to attract new lending partners would be adversely affected. Additionally, our lending partners may engage in mergers, acquisitions or consolidations with each other, our competitors or with third parties, any of which could be disruptive to our existing and prospective relationships with our lending partners. In addition, our lending partners may retain loans for their own customer base and balance sheet. In general, lending partners can fund loans at lower rates due to the lower cost of funds available to them from their deposit base than is otherwise available in the broader institutional investment markets. Accordingly, loans retained by the lending partners generally carry lower interest rates for borrowers, which leads to better conversion rates and faster growth for our platform. Separately, as our number of lending partners grows, such lending partners will increasingly source new prospective borrowers from their own existing customer base and provide an incremental channel to attract borrowers. If we are unable to attract new lending partners or if we are unable to maintain or expand the number of loans held on their balance sheets, our financial performance would suffer. For the year ended December 31, 2022, we incurred a net loss of \$ 108.7 million. We intend to continue to expend significant funds to continue to develop and improve our proprietary AI models, spend on marketing to increase the number of borrowers on our platform, enhance the features and overall user experience of our platform, expand the types of loan offerings on our platform and otherwise continue to grow our business, and we may not be able to increase our revenue enough to offset these significant expenditures. We expect to incur significant losses in the future for a number of reasons, including the other risks described in this section, and unforeseen expenses, difficulties, complications and delays, macroeconomic conditions and other unknown events. Any failure to increase our revenue sufficiently to keep pace with our investments and other expenses could prevent us from being profitable. If we are unable to successfully address these risks and challenges as we encounter them, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. Our quarterly results of operations, including the levels of our revenue, net income (loss) attributable to Upstart Holdings, Inc. common stockholders and other key metrics, are likely to vary significantly in the future, and period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations may not be meaningful.

Accordingly, the results for any one quarter are not necessarily an accurate indication of future performance. Our quarterly financial results may fluctuate due to a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control. Factors that may cause fluctuations in our quarterly financial results include **but are not limited to** : • general economic conditions, including economic slowdowns, recessions, interest rate changes, inflation, **and tightening of credit markets** **and disruptions in the banking sector** ; • our cost of borrowing money and access to loan funding sources; • our ability to improve the effectiveness and predictiveness of our AI models **, including improvements that negatively impact transaction volume, such as lower approval rates** ; • our ability to attract new lending partners and institutional investors **of to our marketplace loan funding programs** ; • our ability to maintain relationships with existing lending partners and institutional investors **of our loan funding programs** ; • our ability to maintain or increase loan volumes, and improve loan mix and the channels through which the loans, lending partners and loan funding are sourced; • our ability to maintain effective relationships with loan aggregators from which prospective borrowers access our website; • **improvements to our AI models that negatively impact transaction volume, such as lower approval rates**; • our ability to identify and prevent fraudulent activity and the impact of fraud prevention measures; • changes in the fair value of assets and liabilities on our balance sheet; • the timing and success of new products and services; • the effectiveness of our direct marketing and other marketing channels; • the amount and timing of operating expenses related to maintaining and expanding our business, operations and infrastructure, including acquiring new and maintaining existing lending partners and institutional investors and attracting borrowers to our **marketplace platform**; • **the number and extent of loans facilitated on our platform that are subject to loan modifications and / or temporary assistance due to disasters or emergencies** ; • the number and extent of prepayments of loans facilitated on our platform; • network outages or actual or perceived security breaches or incidents; • our involvement in litigation or regulatory enforcement efforts (or the threat thereof) or those that impact our industry generally; • the length of the onboarding process related to acquisitions of new lending partners; • changes in laws and regulations that impact our business; and • changes in the competitive dynamics of our industry, including consolidation among competitors or the development of competitive products by larger well- funded incumbents. In addition, we typically experience seasonality in the demand for Upstart- powered loans, which is generally lower in the first quarter. This seasonal slowdown is primarily attributable to high loan demand around the holidays in the fourth quarter and the general increase in borrowers' available cash flows in the first quarter, including cash received from tax refunds, which temporarily reduces borrowing needs. **While recent government stimulus programs provided to individuals in response to the COVID-19 pandemic have obscured this seasonality in our overall financial results, we expect our results of operations to continue to be affected by such seasonality in the future because the COVID-19 government stimulus programs have ended.** Such seasonality and other fluctuations in our quarterly results may also adversely affect and, increase the volatility of, the trading price of our common stock. **We have facilitated securitizations, Our ability to attract potential borrowers to our platform and increase may in the number future facilitate additional securitizations,** of Upstart- powered loans depend in large part on our ability to **allow** effectively evaluate a borrower' s creditworthiness and likelihood of default and, based on that evaluation, offer competitively priced loans and higher approval rates. Our overall operating efficiency and margins further depend in large part on our ability to maintain a high degree of automation in our loan application process and achieve incremental improvements in the degree of automation. If our AI models fail to adequately predict the creditworthiness of borrowers due to the design of our models or **our institutional investors** programming or other errors, **certain** and our AI models do not detect and account for such errors, or any of the other components of our credit decision process fails, we may experience higher than forecasted loan losses. Any of the foregoing could result in sub- optimally priced loans, incorrect approvals or denials of loans, or higher than expected loan losses, which in turn could adversely affect our ability to attract new borrowers, lending partners and / institutional investors to our **or lending marketplace, increase the number of....., pass- through certificate buyers and ourselves to liquidate or finance** such loans through the asset- backed securities markets or through other capital markets products. In asset- backed securities transactions, we sell and convey pools of loans to a special purpose entity, or SPE. We likewise fund certain loans on our balance sheet by selling loans to warehouse trust SPEs **and drawing on the**, which loan sales are partially financed with associated warehouse credit facilities **from banks**. Concurrently, each **Each** securitization SPE issues notes and / or certificates pursuant to the terms of indentures and trust agreements, or in the case of the warehouse **credit** facilities, the warehouse trust SPE borrows money from banks pursuant to credit and security agreements. The securities issued by the SPEs in asset- backed securitization transactions and the lines of credit borrowed by the warehouse SPEs are each secured by the pool of loans owned by the applicable SPE. **We, our institutional investors who have purchased whole** In exchange for the sale of a portion of a given pool of loans to the SPE, we and / or our **or whole loan purchasers, pass- through certificates buyers, and certain / or our** lending partners who contribute loans to the **transactions SPE and in exchange,** receive cash and / or securities representing debt and / or equity interests in such SPE , **which**. **When we are the proceeds from the sale sole sponsor of securitizations, we are required under Regulation RR to retain at least five percent of the credit risk in such transactions for a specific period of time, depending on the type of asset that is securitized. We have in the past and may choose to retain additional securities , such as notes or certificates, issued in asset- backed securitization transactions we sponsor or facilitate . The certificates represent residual equity interests in the SPEs and are subordinated to the notes and thus are exposed to greater credit risk. In 2023, we acted as a sole retaining sponsor to asset- backed securitizations and, in one instance, retained not only the securities required for risk retention purposes under Regulation RR, but also additional residual equity interests in that they entitle the equity owners of such SPEs , exposing us to greater credit risk. The securities we retain may lose value , including becoming worthless. In us if we are an equity owner in the future relevant transaction , to a we may certain- retain proportion of the residual cash flows, if any, from the loans and to any assets remaining in such SPEs. As a result of challenging credit and liquidity conditions, the value of the subordinated securities we issued as part of or our securitizations beyond risk retention requirements again. In addition, other matters transaction participants retain in such SPEs might be reduced or, in some cases, eliminated. During periods of financial disruption and economic uncertainty , such as**

the financial crisis that began in 2008, the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic in the spring of 2020 and periods of significant market volatility driven by rapidly rising interest and inflation rates and recessionary concerns in 2022, the securitization market has been constrained, and this could continue or occur again in the future. In addition, other matters, such as (i) accounting standards applicable to securitization transactions and (ii) capital and leverage requirements applicable to banks and other regulated financial institutions holding asset-backed securities **or increasing competition from other issuers of asset-backed securities**, could ~~result in decreased~~ **negatively impact our business by decreasing** institutional investor demand for securities issued through our securitization transactions, ~~or increased competition from other institutions that undertake securitization transactions~~. In addition, compliance with certain regulatory requirements, including the Dodd-Frank Act, the Investment Company Act and the so-called "Volcker Rule," may affect the type of securitizations that we are able to complete. If it is not possible or economical for us to securitize loans in the future, we may need to seek alternative financing to **provide support our loan funding programs to our marketplace** and to meet our existing debt obligations. Such funding may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. If the cost of such loan funding mechanisms were to be higher than that of our securitizations **it**, the fair value of the loans would likely be reduced, which would negatively impact our results of operations. If we are unable to access such financing, our ability to originate loans and our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity may be materially adversely affected. The ~~gain on sale and related~~ servicing fees generated by our ~~whole loan sales, and the servicing fees based on sales of~~ **activities for the loans sold to institutional investors and contributed to asset-backed securities, based securitizations and pass-through certificate transactions** also represent a material portion of our earnings. ~~We cannot~~ **There is no assurance** you that our ~~loan purchasers~~ **institutional investors** will continue to purchase loans or securities (either through whole loan sales ~~or~~, ~~asset-backed securities~~, **pass-through certificate issuances or other direct or indirect purchase arrangements**) or that they will continue to purchase loans in transactions that generate the same spreads and / or fees that we have historically obtained. During the year ended December 31, ~~2022~~ **2023**, we sold loans that had been originated in an earlier, lower interest rate environment. We recognized losses on these sales which reduced our revenue. As we hold more loans on our balance sheet, ~~or hold these loans for longer periods and interest rates rise~~, our business, financial condition and results of operation could be adversely affected, including further reductions in revenue. Factors that may affect ~~loan purchaser~~ demand **by institutional investors** for **Upstart-powered** loans include: • competition in the whole loan sales markets where we compete with loan originators who can sell either larger loan portfolios or loans that have characteristics, pricing and terms that may be perceived to be more desirable to certain ~~loan purchasers~~ or institutional investors than those offered in Upstart-powered loans that comprise our whole loan sales; • competition in the securitization markets where we compete with loan originators and other issuers who can securitize or sell pools of loans (which such pools may include Upstart-powered loans, on a commingled basis or otherwise) with characteristics, pricing and terms that may be perceived to be more desirable to certain institutional investors than those offered in Upstart-powered loans contributed to asset-based securitization transactions that we facilitate; • the extent to which servicing fees and other expenses may reduce overall net return on purchased pools of loans; • the actual or perceived credit performance **of loan products offered on our marketplace**; • **economic conditions such as high interest rates, inflation, economic volatility and other macroeconomic factors**; • **risk appetite of our institutional investors**; • **the** loan grade and term mix of the portfolios of loans offered for sale; • ~~loan purchasers~~ **institutional investors** sector and company investment diversification requirements and strategies; • higher yielding investment opportunities at a risk profile deemed similar to our sold loan portfolios; • borrower prepayment behavior within the underlying pools; • regulatory or investment practices related to maintaining net asset value, mark-to-market and similar metrics surrounding pools of purchased loans; and • the ability of our ~~loan purchasers~~ **institutional investors** to access funding and liquidity channels, including warehouse financing and securitization markets, on terms they find acceptable to deliver an appropriate return net of funding costs, as well as general economic conditions and market trends, such as increasing interest rates, that affect the appetite for loan financing investments. ~~Potential institutional~~ **In connection with our committed capital arrangements, we have agreed to compensate, subject to a limit, the committed capital investors in our if credit performance on the loan loans funding programs sold to them deviates from expectations. As of December 31, 2023, our capital at risk, which represents the maximum exposure to losses, under these arrangements was \$ 98.5 million. See "Note 5. Beneficial Interests" for more information. Risk sharing arrangements could negatively impact our financial results. We may also demand **experience declines in revenue and loan volume if the existing committed capital investors do not provide funding on the agreed upon terms or we fail to secure additional committed capital arrangements on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. We are also subject to risk that arises from our derivative instruments, beneficial interests, warehouse facilities, and third-party custodians. These activities generally involve an exchange of obligations with unaffiliated lenders or other individuals or entities, referred to in such transactions as "counterparties". If a lower price on counterparty were to default our- or otherwise fail loans and loan financing products during periods of economic slowdown or recession to perform, compensate for any increased risks. A reduction in the sale price of the loans and loan financing products we sell would could negatively impact our revenues potentially be exposed to loss if such counterparty were unable to meet its obligations to us, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and returns. Our** For example, as interest rates increased, institutional loan investors reduced the prices they were willing to **top three lending partners** pay to purchase certain loans we held which had been originated- **originate** during an earlier, lower interest rate environment, which negatively impacted our revenue. Any sustained decline in demand for loans or loan financing products, or any increase in delinquencies, defaults or losses that result from economic downturns, may also reduce the price we receive on future loan sales. Cross River Bank, or CRB, a **significant portion** New Jersey-chartered community bank, originates a majority of the loans on our **platform marketplace**. In the years- **year** ended December 31, 2021- **2023** and 2022-, CRB- **our top three lending partners collectively** originated 55- **80** % and 51 %; respectively, of the Transaction Volume, Number of Loans -CRB also accounts for a large portion of our revenues. In the years**

ended December 31, 2021 and 2022, fees received from CRB accounted for 56-63 % and 45 %, respectively, of our total revenue. CRB retains a proportion of the **There are no minimum commitments to originate any loans under the agreements** originate on their own balance sheet, and sells the remainder of the loans to us, which we **have** in turn sell to institutional investors, sell to our warehouse trust special purpose entities or retain on our balance sheet. Our most recent commercial arrangement with CRB began on January 1, 2019 and has a term of four- **our** years with an automatic renewal provision for an additional two years following the initial four- year term. Either party may choose to not renew by providing the other party 120 days' notice prior to the end of the initial term or any renewal term. In addition, even during the term of our arrangement, CRB could choose to reduce the volume of Upstart- powered loans that it chooses to originate and /or retain on its balance sheet. We or CRB may terminate our arrangement immediately upon a material breach by the other party and failure to cure such breach within a cure period, if any representations or warranties are found to be false and such error is not cured within a cure period, bankruptcy or insolvency of either party, receipt of an order or judgment by a governmental entity, a material adverse effect, or a change of control whereby such party involved in such change of control provides 90 days' notice to the other and payment of a termination fee of \$ 450, 000. If we are unable to continue to increase the number of other lending partners **. If on our platform or our top three** if CRB or one of our other lending partners were to suspend, limit or cease their operations or otherwise terminate their relationship with us, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected. **As of In both the year ended December 31, 2021-2023 and 2022, we had more than 100 lending partners participating one-** on our marketplace, and we continue to expand our lending partnerships to new participants. **If we are unable to continue to increase the participation by other lending partner partners on our marketplace** originated 36 % of the Transaction Volume, **we will continue to be reliant on a small Number-number** of Loans. In the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2022, the fees received from this lending partner accounted for 27 % and 28 % of our total revenue, respectively. Our sales and onboarding process with new lending partners **for** can be long, vary widely and generally takes approximately two to twelve months. **As a result, revenues and results of operations..... their operational procedures, which may involve significant portion** time and expense to implement. Delays in onboarding new lending partners can also arise while prospective lending partners complete their internal procedures to approve expenditures and test and accept our applications. Consequently, we face difficulty predicting the quarter in which new lending partners will begin using our platform and the volume of **loan originations and** fees we will receive, which can lead to fluctuations in our revenues- **revenue** and results of operations. The COVID- 19 pandemic has harmed, and could continue to harm, our business, financial condition and results of operations and the duration and extent to which it will impact our future results of operations overall financial performance remains uncertain. The COVID- 19 pandemic has caused extreme societal, economic, and financial market volatility, resulting in business shutdowns, an unprecedented reduction in economic activity and significant dislocation to businesses, the capital markets, and the broader economy. The global macroeconomic effects of the COVID- 19 pandemic and related impacts on our business may persist for an indefinite period. The impact of the COVID- 19 pandemic on the finances of borrowers on our platform has also been profound, as many have been, and may continue to be, impacted by unemployment, reduced earnings, inflation and /or elevated economic disruption and insecurity. Such adverse impacts on the economy and borrowers have resulted in, and may continue to contribute to, higher default rates on Upstart- powered loans and an increased rate of borrowers declaring bankruptcy, any of which could **harm** adversely affect the attractiveness of Upstart- powered loans to our lending partners and to the institutional investors in our loan funding program. At the end of 2021, the federal government discontinued most stimulus measures taken in response to the COVID- 19 pandemic, which has led to, and may continue to lead to, increased delinquency and default rates of loans facilitated through our lending marketplace. If we are unable to improve our AI platform to account for events like the COVID- 19 pandemic and the resulting macroeconomic impacts, or if our AI platform is unable to **more successfully predict the creditworthiness of potential borrowers compared to other lenders, then-** our business, **financial condition and results of operations....., financial condition and results of operations**. We believe maintaining a strong brand and trustworthy reputation is critical to our success and our ability to attract borrowers to our **platform-marketplace**, attract new lending partners, maintain a diverse **and resilient loan** funding marketplace and sustain good relations with regulators. Factors that affect our brand and reputation include: perceptions of artificial intelligence, our industry and our company, including the quality and reliability of our AI lending marketplace; the accuracy of our AI models; characterizations of our company as a traditional lending company due to the amount of loans held on our balance sheet; perceptions regarding the application of artificial intelligence to consumer lending specifically and that algorithmic lending is inherently biased; **our the reputation of the vehicle dealerships with which we partner; loan funding programs to our marketplace**; changes to the Upstart **platform marketplace**; our ability to effectively manage and resolve borrower complaints; collection practices; privacy and security practices; litigation, **such as class action and shareholder derivative lawsuits described in " Legal " section under " Note 13. Commitments and Contingencies "**; regulatory activity; and the overall user experience of our platform. Negative publicity or negative public perception of these factors, even if inaccurate, could adversely affect our brand and reputation. For example, consumer advocacy groups, politicians and certain government and media reports have, in the past, advocated governmental action to prohibit or severely restrict consumer loan arrangements where banks contract with a third- party platform such as ours to provide origination assistance services to bank customers. These arrangements have sometimes been criticized as " renting- a- bank charter -" **or " rent- a- bank "**. Such criticism has frequently been levied in the context of payday loan marketers, though other entities operating programs through which loans similar to Upstart- powered loans are originated have also faced criticism. The perceived improper use of a bank charter by these entities has been challenged by both governmental authorities and private litigants, in part because of the high rates and fees charged to consumers in certain payday and high- rate, small- dollar lending programs. Bank regulators have even required banks to exit third- party programs that the regulators determined involved unsafe and unsound practices. The payday loans that have been subject to more frequent criticism and challenge are fundamentally different from Upstart- powered loans in many ways, including that Upstart- powered

loans typically have lower interest rates and longer terms, and Upstart-powered loans do not renew. In particular, interest rates of Upstart-powered loans have always been and are currently less than 36 %, as compared to the triple-digit interest rates of many payday or small dollar loans that have been subject to such criticism. **However, states that are addressing their rent** nevertheless associated with such payday or high- a rate, small-dollar consumer loans, or if we are associated with increased criticism of non-payday loan programs involving relationships between bank originators and non-bank **concerns through legislation may inadvertently capture Upstart's AI marketplace, and if that were to happen, our** lending platforms and program managers-partners may need to scale back lending on our marketplace to comply with state laws or **terminate their participation in our marketplace, leading to a reduction in origination volume. It could also negatively impact** demand for Upstart-powered loans could significantly decrease, which could cause our lending partners to reduce their origination volumes or terminate their arrangements with us, impede our ability to attract new lending partners or delay the onboarding of lending partners, impede our ability to attract institutional investors to participate in our loan funding programs **to our marketplace** or reduce the number of potential borrowers **to who use our platform marketplace**. Any of the foregoing could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Any negative publicity or public perception of Upstart-powered loans or other similar consumer loans or the consumer lending service we provide may also result in us being subject to more restrictive laws and regulations and potential investigations and enforcement actions. **For example, some unfair or deceptive practices by vehicle dealerships can be attributed to us as a purchaser of retail installment contracts under the FTC Holder Rule, which allows a vehicle purchaser to bring any claim against the dealership to the holder of a retail installment contract**. In addition, regulators may decide they are no longer supportive of our AI lending marketplace if there is enough negative perception surrounding such practices. We may also become subject to lawsuits, including class action lawsuits, or other challenges such as government enforcement or arbitration, against our lending partners or us for loans originated by our lending partners on our **platform marketplace**, loans we service or have serviced, **or retail installment contracts we have purchased**. If there are changes in the laws or in the interpretation or enforcement of existing laws affecting consumer loans similar to those offered on our **platform marketplace**, or our marketing and servicing of such loans, or if we become subject to such lawsuits, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected. Artificial intelligence and related technologies are subject to public debate and heightened regulatory scrutiny. The **Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB Director")** recently indicated that artificial intelligence remains a regulatory hot topic for the agency including the use of complex credit scoring models as part of the loan underwriting process. The agency has taken several steps to increase regulatory scrutiny of financial technology companies that rely on artificial intelligence. **In April 2023, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"), the Department of Justice ("DOJ"), the CFPB, and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") released a joint statement on artificial intelligence demonstrating their interest in monitoring the development and use of automated systems and enforcement of their respective laws and regulations, and in October 2023, President Biden signed an Executive Order aimed at protecting consumers against harms caused by artificial intelligence.** Any negative publicity or negative public perception of artificial intelligence could negatively impact demand for our AI lending marketplace, hinder our ability to attract new lending partners or slow the rate at which lending partners adopt our AI lending marketplace. From time to time, certain advocacy groups have made claims that unlawful or unethical discriminatory effects may result from the use of AI technology by various companies, including ours. Such claims, whether or not accurate, and whether or not concerning us or our AI lending marketplace, may harm our ability to attract prospective borrowers to our **platform marketplace**, retain existing and attract new lending partners and achieve regulatory acceptance of our business. In February 2020, we received a letter from five members of the U. S. Senate asking questions in connection with claims of discriminatory lending made by an advocacy group. We responded to this inquiry, and in July 2020, three of the Senators issued their findings from this inquiry, writing a letter to the Director of the CFPB recommending the CFPB further review Upstart's use of educational variables in its models and requesting that the CFPB stop issuing no-action letters related to ECOA. On December 1, 2020, in connection with these inquiries, we entered into an agreement with the NAACP Legal Defense and Education Fund, or the LDF, and the Student Borrower Protection Center, or the SBPC, in which we agree to participate in fair lending reviews of our AI **underwriting** models **by**, including, but not limited to, its use of educational variables, and **an independent** to engage a neutral third-party firm to perform periodic fair lending assessments over a two-year period. In accordance with the terms of the agreement, we engaged Relman Colfax LLC, or Relman, as a neutral third-party firm, and provided data to conduct fair lending testing of our underwriting models. The fair lending testing was designed to **determine if** assess lending outcomes from our AI underwriting models **have** to determine if the models caused or resulted in a disparate impact on any protected class, and if so, whether there **were are any alternative**, less discriminatory **alternative practices while maintaining without sacrificing** the models' predictiveness. Most recently, in September 2022 **Following a number of quantitative assessments**, Relman **has** published its **third three periodic reports and plans to public publish a fourth and final** report that **summarized summarizes the development during the monitorship** its findings, recommendations and best practices, as well as **industry recommendations** any aspects of our AI models that raise particular fair lending concerns or implicate novel insights on educational equity that serve the public interest. While we have input on Relman's reports and the agreement provides that Relman and the parties to the agreement will collaborate to reach agreement on any recommendations, we may disagree with Relman, the LDF or the SBPC regarding the contents of the reports or particular recommendations that were made, the manner in which they should be implemented, if at all, or whether they would maintain the predictiveness of our AI models or meet any other legitimate business needs of Upstart. If we do not implement Relman's recommendations, the LDF and / or the SBPC could terminate the agreement with us. If Relman's reports are viewed negatively for any reason, or Relman terminates its agreement with us and / or the agreement with the LDF and / or the SBPC is terminated for any reason, our brand and reputation and the overall market acceptance of, and trust in, our AI lending marketplace could suffer, and we could be subject to increased regulatory and litigation risk. In addition, the publication of

information arising from our agreement with the LDF or the SBPC, including the reports published by Relman, could lead to additional regulatory scrutiny for our lending partners. We have been subject to other governmental inquiries on this topic. See the risk factor titled “ — We have been in the past and may in the future be subject to federal and state regulatory inquiries regarding our business ” for more information. Negative public perception, actions by advocacy groups or legislative and regulatory interest groups could lead to lobbying for and enactment of more restrictive laws and regulations that impact the use of AI technology in general, AI technology as applied to lending operations generally or as used in our applications more specifically. Any of the foregoing could negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. Harm to our reputation can also arise from many other sources, including inaccurate or unfavorable statements made by securities analysts or others, failure by us or our lending partners to meet minimum standards of service and quality, loan underperformance, inadequate protection of borrower information and compliance failures and claims, and employee or former employee misconduct, misconduct by outsourced service providers or other counterparties, as further described below. If we are unable to protect our reputation, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Misconduct **The legal and errors” section.** **The legal and regulatory environment surrounding our AI lending marketplace is relatively new, susceptible to change and may require clarification or interpretive guidance with respect to existing laws and regulations. The body of laws and regulations applicable to our business are complex and subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to the lack of specificity regarding the application of AI and related technologies to the already highly regulated consumer lending industry. As a result, the application of such laws and regulations in practice may change or develop over time as more products are offered on our marketplace, and through judicial decisions or as new guidance or interpretations are provided by regulatory and governing bodies, former employees such as federal, vendors state and local administrative agencies. New laws and regulations and changes to existing laws and regulations continue to be adopted, implemented and interpreted in response to our service providers industry and the emergence of AI and related technologies. Recent financial, political and other events, including disruptions in the banking sector, may increase the level of regulatory scrutiny on financial technology companies. As we expand our business into new markets, introduce new loan products and continue to improve and evolve our AI models, regulatory bodies or courts may claim that we are subject to additional requirements. Such regulatory bodies could harm reject our applications for licenses our or reputation and subject deny renewals, delay or impede our ability to operate, charge us to significant legal fees or levy fines or penalties, commence investigations or inquiries into our business practices, or otherwise disrupt our liability ability to.** **We operate in our AI lending marketplace, an any of industry in which integrity and the confidence of our borrowers and lending partners is of critical importance. Our business depends on our employees, vendors, and service providers to process a large number of increasingly complex transactions, including transactions that involve significant dollar amounts and loan transactions that involve the use and disclosure of personal and business information. We are thus exposed to the risk of misconduct and errors by our employees, vendors, and other service providers that could adversely affect our business, including employees, vendors, or service..... suffer serious harm to our reputation, financial condition and results of operations. For example relationships in April 2022, the CFPB announced that it intends to examine non- bank financial companies that pose risks to consumers, and in November 2022, the Treasury Department issued a report encouraging the CFPB to increase its supervisory activity with lending partners and borrowers, and our ability to attract new lending partners or borrowers. We could also be perceived to have facilitated or participated in the illegal misappropriation of funds, documents, or data, or the failure to follow protocol, and therefore be subject to civil or criminal liability. Any of these occurrences could result in our diminished ability to operate our business, inability to attract future borrowers or lending partners, reputational damage, regulatory intervention, and financial harm, which could negatively impact our business, results of operations, financial condition, and future prospects - respect.** **If we do not compete effectively in our target markets, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be harmed. The consumer lending market is highly competitive and increasingly dynamic as emerging technologies continue to larger enter into the marketplace. With the..... credit. This can include banks, non- bank lenders . In July 2023, the CFPB announced that the agency had already begun supervision of at least three non- bank fintech companies. If the CFPB decides to subject us to its supervisory process, it could significantly increase the level of regulatory scrutiny of our business practices. Related to automotive lending, in January 2023, the CFPB and the New York Attorney General filed a complaint against an auto lender that criticized the profit-driven model in auto lending. The CFPB then entered into consent orders with several auto lenders as the year progressed, related to unfair or deceptive fees and servicing practices. With regulators actively scrutinizing the auto lending space, we may be subject to heightened scrutiny of the retail installment contracts we purchase and service. In addition, the Biden Administration recently announced a government- wide effort to eliminate “ junk fees ” which could subject our business practices to even further scrutiny. While what constitutes a “ junk fee ” remains undefined, the CFPB has called out certain ancillary products and pay- to- pay fees charged by debt collectors, and FTC issued a final rule on junk fees. These efforts signal that the “ junk fee ” initiative is likely to broaden in scope. We may be subject to scrutiny should the “ junk fee ” initiative expand to include fees directly associated with consumer lending products. Moreover, the CFPB has issued several interpretive statements and guidance documents that could impact our business practices including retail, but not limited to, a May 2022 statement on compliance obligations under the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (“ ECOA ”) for companies that rely on complex algorithms when making credit decisions and the fall 2023 statements on junk fees and, with the DOJ, on fair lending for non - based lenders citizens. The CFPB also issued and an interpretive rule expanding states’ authority to enforce requirements of federal consumer financial laws, including other-- the ECOA. State regulators have also increased the level of regulatory scrutiny on financial technology companies and the bank partnership model. For example, the State of Maryland initiated an enforcement action against a financial technology company and its bank partner for unlicensed lending platforms within the state, and Colorado**

opted out of the federal law that allows out-of-state banks to export their rates to Colorado. Because personal Some states have codified in their laws a test to determine who is the true lender for certain loans often serve. Moreover, the OCC as has also indicated that it intends to use its supervisory authority to review bank third-party relationships with financial technology companies including a review replacement for credit cards, we also compete with the convenience and ubiquity that credit cards represent. Many of our competitors operate with different business practices models, such as lending as a service, have different funding sources, have different cost structures or regulatory obligations, or participate selectively in different market segments. They may ultimately prove more successful or more adaptable to new regulatory, economic, technological and other developments, including utilizing new data sources or credit models. We may also face competition from banks or companies that have not previously competed in the consumer lending market, including companies with access to vast amounts of consumer-related information that could pose a be used in the development of their own credit risk of models. Our current or potential competitors may be better at developing new..... other financing terms available to consumers - consumer from harm that could impact the safety and soundness of the banks subject to agency supervision. Should the agency examine any of our lending partners, such examinations approval rates, model efficiency, speed and simplicity of loan origination, ease-of-use, marketing expertise, service levels, products and services, technological capabilities and integration, borrower experience, brand and reputation, and terms available to our loan funding institutional investor base. Our competitors may involve a review of also have longer operating histories, lower commercial financing costs or our costs of capital, more extensive borrower bases, more diversified products and borrower bases, operational efficiencies, more versatile or extensive technology platforms, greater brand recognition and brand loyalty, broader borrower and partner relationships, more extensive and / or more diversified loan funding institutional investor bases than we have, greater capacity to fund loans through their balance sheets, and more extensive product and service offerings than we have. Furthermore, our existing and potential competitors may decide to modify their pricing and business models practices to determine whether they pose a risk compete more directly with us. Our ability to anticipate or respond adequately to technological developments, our ability to operate profitably could suffer. There can be provide no assurance that we research, data accumulation and development by other companies will not result be subject to any regulatory actions. For example, the CFPB issued Upstart the no-action letters, which provided that the CFPB would not take supervisory or enforcement action against Upstart for a violation of the ECOA. However, in AI-June 2022, at our request, the no-action letter was terminated so that we can keep our models accurate that are superior to our AI models or result in products superior to those we develop or that any technologies, products or services we develop will be preferred to any existing or newly-developed technologies, products or services. If we are unable to compete with such companies or fail to meet the need for innovation in our industry, the use of the Upstart platform could stagnate or substantially decline, or our loan products could fail to maintain or achieve more widespread market acceptance, which could harm our business, results of operations and updated during financial condition. Our business is heavily concentrated in U. S. consumer credit, and therefore our results are more susceptible to fluctuations in that market than a period of significant economic change more diversified company. Our business is heavily concentrated in U. S. consumer credit. As a result, we are can provide no assurance that the CFPB or any other federal or state regulator will not take supervisory or enforcement action against us in the future. We have been subject to governmental inquiries as well. See the risk factor titled “ — We have been in the past and may in the future be subject to federal and state regulatory inquiries regarding our business ” for more information susceptible to fluctuations and risks particular to U. Any government investigations S. consumer credit than a more diversified company. For or example inquiries, whether or not accurate or warranted, or whether concerning us or one of our competitors, could negatively affect our brand and reputation and the overall market acceptance of and trust in our AI lending marketplace. Any of the foregoing could harm our business is particularly sensitive to macroeconomic conditions that affect the U. S. economy and consumer spending and consumer credit, such as rising interest rates and changes in monetary policy. We are also more susceptible to the risks of increased regulations and legal and other regulatory actions that are targeted at consumer credit. Our business concentration could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, and future prospects results of operations. If we are unable to manage the risks related to associated with fraudulent activity, our loan servicing brand -- and and reputation collections obligations, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. Fraud is prevalent in the financial services..... of operations could be adversely affected. Our success significantly depends in part on the continued service of our senior management..... unable to manage the risks related to our loan servicing and collections- collection obligations efforts. In the year ended December 31, 2023 our business, 28 % financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected our revenue was generated from loan servicing fees. The vast majority of Upstart-powered loans are not secured by any collateral, guaranteed or insured by any third party or backed by any governmental authority. As a result, we are limited in our ability to collect on such loans on behalf of our lending partners and institutional investors of our loan funding programs if a borrower is unwilling or unable to repay them. Where the loan is

secured by an automobile, we could still be limited in our ability to collect on the loan if we cannot secure the automobile.

The ability to collect on the loans is **largely** dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and consequently, collections can be adversely affected by a number of factors, including, but not limited to, unemployment, divorce, death, illness, bankruptcy or the economic or social factors beyond **personal circumstances of** a borrower's **personal circumstances**. In addition, the application of various federal and state laws, including federal and state bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount that can be recovered on these loans. It is possible that a higher percentage of consumers will seek protection under bankruptcy or debtor relief laws as a result of the current inflationary environment, the possibility of a recession and market volatility. Federal, state, or other restrictions could impair our ability to collect amounts owed and due on the loans facilitated through our **platform marketplace**, reduce income received from the loans facilitated through our **platform marketplace**, or negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. We began conducting first-party collection activities **for our lending partners** in the fourth quarter of 2022 **for loans facilitated through our marketplace**. We have no prior experience conducting first-party or in-house collection activities, and we cannot be certain that we will be able to effectively manage risks associated with such activities. In addition to first-party collection activities, we partner with third-party collection agencies for loans we service. If such third-party collection agencies do not perform as expected under our agreements with them or if we or these collection agents act unprofessionally and otherwise harm the user experience for borrowers of Upstart-powered loans, our brand and reputation could be harmed and our ability to attract potential borrowers to our **platform marketplace** could be negatively impacted. For example, during periods of increased delinquencies caused by economic downturns or otherwise, it is important that we and the collection agents are proactive and consistent in contacting a borrower to bring a delinquent balance current and ultimately avoid the related loan becoming charged off. If we or the collection agents are unable to maintain a high quality of service, or fulfill the servicing obligations at all due to resource constraints, it could result in increased delinquencies and charge-offs on the loans, which could decrease fees payable to us, cause our lending partners to decrease the volume of Upstart-powered loans kept on their balance sheets, erode trust in our lending marketplace or increase the costs of **our loan funding programs for our marketplace**. If we fail to successfully address any of the foregoing risks associated with our collection activities, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. We are the loan servicer for most loans facilitated through our **platform marketplace**, including ~~those--~~ **the** loans that are **sold as** part of **our whole** loan **sales funding programs**, ~~such as~~ **contributed to** asset-backed **securitization securitizations**, ~~and~~ pass-through certificate transactions, ~~and whole loan sales~~ **pledged in connection with warehouse credit facilities**. Loan servicing is a highly manual process and an intensely regulated activity. Errors in our servicing activities, **including payment collection and charge-off processes**, or failures to comply with our servicing obligations, **have in the past and could in the future** affect our internal and external reporting of the loans that we service, adversely affect our business and reputation and expose us to liability to borrowers, **bank lending** partners or institutional investors **in our loan funding programs**. In addition, we charge our loan holders a fixed percentage servicing fee based on the outstanding balance of loans serviced. If we fail to efficiently service or collect on such loans and the costs incurred exceed the servicing fee charged, our results of operations would be adversely affected. Moreover, the laws and regulations governing these activities are subject to change. If we are unable to comply with such laws and regulations, we could lose one or more of our licenses or authorizations, become subject to greater scrutiny by regulatory agencies or become subject to sanctions or litigation, which may have an adverse effect on our ability to perform our servicing obligations or make our **platform marketplace** available to borrowers in particular states. Any of the foregoing could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. While auto loans issued through our lending **platform marketplace** are secured by collateral, auto loans are inherently risky, as they are often secured by assets that may be difficult to locate and can depreciate rapidly. We generally begin the repossession process for auto loans that become 60 days past due. We have engaged a third-party auto repossession vendor to handle all repossession activity. Following a repossession, if a borrower fails to redeem their vehicle or reinstate their loan agreement, the repossessed vehicle is sold at an auction and the proceeds are applied to the unpaid balance of the loan and related expenses. If the proceeds do not cover the unpaid balance of the loan and any related expenses **and we are unable to recover the deficiency balance from the borrower, where permitted**, the deficiency would be charged-off. Further, if a vehicle cannot be located, repossession and sale of the vehicle would not be possible, which could also lead to delinquencies and charge-offs. A significant number of delinquencies and charge-offs could decrease fees payable to us, cause our lending partners to **reduce** decrease the volume of Upstart-powered auto loans **loan originations** kept on their balance sheets, erode trust in our lending marketplace and increase the costs of **our loan funding programs for our marketplace**. Additionally, if such repossession vendors do not perform consistent with agreements entered into with us, or if vendors act unprofessionally or otherwise harm the user experience for borrowers of Upstart-powered loans, our brand and reputation could be harmed and our ability to attract potential borrowers to our **platform marketplace** could be negatively impacted. We may also become subject to regulatory scrutiny and potential litigation based on the conduct of our repossession vendors. **Our proprietary AI models** rely in part on the use of loan applicant and borrower data and other third-party data, and if we lose the ability to use such data, or if such data contain inaccuracies, our business could be adversely affected. We rely on our proprietary AI models, which are statistical models built using a variety of data sets. Our AI models rely on a wide variety of data sources, including data collected from applicants and borrowers, credit bureau data and our credit experience gained through monitoring the payment performance of borrowers over time. Under our agreements with our lending partners, we receive licenses to use data collected from loan applicants and borrowers. ~~The CFPB has proposed rules on "open banking" that would give consumers certain rights in deciding how companies like us can use their personal financial data, and also proposed additional restrictions and requirements on companies that use such data.~~ If we are unable to access and use data collected from applicants and borrowers, data received from credit bureaus, repayment data collected as part of our loan servicing activities, or ~~any other~~ **third-party data for used in** our AI models, or our access to such data is limited, our ability to accurately evaluate potential borrowers, detect fraud and verify

applicant data would be compromised. Any of the foregoing could negatively impact the accuracy of our pricing decisions, the degree of automation in our loan application process and the volume of loans facilitated on our **marketplace platform**. Third-party data sources on which we rely include the consumer reporting agencies regulated by the CFPB and other alternative data sources. Such data is electronically obtained from third parties and used in our AI models to price applicants and in our fraud models to verify the accuracy of applicant-reported information. Data from national credit bureaus and other consumer reporting agencies, as well as other information that we receive from third parties about an applicant or borrower, may be inaccurate or may not accurately reflect the applicant or borrower's creditworthiness for a variety of reasons, including inaccurate reporting by creditors to the credit bureaus, errors, staleness or incompleteness. For example, loan applicants' credit scores may not reflect such applicants' actual creditworthiness because the credit scores may be based on outdated, incomplete or inaccurate consumer reporting data, including, as a consequence of us utilizing credit reports for a specific period of time after issuance before such reports are deemed to be outdated. Similarly, the data taken from an applicant's credit report may also be based on outdated, incomplete or inaccurate consumer reporting data. Although **regulatory protections are in place to afford consumers the right to dispute inaccuracies and despite the fact that** we use numerous third-party data sources and multiple credit factors within our proprietary models, which helps mitigate this risk, it does not eliminate the risk of an inaccurate individual report. Further, although we attempt to verify the income, employment and education information provided by certain selected applicants, we cannot guarantee the accuracy of applicant information. Our fraud models rely in part on data we receive from a number of third-party verification vendors, data collected from applicants, and our experience gained through monitoring the performance of borrowers over time. Information provided by borrowers may be incomplete, inaccurate or intentionally false. Applicants may also misrepresent their intentions for the use of loan proceeds. We do not verify or confirm any statements by applicants as to how loan proceeds are to be used after loan funding. If an applicant supplied false, misleading or inaccurate information and our fraud detection processes do not flag the application, repayments on the corresponding loan may be lower, in some cases significantly lower, than expected, leading to losses for the lending partner or institutional investor. In addition, if **any third party** data used to train and improve our AI models is inaccurate **or otherwise unreliable**, or access to **such** third-party data is limited or becomes unavailable to us, our ability to continue to improve our AI models would be adversely affected. Any of the foregoing could result in sub-optimally and inefficiently priced **loans, incorrect approvals or denials of loans, or higher than expected loan losses, which in turn could adversely affect our ability to attract new borrowers and partners to our marketplace or increase the number of Upstart-powered loans and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.** In connection with our **asset-backed securitizations, pass-through certificate transactions, warehouse credit facilities and whole loan sales funding programs**, we make representations and warranties concerning the loans **sold-transferred**, and if such representations and warranties are not accurate when made, we could be required to repurchase the applicable loans. In our **loan funding programs, including asset-backed securitizations, pass-through certificate transactions, warehouse credit facilities and whole loan sales sale arrangements**, we make numerous representations and warranties concerning the characteristics of the Upstart-powered loans sold and transferred in connection with such transactions, including representations and warranties that the loans meet the eligibility requirements of those facilities and of institutional investors **in our loan funding programs**. If those representations and warranties were not accurate when made and are not timely cured or incurable, we may be required to repurchase the underlying loans. Failure to repurchase such loans could constitute a default, an event of default or termination event under the agreements governing our various **loan funding programs arrangements or transactions** and could require us to indemnify certain financing parties. Through December 31, **2022-2023**, the number of repurchased Upstart-powered loans as a result of inaccurate representations and warranties represents less than **1 0.28%** of all Upstart-powered loans. While only a small number of Upstart-powered loans have been historically repurchased by us, there can be no assurance that we would have adequate cash or other qualifying assets available to make such repurchases if and when required. Such repurchases could be limited in scope, relating to small pools of loans, or significant in scope, across multiple pools of loans. If we were required to make such repurchases and if we do not have adequate liquidity to fund such repurchases, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. **In addition, a high volume of repurchases due to a breach of such representations and warranties could have an adverse impact on our reputation as a loan seller and servicer.** Borrowers may prepay a loan at any time without penalty, which could reduce our servicing fees and deter our lending partners and institutional investors from investing in loans facilitated through our lending marketplace. A borrower may decide to prepay all or a portion of the remaining principal amount on a loan at any time without penalty. If the entire or a significant portion of the remaining unpaid principal amount of a loan is prepaid, we would not receive a servicing fee, or we would receive a significantly lower servicing fee associated with such prepaid loan. Prepayments may occur for a variety of reasons, including if interest rates decrease after a loan is made. If a significant volume of prepayments occurs, the amount of our servicing fees would decline, which could harm our business and results of operations. Our AI models are designed to predict prepayment rates. However, if a significant volume of prepayments occur that our AI models do not accurately predict, returns targeted by our lending partners and institutional investors **in our loan funding programs** would be adversely affected and our ability to attract new lending partners and institutional investors **in our loan funding programs** would be negatively affected. Our marketing efforts and brand promotion activities may not be effective. Promoting awareness of our AI lending marketplace is important to our ability to grow our business, attract new lending partners, increase the number of potential borrowers on our **platform marketplace** and attract institutional investors to **participate in our marketplace loan funding programs**. We believe that the importance of brand recognition will increase as competition in the consumer lending industry expands. However, because our lending partners are increasingly adopting our lending partner-branded version of our AI lending marketplace through their own websites, potential borrowers may not be aware they are experiencing our AI lending marketplace, which may hinder recognition of our brand. Successful promotion of our brand will depend largely on the effectiveness of marketing efforts and the overall user experience of our lending partners and potential borrowers on the Upstart

platform marketplace, which factors are outside our control. The marketing channels that we employ may also become more crowded and saturated by other lending platforms, which may decrease the effectiveness of our marketing campaigns and increase borrower acquisition costs. Also, the methodologies, policies and regulations applicable to marketing channels may change. For example, internet search engines could revise their methodologies, which could adversely affect borrower volume from organic ranking and paid search. Search engines may also implement policies that restrict the ability of companies such as us to advertise their services and products, which could prevent us from appearing in a favorable location or any location in the organic rankings or paid search results when certain search terms are used by the consumer. Our brand promotion activities may not yield increased revenues. If we fail to successfully build trust in our AI lending marketplace and the performance and predictability of Upstart- powered loans, we may lose existing lending partners and institutional investors in our loan funding programs to our competitors or be unable to attract new lending partners and institutional investors in our loan funding programs, which in turn would harm our business, results of operations and financial condition. Even if our marketing efforts result in increased revenue, we may be unable to recover our marketing costs through increases in loan volume, which could result in a higher borrower acquisition cost per account. Any incremental increases in loan servicing costs, such as increases due to greater marketing expenditures, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Unfavorable outcomes in legal proceedings may harm our business and results of operations. We are, and may in the future become, subject to litigation, claims, examinations, investigations, enforcement actions, legal and administrative cases and proceedings, whether civil or criminal, or lawsuits by governmental agencies or private parties, which may affect our results of operations. These claims, lawsuits, and proceedings could involve, and in some cases have involved, labor and employment, discrimination and harassment, commercial disputes, intellectual property rights (including patent, trademark, copyright, trade secret, and other proprietary rights), class actions, general contract, tort, defamation, data privacy rights, antitrust, common law fraud, government regulation, or compliance, alleged federal and state securities and “blue sky” law violations or other investor claims, and other matters. For example, we are a defendant in a number of securities class action and other related lawsuits. See the “Legal” section under “Note 12. Commitments and Contingencies” and the risk factor titled “— The trading price of our common stock may be volatile, and you could lose all or part of your investment” for more information. Due to the consumer- oriented nature of our business and the application of certain laws and regulations, participants in our industry are regularly named as defendants in litigation alleging violations of federal and state laws and regulations and consumer law torts, including fraud. Many of these legal proceedings involve alleged violations of consumer protection laws. In addition, we have been in the past and may in the future be subject to litigation, claims, examinations, investigations, legal and administrative cases and proceedings related to the offer and sale of Upstart- powered loans. In particular, lending programs that involve originations by a bank in reliance on origination- related services being provided by non- bank lending platforms and / or program managers are subject to potential litigation and government enforcement claims based on “rent- a- charter bank” or “true lender” theories, particularly where such programs involve the subsequent sale of such loans or interests therein through the lending marketplace. See the risk factor titled “— If loans facilitated through our platform marketplace for one or more lending partners were subject to successful challenge that the lending partner was not the “true lender,” such loans may be unenforceable, subject to rescission or otherwise impaired, we or other program participants may be subject to penalties, and / or our commercial relationships may suffer, each which would adversely affect our business and results of operations,” below for more information. In addition, loans originated by lending partners (which are exempt from certain state requirements under federal banking laws), followed by the sale, assignment, or other transfer to non- banks of such loans are subject to potential litigation and government enforcement claims based on the theory that transfers of loans from banks to non- banks do not transfer the ability to enforce contractual terms such as interest rates and fees from which only banks benefit under federal preemption principles. See the risk factors titled “— If loans originated by our lending partners were found to violate the laws of one or more states, whether at origination or after sale by the lending partner, loans facilitated through our platform marketplace may be unenforceable or otherwise impaired, we or other program participants may be subject to, among other things, fines and penalties, and / or our commercial relationships may suffer, each of which would adversely affect our business and results of operations” below. In addition, the recent inquiries related to our model’s use of education variables in assessing credit risk could prompt potential litigation and “government enforcement claims based on perceived violations of ECOA. See — “We have been in the past and may in the future be subject to federal and state regulatory inquiries regarding our business” below for more information. If we were subject to such litigation or enforcement, then any unfavorable results of pending or future legal proceedings may result in contractual damages, usury related claims, fines, penalties, injunctions, the unenforceability, rescission or other impairment of loans originated on our platform marketplace or other censure that could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Even if we adequately address the issues raised by an investigation or proceeding or successfully defend a third- party lawsuit or counterclaim, we may have to devote significant financial and management resources to address these issues, which could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. We have a limited history of operating with a Digital First workforce, and the long- term impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations is uncertain. Since our announcement of a Digital First work model in June 2021, remote work with less time in the office has been the primary experience for most of our employees. Our workforce is currently distributed across the U.S., and we expect this distribution to continue. We have a limited history of operating with a Digital First workforce. Although we anticipate that this Digital First model will have a long- term positive impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations, there is no guarantee that we will realize any anticipated benefits to our business from this model, including cost savings, operational efficiencies, or productivity. Our Digital First model could lead to a negative long- term impact on our operations, the execution of our business plans and sales and marketing efforts, our company culture, or the productivity and retention of key personnel and other employees necessary to conduct our business, or otherwise cause operational failures due to changes in our past business practices. If a natural disaster, power outage, connectivity issue, or

other event were to occur that impacted our employees' ability to work remotely, it may be difficult or, in certain cases, impossible, for us to continue our business for a substantial period of time. The increase in remote working may also result in increased exposure to consumer privacy and data security incidents, or fraudulent activity. Furthermore, our understanding of applicable legal and regulatory requirements related to a remote workforce may be subject to legal or regulatory challenge, particularly as regulatory guidance evolves in response to future developments. If we are unable to successfully address the foregoing risks and challenges as we encounter them, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We may evaluate and potentially consummate acquisitions or investments in complementary business and technologies, which could require significant management attention, consume our financial resources, disrupt our business and adversely affect our results of operations, and we may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of these acquisitions or investments. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to grow our business. In some circumstances, we may determine to do so through the acquisition of, or investments in, complementary businesses and technologies rather than through internal development. For example, in 2021, we completed the acquisition of Prodigy. The identification of suitable acquisition candidates can be difficult, time-consuming, and costly, and we may not be able to successfully complete identified acquisitions. In the future, we may acquire assets or businesses. The risks we face in connection with acquisitions include:

- diversion of management time and focus from operating our business to addressing acquisition integration challenges;
- utilization of our financial resources for acquisitions or investments that may fail to realize the anticipated benefits;
- inability of the acquired technologies, products or businesses to achieve expected levels of revenue, profitability, productivity or other benefits;
- coordination of technology, product development and sales and marketing functions and integration of administrative systems;
- transition of the acquired company's borrowers to our systems;
- retention of employees from the acquired company;
- regulatory risks, including maintaining good standing with existing regulatory bodies or receiving any necessary approvals, as well as being subject to new regulators with oversight over an acquired business;
- attracting financing;
- cultural challenges associated with integrating employees from the acquired company into our organization;
- the need to implement or improve controls, procedures and policies at a business that prior to the acquisition may have lacked effective controls, procedures and policies;
- potential write-offs of loans or intangibles or other assets acquired in such transactions that may have an adverse effect on our results of operations in a given period;
- liability for activities of the acquired company before the acquisition, including patent and trademark infringement claims, violations of laws, commercial disputes, tax liabilities and other known and unknown liabilities;
- assumption of contractual obligations that contain terms that are not beneficial to us, require us to license or waive intellectual property or increase our risk for liability; and
- litigation, claims or other liabilities in connection with the acquired company.

Our failure to address these risks or other problems encountered in connection with any future acquisitions and strategic investments could cause us to fail to realize the anticipated benefits of these acquisitions or investments, cause us to incur unanticipated liabilities and harm our business generally. Future acquisitions could also result in dilutive issuances of our equity securities, the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities, amortization expenses or the write-off of goodwill, any of which could harm our financial condition. Strategic investments in which we have a minority ownership stake and that we do not control may from time to time have economic, business, or legal interests or goals that are inconsistent with our goals. As a result, business decisions or other actions or omissions of controlling shareholders, management, or other persons or entities who control companies in which we invest may adversely affect the value of our investment, result in litigation or regulatory action against us, or otherwise damage our reputation and brand.

Borrowers may prepay a loan at any..... of operations could be adversely affected. Our business is subject to the risks of natural disasters and other catastrophic events, many of which are becoming more acute and frequent due to climate change, and to interruption by human-induced problems. Significant natural disasters or other catastrophic events, such as earthquakes, fires, hurricanes, blizzards, or floods (many of which are becoming more acute and frequent as a result of climate change), or interruptions by strikes, crime, terrorism, epidemics, pandemics, cyber-attacks, computer viruses, internal or external system failures, telecommunications failures, **a failure of banking or other financial institutions**, power outages or increased risk of cybersecurity breaches due to a swift transition to remote work brought about by a catastrophic event, could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. The long-term effects of climate change on the global economy and our industry in particular are unclear; however, we recognize that there are inherent climate-related risks wherever business is conducted. Either of our headquarters may be vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change. One of our headquarters is located in the San Francisco Bay Area, a region that is prone to seismic activity and has experienced and may continue to experience, climate-related events and at an increasing rate. Examples include **but are not limited to** drought and water scarcity, warmer temperatures, wildfires and air quality impacts and power shut-offs associated with wildfire prevention. The increasing intensity of drought throughout California and annual periods of wildfire danger increase the probability of planned power outages. Our other headquarters in Columbus, Ohio is a region at higher risk for **extreme winter weather, including** blizzards. Although we maintain a disaster response plan and insurance, such events could disrupt our business, the business of our lending partners or third-party suppliers, and may cause us to experience losses and additional costs to maintain and resume operations. We may not maintain sufficient business interruption or property insurance to compensate us for potentially significant losses, including potential harm to our business that may result from interruptions in our ability to provide our financial products and services. In addition, acts of war and other armed conflicts, disruptions in global trade, travel restrictions and quarantines, terrorism and other civil, political and **geo-political-geopolitical unrest, such as the ongoing Russia-Ukraine conflict-conflicts**, could cause disruptions in our business and lead to interruptions, delays or loss of critical data. Any of the foregoing risks may be further increased if our business continuity plans prove to be inadequate and there can be no assurance that both personnel and non-mission critical applications can be fully operational after a declared disaster within a defined recovery time. If our personnel, systems or data centers are impacted, we may suffer interruptions and delays in our business operations. In addition, to the extent these events impact the ability of borrowers to timely repay their loans, our business could be negatively affected. If our estimates or judgments relating to our critical

accounting policies prove to be incorrect or financial reporting standards or interpretations change, our results of operations could be adversely affected. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and disclosed in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. We base our estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other **assumptions data points** that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. The results of these estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets, liabilities, and equity, and the amount of revenue and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. Significant **estimates and assumptions and estimates used which we believe are critical** in preparing **understanding and evaluating** our consolidated financial statements **results** include those related to: (i) fair value determinations; (ii) stock-based compensation; (iii) consolidation of **VIEs; variable interest entities, provision for income taxes, net of valuation allowance for deferred tax assets,** and (iv) the evaluation for impairment of goodwill and acquired intangible assets. Our results of operations may be adversely affected if our assumptions change or if actual circumstances differ from those in our assumptions, which could cause our results of operations to fall below the expectations of industry or financial analysts and investors, resulting in a decline in the trading price of our common stock. Additionally, we regularly monitor our compliance with applicable financial reporting standards and review new pronouncements and drafts thereof that are relevant to us. As a result of new standards, or changes to existing standards, and changes in their interpretation, we might be required to change our accounting policies, alter our operational policies and implement new or enhance existing systems so that they reflect new or amended financial reporting standards, or we may be required to restate our published financial statements. Such changes to existing standards or changes in their interpretation may have an adverse effect on our reputation, business, financial condition, and profit and loss, or cause an adverse deviation from our revenue and operating profit and loss target, which may negatively impact our results of operations. If we fail to maintain an effective system of disclosure controls and internal control over financial reporting, our ability to produce timely and accurate financial statements or comply with applicable regulations could be impaired. As a public company, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and the rules and regulations of the applicable listing standards of the Nasdaq Global Select Market. We expect that the requirements of these rules and regulations will continue to increase our legal, accounting, and financial compliance costs, make some activities more difficult, time-consuming, and costly, and place significant strain on our personnel, systems, and resources. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal **control controls** over financial reporting. We are continuing to develop and refine our disclosure controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we will file with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that information required to be disclosed in reports under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our principal executive and financial officers. We are also continuing to improve our internal **control controls** over financial reporting. In order to maintain and improve the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal **control controls** over financial reporting, we have expended, and anticipate that we will continue to expend significant resources, including accounting-related costs, and significant management oversight. Our current controls and any new controls that we develop may become inadequate because of changes in conditions in our business. Weaknesses in our disclosure controls and internal control over financial reporting have been discovered in the past and may be discovered in the future. We cannot assure you that the measures we have taken to date, or any measures we may take in the future, will be sufficient to identify or prevent future material weaknesses or deficiencies. The nature of our business is such that our financial statements involve a number of complex accounting policies, many of which involve significant elements of judgment, including determinations regarding the consolidation of variable interest entities, determinations regarding the fair value of financial assets and liabilities (including loans, notes receivable, payable to securitization note holders and residual certificate holders, servicing assets and liabilities, and trailing fee liabilities) and the appropriate classification of various items within our financial statements. See Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements for more information about our significant accounting policies. The inherent complexity of these accounting matters and the nature and variety of transactions in which we are involved require that we have sufficient qualified accounting personnel with an appropriate level of experience and controls in our financial reporting process commensurate with the complexity of our business. While we believe we have sufficient internal accounting personnel and external resources and appropriate controls to address the demands of our business, we expect that the growth and development of our business will place significant additional demands on our accounting resources. Any failure to develop or maintain effective controls or any difficulties encountered in their implementation or improvement could harm our results of operations or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations and may result in a restatement of our financial statements for prior periods. Any failure to implement and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could also adversely affect the results of periodic management evaluations and annual independent registered public accounting firm attestation reports regarding the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting that we will eventually be required to include in our periodic reports that will be filed with the SEC. Ineffective disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial and other information, which would likely have a negative effect on the trading price of our common stock. In addition, if we are unable to continue to meet these requirements, we may not be able to remain listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market. As a public company, we are required to provide an annual management report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. There can be no assurance that we will maintain internal control over financial reporting sufficient to enable us to identify or avoid material weaknesses in the future. Any failure to maintain effective disclosure controls and internal control over financial reporting could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition and could cause a decline in the trading price of our common stock. Some of our estimates, including our key metrics in this report, are subject to inherent

challenges in measurement, and any real or perceived inaccuracies may harm our reputation and negatively affect our business. Certain estimates and growth forecasts included in this report, including those we have generated ourselves, are subject to significant uncertainty and are based on assumptions and estimates that may not prove to be accurate. The estimates and forecasts in this report relating to the size and expected growth of our target market may prove to be inaccurate. It is impossible to offer every loan product, term or feature that every customer wants or that any given lending partner is necessarily capable of supporting, and our competitors may develop and offer loan products, terms or features that we do not offer. Even if the markets in which we compete meet the size estimates and growth forecasted in this report, we may be unable to address these markets successfully and our business could fail to grow for a variety of reasons outside of our control, including competition in our industry. We regularly review and may adjust our processes for calculating our key metrics to improve their accuracy. For example, in the third quarter of 2021, we adjusted our process for calculating Conversion Rate to account for an increase in fraudulent applications. Our key metrics may differ from estimates published by third parties or from similarly titled metrics of our competitors due to differences in methodology. If investors or analysts do not perceive our metrics to be accurate representations of our business, or if we discover material inaccuracies in our metrics, our reputation, business, results of operations, and financial condition would be adversely affected.

We maintain cash deposits in excess of federally insured limits. Adverse developments affecting financial institutions, including bank failures, could adversely affect our liquidity and financial performance. We regularly maintain domestic cash deposits in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) insured banks that exceed the FDIC insurance limits. Bank failures, events involving limited liquidity, defaults, non-performance or other adverse developments that affect financial institutions, or concerns or rumors about such events, may lead to liquidity constraints. For example, on March 10, 2023, Silicon Valley Bank failed and was taken into receivership by the FDIC. Similarly, on March 12, 2023, Signature Bank and Silvergate Capital Corp. were each swept into receivership. The failure of a bank, or other adverse conditions in the financial or credit markets impacting financial institutions at which we maintain balances, could adversely impact our liquidity and financial performance. There can be no assurance that our deposits in excess of the FDIC or other comparable insurance limits will be backstopped by the U. S. treasury, or that any bank or financial institution with which we do business will be able to obtain needed liquidity from other banks, government institutions or by acquisition in the event of a failure or liquidity crisis.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND PLATFORM DEVELOPMENT

It may be difficult and costly to protect our intellectual property rights, and we may not be able to ensure their protection. Our ability to operate our platform depends, in part, upon our proprietary technology. We may be unable to protect our proprietary technology effectively which would allow competitors to duplicate our AI models or AI lending marketplace and adversely affect our ability to compete with them. We rely on a combination of copyright, trade secret, patent, trademark laws and other rights, as well as confidentiality procedures, contractual provisions and our information security infrastructure to protect our proprietary technology, processes and other intellectual property. While we have two patents issued and **one three** patent **application applications** pending, we have limited patent protection and our patent application may not be successful. **A**

The steps we take to protect our intellectual property rights may be inadequate. For example, a

third party may attempt to reverse engineer or otherwise obtain and use our proprietary technology without our consent. The pursuit of a claim against a third party for infringement of our intellectual property could be costly, and there can be no guarantee that any such efforts would be successful. Our failure to secure, protect and enforce our intellectual property rights could adversely affect our brand and adversely impact our business. Our proprietary technology, including our AI models, may actually or may be alleged to infringe upon third-party intellectual property, and we may face intellectual property challenges from such other parties. We may not be successful in defending against any such challenges or in obtaining licenses to avoid or resolve any intellectual property disputes. If we are unsuccessful, such claims or litigation could result in a requirement that we pay significant damages or licensing fees, or we could in some circumstances be required to make changes to our business to avoid such infringement, which would negatively impact our financial performance. We may also be obligated to indemnify parties or pay substantial settlement costs, including royalty payments, in connection with any such claim or litigation and to modify applications or refund fees, which could be costly. Even if we were to prevail in such a dispute, any litigation regarding our intellectual property could be costly and time consuming and divert the attention of our management and key personnel from our business operations. Moreover, it has become common in recent years for individuals and groups to purchase intellectual property assets for the sole purpose of making claims of infringement and attempting to extract settlements from companies such as ours. Even in instances where we believe that claims and allegations of intellectual property infringement against us are without merit, defending against such claims is time consuming and expensive and could result in the diversion of time and attention of our management and employees. In addition, although in some cases a third party may have agreed to indemnify us for such costs, such indemnifying party may refuse or be unable to uphold its contractual obligations. In other cases, our insurance may not cover potential claims of this type adequately or at all, and we may be required to pay monetary damages, which may be significant. Furthermore, our technology may become obsolete or inadequate, and there is no guarantee that we will be able to successfully develop, obtain or use new technologies to adapt our models and systems to compete with other technologies as they develop. If we cannot protect our proprietary technology from intellectual property challenges, or if our technology becomes obsolete or inadequate, our ability to maintain our model and systems, facilitate loans or perform our servicing obligations on the loans could be adversely affected. Any significant disruption in our AI lending platform could prevent us from processing loan applicants and servicing loans, reduce the effectiveness of our AI models and result in a loss of lending partners, **institutional investors, applicants** or borrowers. In the event of a system

outage or other event resulting in data loss or corruption, our ability to process loan applications, service loans or otherwise facilitate loans on our **platform marketplace** would be adversely affected. We also rely on facilities, components, and services supplied by third parties, including data center facilities, cloud storage services and national consumer reporting agencies. We host our AI lending platform using Amazon Web Services, or AWS, a provider of cloud infrastructure services. In the event that

our AWS service agreements are terminated, or there is a lapse of service, interruption of internet service provider connectivity or damage to AWS data centers, we could experience interruptions in access to our platform as well as delays and additional expense in the event we must secure alternative cloud infrastructure services. For a large portion of borrowers' data used in our AI lending **platform-marketplace**, we obtain borrowers' data from national consumer reporting agencies, such as TransUnion, and rely on their services in order to process loan applications. Any interference or disruption of our technology and underlying infrastructure or our use of third- party services could adversely affect our relationships with our lending partners and institutional investors **in our funding programs**, and the overall user experience of our **platform-marketplace**. **Depending on the type and severity of any such disruption, we could be exposed to litigation and regulatory risk. For example, a cybersecurity incident could result in the exposure of consumer data triggering remedial measures, notification requirements, as well as litigation and regulatory exposure**. Also, as our business grows, we may be required to expand and improve the capacity, capability and reliability of our infrastructure. If we are not able to effectively address capacity constraints, upgrade our systems as needed and continually develop our technology and infrastructure to reliably support our business, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. Additionally, in the event of damage or interruption, our insurance policies may not adequately compensate us for any losses incurred. Our disaster recovery plan has not been tested under actual disaster conditions, and we may not have sufficient capacity to recover all data and services in the event of an outage or other event resulting in data loss or corruption. These factors could prevent us from processing or posting payments on the loans, damage our brand and reputation, divert our employees' attention, subject us to liability and cause borrowers to abandon our business, any of which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. Our platform and internal systems rely on software that is highly technical, and if our software contains undetected errors, our business could be adversely affected. Our platform and internal systems rely on software that is highly technical and complex. In addition, our platform and internal systems depend on the ability of such software to store, retrieve, process and manage high volumes of data. The software on which we rely has contained, and may now or in the future contain, undetected errors or bugs. Some errors may only be discovered after the code has been released for external or internal use. Errors or other design defects within the software on which we rely may result in failure to accurately predict a loan applicant' s creditworthiness, failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations, approval of sub- optimally priced loans, incorrectly displayed interest rates to applicants or borrowers, or incorrectly charged interest to borrowers or fees to lending partners or institutional investors, failure to present or properly display regulatory disclosures to applicants for an extended period of time, failure to detect fraudulent activity on our platform, a negative experience for consumers or lending partners, delayed introductions of new features or enhancements, or failure to protect borrower data or our intellectual property. Any errors, bugs or defects discovered in the software on which we rely could result in harm to our reputation, loss of consumers or lending partners, increased regulatory scrutiny, fines or penalties, loss of revenue or liability for damages, any of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, updates made to our software to remediate any errors discovered may prove to be ineffective, resulting in repeated issues and further harm to our business. Some aspects of our business processes include open source software, and any failure to comply with the terms of one or more of these open source licenses could negatively affect our business. We incorporate open source software into processes supporting our business. Such open source software may include software covered by licenses like the GNU General Public License and the Apache License. The terms of various open source licenses have not been interpreted by U. S. courts, and there is a risk that such licenses could be construed in a manner that limits our use of the software, inhibits certain aspects of our systems and negatively affects our business operations. Some open source licenses contain requirements that we make source code available at no cost for modifications or derivative works we create based upon the type of open source software we use. We may face claims from third parties **claiming ownership of, or demanding the release or license of, such modifications or derivative works** (which could include our proprietary source code or AI models) or otherwise seeking to enforce the terms of the applicable open source license. If portions of our proprietary AI models are determined to be subject to an open source license, or if the license terms for the open source software that we incorporate change, we could be required to publicly release the affected portions of our source code, re- engineer all or a portion of our model or change our business activities, any of which could negatively affect our business operations and potentially our intellectual property rights. If we were required to publicly disclose any portion of our proprietary models, it is possible we could lose the benefit of trade secret protection for our models. In addition to risks related to license requirements, the use of open source software can lead to greater risks than the use of third- party commercial software, as open source licensors generally do not provide warranties or controls on the origin of the software. Use of open source software may also present additional security risks because the public availability of such software may make it easier for hackers and other third parties to determine how to breach our website and systems that rely on open source software. Many of the risks associated with the use of open source software cannot be eliminated and could adversely affect our business. **The use of generative AI technologies by our employees or contractors could expose us to unexpected liability. Our employees and contractors use generative AI technologies in connection with their performance of services and, as with many developing technologies, generative AI presents risks and challenges that could affect its further development, adoption, and use, and therefore our business. We face the risk of security threats from employee or contractor errors (such as unauthorized use of third party generative AI technologies in job functions, our products, or in the operation of our business) or malfeasance in connection with generative AI technologies. Even authorized use of generative AI technologies by our employees or contractors may generate content, including software code, that appears factually correct but is factually inaccurate or flawed or contains security vulnerabilities. Our customers, employees, or others may rely on or use such factually incorrect or flawed content to their detriment, which may expose us to brand or reputational harm, competitive harm, and / or legal liability. Further, security vulnerabilities introduced by generative AI technologies into our software could expose us to cybersecurity risks. Questions surrounding license rights and**

liability for infringement in AI technology generally, and generative AI technology specifically, have not been fully addressed by competent legal tribunals or applicable laws or regulations. The use or adoption of third- party AI technology, including generative AI technology, into our products and services and our internal business operations may result in exposure to claims of copyright infringement, other intellectual property- related causes of action, or other potential reputational harms. While we have policies governing our personnel' s use of third party generative AI technologies, we cannot guarantee that the policies will be adhered to by all of our employees and contractors and we cannot guarantee that the policies will protect us from all potential liability relating to our adoption of generative AI technologies.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR DEPENDENCE ON THIRD PARTIES A significant number of consumers that apply for a loan on Upstart. com learn about and access Upstart. com through the website of a loan aggregator, typically with a hyperlink from such loan aggregator' s website to a landing page on our website. While we are **planning-continuing to expand our move towards more-**direct acquisition channels, we anticipate that we will continue to depend in significant part on relationships with loan aggregators to maintain and grow our business. For example, a significant amount of our loan originations was derived from traffic from ~~one of our partners,~~ Credit Karma . Our most recent agreement with Credit Karma provides that either party may terminate our arrangement immediately upon a material breach of any provision of the agreement or at any time, **one of** with or without cause, by providing no less than 30 days' notice. Our agreements with the loan aggregators ~~do not require~~ **with whom we partner. The** loan aggregators, including Credit Karma, **are not required** to display offers from ~~lenders-~~ **our lending partners** on Upstart. com nor ~~are they prohibit-~~ **prohibited** them from working with our competitors or **adding our competitors** from offering competing services. In this regard, Credit Karma has been directing, and may continue to direct, more customer traffic to a program that hosts and aggregates the credit models of other- **their** loan providers directly on its platform **platforms** for the purpose of giving credit offers. If traffic from Credit Karma or other loan aggregators decreases in the future ~~as a result of this program or for other-~~ **any reasons-** **reason**, our loan originations and results of operations would be adversely affected. There is also no assurance that Credit Karma or other loan aggregators will continue ~~its contract-~~ **to partner** with us on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Furthermore, on December 3, 2020, Credit Karma was acquired by Intuit Inc. It is possible Intuit may not continue our agreement on commercially reasonable terms or at all, which would adversely affect our business. Our competitors may be effective in providing incentives to loan aggregators to favor their products or services or in reducing the volume of loans facilitated through our **platform marketplace**. Loan aggregators may not perform as expected under our agreements with them, and we may have disagreements or disputes with them, which could adversely affect our brand and reputation. If we cannot successfully enter into and maintain effective strategic relationships with loan aggregators, our business could ~~be adversely affected.~~ In addition, the limited information such loan aggregators collect from applicants does not always allow us to offer rates to applicants that we would otherwise be able to through direct applicant traffic to Upstart. com. Typically, the rates offered to borrowers who come to Upstart. com directly are lower and more competitive than those rates offered through aggregators. In the event we do not successfully optimize direct traffic, our ability to attract borrowers would be adversely affected. Such loan aggregators also face litigation and regulatory scrutiny for their part in the consumer lending ecosystem, and as a result, their business models may require fundamental change or may not be sustainable in the future. For example, loan aggregators are increasingly required to be licensed as loan brokers or lead generators in many states, subjecting them to increased regulatory supervision and more stringent business requirements. While we require loan aggregators to make certain disclosures in connection with our lending partners' offers and restrict how loan aggregators may display such loan offers, loan aggregators may nevertheless alter or even remove these required disclosures without notifying us, which may result in liability to us. Further, we do not have control over any content on loan aggregator websites, and it is possible that our brand and reputation may be adversely affected by being associated with such content. An unsatisfied borrower could also seek to bring claims against us based on the content presented on a loan aggregator' s website. Such claims could be costly and time consuming to defend and could distract management' s attention from the operation of the business. **Our proprietary AI models rely in part..... financial condition and results of operations.** We rely on third- party vendors and if such third parties do not perform adequately or terminate their relationships with us, our costs may increase and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. Our success depends in part on our relationships with third- party vendors. In some cases, third- party vendors are one of a limited number of sources. For example, we rely on national consumer reporting agencies, such as TransUnion, for a large portion of the data used in our AI models. In addition, we rely on third- party verification technologies and services that are critical to our ability to maintain a high level of automation on our platform. In addition, because we are not a bank, we cannot belong to or directly access the ACH payment network. As a result, we rely on one or more banks with access to the ACH payment network to process collections on Upstart- powered loans. Many of our vendor agreements are terminable by either party without penalty and with little notice. If any of our third- party vendors terminates its relationship with us or refuses to renew its agreement with us on commercially reasonable terms, we would need to find an alternate provider, and may not be able to secure similar terms or replace such providers in an acceptable ~~timeframe-~~ **time frame**. We also rely on other software and services supplied by vendors, such as communications, analytics and internal software, and our business may be adversely affected to the extent such software and services do not meet our expectations, contain errors or vulnerabilities, are compromised or experience outages. Any of these risks could increase our costs and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Further, any negative publicity related to any of our third- party partners, including any publicity related to quality standards or safety concerns, could adversely affect our reputation and brand, and could potentially lead to increased regulatory or litigation exposure. We incorporate technology from third parties into our platform. We cannot be certain that our licensors are not infringing the intellectual property rights of others or that the suppliers and licensors have sufficient rights to the technology in all jurisdictions in which we may operate. Some of our license agreements may be terminated by our licensors for convenience. If we are unable to obtain or maintain rights to any of this technology because of intellectual property infringement claims

brought by third parties against our suppliers and licensors or against us, or if we are unable to continue to obtain the technology or enter into new agreements on commercially reasonable terms, our ability to develop our platform containing that technology could be severely limited and our business could be harmed. Additionally, if we are unable to obtain necessary technology from third parties, we may be forced to acquire or develop alternate technology, which may require significant time and effort and may be of lower quality or performance standards. This would limit and delay our ability to provide new or competitive loan products or service offerings and increase our costs. If alternate technology cannot be obtained or developed, we may not be able to offer certain functionality as part of our platform and service offerings, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Failure by our third- party vendors or our failure to comply with legal or regulatory requirements or other contractual requirements could have an adverse effect on our business. We have significant vendors that provide us with a number of services to support our platform. If any third- party vendors fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations or comply with their contractual requirements, including failure to maintain adequate systems addressing privacy and data protection and security, we could be subject to regulatory enforcement actions and suffer economic and reputational harm that could harm our business. Further, we may incur significant costs to resolve any such disruptions in service or failure to provide contracted services, which could adversely affect our business. The CFPB and each of the prudential bank regulators that supervise our lending partners have issued guidance stating that institutions under their supervision may be held responsible for the actions of the companies with which they contract. As a service provider to those supervised entities, we must ensure we have implemented an adequate vendor management program. We or our lending partners could be adversely impacted to the extent **we fail to implement a vendor management system that is satisfactory to the CFPB and other regulators or** our vendors fail to comply with the legal requirements applicable to the particular products or services being offered. Our use of third- party vendors is subject to increasing regulatory attention. The CFPB and other regulators have also issued regulatory guidance that has focused on the need for financial institutions to perform increased due diligence and ongoing monitoring of third- party vendor relationships, **thus increasing including, for example, the June 2023 interagency guidance on third party risk management. Such guidance increases** the scope of management involvement in connection with using third- party vendors. Moreover, if regulators conclude that we or our lending partners have not met the heightened standards for oversight of our third- party vendors, we or our lending partners could be subject to enforcement actions, civil monetary penalties, supervisory orders to cease and desist or other remedial actions, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. ~~Furthermore, in July 2021, the prudential bank regulators issued a proposal to significantly revise bank oversight of service providers, which could impact the way in which we are monitored or reviewed where we provide services to those banks.~~ If loans originated by our lending partners were found to violate the laws of one or more states, whether at origination or after sale by the lending partner, loans facilitated through our **platform-marketplace** may be unenforceable or otherwise impaired, we or ~~other program participants~~ **our lending partners or institutional investors** may be subject to, among other things, fines and penalties, and / or our commercial relationships may suffer, each of which would adversely affect our business and results of operations. When establishing the interest rates and structures (and the amounts and structures of certain fees constituting interest under federal banking law, such as origination fees, late fees and non- sufficient funds fees) that are charged to borrowers on loans originated on our **platform-marketplace**, our lending partners rely on certain authority under federal law to export the interest rate requirements of the state where each lending partner is located to borrowers in all other states. Further, ~~certain of~~ **we, our securitization vehicles and our institutional investors that purchase Upstart- powered loans originated by** our lending partners and institutional investors rely on the ability of, ~~as~~ subsequent holders ~~of the loans,~~ to continue charging ~~such the interest rate rates~~ and fee structures and enforce other contractual terms agreed to ~~by our between the~~ lending partners ~~which are permissible and the borrowers, as permitted~~ under federal banking laws ~~following the acquisition of the loans~~. The current maximum annual percentage rate of the loans facilitated through our **platform-marketplace** is 35.99%. In some states, the interest rates of certain Upstart- powered loans exceed the maximum interest rate permitted for consumer loans ~~made by~~ **applicable to** non- bank lenders to borrowers residing in, or that have nexus to, such states. In addition, the rate structures for Upstart- powered loans may not be permissible in all states for non- bank lenders ~~purchasers~~ and / or the amount or structures of certain fees charged in connection with Upstart- powered loans may not be permissible in all states for non- bank ~~lenders-purchasers~~. Furthermore, other states have ~~proposed or~~ enacted additional limitations on interest rates and fees, such as ~~laws in the March 2021- Illinois law, Maine and New Mexico~~ that ~~capped cap~~ interest rates on ~~certain~~ loans at an “ all- in ” 36% APR. Usury, fee, and disclosure related claims involving Upstart- powered loans may be raised in multiple ways. ~~Program~~ **We or the** participants **in our marketplace, including lending partners and institutional investors,** may face litigation, government enforcement or other ~~challenge~~ **challenges**, for example, based on claims that ~~bank lenders-our lending partners~~ did not establish loan terms that were permissible in the state they were located or did not correctly identify the home or host state in which they were located for purposes of interest exportation authority under federal law. Alternatively, we or our institutional investors may face litigation, government enforcement or other challenge, for example, based on claims that rates and fees were lawful at origination and through any period during which the lending partner retained the loan and interests therein, but ~~that subsequent~~ **following the sale of loans, we or other** purchasers ~~were unable~~ **of the loans, including our institutional investors, are not permitted** to enforce the ~~loan-loans~~ pursuant to ~~its~~ **their** contracted- for terms, or that ~~while~~ certain disclosures were not ~~provided~~ **required** at origination because ~~the loans were~~ **originated by** while such disclosures are not required of banks, they may be required **following the sale of such loans non-** bank lenders. In *Madden v. Midland Funding, LLC*, 786 F. 3d 246 (2d Cir. 2015), cert. denied, 136 S. Ct. 2505 (June 27, 2016), for example, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that the non- bank purchaser of defaulted credit card debt could not rely on preemption standards under the National Bank Act applicable to the originator of such debt in defense of usury claims. *Madden* addressed circumstances under which a defaulted extension of credit under a consumer credit card account was assigned, following default, to a non- bank debt buyer that then attempted to collect the loan and to continue

charging interest at the contracted- for rate. The debtor filed a suit claiming, among other claims, that the rate charged by the non- bank collection entity exceeded the usury rates allowable for such entities under New York usury law. Reversing a lower court decision, the Second Circuit held that preemption standards under the National Bank Act applicable to the bank that issued the credit card were not available to the non- bank debt buyer as a defense to usury claims. Following denial of a petition for rehearing by the Second Circuit, the defendant sought review by the United States Supreme Court. ~~Following the United States Supreme Court’s request that the Solicitor General file a brief setting forth the government’s position on whether the Supreme Court should hear the case in 2016, the Solicitor General filed its brief recommending that the petition for a writ of certiorari be denied for certain vehicle suitability reasons, although the Solicitor General’s brief concluded that the Second Circuit’s decision was substantively incorrect as a matter of law.~~ The Supreme Court denied certiorari on June 27, 2016, **such that and therefore,** the Second Circuit’s decision remains binding on federal courts in the Second Circuit (which include all federal courts in New York, Connecticut, and Vermont). Upon remand to the District Court for consideration of additional issues ; ~~including whether a choice of law provision in the debtor’s credit card agreement was enforceable to displace New York usury law and class certification,~~ the parties settled the matter in 2019. The scope and validity of the Second Circuit’s Madden decision remain subject to challenge and clarification. For example, the Colorado Administrator of the Colorado Uniform Consumer Credit Code, or the UCCC, reached a settlement with respect to complaints against two online lending platforms whose operations share certain commonalities with ours, including with respect to the role of **lending partners originating loans and non- bank purchasers** ~~partners and sale of such loans to institutional investors~~. The complaints included, among other claims, allegations, grounded in the Second Circuit’s Madden decision, that the rates and fees for certain loans could not be enforced lawfully by non- bank purchasers of bank- originated loans. Under the settlement, ~~these-- the~~ banks and ~~nonbank partners~~ **non- bank purchasers** committed to, among other things, limit the annual percentage rates, or APR, on loans to Colorado consumers to 36 % and take other actions to ensure that the banks were in fact the true lenders. The ~~nonbanks non- bank purchasers~~ also agreed to obtain and maintain a Colorado lending license. In Colorado, this settlement ~~created should provide~~ a helpful model for what constitutes an acceptable bank partnership model ; ~~However however ,~~ **Colorado opted out of the federal law that allows state- chartered banks to export their rates, with such law becoming effective July 1, 2024 and putting the settlement model in jeopardy. Regardless,** the settlement may also invite other states to initiate their own actions, and set their own regulatory standards through enforcement. In addition, in June 2019, private plaintiffs filed class action complaints against multiple traditional credit card securitization programs, including, Petersen, et al. v. Chase Card Funding, LLC, et al., (No. 1: 19- cv- 00741- LJV- JJM (W. D. N. Y. June 6, 2019)) and Cohen, et al. v. Capital One Funding, LLC et al., (No. 19- 03479 (E. D. N. Y. June 12, 2019)). In Petersen, the plaintiffs sought class action status against certain defendants affiliated with a national bank that have acted as special purpose entities in securitization transactions sponsored by the bank. The complaint alleges that the defendants’ acquisition, collection and enforcement of the bank’s credit card receivables violated New York’s civil usury law and that, as in Madden, the defendants, as non- bank entities, are not entitled to the benefit of federal preemption of state usury law. The complaint sought a judgment declaring the receivables unenforceable, monetary damages and other legal and equitable remedies, such as disgorgement of all sums paid in excess of the usury limit. Cohen was a materially similar claim against ~~another a separate~~ national bank. On January 22, 2020, the magistrate judge in Petersen issued a report and recommendation responding to the defendants’ motion to dismiss. The magistrate recommended that the motion to dismiss be granted as to both of the plaintiffs’ claims (usury and unjust enrichment). On September 21, 2020, the District Court accepted the magistrate’s recommendation and dismissed all claims. The District Court found that the usury claims were expressly preempted by the National Bank Act and referenced the OCC’s recent rulemaking (discussed further below) that “[i] nterest on a loan that is permissible under [the National Bank Act] shall not be affected by the sale, assignment, or other transfer of the loan. ” Among other things, the Court deferred to the “ OCC’ s reasoned judgment that enforcing New York’ s usury laws against the Chase defendants would significantly interfere with [the bank’ s] exercise of its [National Bank Act] powers. ” The Cohen case was dismissed on September 29, 2020. The plaintiffs in both Cohen and Petersen filed, but ultimately dropped, their appeals of the decision to the second circuit. As noted above, federal prudential regulators have also taken actions to address the Madden decision. On May 29, 2020, the OCC issued a final rule clarifying that, when a national bank or savings association sells, assigns, or otherwise transfers a loan, interest permissible before the transfer continues to be permissible after the transfer. That rule took effect on August 3, 2020. ~~As discussed further below, the OCC also issued a rule pertaining to the “ true lender ” issue, which was challenged by state attorneys general in a complaint filed January 5, 2021, and subsequently repealed through the Congressional Review Act on June 30, 2021.~~ Similarly, the FDIC finalized on June 25, 2020 its 2019 proposal declaring that the interest rate for a loan is determined when the loan is made, and will not be affected by subsequent events. On July 29, 2020, California, New York and Illinois filed suit in the U. S. District Court for the Northern District of California to enjoin enforcement of the OCC rule (Case No. 20- CV- 5200) and, similarly in the same court, on August 20, 2020 California, Illinois, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, and the District of Columbia sought to enjoin enforcement of the FDIC rule (Case No. 20- CV- 5860), in each case related to permissible interest rates post- loan transfer on the grounds that the OCC and FDIC exceeded their authority when promulgating those rules. **While** ~~On February 8, 2022,~~ the court ruled in favor of the OCC and FDIC holding that the agencies did not exceed their statutory authorities when promulgating their “ valid when made ” rules, **there is risk that the OCC and FDIC rules continue to be challenged or are repealed in the future through legislation.** There are factual distinctions between our program and the circumstances addressed in the Second Circuit’s Madden decision, as well as the circumstances in the Colorado UCCC settlement, credit card securitization litigation, and similar cases. As noted above, there are also bases on which the Madden decision’s validity might be subject to challenge or the Madden decision may be addressed by federal regulation or legislation. Nevertheless, there can be no guarantee that a Madden- like claim will not be brought successfully against us, ~~or our other~~ **Upstart program participants lending partners or our institutional investors.** Additionally, effective ~~Effective~~ October 2021,

Maine updated its Consumer Credit Code to include a statutory “ true lender ” test, providing that an entity is a “ lender ” subject to certain requirements of the Consumer Credit Code if the person, among other things: (i) has the predominant economic interest in a loan; (ii) brokers, arranges, or facilitates a loan and has the right to purchase the loan; or (iii) based on the totality of the circumstances, appears to be the lender, and the transaction is structured to evade certain statutory requirements. Me. Rev. Stat. § 2- 702. **It is possible that Connecticut and Minnesota codified a “ true lender ” test into other their laws in 2023, which similarly focus on the totality of the circumstances or who has the “ predominant economic interest ” in the loans. More states may also follow suit, instituting institute similar statutory “ true lender ” tests, which. The statutory “ true lender ” tests may impact increase the risk of true lender litigation in certain jurisdictions and impact how, as well as the tests are applied by courts and regulators in determining the true lender. While such provisions provide additional clarity with respect to jurisdictional requirements, they They may also result in increased usury and licensing risk . For example, Hawaii has broadened its oversight of installment lenders. Effective January 1, 2022, Hawaii instituted a new licensing requirement for “ installment lenders ”, which is defined to capture loans offered under a bank partnership model (i. e., it applies to a person “ who arranges a consumer loan for a third party, or who acts as an agent for a third party, regardless of whether the third party is exempt from licensure.” H. B. 1192 (2021)). Other states may take different paths to promulgate similar “ true lender ” restrictions, and if not through a legislative path, impacted parties may have little to no advance notice of new restrictions and compliance obligations. If a borrower or any state agency were to successfully bring a claim against us, our lending partners, our securitization vehicles and / or the trustees of such vehicles or our institutional investors for a state usury law or fee restriction violation and the rate or fee at issue on the loan was impermissible under applicable state law, we, our lending partners, securitization vehicles and / or trustees or institutional investors in our loan funding programs may face various commercial and legal repercussions, including that such parties would not receive the total amount of interest expected, and in some cases, may not receive any interest or principal, may hold loans that are void, voidable, rescindable, or otherwise impaired or may be subject to monetary, injunctive or criminal penalties. Were such repercussions to apply to us, we may suffer direct monetary loss or may be a less attractive candidate for lending partners, securitization trustees or institutional investors to enter into or renew relationships; and were such repercussions to apply to our lending partners or institutional investors, such parties could be discouraged from using our platform marketplace . We may also be subject to payment of damages in situations where we agreed to provide indemnification, as well as fines and penalties assessed by state and federal regulatory agencies. If loans facilitated through our platform marketplace for one or more lending partners were subject to successful challenge that the lending partner was not the “ true lender, ” such loans may be unenforceable, subject to rescission or otherwise impaired, we or other program participants may be subject to penalties, and / or our commercial relationships may suffer, each which would adversely affect our business and results of operations. Upstart- powered loans are originated in reliance on the fact that our lending partners are the “ true lenders ” for such loans. That true lender status determines various Upstart- powered loan program details, including that we do not hold licenses required solely for being the party that extends credit to consumers, and Upstart- powered loans may involve interest rates and structures (and certain fees and fees structures) permissible at origination only because the loan terms and lending practices are permissible only when the lender is a bank, and / or the disclosures provided to borrowers would be accurate and compliant only if the lender is a bank. Because the loans facilitated by our platform marketplace are originated by our lending partners, many state consumer financial regulatory requirements, including usury restrictions (other than the restrictions of the state in which a lending partner originating a particular loan is located) and many licensing requirements and substantive requirements under state consumer credit laws, are treated as inapplicable based on principles of federal preemption or express exemptions provided in relevant state laws for certain types of financial institutions or loans they originate. Certain recent litigation and regulatory enforcement has challenged, or is currently challenging, the characterization of bank partners as the “ true lender ” in connection with programs involving origination and / or servicing relationships between a bank partner and non- bank lending platform or program manager. As noted above, the Colorado Administrator has entered into a settlement agreement with certain banks and non- banks that addresses this true lender issue. Specifically, the settlement agreement sets forth a safe harbor indicating that a bank is the true lender if certain specific terms and conditions are met. However, other states could also bring lawsuits based on these types of relationships. For example, in June 2020, the Washington, DC Attorney General filed a lawsuit against online lender Elevate for allegedly deceptively marketing high- cost loans with interest rates above the Washington, DC usury cap. The usury claim is based on an allegation that Elevate, which was not licensed in Washington, DC, and not its partner bank, originated these loans, and were was therefore in violation of the state’ s usury laws. This case has since been remanded ultimately settled, with Elevate agreeing to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia charge rates only up to 24 % and to refund consumers who were charged rates over what is allowed under Washington, DC law . A similar complaint against an online lender , Opportunity Financial, LLC , was filed in early 2021, alleging that it rather than a bank originated these loans and the loans were therefore in violation of Washington, DC usury laws. The parties settled this case in November 2021. Also in April 2021, the Maryland Office of the Commissioner of Financial Regulation also alleged in the context of a civil suit that a state- chartered bank and its fintech partners engaged in a bank partnership program that violated various state licensing and credit statutes. The case is pending before the Office of the Commissioner of Financial Regulation for administrative adjudication. In June 2021, a putative class action lawsuit was filed against the online lender Marlette Funding LLC in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, alleging that the company, doing business as Best Egg, was the true lender of usurious loans, with a rate of interest far in excess of the 6 % rate permitted to be charged in Pennsylvania by unlicensed non- banks, originated through a partnership with CRB Cross River Bank (Case No. 21- CV- 985). Furthermore, in April 2022, the California Department of Financial Protection and Innovation filed a complaint in Los Angeles Superior Court alleging that Opportunity Financial, LLC is the “ true lender ” of several loans to California residents that exceeded the applicable California usury limit for small dollar loans. While Opportunity Financial received a favorable decision in October 2023 that denied California’ s motion for**

preliminary injunction, in California and other states, There there is an ongoing risk that government agencies and private plaintiffs will seek to challenge these types of relationships **that are similar to our business model**. We note that the OCC issued on October 27, 2020, a final rule to address the “ true lender ” issue for lending transactions involving a national bank. For certain purposes related to federal banking law, including the ability of a national bank to “ export ” interest- related requirements from the state from which they lend, the rule would treat a national bank as the “ true lender ” if it is named as the lender in the loan agreement or funds the loan. However, the rule was subsequently challenged by the Attorneys General from seven states and ultimately repealed by Congress pursuant to the Congressional Review Act on June 30, 2021. No similar rule applicable to state- chartered banks was issued by the FDIC, and thus there is no longer a clear federal standard. **We While we have taken steps to comply with the safe harbor in the Colorado settlement and other laws, regulations and guidance, we** , lending partners, **institutional investors**, securitization vehicles and **other** similarly situated parties could become subject to challenges like ~~that those~~ presented by the Colorado settlement and, if so, we could face penalties and / or Upstart- powered loans may be void, voidable or otherwise impaired in a manner that may have adverse effects on our operations (directly, or as a result of adverse impact on our relationships with our lending partners, institutional investors or other commercial counterparties). ~~However, we have taken steps to confirm that our business model conforms with the requirements of the Colorado safe harbor. We have also taken additional steps to facilitate compliance with that above- described law enacted in Maine.~~ There have been no formal proceedings against us or indication of any proceedings against us to date, but there can be no assurance that the Colorado Administrator **or any other regulator** will not make assertions similar to those made in its present actions with respect to the loans facilitated by our **platform marketplace** in the future. It is also possible that other state agencies or regulators could make similar assertions. If a court, or a state or federal enforcement agency, were to deem Upstart, rather than our lending partners, the “ true lender ” for loans originated on our **platform marketplace**, and if for this reason (or any other reason) the loans were deemed subject to and in violation of certain state consumer finance laws, we could be subject to fines, damages, injunctive relief (including required modification or discontinuation of our business in certain areas) and other penalties or consequences, and the loans could be rendered void or unenforceable in whole or in part, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business (directly, or as a result of adverse impact on our relationships with our lending partners, institutional investors or other commercial counterparties). We are subject to counterparty risk with respect to the capped call transactions. The counterparties to the capped call transactions entered into in connection with the offering of the Notes (as defined below) are financial institutions, and we are subject to the risk that one or more of the counterparties may default or otherwise fail to perform, or may exercise certain rights to terminate, their obligations under the capped call transactions. Our exposure to the credit risk of the counterparties will not be secured by any collateral. Global economic conditions have in the past resulted in the actual or perceived failure or financial difficulties of many financial institutions. If a counterparty to one or more capped call transactions becomes subject to bankruptcy or other insolvency proceedings, we will become an unsecured creditor in those proceedings with a claim equal to our exposure at the time under such transactions. Our exposure will depend on many factors but, generally, our exposure will increase if the market price or the volatility of our common stock increases. In addition, upon a default or other failure to perform, or a termination of obligations, by a counterparty, the counterparty may fail to deliver the shares of our common stock or cash required to be delivered to us under the capped call transactions and we may suffer adverse tax consequences or experience more dilution than we currently anticipate with respect to our common stock. We can provide no assurances as to the financial stability or viability of the counterparties.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT Litigation, regulatory actions and compliance issues could subject us to significant fines, penalties, judgments, remediation costs and / or requirements resulting in increased expenses. In the ordinary course of business, we have been named as a defendant in various legal actions, including a class action lawsuit and other litigation. Generally, this litigation arises from the dissatisfaction of a consumer with the products or services offered on our **platform marketplace**; some of this litigation, however, has arisen from other matters, including claims of violation of laws related to credit reporting, collections and do- not- call. All such legal actions are inherently unpredictable and, regardless of the merits of the claims, litigation is often expensive, time- consuming, disruptive to our operations and resources, and distracting to management. In addition, certain actions may include claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. Our involvement in any such matter also could cause significant harm to our or our lending partners’ reputations and divert management attention from the operation of our business, even if the matters are ultimately determined in our favor. If resolved against us, legal actions could result in excessive verdicts and judgments, injunctive relief, equitable relief, and other adverse consequences that may affect our financial condition and how we operate our business. In addition, a number of participants in the consumer financial services industry have been the subject of putative class action lawsuits, state attorney general actions and other state regulatory actions, federal regulatory enforcement actions, including actions relating to alleged unfair, deceptive or abusive acts or practices, violations of state licensing and lending laws, including state usury and disclosure laws, actions alleging discrimination on the basis of race, ethnicity, gender or other prohibited bases, and allegations of noncompliance with various state and federal laws and regulations relating to originating, servicing, and collecting consumer finance loans and other consumer financial services and products. The current regulatory environment, increased regulatory compliance efforts and enhanced regulatory enforcement have resulted in us undertaking significant time- consuming and expensive operational and compliance efforts to operate in accordance with relevant laws, which may delay or preclude our or our lending partners’ ability to provide certain new products and services. There is no assurance that these regulatory matters or other factors will not, in the future, affect how we conduct our business and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on our business. In particular, legal proceedings brought under state consumer protection statutes or under several of the various federal consumer financial services statutes may result in a separate fine assessed for each statutory and regulatory violation or substantial damages from class action lawsuits, potentially in excess of the amounts we earned from the underlying activities. Some of our agreements used in the course of our business include arbitration clauses. If our arbitration agreements were to become unenforceable for any reason, we could experience an

increase to our consumer litigation costs and exposure to potentially damaging class action lawsuits, with a potential material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. We contest our liability and the amount of damages, as appropriate, in each pending matter. The outcome of pending and future matters could be material to our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows, and could materially adversely affect our business. In addition, from time to time, through our operational and compliance controls, we identify compliance issues that require us to make operational changes and, depending on the nature of the issue, result in financial remediation to impacted borrowers. These self-identified issues and voluntary remediation payments could be significant, depending on the issue and the number of borrowers impacted, and could generate litigation or regulatory investigations that subject us to additional risk. We are subject to or facilitate compliance with a variety of federal, state, and local laws, including those related to consumer protection and loan financings. We must comply with regulatory regimes or facilitate compliance with regulatory regimes on behalf of our lending partners that are independently subject to federal and / or state oversight by bank regulators, including those applicable to our referral and marketing services, consumer credit transactions, loan servicing and collection activities and the purchase and sale of whole loans and other related transactions. The current presidential administration has brought an increased focus on enforcement of federal consumer protection laws, notably those related to artificial intelligence, and has appointed consumer-oriented regulators at federal agencies such as the CFPB and the OCC. It is possible that regulators in the current or future presidential administration could promulgate rulemakings and bring enforcement actions that materially impact our business and the business of our lending partners. These regulators may augment requirements that apply to loans facilitated by our platform marketplace, or impose new programs and restrictions, and could otherwise revise or create new regulatory requirements that apply to us (or our lending partners), impacting our business, operations, and profitability. Certain state laws generally regulate interest rates and other charges and require certain disclosures. In addition, other federal and state laws may apply to the origination, servicing and collection of loans originated on our platform marketplace, and the purchase and sale of whole loans or asset-backed securitizations. In particular, certain laws, regulations and rules we or our lending partners are subject to include: • state lending laws and regulations that require certain parties to hold licenses or other government approvals or filings in connection with specified activities, and impose requirements related to loan disclosures and terms, fees and interest rates, credit discrimination, credit reporting, servicemember relief, debt collection, repossession, unfair or deceptive business practices and consumer protection, as well as other state laws relating to privacy, information security, conduct in connection with data breaches and money transmission; • the Truth-in-Lending Act and Regulation Z promulgated thereunder, and similar state laws, which require certain disclosures to borrowers regarding the terms and conditions of their loans and credit transactions, require creditors to comply with certain lending practice restrictions, limit the ability of a creditor to impose certain loan terms and impose disclosure requirements in connection with credit card origination; • the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and Regulation B promulgated thereunder, and similar state fair lending laws, which prohibit creditors from discouraging or discriminating against credit applicants on a prohibited basis, including race, color, sex, age, religion, national origin, marital status, the fact that all or part of the applicant's income derives from any public assistance program or the fact that the applicant has in good faith exercised any right under the federal Consumer Credit Protection Act; • the Fair Credit Reporting Act and Regulation V promulgated thereunder, imposes certain obligations on users of consumer reports and those that furnish information to consumer reporting agencies, including obligations relating to obtaining consumer reports, marketing using consumer reports, taking adverse action on the basis of information from consumer reports, addressing risks of identity theft and fraud and protecting the privacy and security of consumer reports and consumer report information; • Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, which prohibits unfair and deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce, and Section 1031 of the Dodd-Frank Act, which prohibits unfair, deceptive or abusive acts or practices in connection with any consumer financial product or service, and analogous state laws prohibiting unfair, deceptive or abusive acts or practices; • the Credit Practices Rule which (i) prohibits lenders from using certain contract provisions that the Federal Trade Commission has found to be unfair to consumers; (ii) requires lenders to advise consumers who co-sign obligations about their potential liability if the primary obligor fails to pay; and (iii) prohibits certain late charges; • the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Regulation F, and similar state debt collection laws, which provide guidelines and limitations on the conduct of third-party debt collectors (and some limitation on creditors collecting their own debts) in connection with the collection of consumer debts; • the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, or GLBA, and Regulation P promulgated thereunder, which includes limitations on financial institutions' disclosure of nonpublic personal information about a consumer to nonaffiliated third parties, in certain circumstances requires financial institutions to limit the use and further disclosure of nonpublic personal information by nonaffiliated third parties to whom they disclose such information and requires financial institutions to disclose certain privacy notices and practices with respect to information sharing with affiliated and unaffiliated entities as well as to safeguard personal borrower information, and other state privacy laws and regulations; • the Bankruptcy Code, which limits the extent to which creditors may seek to enforce debts against parties who have filed for bankruptcy protection; • the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act, which allows military members to suspend or postpone certain civil obligations, requires creditors to reduce the interest rate to 6 % on loans to military members under certain circumstances, and imposes restrictions on enforcement of loans to servicemembers, so that the military member can devote his or her full attention to military duties; • the Military Lending Act, which requires those who lend to "covered borrowers", including members of the military and their dependents, to only offer Military APRs (a specific measure of all-in-cost-of-credit) under 36 %, prohibits arbitration clauses in loan agreements, and prohibits certain other loan agreement terms and lending practices in connection with loans to military servicemembers, among other requirements, and for which violations may result in penalties including voiding of the loan agreement; • the Electronic Fund Transfer Act and Regulation E promulgated thereunder, which provide guidelines and restrictions on the electronic transfer of funds from consumers' bank accounts, including a prohibition on a creditor requiring a consumer to repay a credit agreement in preauthorized (recurring) electronic fund transfers and disclosure and authorization requirements in connection with such transfers; • the Telephone

Consumer Protection Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder, which impose various consumer consent requirements and other restrictions in connection with telemarketing activity and other communication with consumers by phone, fax or text message, and which provide guidelines designed to safeguard consumer privacy in connection with such communications; • the Federal Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act of 2003 and the Telemarketing Sales Rule and analogous state laws, which impose various restrictions on marketing conducted use of email, telephone, fax or text message; • the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act and similar state laws, particularly the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act, which authorize the creation of legally binding and enforceable agreements utilizing electronic records and signatures and which require creditors and loan servicers to obtain a consumer's consent to electronically receive disclosures required under federal and state laws and regulations; • the Right to Financial Privacy Act and similar state laws enacted to provide the financial records of financial institution customers a reasonable amount of privacy from government scrutiny; • the Bank Secrecy Act and the USA PATRIOT Act, which relate to compliance with anti-money laundering, borrower due diligence and record-keeping policies and procedures; • the regulations promulgated by the Office of Foreign Assets Control under the U. S. Treasury Department related to the administration and enforcement of sanctions against foreign jurisdictions and persons that threaten U. S. foreign policy and national security goals, primarily to prevent targeted jurisdictions and persons from accessing the U. S. financial system; • federal and state securities laws, including, among others, the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, the Exchange Act, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended, or the IAA, and the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or the Investment Company Act, rules and regulations adopted under those laws, and similar state laws and regulations, which govern how we offer, sell and transact in our loan financing products; and • other state-specific and local laws and regulations. We may not always have been, and may not always be, in compliance with these and other applicable laws, regulations and rules. **And while Compliance compliance** with these requirements **is a business priority for us, it** is also costly, time-consuming and limits our operational flexibility. Additionally, Congress, the states and regulatory agencies, as well as local municipalities, could further regulate the consumer financial services industry in ways that make it more difficult or costly for us to offer our AI lending **platform marketplace** and related services or facilitate the origination of loans for our lending partners. These laws also are often subject to changes that could severely limit the operations of our business model. ~~For example, in 2019, a bill was introduced in the U. S. Senate that would create a national cap of the lesser of 15 % APR or the maximum rate permitted by the state in which the consumer resides. Although such a bill may never be enacted into law, if such a bill were to be enacted, it would greatly restrict the number of loans that could be funded through our platform.~~ Further, changes in the regulatory application or judicial interpretation of the laws and regulations applicable to financial institutions also could impact the manner in which we conduct our business. The regulatory environment in which financial institutions operate has become increasingly complex, and following the financial crisis that began in 2008, supervisory efforts to apply relevant laws, regulations and policies have become more intense. Additionally, states are increasingly introducing and, in some cases, passing laws that restrict interest rates and APRs on loans similar to the loans made on our **platform marketplace**. For example, **in March 2021, Illinois, Maine and New Mexico** enacted **a law laws to that** cap interest rates **on certain loans** at an "all-in" 36 % APR. Further, in late 2020, California created a "mini-CFPB," which could increase its oversight over bank partnership relationships and strengthen state consumer protection authority of state regulators to police debt collections and unfair, deceptive or abusive acts and practices. Voter referendums also have been introduced and, in some cases, passed, restrictions on interest rates and / or APRs. If such legislation or bills were to be propagated, or state or federal regulators seek to restrict regulated financial institutions such as our lending partners from engaging in business with Upstart in certain ways, our lending partners' ability to originate loans in certain states could be greatly reduced, and as a result, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected. Where applicable, we seek to comply with state broker, credit service organization, small loan, finance lender, servicing, collection, money transmitter and similar statutes. Nevertheless, if we are found to not comply with applicable laws, we could lose one or more of our licenses or authorizations, become subject to greater scrutiny by other state regulatory agencies, face other sanctions or be required to obtain a license in such jurisdiction, which may have an adverse effect on our ability to continue to facilitate loans, perform our servicing obligations or make our **platform marketplace** available to consumers in particular states, which may harm our business. Further, failure to comply with the laws and regulatory requirements applicable to our business and operations may, among other things, limit our ability to collect all or part of the principal of or interest on Upstart-powered loans. In addition, non-compliance could subject us to damages, revocation of required licenses, class action lawsuits, administrative enforcement actions, rescission rights held by investors in securities offerings and civil and criminal liability, all of which would harm our business. Internet-based loan origination processes may give rise to greater risks than paper-based processes and may not always be allowed under state law. We use the internet to obtain application information and distribute certain legally required notices to applicants and borrowers, and to obtain electronically signed loan documents in lieu of paper documents with actual borrower signatures. These processes may entail greater risks than would paper-based loan origination processes, including risks regarding the sufficiency of notice for compliance with consumer protection laws, risks that borrowers may challenge the authenticity of loan documents, and risks that despite internal controls, unauthorized changes are made to the electronic loan documents. In addition, our software could contain "bugs" that result in incorrect calculations or disclosures or other non-compliance with federal or state laws or regulations. If any of those factors were to cause any loans, or any of the terms of the loans, to be unenforceable against the borrowers, or impair our ability to service loans, the performance of the underlying promissory notes could be adversely affected. For auto loans issued through our auto lending marketplace, certain state laws may not allow for electronic lien and title transfer, which would require us to use a paper-based title process to secure title to the underlying collateral. While this process may help mitigate some of the risks associated with online processes, because it is **highly manual and** outside of our usual practices and titling rules can vary by state, we may **be prone to errors and** encounter greater difficulty complying with the proper procedures. If we fail to effectively follow such

procedures we may, among other things, be limited in our ability to secure the collateral associated with loans issued through our auto lending marketplace. If we are found to be operating without having obtained necessary state or local licenses, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. Certain states have adopted laws regulating and requiring licensing by parties that engage in certain activities regarding consumer finance transactions, including facilitating and assisting such transactions in certain circumstances. Furthermore, certain states and localities have also adopted laws requiring licensing for consumer debt collection or servicing and / or purchasing or selling consumer loans. While we believe we have obtained or are in the process of obtaining all necessary licenses, the application of some consumer finance licensing laws to our AI lending marketplace and the related activities we perform, as well as to our lending partners, is unclear. In addition, state licensing requirements may evolve over time, including, in particular, recent trends toward increased licensing requirements and regulation of parties engaged in loan solicitation and student loan servicing activities. States also maintain licensing requirements pertaining to the transmission of money, and certain states may broadly interpret such licensing requirements to cover loan servicing and the transmission of funds to investors. If we or one of our lending partners were found to be in violation of applicable state licensing requirements by a court or a state, federal, or local enforcement agency, we could be subject to fines, damages, injunctive relief (including required modification or discontinuation of our business in certain areas), criminal penalties and other penalties or consequences, and the loans originated by our lending partners on our **platform marketplace** could be rendered void or unenforceable in whole or in part, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business. The CFPB has sometimes taken expansive views of its authority to regulate consumer financial services, creating uncertainty as to how the agency's actions or the actions of any other agency could impact our business. The CFPB, which commenced operations in July 2011, has broad authority to create and modify regulations under federal consumer financial protection laws and regulations, such as the Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z, ECOA and Regulation B, the Fair Credit Reporting Act and Regulation V, the Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E, among other regulations, and to enforce compliance with those laws. The CFPB supervises banks, thrifts and credit unions with assets over \$ 10 billion and examines certain of our lending partners. Further, the CFPB is charged with the examination and supervision of certain participants in the consumer financial services market, including short- term, small dollar lenders, **non- bank mortgage originators and servicers**, and larger participants in other areas of financial services. The CFPB is also authorized to prevent " unfair, deceptive or abusive acts or practices " through its rulemaking, supervisory and enforcement authority. To assist in its enforcement, the CFPB maintains an online complaint system that allows consumers to log complaints with respect to various consumer finance products, including ~~our the~~ loan products **offered on our marketplace**. This system could inform future CFPB decisions with respect to its regulatory, enforcement or examination focus. The CFPB may also request reports concerning our organization, business conduct, markets and activities and conduct on- site examinations of our business on a periodic basis if the CFPB were to determine, through its complaint system, that we were engaging in activities that pose risks to consumers. There continues to be uncertainty about the future of the CFPB and as to how its strategies and priorities, including in both its examination and enforcement processes, will impact our business and our results of operations going forward. This uncertainty is increased in light of the fact that the new director of the CFPB has new examination and enforcement priorities, including safeguarding against algorithmic bias. In April 2022, the CFPB announced that it intends to examine ~~nonbank~~ **non- bank** financial companies that pose risks to consumers. If the CFPB decides to subject us to its supervisory process, it could significantly increase the level of regulatory scrutiny of our business practices. See the risk factor titled " — Our business is subject to a wide range of laws and regulations, many of which are evolving, and failure or perceived failure to comply with such laws and regulations could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations " for more information. In addition, evolving views regarding the use of alternative variables and machine learning in assessing credit risk could result in the CFPB taking actions that result in requirements to alter or cease offering affected financial products and services, making them less attractive and restricting our ability to offer them. See the risk factor titled " — Our reputation and brand are important to our success, and if we are unable to continue developing our reputation and brand, our ability to retain existing and attract new bank partners, our ability to attract borrowers to our **platform marketplace**, our ability to maintain a diverse **and resilient loan** funding marketplace and our ability to maintain and improve our relationship with regulators of our industry could be adversely affected " for more information. The CFPB could also implement rules that restrict our effectiveness in servicing our financial products and services. Although we have committed resources to enhancing our compliance programs, future actions by the CFPB (or other regulators) against us, our lending partners or our competitors could discourage the use of our services or those of our lending partners, which could result in reputational harm, a loss of lending partners, borrowers or institutional investors ~~in our loan funding programs~~, or discourage the use of our or their services and adversely affect our business. If the CFPB changes regulations that were adopted in the past by other regulators and transferred to the CFPB by the Dodd- Frank Act, or modifies through supervision or enforcement past regulatory guidance or interprets existing regulations in a different or stricter manner than they have been interpreted in the past by us, the industry or other regulators, our compliance costs and litigation exposure could increase materially. This is particularly true with respect to the application of ECOA and Regulation B to credit risk models that rely upon alternative variables and machine learning, an area of law where regulatory guidance is currently uncertain and still evolving, and for which there are not well- established regulatory norms for establishing compliance. The current presidential administration has appointed and is expected to continue to appoint consumer- oriented regulators at federal agencies such as the CFPB, **the FTC Federal Trade Commission**, the OCC and the FDIC and the government's focus on enforcement of federal consumer protection laws is expected to increase. It is possible that these regulators could promulgate rulemakings and bring enforcement actions that materially impact our business and the business of our lending partners. If future regulatory or legislative restrictions or prohibitions are imposed that affect our ability to offer certain of our products or that require us to make significant changes to our business practices, and if we are unable to develop compliant alternatives with acceptable returns, these restrictions or prohibitions could have a material adverse effect on our business. If the CFPB, or another regulator,

were to issue a consent decree or other similar order against us, this could also directly or indirectly affect our results of operations. Our compliance and operational costs and litigation exposure could increase if and when the CFPB or another agency amends or finalizes any proposed regulations, including the regulations discussed above or if the CFPB or other regulators enact new regulations, change regulations that were previously adopted, modify, through supervision or enforcement, past regulatory guidance, or interpret existing regulations in a manner different or stricter than have been previously interpreted. We have been in the past and may in the future be subject to federal and state regulatory inquiries regarding our business. We have, from time to time in the normal course of our business, received, and may in the future receive or be subject to, inquiries or investigations by state and federal regulatory agencies and bodies such as the CFPB, ~~the~~ FTC, state Attorneys General, the SEC, state financial regulatory agencies and other state or federal agencies or bodies regarding the Upstart ~~platform marketplace~~, including the marketing of loans for lenders, underwriting and pricing of consumer loans for our lending partners, our fair lending compliance program and licensing and registration requirements. ~~We have~~ **While we expect to address** ~~address~~ **these inquiries directly or investigations** and ~~engaged~~ **engage** in open dialogue with regulators. ~~For example, we cannot~~ **guarantee that a** following constructive and transparent discussions with the CFPB regarding the manner in which our platform operates in compliance with federal fair lending laws, we applied for ~~or~~ and received a **state regulator will not take supervisory or enforcement action against us in the future. Since the** ~~no- action letter from with the CFPB was terminated in June 2022, we no longer enjoy the protection of the no- action letter which had provided~~ that ~~stated~~ the CFPB ~~had~~ **would no not take** present intent to recommend initiation of supervisory or enforcement action against us with respect to ~~for a~~ **violation of** ECOA as it pertains to the use of our AI model to underwrite applicants for unsecured non-revolving credit. ~~We~~ Under the terms of the 2020 no-action letter, we were required to continue to share certain information with the CFPB regarding the updates to our model and the variables it considers, loan performance reports, the results of fair lending tests we conduct, and research we conduct to identify less discriminatory alternatives, as well as information on how our AI models expand access to credit for traditionally underserved populations. Upon Upstart's request, such no-action letter was terminated in June 2022. While the termination of the no-action letter removes the obligation to regularly share updates with the CFPB, we intend to continue to pursue a transparent and cooperative relationship with the CFPB, which could involve sharing information about our models and other aspects of our business. It is also possible the CFPB may take supervisory or enforcement action against us in the future. We have also received inquiries from state regulatory agencies regarding requirements to obtain licenses from or register with those states, including in states where we have determined that we are not required to obtain such a license or be registered with the state, and we expect to continue to receive such inquiries. Any such inquiries or investigations could involve substantial time and expense to analyze and respond to, could divert management's attention and other resources from running our business, and could lead to public enforcement actions or lawsuits and fines, penalties, injunctive relief, and the need to obtain additional licenses that we do not currently possess. Our involvement in any such matters, whether tangential or otherwise and even if the matters are ultimately determined in our favor, could also cause significant harm to our reputation, lead to additional investigations and enforcement actions from other agencies or litigants, and further divert management attention and resources from the operation of our business. Formal enforcement actions are generally made public, which carries reputational risk. The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of the initiation of a CFPB investigation of Upstart or even the perception that such an investigation could occur, even in the absence of any finding by the CFPB that we have violated any state or federal law. As a result, the outcome of legal and regulatory actions arising out of any state or federal inquiries we receive could be material to our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. For ~~nonbank~~ **non-bank** financial institutions, the FTC is also a primary regulator, and in recent years the FTC has been focused on practices of financial technology companies. Based on publicly available actions, the FTC's primary focus has been with respect to financial technology company marketing and disclosure practices. For instance, in October 2018 the FTC took action against student loan refinancer SoFi, claiming that the company made prominent false statements regarding the average savings a consumer would realize over the lifetime of the loan if they refinanced with SoFi. In addition, SoFi allegedly exaggerated claims of anticipated borrower savings by excluding certain customer populations from the analysis. In addition, in July 2021 the FTC settled litigation with LendingClub regarding, among other things, the adequacy of its disclosures of an origination fee associated with the product. Moreover, the FTC recently issued a staff report on digital "dark patterns," sophisticated design practices that can trick or manipulate consumers into buying products or services or giving up their private information, that, among other things, highlighted marketing and disclosure practices by some financial technology companies that the FTC claimed were deceptive because of their use of dark patterns. Based upon prior enforcement actions, staff reports, and statements by FTC officials, we believe this scrutiny of financial technology company marketing and disclosure practices will continue in the near future. While we maintain policies and procedures that require our marketing and loan application and servicing operations comply with UDAP standards, we may not be successful in our efforts to achieve compliance either due to internal or external factors, such as resource allocation limitations or a lack of vendor cooperation. The collection, processing, storage, use and disclosure of personal data could give rise to liabilities as a result of existing or new governmental regulation, conflicting legal requirements or differing views of personal privacy rights. We receive, transmit and store large volumes of personal information and other sensitive data, which may potentially include biometric data as defined by state law, from applicants and borrowers. Each lending partner can access information about their respective borrowers and declined applicants via daily loan reports and other reporting tools that are provided via the platform. For loan institutional investors, while we generally limit access to personal information, we do share some personal information about borrowers with certain institutional investors ~~in~~ **our loan funding programs**. There are federal, state and foreign laws regarding privacy and the storing, sharing, use, disclosure and protection of personal information and sensitive data including those specific to biometric data. Specifically, cybersecurity and data privacy issues, particularly with respect to personal information, are increasingly subject to legislation and regulations

to protect the privacy and security of personal information that is collected, processed and transmitted. For example, the **GLBA** ~~Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act~~ includes limitations on financial institutions' disclosure of nonpublic personal information about a consumer to ~~non-affiliated~~ **affiliated** third parties, in certain circumstances requires financial institutions to limit the use and further disclosure of nonpublic personal information by ~~non-affiliated~~ **affiliated** third parties to whom they disclose such information and requires financial institutions to disclose certain privacy notices and practices with respect to information sharing with affiliated and unaffiliated entities as well as to safeguard personal borrower information. Privacy requirements under the GLBA are enforced by the CFPB, as well as the ~~Federal Trade Commission, or~~ FTC, and under Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, we and our lending partners are prohibited from engaging in unfair and deceptive acts and practices, or UDAP. For example, both the FTC and CFPB have relied on UDAP / UDAAP principles to increase enforcement of "dark patterns", the definition of which varies but has been defined as "design features used to deceive, steer, or manipulate users into behavior that is profitable for an online service, but often harmful to users or contrary to their intent." At the state level, the California Consumer Privacy Act, or the CCPA, which went into effect on January 1, 2020, requires, among other things, that covered companies provide disclosures to California residents and afford such persons new abilities to opt-out of certain sales or retention of their personal information by us. Aspects of the CCPA and its interpretation remain unclear. In addition, California voters approved Proposition 24 in the November 2020 election to create the California Privacy Rights Act, or CPRA, which amends and purports to strengthen the CCPA and created a state agency, the California Privacy Protection Agency ~~or CPPA~~, to enforce privacy laws. The CPRA amendments create obligations relating to consumer data as of January 1, 2023 (with a one-year lookback), and enforcement beginning ~~July 1~~ **March 29, 2023-2024**. ~~On July 8, 2022, the CPPA began the formal rulemaking process to draft regulations to implement the CPRA amendments.~~ Following the enactment of the CCPA, ~~in March 2021~~ **certain states, including but not limited to Texas**, Virginia, **Colorado and Utah, have** enacted the Virginia Consumer Data Protection Act of 2021, or VCDPA; ~~in June 2021~~ **Colorado enacted the Colorado Privacy Act, or CPA;** ~~in March 2022~~ **Utah, enacted the Utah Consumer Privacy Act, or UCPA;** and ~~in May 2022~~ **Connecticut enacted a similar law, An Act Concerning Personal Data Privacy and Online Monitoring, or CTDPA.** The VCDPA went into effect on January 1, 2023, and the CPA and CTDPA both go into effect on July 31, 2023. The UCPA will go into effect December 31, 2023. ~~Additionally, other U.S. states are proposing and to enacting~~ **enact**, laws and regulations that impose obligations similar to the CCPA or that otherwise involve significant obligations and restrictions. **While many of these laws include exemptions for information covered by the GLBA, and we therefore may be exempt from all or most obligations under many of these state privacy laws, some states may not provide for such exemptions, and such exemptions may not fully exempt us from compliance with state laws.** Many privacy and data security laws, such as the CCPA, apply to biometric data. However, some states have passed or are considering legislation that are biometric specific. For instance, in Illinois, the Biometric Information Privacy Act ("**or BIPA**") specifically governs the collection, possession, and disclosure of biometric information or biometric identifiers. There has been a corresponding increase in litigation related specifically to state biometric privacy laws. Whether information we receive from borrowers is subject to state laws expressly governing biometric data depends on how such laws define "biometric data" or other similar terms of art. Compliance with current and future borrower privacy data protection and information security laws and regulations could result in higher compliance, technical or operating costs. We cannot fully predict the impact of the CCPA, BIPA, or other privacy and data security state laws on our business or operations, but it may require us to further modify our data infrastructure and data processing practices and policies and to incur additional costs and expenses in an effort to continue to comply. Further, any actual or perceived violations of these laws and regulations may require us to change our business practices, data infrastructure or operational structure, address legal claims and regulatory investigations and proceedings and sustain monetary penalties and / or other harms to our business. We could also be adversely affected if new legislation or regulations are adopted or if existing legislation or regulations are modified such that we are required to alter our systems or change our business practices or privacy policies. As the regulatory framework for artificial intelligence and machine learning technology evolves, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected. The regulatory framework for artificial intelligence and machine learning technology is evolving and remains uncertain. ~~For example, in April 2023, the FTC, DOJ, EEOC and CFPB released a joint statement on potential "threats" posed by artificial intelligence, such as contributing to discriminatory outcomes. Additionally, the CFPB published statements in May 2022 and September 2023 on the applicability of ECOA to artificial intelligence and machine learning underwriting models when generating adverse action notices. However, the language of ECOA remains unaltered. Therefore, it~~ is possible that new laws and regulations will be adopted in the United States, or existing laws and regulations may be interpreted in new ways, that would affect the operation of our **platform-marketplace** and the way in which we use artificial intelligence and machine learning technology, including with respect to fair lending laws. Further, the cost to comply with such laws or regulations could be significant and would increase our operating expenses, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. ~~For example, on March 29, 2021, the federal financial regulators issued a request for information to enable them to better understand how artificial intelligence and machine learning are utilized in financial services, and the information and views obtained could serve as a basis for future regulations.~~ If we are required to register under the Investment Company Act, our ability to conduct business could be materially adversely affected. The Investment Company Act contains substantive legal requirements that regulate the manner in which "investment companies" are permitted to conduct their business activities. In general, an "investment company" is a company that holds itself out as an investment company or holds more than 40% of the total value of its assets (minus cash and government securities) in "investment securities." We believe we are not an investment company. Our business involves developing and operating an online lending marketplace that provides our lending partners with access to technology, including proprietary AI models, and related services, so lending partners can assess the credit risk of potential borrowers and offer loans online, and our revenue derives primarily from fees based on the platform and referral services provided to our lending partners and loan

servicing. We do not hold ourselves out as an investment company. We understand, however, that the loans held on our balance sheet could be viewed by the SEC or its staff as “ securities, ” which could in turn cause the SEC or its staff to view Upstart Holdings, Inc., Upstart Network, Inc., or an affiliate as an “ investment company ” subject to regulation under the Investment Company Act. ~~We To provide clarity on this issue, we applied for and, on December 1, 2020, received an exemptive order from the SEC exempting us from regulation under the Investment Company Act, subject to certain conditions. Notwithstanding the exemptive order, we~~ believe that we have never been an investment company because, among other reasons, we are primarily engaged in the business of providing an AI- based lending **marketplace, and therefore can reasonably rely on exemptions from platform to lending partners.** Exemptive orders provided by the SEC under the Investment **investment** Company **company status.** ~~If we~~ Act may cease to be effective if the facts and analysis upon which they are based materially change or the recipient of the order fails to comply with conditions outlined in the order. It is possible that our business will change in the future in a way that causes the exemptive order to no **not able** longer apply to **rely on exemptions from investment company status** our business, either because the facts of how we conduct our business change or because we no longer meet the conditions outlined in the order. For example, the exemptive order limits the percentage of loans that we may retain, subject to certain conditions. Recently, in response to constrained loan funding, we have increased the percentage of loans retained by Upstart to support funding requirements of loans that would otherwise be held by institutional investors. If we continue to increase the percentage of loans retained by us, it could result in us no longer meeting the conditions outlined in the order. ~~If the exemptive order ceases to apply to our business,~~ we could be deemed an investment company and may be required to institute burdensome compliance requirements, restricting our activities in a way that could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, among other things, we could be subject to investment company governance requirements; restricted as to future borrowings and in our transactions with affiliates; and be more limited in available corporate financing alternatives and compensation arrangements. If we were ever deemed to be in non- compliance with the Investment Company Act, we could also be subject to various penalties, including administrative or judicial proceedings that might result in censure, fine, civil penalties, cease- and- desist orders or other adverse consequences, as well as private rights of action, any of which could materially adversely affect our business. If we are required to register under the Investment Advisers Act, our ability to conduct business could be materially adversely affected. The IAA contains substantive legal requirements that regulate the manner in which “ investment advisers ” are permitted to conduct their business activities. We do not believe that we or our affiliates are required to register as an investment adviser with either the SEC or any of the various states, because our business consists of providing a **platform- marketplace** for consumer lending and loan financing for which investment adviser registration and regulation does not apply under applicable federal or state law. However, one of our affiliates, Upstart Network, Inc., has notice filed as an exempt reporting adviser with the state of California based on its limited activities advising a fund. While we believe our current practices do not require us or any of our other affiliates or subsidiaries to register or notice file as an investment adviser, or require us to extend regulations related to Upstart Network, Inc.’ s status as an exempt reporting adviser to our other operations, if a regulator were to disagree with our analysis with respect to any portion of our business, we or a subsidiary may be required to register or notice file as an investment adviser and to comply with applicable law. Registering as an investment adviser could adversely affect our method of operation and revenues. For example, the IAA requires that an investment adviser act in a fiduciary capacity for its clients. Among other things, this fiduciary obligation requires that an investment adviser manage a client’ s portfolio in the best interests of the client, have a reasonable basis for its recommendations, fully disclose to its client any material conflicts of interest that may affect its conduct and seek best execution for transactions undertaken on behalf of its client. The IAA also limits the ways in which a company can market its services and offerings. It could be difficult for us to comply with these obligations without meaningful changes to our business operations, and there is no guarantee that we could do so successfully. If we were ever deemed to be in non- compliance with applicable investment adviser regulations, we could also be subject to various penalties, including administrative or judicial proceedings that might result in censure, fine, civil penalties, cease- and- desist orders or other adverse consequences, as well as private rights of action, any of which could materially adversely affect our business. If our transactions **with involving** institutional investors ~~in our who provide~~ loan funding programs **to our marketplace** are found to have been conducted in violation of the Securities Act or similar state law, or we have generally violated any applicable law, our ability to obtain financing for loans facilitated through our **platform- marketplace** could be materially adversely affected, and we could be subject to private or regulatory actions. Certain transactions **involving institutional investors** ~~in our loan funding programs~~ or related to acquisitions may rely or have relied on exemptions from the registration requirements of the Securities Act provided for in Regulation D or Section 4 (a) (2) of the Securities Act. If any of these transactions were found to not be in compliance with the requirements necessary to qualify for these exemptions from Securities Act registration, or otherwise found to be in violation of the federal or state securities laws, our business could be materially adversely affected. The SEC or state securities regulators could bring enforcement actions against us, or we could be subject to private litigation risks as a result of any violation of the federal or state securities laws, which could result in civil penalties, injunctions and cease and desist orders from further violations, as well as monetary penalties of disgorgement, pre- judgment interest, rescission of securities sales, or civil penalties, any of which could materially adversely affect our business. If we are found to be in violation of state or federal law generally, we also may be limited in our ability to conduct future transactions. For example, we could in the future become ineligible to sell securities under Regulation D if we become subject to “ bad actor ” disqualification pursuant to Rule 506 (d) of Regulation D. Under Rule 506 (d), issuers are ineligible “ bad actors ” if they or certain related persons, including directors and certain affiliates, are subject to disqualifying events, including certain cease- and- desist orders obtained by the SEC. If we were subject to this or other “ bad actor ” provisions of the securities laws, we may not be able to continue sales of whole loans, fractional interests in loans, or asset- backed securities, or we could be subject to significant additional expense associated with making our offerings, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. If we are required to register with the

SEC or under state securities laws as a broker- dealer, our ability to conduct business could be materially adversely affected. We are not currently registered with the SEC as a broker- dealer under the Exchange Act or any comparable state law. The SEC heavily regulates the manner in which broker- dealers are permitted to conduct their business activities. We believe we have conducted, and we intend to continue to conduct, our business in a manner that does not result in our being characterized as a broker- dealer, based on guidance published by the SEC and its staff. Among other reasons, this is because we do not believe we take any compensation that would be viewed as being based on any transactions in securities in any of our business lines. To the extent that the SEC or its staff publishes new or different guidance with respect to these matters, we may be required to adjust our business operations accordingly. Any additional guidance from the SEC staff could provide additional flexibility to us, or it could inhibit our ability to conduct our business operations. There can be no assurance that the laws and regulations governing our broker- dealer status or that SEC guidance will not change in a manner that adversely affects our operations. If we are deemed to be a broker- dealer, we may be required to institute burdensome compliance requirements and our activities may be restricted, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. We may also be subject to private litigation and potential rescission of certain investments investors in our loan financing products have made, which would harm our operations as well. Similarly, we do not believe that our sales of whole loans and asset- backed securities will subject us to broker- dealer registration in any state in which we operate, primarily because we do not accept compensation that we believe could be viewed as transaction- based. However, if we were deemed to be a broker- dealer under a state’ s securities laws, we could face civil penalties, or costly registration requirements, that could adversely affect our business. Anti- money laundering, anti- terrorism financing, anti- corruption and economic sanctions laws could have adverse consequences for us. We maintain a compliance program designed to enable us to comply with all applicable anti- money laundering and anti- terrorism financing laws and regulations, including the Bank Secrecy Act and the USA PATRIOT Act and U. S. economic sanctions laws administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control. This program includes policies, procedures, processes and other internal controls designed to identify, monitor, manage and mitigate the risk of money laundering and terrorist financing and engaging in transactions involving sanctioned countries, persons and entities. These controls include procedures and processes to detect and report suspicious transactions, perform borrower due diligence, respond to requests from law enforcement, and meet all recordkeeping and reporting requirements related to particular transactions involving currency or monetary instruments. During 2020, we failed to file timely reports of suspicious transactions as required with appropriate regulatory agencies. We remediated the failure to file and have added additional resources to support our compliance with these reporting requirements. We are also subject to anti- corruption and anti- bribery and similar laws, such as the U. S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, as amended, or the FCPA, the U. S. domestic bribery statute contained in 18 U. S. C. § 201, and the U. S. Travel Act, which prohibit companies and their employees and agents from promising, authorizing, making, or offering improper payments or other benefits to government officials and others in the private sector in order to influence official action, direct business to any person, gain any improper advantage, or obtain or retain business. We have implemented an anti- corruption policy to ensure compliance with these anti- corruption and anti- bribery laws. No assurance is given that our programs and controls will be effective to ensure compliance with all applicable anti- money laundering and anti- terrorism financing and anti- corruption laws and regulations, and our failure to comply with these laws and regulations could subject us to significant sanctions, fines, penalties, contractual liability to our lending partners or institutional investors, and reputational harm, all of which could harm our business. Our securitizations are subject to regulation under federal law, and failure to comply with those laws could adversely affect our business. Our loan securitizations and sales of asset- backed securities are subject to regulation under federal law, and banks and other regulated financial institutions acquiring and holding asset- based securities, including asset- backed securities sponsored by us, are subject to capital and leverage requirements. These requirements, which are costly to comply with, could decrease investor demand for securities issued through our securitization transactions. For example, the Credit Risk Retention rule, codified as Regulation RR under the Exchange Act, was jointly adopted by the SEC, the Department of the Treasury, the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Housing Finance Agency, and the Department of Housing and Urban Development in 2014. Regulation RR generally requires the sponsor of asset- backed securities to retain not less than five percent of the credit risk of the assets collateralizing the securities, and generally prohibits the sponsor or its affiliate from directly or indirectly hedging or otherwise selling or transferring the retained credit risk for a specified period of time, depending on the type of asset that is securitized. Some aspects of these risk retention rules have not been the subject of significant separate guidance. We believe, but cannot be certain, that we have conducted our business, and will continue to conduct our business, in such a way that we are compliant with these risk retention rules. However, if we have failed to comply, or should fall out of compliance with these rules, it could adversely affect our source of funding and our business. We may also face regulatory risks related to compliance with Section 13 of the Bank Holding Company Act, commonly known as the “ Volcker Rule, ” which prohibits banking entities from acquiring an ownership interest in entities that are investment companies for purposes of the Investment Company Act, or would be investment companies but for Sections 3 (c) (1) or 3 (c) (7) of the Investment Company Act, which are generally known as “ private funds. ” This means that in order for a banking entity regulated under the Volcker Rule to purchase certain asset- backed securities issued by our affiliates, such affiliates may need to rely on another exemption or exception from being deemed “ investment companies ” if they wish to continue selling to banking entities. Currently, those affiliates generally rely on Rule 3a- 7 under the Investment Company Act, which provides an exclusion to the definition of an investment company for issuers that pool income- producing assets and issue securities backed by those assets. However, if a regulator or other third party were to find or assert that our analysis under Rule 3a- 7 (or, where applicable, some other exemption or exemption) is incorrect, banks that have purchased asset- backed securities may be able to rescind those sales, which would adversely affect our business. We believe, but cannot guarantee, that we have conducted our business, and will continue to conduct our business, in such a way that enables our applicable banking entity investors to be compliant with the Volcker Rule. RISKS RELATED TO INDEBTEDNESS We rely on borrowings under our

warehouse credit facilities to fund certain aspects of our operations, and any inability to meet our obligations as they come due or to comply with various covenants or representations contained in our warehouse credit facilities could harm our business. We, through our warehouse trust special purpose entities, have entered into warehouse credit facilities to partially finance the purchase of loans from certain lending partners that originate loans through our **platform marketplace**, which credit facilities are secured by the purchased loans. ~~We generally hold these loans on our balance sheet until we can contribute them into term securitization transactions or otherwise liquidate them. Occasionally some of these loans may stay on our balance sheet indefinitely, including some loans that are the result of product development activities.~~ Under our warehouse credit facility for unsecured personal loans (the “ULT Warehouse Credit Facility”), we may borrow from an aggregate of \$ ~~175-250~~ .0 million financing capacity, ~~of which \$ 100-75.0 million~~ **of which is committed and \$ 75.0 million is uncommitted available to us at the discretion of the lender**, until the earlier of June ~~15, 2023~~ **2025** and an accelerated amortization event. Any outstanding principal, together with any accrued and unpaid interest, are due and payable by the warehouse trust special purpose entity in June ~~2024~~ **2026**. As of December 31, ~~2022~~ **2023**, the amount borrowed under the ULT Warehouse Credit Facility was \$ ~~163-247.8-9~~ million, and \$ ~~228-350.9-4~~ million of aggregated fair value of loans purchased were pledged as collateral. Under our warehouse facility for auto loans (the “UAWT Warehouse Credit Facility”), we may borrow up to \$ 200 -0 million until June 14, 2024, and any outstanding principal, together with any accrued and unpaid interest, are due and payable by the warehouse trust special purpose entity twelve months after the determined amortization date. As of December 31, ~~2022~~ **2023**, the amount borrowed under the UAWT Warehouse Credit Facility was \$ ~~172-139.7-5~~ million, and \$ ~~221-277.8-6~~ million of aggregated fair value of loans purchased were pledged as collateral. Our warehouse credit facilities impose operating and financial covenants on the applicable warehouse trust special purpose entity, and under certain events of default, the applicable lender could require that all or a portion of our outstanding borrowings become immediately due and payable or terminate their respective agreement with us. We have in the past, and may in the future, fail to comply with certain operating or financial covenants in our warehouse credit facilities, requiring a waiver from our lenders. If we are unable to repay our obligations at maturity or in the event of default, the applicable borrowing warehouse trust special purpose entity may have to liquidate the loans held as collateral at an inopportune time or price or, if the lender liquidated the loans, such warehouse trust would have to pay any amount by which the original purchase price exceeded their sale price. An event of default would negatively impact our ability to purchase loans from our marketplace and require us to rely on alternative funding sources, which might increase our costs or which might not be available when needed. If we were unable to arrange new or alternative methods of financing on favorable terms, we might have to **curtail limit** our loan funding programs, which could have an adverse effect on our lending partners’ ability or willingness to originate new loans **or our ability to use leverage for the loans we hold**, which in turn would have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Corporate and asset- backed debt ratings could adversely affect our ability to **support fund loans through our loan funding programs for our marketplace** at attractive rates, which could negatively affect our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. Our unsecured senior corporate debt currently has no rating. Asset- backed securities sponsored or co- sponsored by us are currently rated by a limited number of credit rating agencies. Structured finance ratings reflect these rating agencies’ opinions of our receivables credit performance and ability of the receivables cash flows to pay interest on a timely basis and repay the principal of such asset- backed securitizations, as well as our ability to service the receivables and comply with other obligations under such programs, such as the obligation to repurchase loans subject to breaches of loan- level representations and warranties. Such ratings also reflect the rating agencies’ opinions of other service providers in such transactions, such as trustees, back- up servicers, charged- off loan purchasers and others. ~~Any~~ **Our asset- backed securities have been subject to downgrades in the past, and any future downgrade or non- publication of ratings may increase the interest rates that are required to attract investment in such asset- backed securities, adversely impacting our ability to provide loan liquidity or financing** to our lending partners and **institutional investors whole loan purchasers**. ~~Our~~ **As a result, our lack of parent debt rating and any possible further** downgrades to the ratings of our asset- backed securities could negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. We may need to raise additional funds in the future, including through equity, debt or convertible debt financings, to support business growth and those funds may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. We may continue to make investments to support our business growth and may require additional funds to respond to business challenges, including the need to develop new loan products, enhance our AI models, **supplement loan funding**, improve our operating infrastructure, acquire complementary businesses and technologies, or make strategic investments. Accordingly, we may need to engage in equity, debt or convertible debt financings to secure additional funds. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities, our stockholders may experience dilution. For example, if we elect to deliver shares of our common stock to settle the conversion (other than paying cash in lieu of delivering any fractional share) of the Notes (as defined below), it may have a dilutive effect on our stockholders’ equity holdings. Further, debt financing, if available, may involve covenants restricting our operations or our ability to incur additional debt. Any debt or additional equity financing that we raise may contain terms that are not favorable to us or our stockholders. If we are unable to obtain adequate financing or on terms satisfactory to us when we require it, we may pursue alternate transactions or be unable to pursue certain business opportunities and our ability to continue to support our business growth and to respond to business challenges could be impaired and our business may be harmed. In addition, in August 2021, we issued \$ 661.3 million aggregate principal amount of 0.25 % convertible senior notes due 2026, or Notes (including the exercise in full of the initial purchasers’ option to purchase an additional \$ 86.3 million aggregate principal of additional Notes). Holders of the Notes may require us to purchase all or a portion of their Notes upon the occurrence of a fundamental change before the maturity date at a fundamental change repurchase price equal to 100 % of the principal amount of the Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. Additionally, upon conversion of the Notes, unless we elect to deliver solely shares of our common stock to settle such conversion (other than paying cash in lieu of delivering any fractional share), we will be required to make cash payments in

respect of the Notes being converted. Moreover, we will be required to pay the Notes in cash at their maturity unless earlier converted, redeemed or repurchased. However, we may not have enough available cash or be able to obtain financing at the time we are required to make repurchases of the Notes ~~surrendered therefor~~ or pay cash for Notes being converted or at their maturity. In addition, our ability to repurchase the Notes or to pay cash upon conversions of the Notes may be limited by law, by regulatory authority or by agreements governing our future indebtedness at the time. Our failure to repurchase Notes at a time when the repurchase is required by the indenture or to pay any cash payable on future conversions of the Notes as required by the indenture would constitute a default under the indenture. A default under the indenture or the fundamental change itself could also lead to a default under agreements governing our other existing or future indebtedness. If the repayment of the related indebtedness were to be accelerated after any applicable notice or grace periods, we may not have sufficient funds to repay the indebtedness and repurchase the Notes or pay cash with respect to Notes being converted or at maturity of the Notes. Provisions in the indenture for the Notes may deter or prevent a business combination that may be favorable to you. If a fundamental change occurs prior to the maturity date of the Notes, holders of the Notes will have the right, at their option, to require us to repurchase all or a portion of their Notes. In addition, if a make- whole fundamental change occurs prior to the maturity date of the Notes, we will in some cases be required to increase the conversion rate for a holder that elects to convert its Notes in connection with such make- whole fundamental change in the manner specified in the indenture. Furthermore, the indenture will prohibit us from engaging in certain mergers or acquisitions unless, among other things, the surviving entity assumes our obligations under the Notes. These and other provisions in the indenture could deter or prevent a third party from acquiring us even when the acquisition may be favorable to you.

RISKS RELATED TO TAXES Our ability to use our deferred tax assets to offset future taxable income may be subject to certain limitations, which may have a material impact on our result of operations. As of December 31, ~~2022~~ **2023**, a valuation allowance has been recorded to recognize only deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized in the United States federal ~~and~~ state ~~and local~~ tax jurisdictions. ~~Our net deferred tax assets are primarily related to net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs.~~ We assess the available positive and negative evidence to estimate if sufficient future taxable income will be generated to utilize the existing deferred tax assets. Certain of our deferred tax assets may expire unutilized or underutilized, which could prevent us from offsetting future taxable income. We may also be limited in the portion of NOLs that we can use in the future to offset taxable income for U. S. federal and state income tax purposes. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, or the Tax Act made broad and complex changes to U. S. tax law, including changes to the uses and limitations of NOLs. A lack of future taxable income would adversely affect our ability to utilize NOLs. In addition, under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, a corporation that undergoes an “ownership change” is subject to limitations on its ability to utilize its NOLs to offset future taxable income. Future changes in our stock ownership, including future offerings, as well as other changes that may be outside of our control, could result in additional ownership changes under Section 382 of the Code. Our NOLs may also be limited under similar provisions of state ~~and local~~ law. We continue to assess the realizability of our deferred tax assets in the future. Future adjustments in our valuation allowance may be required, which may have a material impact on our quarterly and annual operating results. Changes in tax laws could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We are subject to taxes in the United States under federal, state and local jurisdictions in which we operate. The governing tax laws and applicable tax rates vary by jurisdiction and are subject to interpretation and macroeconomic, political or other factors. For example, the results of U. S. Presidential and Congressional elections may lead to tax law changes. We may be subject to examination in the future by federal, state and local authorities on income, employment, sales and other tax matters. While we regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes from such examinations and the adequacy of our provision for taxes, there can be no assurance that such provision is sufficient and that a determination by a tax authority would not have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Various tax authorities may disagree with tax positions we take and if any such tax authorities were to successfully challenge one or more of our tax positions, the results could adversely affect our financial condition. Further, the ultimate amount of tax payable in a given financial statement period may be impacted by sudden or unforeseen changes in tax laws, changes in the mix and level of earnings by taxing jurisdictions, or changes to existing accounting rules or regulations. For example, the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022, enacted on August 16, 2022, imposes a one-percent non- deductible excise tax on repurchases of stock that are made by U. S. publicly traded corporations on or after January 1, 2023, which may affect our share repurchase program. In addition, effective as of January 1, 2022, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act requires research and experimental expenditures attributable to research conducted within the United States to be capitalized and amortized ratably over a five- year period. Any such expenditures attributable to research conducted outside the United States must be capitalized and amortized over a 15- year period. Accordingly, the determination of our overall provision for income and other taxes is inherently uncertain as it requires significant judgment around complex transactions and calculations. As a result, fluctuations in our ultimate tax obligations may differ materially from amounts recorded in our financial statements and could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations in the periods for which such determination is made. Taxing authorities may successfully assert that we should have collected or in the future should collect sales and use, gross receipts, value added or similar taxes and may successfully impose additional obligations on us, and any such assessments or obligations could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. The application of indirect taxes, such as sales and use tax, value- added tax, digital services tax, digital advertising tax, business tax, gross receipts tax, and other similar tax to platform and financial technology businesses is a complex and evolving issue. Many of the fundamental statutes and regulations that impose these taxes were established before the adoption and growth of the Internet and e- commerce. Significant judgment is required on an ongoing basis to evaluate applicable tax obligations and as a result amounts recorded are estimates and are subject to adjustments. In many cases, the ultimate tax determination is uncertain because it is not clear how new and existing statutes might apply to our business. In addition, proposed or newly enacted laws regarding indirect tax could increase our compliance obligation. Any failure by us to prepare for and to comply with the

reporting and record-keeping obligations could result in penalties and other sanctions, and could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. We have faced, and may face in the future, various indirect tax audits in various U. S. jurisdictions. Tax authorities may raise questions about or challenge or disagree with our calculation, reporting or collection of taxes and may require us to collect taxes in jurisdictions in which we do not currently do so or to remit additional taxes and interest, and could impose associated penalties and fees. Although we have reserved for potential payments of past tax liabilities on our financial statements, a successful assertion by one or more tax authorities could result in substantial tax liabilities in excess of such reserves as well as penalties and interest, and could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. As a result of these and other factors, the ultimate amount of tax obligations owed may differ from the amounts recorded in our financial statements and any such difference may adversely impact our results of operations in future periods **years** in which we change our estimates of our tax obligations or in which the ultimate tax outcome is determined.

RISKS RELATED TO OWNERSHIP OF OUR COMMON STOCK The trading price of our common stock may be volatile, and you could lose all or part of your investment. The trading price of our common stock may be volatile and could be subject to fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. These fluctuations could cause you to lose all or part of your investment in our common stock. Factors that could cause fluctuations in the trading price of our common stock include:

- price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market from time to time;
- volatility in the trading prices and trading volumes of financial technology stocks;
- **general economic conditions, including economic slowdowns, recessions, rising interest and inflation rates, tightening of credit markets and disruptions in the banking sector;**
- **a reduction in the availability of loan funding and liquidity from lending partners and institutional investors;**
- quarterly fluctuations in demand for the loans we facilitate through our **platform marketplace**;
- changes in operating performance and stock market valuations of other financial technology companies and technology companies that offer services to financial institutions;
- sales of shares of our common stock by us or our stockholders, **including sales to cover tax withholding obligations upon vesting of RSUs issued to our employees**;
- issuance of shares of our common stock, whether in connection with an acquisition or upon conversion of some or all of the outstanding Notes;
- failure of securities analysts to maintain coverage of us, changes in financial estimates or other statements made by securities analysts or others, or our failure to meet these estimates or the expectations of investors;
- the financial projections we may provide to the public, any changes in those projections, or our failure to meet those projections;
- announcements by us or our competitors of new products, features, or services;
- the public's reaction to our press releases, other public announcements, and filings with the SEC;
- rumors and market speculation involving us or other companies in our industry;
- actual or anticipated changes in our results of operations or fluctuations in our results of operations;
- ~~changes in prevailing interest rates;~~
- fluctuations in the trading volume of our shares or the size of our public float;
- actual or anticipated developments in our business, our competitors' businesses or the competitive landscape generally;
- litigation involving us, our industry, or both, or investigations by regulators into our operations or those of our competitors;
- compliance with government policies or regulations;
- the issuance of any cease- and- desist orders from regulatory agencies that we are subject to;
- developments or disputes concerning our intellectual property or other proprietary rights;
- market perception of the accuracy of our AI models;
- actual or perceived data security breaches or other data security incidents;
- announced or completed acquisitions of businesses, products, services, or technologies by us or our competitors;
- new laws or regulations or new interpretations of existing laws or regulations applicable to our business;
- changes in accounting standards, policies, guidelines, interpretations, or principles;
- recruitment or departure of key personnel;
- ~~development relating to our reductions in workforce announced in November 2022 and January 2023;~~
- other events or factors, including those resulting from war, incidents of terrorism, political unrest, natural disasters, pandemics or responses to these events; ~~and~~ ~~general economic conditions, including economic slowdowns, recessions, rising interest and inflation rates, and tightening of credit markets~~.

The stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of listed companies. Broad market and industry factors may seriously affect the market price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the overall market and the market prices of particular companies' securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against these companies. For example, in May 2022, June 2022 and July 2022, we and certain of our officers were sued in purported class action lawsuits alleging violations of the federal securities laws for allegedly making materially false and misleading statements about our business, operations, and prospects. This litigation could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources, which could harm our business. We may be the target of additional litigation of this type in the future as well. We cannot guarantee that our share repurchase program will be fully consummated or that it will enhance long- term shareholder value. Share repurchases could also affect the trading price of our stock, increase volatility of our stock and diminish our cash reserves. Although our Board of Directors has authorized a share repurchase program that does not have an expiration date, the program does not obligate us to repurchase any specific dollar amount or to acquire any specific number of shares of our common stock. We cannot guarantee that the program will be fully consummated or that it will enhance long- term stockholder value. The timing and number of shares repurchased under the program will depend on a variety of factors, including stock price, trading volume, and general business and market conditions. The program could affect the trading price of our stock, increase volatility and diminish our cash reserves. Our Board of Directors will review the program periodically and may authorize adjustments of its terms if appropriate. Any announcement of a suspension or termination of this program may result in a decrease in the trading price of our stock. The capped call transactions may affect the price of our common stock. In connection with the issuance of the Notes, we entered into privately negotiated capped call transactions with certain financial institutions as counterparties. The capped call transactions initially cover, subject to customary adjustments, the number of shares of our common stock initially underlying the Notes. The capped call transactions are intended to offset the potential dilution and / or offset any cash payments we make in excess of the aggregate principal amount of converted Notes, as the case may be, as a result of conversion of the Notes. From time to time, the counterparties or

their respective affiliates may modify their hedge positions by entering into or unwinding various derivatives with respect to our common stock and / or purchasing or selling our common stock or other securities of ours in secondary market transactions prior to the maturity of the Notes (and are likely to do so during any observation period related to a conversion of the Notes or following any repurchase of the Notes). This activity could also cause or prevent an increase or a decrease in the market price of our common stock. Certain insiders have significant voting power, which could limit your ability to influence the outcome of key transactions, including a change of control. Our directors, officers, and each of our stockholders who own greater than 5 % of our outstanding capital stock and their affiliates, in the aggregate, beneficially own a significant portion of the outstanding shares of our capital stock. As a result, these stockholders, if acting together, are able to influence matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and the approval of mergers, acquisitions, or other extraordinary transactions. They may also have interests that differ from yours and may vote in a way with which you disagree and which may be adverse to your interests. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying, preventing or deterring a change of control, could deprive our stockholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common stock as part of a sale, and might ultimately affect the trading price of our common stock. The large number of shares of our capital stock eligible for public sale or subject to rights requiring us to register them for public sale could depress the market price of our common stock. The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of sales of a large number of shares of our common stock in the market, and the perception that these sales could occur may also depress the market price of our common stock. Certain stockholders are entitled, under our investors' rights agreement, to require us to register shares owned by them for public sale in the United States. In addition, we may file a registration statement to register shares reserved for future issuance under our equity compensation plans. As a result, subject to the satisfaction of applicable exercise periods, the shares issued upon exercise of outstanding stock options will be available for immediate resale in the United States in the open market. Sales of our shares may make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities in the future at a time and at a price that we deem appropriate. These sales also could cause the trading price of our common stock to fall and make it more difficult for you to sell shares of our common stock. Our common stock does not provide any rights directly related to the loans we hold. Investors in our common stock own a form of equity that may provide returns based on either an increase in the value of the stock or any distributions made to common stockholders. Investors will not, however, receive any interest in or fees based on the loans or other assets we hold on our balance sheet. In particular, investors in our common stock will not receive any distributions directly based on principal or interest payments made by borrowers on the loans we hold. Those loans are not directly related in any way to the common stock investors' purchase. You may be diluted by the future issuance of additional common stock in connection with our equity incentive plans, acquisitions or otherwise. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation authorizes us to issue ~~618-613~~, ~~740-669~~, ~~324-697~~ shares of authorized but unissued common stock and rights relating to common stock for the consideration and on the terms and conditions established by our Board of Directors in its sole discretion, whether in connection with acquisitions or otherwise. We have reserved ~~5-6~~, ~~842-420~~, ~~057-703~~ shares for issuance under our 2020 Equity Incentive Plan subject to adjustment in certain events. Any common stock that we issue, including under our 2020 Equity Incentive Plan or other equity incentive plans that we may adopt in the future, could dilute the percentage ownership held by the investors in our common stock. **To the extent a large number of** ~~We have implemented "sell-to-cover" in which~~ shares of our common stock are sold ~~into the market on behalf of RSU holders in connection with any "sell to cover" transactions~~ upon vesting of **restricted stock units (RSUs) issued** ~~to cover tax withholding liabilities and such sales will result in dilution to our~~ **employees, our stock price may fluctuate.** Under U. S. tax laws, employment tax withholding and remittance obligations for ~~restricted stock units, or RSUs,~~ arise in connection with their vesting. To fund the tax withholding and remittance obligations arising in connection with the vesting of RSUs, we use the "sell- to- cover" method, under which shares with a market value equivalent to the tax withholding obligation are sold by a broker on behalf of the holder of the RSUs upon vesting to cover the tax withholding liability and the cash proceeds from such sales ~~will be~~ **are subsequently** remitted by us to the taxing authorities. The tax withholding due in connection with such RSU vesting is based on the then- current value of the underlying shares of our common stock. Such sales do not result in the expenditure of additional cash by us to satisfy the tax withholding obligations for RSUs. **To** ~~but do cause dilution to our stockholders and, to~~ the extent a large number of shares are sold in connection with any vesting event, such sales volume may cause our **stock** price to fluctuate. Delaware law and provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws could make a merger, tender offer, or proxy contest difficult, thereby depressing the market price of our common stock. Our status as a Delaware corporation and the anti- takeover provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may discourage, delay, or prevent a change in control by prohibiting us from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of three years after the person becomes an interested stockholder unless certain conditions are met, even if a change of control would be beneficial to our existing stockholders. In addition, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws contain provisions that may make the acquisition of our company more difficult, including the following: • our Board of Directors is classified into three classes of directors with staggered three- year terms and directors are only able to be removed from office for cause; • vacancies and newly- created seats on our Board of Directors will be able to be filled only by our Board of Directors and not by stockholders; • only the Chair of our Board of Directors, our Chief Executive Officer, our president, or a majority of our entire Board of Directors are authorized to call a special meeting of stockholders; • certain litigation against us or our directors, stockholders, officers or employees can only be brought in Delaware; • advance notice procedures apply for stockholders to nominate candidates for election as directors or to bring matters before an annual meeting of stockholders; and • any amendment of the above anti- takeover provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or amended and restated bylaws will require the approval of at least 66 2 / 3 % of the combined voting power of our then- outstanding shares of our capital stock. These anti- takeover defenses could discourage, delay, or prevent a transaction involving a change in control of our company. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult

for stockholders to elect directors of their choosing and to cause us to take other corporate actions they desire, any of which, under certain circumstances, could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of our capital stock, and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our common stock. Our amended and restated bylaws designate a state or federal court located within the State of Delaware (or any federal district court, for Securities Act claims) as the exclusive forum for substantially all disputes between us and our stockholders, which could limit our stockholders' ability to choose the judicial forum for disputes with us or our directors, officers or employees. Our amended and restated bylaws provide that, unless we consent in writing to the selection of an alternative forum, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the sole and exclusive forum for (i) any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf, (ii) any action asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any of our directors, stockholders, officers, or other employees to us or our stockholders, (iii) any action arising pursuant to any provision of the Delaware General Corporation Law, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, or our amended and restated bylaws, or (iv) any other action asserting a claim that is governed by the internal affairs doctrine shall be the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (or, if the Court of Chancery does not have jurisdiction, another state court in Delaware or the federal district court for the District of Delaware), in all cases subject to the court having jurisdiction over the claims at issue and the indispensable parties; provided that the exclusive forum provision will not apply to suits brought to enforce any liability or duty created by the Exchange Act. Section 22 of the Securities Act creates concurrent jurisdiction for federal and state courts over all such Securities Act actions. Accordingly, both state and federal courts have jurisdiction to entertain such claims. To prevent having to litigate claims in multiple jurisdictions and the threat of inconsistent or contrary rulings by different courts, among other considerations, our amended and restated bylaws also provide that, unless we consent in writing to the selection of an alternative forum, the federal district courts of the United States of America are the sole and exclusive forum for resolving any complaint asserting a cause of action arising under the Securities Act. We note, however, that investors cannot waive compliance with the federal securities laws and the rules and regulations thereunder, and that there is uncertainty as to whether a court would enforce this exclusive forum provision. Further, the enforceability of similar choice of forum provisions in other companies' governing documents has been challenged in legal proceedings, and it is possible that a court could find these types of provisions to be inapplicable or unenforceable. For example, in December 2018, the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware determined that a provision stating that U. S. federal district courts are the exclusive forum for resolving any complaint asserting a cause of action arising under the Securities Act is not enforceable. Although this decision was reversed by the Delaware Supreme Court in March 2020, other courts may still find these provisions to be inapplicable or unenforceable. Any person or entity purchasing, holding or otherwise acquiring any interest in any of our securities shall be deemed to have notice of and consented to this provision. This exclusive- forum provision may limit a stockholder' s ability to bring a claim in a judicial forum of its choosing for disputes with us or our directors, officers, or other employees, which may discourage lawsuits against us and our directors, officers, and other employees. This exclusive forum provision does not apply to any causes of action arising under the Exchange Act or any other claim for which the federal or other courts have exclusive jurisdiction. If a court were to find either of the exclusive- forum provisions in our amended and restated bylaws to be inapplicable or unenforceable in an action, we may incur additional costs associated with resolving the dispute in other jurisdictions, which could harm our results of operations. Our common stock market price and trading volume could decline if equity or industry analysts do not publish research or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business. The trading market for our common stock will depend in part on the research and reports that equity or industry analysts publish about us or our business. The analysts' estimates are based upon their own opinions and are often different from our estimates or expectations. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our common stock or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, the price of our securities would likely decline. If few securities analysts commence coverage of us, or if one or more of these analysts cease coverage of us or fail to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our securities could decrease, which might cause the price and trading volume of our common stock to decline. The requirements of being a public company may strain our resources, divert management' s attention and affect our ability to attract and retain qualified board members. As a public company, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, the Sarbanes- Oxley Act, the Dodd- Frank Act, the listing requirements of the Nasdaq Global Select Market and other applicable securities rules and regulations. Compliance with these rules and regulations will increase our legal and financial compliance costs, make some activities more difficult, time- consuming or costly and increase demand on our systems and resources, especially once we are no longer an " emerging growth company. " The Exchange Act requires, among other things, that we file annual, quarterly and current reports with respect to our business and results of operations. In addition, we expect that our management and other personnel will need to divert attention from operational and other business matters to devote substantial time to these public company requirements. We cannot predict or estimate the amount of additional costs we may incur as a result of becoming a public company or the timing of such costs. Being a public company also makes it more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced coverage, incur substantially higher costs to obtain coverage or only obtain coverage with a significant deductible. These factors could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified executive officers and qualified members of our Board of Directors, particularly to serve on our audit committee and compensation committee. In addition, changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure are creating uncertainty for public companies, increasing legal and financial compliance costs and making some activities more time- consuming. These laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices. We invest resources to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management' s time and attention from revenue- generating activities to

compliance activities. If, notwithstanding our efforts, we fail to comply with new laws, regulations and standards or our efforts differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to their application and practice, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us, and our business may be adversely affected. ~~Our management team has limited experience managing a public company. Our management team has limited experience managing a publicly traded company, interacting with public company investors, and complying with the increasingly complex laws pertaining to public companies. These new obligations and constituents require significant attention from our management team and may divert their attention away from the day-to-day management of our business, which could harm our business, results of operations, and financial condition.~~ We do not intend to pay dividends for the foreseeable future. We have never declared nor paid cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to finance the operation and expansion of our business, as well as to fund our share repurchase program, and we do not expect to declare or pay any dividends in the foreseeable future. In addition, the terms of our existing corporate debt agreements do, and any future debt agreements may, preclude us from paying dividends. As a result, capital appreciation of our common stock, if any, will be the only way for stockholders to realize any future gains on their investment for the foreseeable future. **84**