

Risk Factors Comparison 2025-02-26 to 2024-02-28 Form: 10-K

Legend: **New Text** ~~Removed Text~~ ~~Unchanged Text~~ **Moved Text Section**

You should carefully review and consider the following risk factors and the other information contained in this Annual Report, including the financial statements and notes to the financial statements included herein. The following risk factors apply to our business and operations. The occurrence of one or more of the events or circumstances described in these risk factors, alone or in combination with other events or circumstances, may have an adverse effect on our business, cash flows, financial condition and results of operations. You should also carefully consider the following risk factors in addition to the other information included in this Annual Report, including matters addressed in the section entitled “ Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements; Risk Factor Summary. ” We may face additional risks and uncertainties that are not presently known to us, or that we currently deem immaterial, which may also impair our business or financial condition.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our loan origination ~~volume is~~ **and servicing revenues are** highly dependent on macroeconomic and U. S. residential real estate market conditions **which is outside of our control**. Our ~~success~~ **financial results are highly dependent on the size of the overall loan origination market, which depends largely on macroeconomic conditions outside of our control. Our purchase volume is driven by consumers ability and willingness to purchase a new home, whether for the first time or as a move from their current home, while our refinance volume is driven by consumers being able and willing to refinance their home into a new, typically lower interest rate, mortgage. Purchase volume is highly dependent** on the health of the U. S. residential real estate industry, which is seasonal, cyclical, and affected by changes in general economic conditions ~~beyond our control~~. **Specifically, higher mortgage** ~~Economic factors such as increased interest rates , slow economic growth or inflationary conditions, the pace of home price appreciation or the lack of it, changes in household debt levels, and increased unemployment, stagnant or declining wages or decreased purchasing power due to inflation affect our borrowers’ income and thus their ability and willingness to make loan payments. National or global events affect all such macroeconomic conditions. Weak or a significant deterioration in economic conditions reduce the amount of disposable income consumers have , which in turn reduces consumer spending and the willingness of qualified potential borrowers to take out loans. Furthermore, several state and local governments in the U. S. are experiencing , and may continue to experience, budgetary strain. One or more states or significant local governments could default on their debt or seek relief from their debt under the U. S. bankruptcy code or by agreement with their creditors. Any or all of the circumstances described above may lead to further volatility in or disruption of the credit markets at any time and could adversely affect our financial condition. Such economic factors typically affect buyers’ demand for new homes or their willingness or ability to refinance their current mortgages which could adversely affect the wholesale loan origination market and our financial condition or results of operations. Any uncertainty or deterioration in market conditions that leads to a decrease in loan originations will likely result in lower revenue on loans sold into the secondary market. Lower loan origination volumes generally place downward pressure on margins, thus compounding the effect of the deteriorating market conditions. Moreover, any deterioration in market conditions that leads to an increase in loan delinquencies will result in higher expenses for loans we service. The increased cost to service loans could decrease the estimated value of our MSR. In addition, an increase in delinquencies lowers the interest income we receive on cash held in collection and other accounts and may increase our obligation to advance certain principal, interest, tax and insurance obligations owed by the delinquent mortgage loan borrower. While increased delinquencies generate higher ancillary revenues, including late fees, these fees are likely not sufficient to offset the increased cost of servicing the loans. An increase in delinquencies could therefore be detrimental to our business. Recently, financial markets have experienced significant volatility. There may be a significant increase in the rate and number of mortgage payment delinquencies, and house sales, home prices and multifamily fundamentals may be adversely affected, which could lead to a material adverse decrease of our mortgage origination activities. Any of the circumstances described above, alone or in combination, could lead to volatility in or disruption of the credit markets at any time and have a detrimental effect on our business. Our financial performance is directly affected by, and subject to substantial volatility from changes in prevailing interest rates. Our financial performance is directly affected by, and subject to substantial volatility from changes in prevailing interest rates. During 2023, in order to address historically high inflation, the Federal Reserve continued to raise the Federal Funds rate. Mortgage interest rates have significantly increased which has significantly adversely affected the volume of refinancings and new mortgage originations and increased competition for borrowers. Generally, the refinance market experiences more significant fluctuations than the purchase market as a result of interest rate changes. With higher interest rates, refinancing activity declines as fewer consumers are interested in refinancing their mortgages. Rising interest rates have also decreased demand for new mortgage originations because existing homebuyers are hesitant to move and give up their current low interest rate loan and while the higher cost of home ownership adversely impacts move- up or new homebuyers. This **In addition, other economic factors, such as slow economic growth or inflationary conditions, the pace of home price appreciation or the lack thereof, changes in household debt levels, and increased unemployment, stagnant or declining wages or decreased purchasing power due to inflation, consumer debt levels, and consumer confidence, affect borrowers’ ability and willingness to purchase new homes and therefore reduce demand for purchase mortgages. Similarly, refinance mortgage volume is principally driven by mortgage rates, which in turn are influenced by interest rates, and the ability and willingness of homeowners to refinance their current mortgages. Generally, the refinance market experiences more significant fluctuations than the purchase market** ~~has~~ **as a result of interest rate changes. With higher interest rates, refinancing activity declines as fewer consumers are interested in refinancing their mortgages. Although the Federal Reserve began reducing overnight interest rates during 2024, these**~~

actions have not yet had a material impact on reducing mortgage rates. In addition, it is unclear how recent governmental actions or the threats of certain actions, such as tariffs, reductions of governmental employees and governmental spending, tax reform, and actions taken to address the debt ceiling, will impact the U. S. economy and the residential real estate market. Any uncertainty or deterioration in market conditions that leads to a decrease in loan originations would likely have and an may continue to adverse effect on our revenue and profitability. Lower loan origination volumes in the industry also generally place downward pressure on margins, thus compounding the effect of the deteriorating market conditions. Volatility from changes in prevailing interest rates can adversely affect the value of our MSR portfolio and servicing revenues- revenue and margins, and require us to increase marketing expenditures in an attempt to increase or maintain our volume of originations. Changes changes in the value, or inaccuracies in the estimates of their value, could adversely affect our financial condition and liquidity. Fluctuations in interest rates are also a key driver of the performance of our servicing portfolio, particularly because our portfolio includes MSRs, the values of which are highly sensitive to changes in interest rates. The value of our MSRs is based on the cash flows projected to result from the right to service of the related mortgage loans and continually fluctuates due to a number of factors, such as prepayment speeds, costs to service the loan and other market conditions. The primary factor driving the value of MSRs is interest rates, which impact the likelihood of loan prepayments through refinancing and estimated float earnings on custodial deposits. Historically, the value of MSRs has increased when interest rates rise, as higher interest rates lead to decreased prepayment rates and higher float earnings, and the value of MSRs has decreased when interest rates decline as lower interest rates lead to increased prepayment rates and lower float earnings. In addition, increased prepayment rates may lead to increased asset decay and a decrease in servicing fees-revenue. Available borrowings, as well as mandatory curtailments, under our MSR financing facilities are based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. Accordingly, decreases in MSR values could decrease the available borrowing capacity under these facilities, or require mandatory repayments of outstanding borrowings on these facilities, which could adversely affect our financial condition and liquidity. We face intense competition that could adversely affect our business. Competition in the mortgage lending space is intense and could become even more competitive as a result of economic, political, legislative, regulatory, and technological changes. As we depend solely on third parties to deliver us mortgage loans, we may, in certain market conditions, be at a competitive disadvantage to financial institutions or direct- to- consumer mortgage lenders that market to, and have a direct relationship with, the borrower. In addition, some of our competitors may have greater financial and other resources than we have (including access to capital) and may have locked in low-lower borrowing costs- cost of funds which will can provide a competitive advantage in a rising interest rate environment. Our other competitors, such as financial institutions who originate mortgage loans using their own funds, may have more flexibility in holding loans. Additionally, we arguably operate at a competitive disadvantage to U.S. federal banks and thrifts and their subsidiaries because they enjoy federal preemption and, as a result, decreases-conduct their business under relatively uniform U. S. federal rules and standards and are generally not subject to the laws of the states in which they do business (including state " predatory lending " laws). Unlike our federally chartered competitors, we are generally subject to all state and local laws applicable to lenders in each jurisdiction in which we originate and service loans. To compete effectively, we must have a very high level of operational, technological and managerial expertise, as well as access to capital at a competitive cost. Competition in our industry can take many forms, including the variety of loan programs being made available, interest rates could have a detrimental effect on our business and results of operations due to decreases-fees charged for a loan, convenience in MSR values. Borrowings under some of our finance-obtaining a loan, client service levels, the amount and warehouse facilities are at variable rates of interest based on short-term rate indexes-of a loan, whereas our as well as access to marketing and distribution channels, including Independent Mortgage Brokers that generate mortgage loans- loan applications. Claims of collusion and other anti that serve as collateral for such facilities are generally based on long- competitive conduct term interest rates, which also exposes us to interest rate risk. If short term interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on certain of our variable- rate indebtedness will increase and if long- term rates do not increase in kind, our net income and cash flows, including cash available for servicing our indebtedness, could correspondingly decrease. We have also become more common issued \$2.0 billion in principal amount of senior unsecured notes which mature in 2025-, 2027-, and 2029. Currently, many financial institutions and lenders have been the subject coupon-on-each-of legal claims by regulatory agencies and consumers. As the largest residential mortgage lender in the country, we have been, and may in the future be accused of anti-competitive actions. Such litigation, even if it is without merit, would cause us to incur costs, fines and legal expenses in connection with these matters senior unsecured notes is lower than prevailing market interest rates. When each note matures, regardless of any eventual ruling in there is a risk that the notes will need to be refinanced at higher interest rates, or our that we will have to use-favor, and could also harm other-- the sources-reputation of our brand liquidity to repay these notes, either-any of which could have an-a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Our business is highly dependent on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and certain U. S. government agencies, and any changes in these entities or their current roles could be detrimental to our business. We primarily originate loans eligible for sale to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and government insured or guaranteed loans, such as the FHA, the U. S. Department of Veteran Affairs (" VA ") and the U. S. Department of Agriculture (" USDA ") loans, which are eligible for Ginnie Mae securities issuance. If we lose approvals with these agencies or our relationships with these agencies is otherwise adversely affected, we would need to seek alternative secondary market participants to acquire our mortgage loans at a volume sufficient to sustain our business. In addition, we also derive other material financial benefits from these relationships, including the assumption of credit risk on securitized loans in exchange for the payment of guarantee fees and the ability to avoid certain loan inventory finance costs through streamlined loan funding and sale procedures. If such participants are not available or not available on reasonably comparable economic terms, the above changes could have a material effect on

our ability to profitably sell loans we originate that are securitized through Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. If the role of the GSEs and governmental agencies in the mortgage industry is materially changed or curtailed, it could adversely affect our future operations. In 2008, the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA") placed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac into conservatorship and these two GSEs are currently controlled by the FHFA. These two GSEs create financial products that support the mortgage market, reduce risks for investors and may influence mortgage rates in the U. S. In connection with the recent change in the federal government administration, there has been discussion regarding the privatization of these GSEs and it is unclear the impact, if any, that such privatization would have on mortgage rates and the mortgage market in general. The new Presidential Administration is also seeking to materially reduce governmental spending and the role of governmental agencies and it is unclear if any of the proposed regulatory reform or funding changes would affect the other governmental agencies that support the mortgage industry, such as FHA their conservator, HUD controls and directs their operations. There is significant uncertainty regarding the future of the GSEs, including with respect to how long VA or USDA, and, if so, if such regulatory reforms, funding limitations or program discontinuations would affect them— the availability of these programs will continue to be in existence, the cost and availability of mortgage loans, the MBS market or the housing market in general. As the extent of their roles in the market and what forms they will have, and whether they will be government agencies, government-sponsored agencies or private for-profit entities. Since they have been placed into conservatorship, many legislative and administrative plans for GSE reform have been put forth, but all have been met with resistance from various constituencies. The extent and timing of any proposed regulatory or funding reform regarding the GSEs and the U. S. housing finance market are uncertain, as well as we cannot anticipate the impact, if any effect they may, that it would have on our business operations and financial results, are uncertain. It is not yet possible to determine whether such proposals will be enacted and, if so, when they will be enacted, what form any final legislation or policies might take or how proposals, legislation or policies may impact the MBS market and our business. Our inability to make the necessary adjustments to respond to these changing market conditions or loss of our approved seller / servicer status with the GSEs could have a material adverse effect on our mortgage origination operations and our mortgage servicing operations. If those agencies cease to exist, wind down, or otherwise significantly change their business operations or if we lose approvals with those agencies or our relationships with those agencies is otherwise adversely affected, we would need to seek alternative secondary market participants to acquire our mortgage loans at a volume sufficient to sustain our business. If such participants are not available or not available on reasonably comparable economic terms, the above changes could have a material effect on our ability to profitably sell loans we originate that are securitized through Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. Changes in the GSEs, FHA, VA, and USDA guidelines or GSE and Ginnie Mae guarantees could adversely affect our business. We are required to follow specific guidelines and eligibility standards that impact the way we originate and service and originate GSE and U. S. government agency loans, including guidelines and standards with respect to: • credit standards for mortgage loans; • our staffing levels and other servicing practices; • the servicing and ancillary fees that we may charge; • our modification standards and procedures; • the amount of reimbursable and non-reimbursable advances that we may make; and • the types of loan products that are eligible for sale or securitization. These guidelines provide the GSEs and other government agencies with the ability to provide monetary incentives for loan servicers that perform well and to assess penalties for those that do not. At the direction of the FHFA, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have aligned their guidelines for servicing delinquent mortgages, which could result in monetary incentives for servicers that perform well, and to which may also result in assess assessing compensatory penalties against servicers in connection with the failure to meet specified timelines relating to delinquent loans and foreclosure proceedings, and other breaches of servicing obligations. We generally cannot negotiate these terms with the agencies and they are subject to change at any time without our specific consent. A significant change in these guidelines, that decreases the fees we charge or requires us to expend additional resources to provide mortgage services, could decrease our revenues or increase our costs. In addition, changes in the nature or extent of the guarantees provided by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae, the USDA or the VA, or the insurance provided by the FHA, or coverage provided by private mortgage insurers, could also have broad adverse market implications. Any future increases in guarantee fees or changes to their structure or increases in the premiums borrowers are required to pay to the FHA or private mortgage insurers for insurance or to the VA or the USDA for guarantees could increase mortgage origination costs. These industry changes could negatively affect demand for our mortgage services and consequently our origination volume, which could be detrimental to our business. To If the extent that mortgage loans originated and sold by us do not comply with the GSE, FHA or VA guidelines established by the GSEs, GNMA or private investors to whom they are sold, we are required to repurchase or substitute mortgage these loans or indemnify for related losses related to our mortgage loans. Substantially all A significant majority of our mortgage loans are conforming loans sold to GSEs, such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac or insured by FHA or VA and sold into GNMA securities or sold to private investors. In connection with such sales and insuring, we make representations and warranties to the GSE, FHA or VA or the private investor that the mortgage loans conform to their respective standards. These standards include, among other items, compliance with adherence to origination guidelines and compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations, underwriting in conformity with the applicable agency, FHA or VA guidelines, and conformity with guidelines relating to, appraisals, insurance and legal documents generally. In addition, we are contractually obligated, in certain circumstances, to refund to the purchasers certain premiums paid to us on the sale if the mortgagor prepays the loan within a specified period of time. If a mortgage loan does not comply with the representations and warranties that we made with respect to it at the time of our sale or insuring, we are required to repurchase the loan, replace it with a substitute loan and / or indemnify the applicable agency for losses. In the case of repurchases, we typically repurchase such loan and resell it into a non-conforming market at a discount to from the repurchase price. As of December 31, 2023-2024, we had accrued a \$ 62-87, 9-6 million reserve for repurchase and indemnification obligations. Actual repurchase and indemnification obligations could materially exceed the reserves recorded in our

consolidated financial statements. Any significant repurchases, substitutions, indemnifications or premium recapture could be detrimental to our business and financial condition. Our business is dependent on our ability to maintain and expand our relationships with our clients, the Independent Mortgage Brokers. Our clients are the Independent Mortgage Brokers who refer us mortgage loans to originate. Consequently, our results of operations are dependent, in large part, on our ability to maintain and expand our relationships with Independent Mortgage Brokers. If we are unable to attract Independent Mortgage Brokers to join our network and to provide a level of service such that our clients remain with the network or refer a greater number of their mortgage loans to us, our ability to originate loans will be significantly impaired. The willingness of Independent Mortgage Brokers to originate mortgage loans with us is dependent on (i) the rates that we are able to offer our clients' borrowers for mortgage loans, (ii) our customer service, and (iii) compensation. In determining with whom to partner, Independent Mortgage Brokers are also focused on the technological services and platforms we can provide so that the Independent Mortgage Brokers can best attract and serve consumers. ~~In early 2021, we adopted our "All-In" policy of requiring that Independent Mortgage Brokers that generate mortgage loans with us not generate business with certain other market participants. To the extent that a material number of our Independent Mortgage Brokers are unwilling to commit to such requirement, it could reduce the volume of mortgage loans that we are able to originate which could adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, the policy, which has generated significant publicity and legal proceedings, could adversely affect our reputation or affect our ability to attract new Independent Mortgage Brokers.~~ If our clients are dissatisfied with our services or platform or technological capabilities, or they cannot offer prospective borrowers competitive rates, we could lose a number of clients which would have a negative impact on our business, operating results and financial condition. All of our mortgage loans are initiated by third parties, which exposes us to business, competitive and underwriting risks. As a Wholesale Mortgage Lender, we market and originate mortgage loans exclusively through independent third- parties, comprised of Independent Mortgage Brokers. While we believe using Independent Mortgage Brokers best serves mortgage consumers, our reliance on third parties presents risks and challenges, including the following: • Our business depends in large part on the marketing efforts of our clients and on our ability to offer loan products and services that meet the requirements of our clients and their borrowers. However, loan officers are not obligated to sell or promote our products and many sell or promote competitors' loan products in addition to our products. Some of our competitors **may** have higher financial strength ratings, **may** offer a larger variety of products, and / or **may** offer higher incentives than we do. Therefore, we may not be able to continue to attract and retain clients to originate loans for us. The failure or inability of our clients to successfully market our mortgage products **to prospective borrowers** could, in turn, have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations. • Communication with prospective borrowers is primarily made through loan officers employed by third parties. Consequently, we rely on our clients and their loan officers to provide us accurate information on behalf of borrowers, including financial statements and other financial information, for us to use in deciding whether to approve loans. If any of this information is intentionally or negligently misrepresented and such misrepresentation is not detected prior to loan funding, the fair value of the loan may be significantly lower than expected. Whether a misrepresentation is made by the borrower, the loan officer or one of our team members, we generally bear the risk of loss associated with the misrepresentation. Our controls and processes may not have detected or may not detect all misrepresented information in our loan originations. Likewise, our clients may also lack sufficient controls and processes. Any such misrepresented information could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. • ~~Because borrowers rely on their loan officer through the entire mortgage process, and some~~ **Some** borrowers do not differentiate between their loan officer (or the employer of the loan officer) and their mortgage lender, therefore (i) developing brand recognition can be challenging and requires us to coordinate with our clients and (ii) poor customer service, customer complaints or negative word- of- mouth or publicity resulting from the performance of our clients could severely diminish consumer confidence in and use of our services. To maintain good customer relations, we must ensure that our clients provide prompt, accurate and differentiated customer service. Effective customer service requires significant personnel expense and investment in developing programs and technology infrastructure to help our clients carry out their functions. These expenses, if not managed properly, could significantly impact our profitability. Failure to properly manage our clients could compromise our ability to handle customer complaints effectively. If we do not handle borrower complaints effectively, our reputation and brand may suffer and we may lose our borrowers' confidence which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and profitability. • Growth in our market share is principally dependent on growth in the market share controlled by the wholesale channel. Continued advancements or the perception of efficiency in " direct- to- the- consumer " distribution models may impact the overall market share controlled by our clients and make it more difficult for us to grow, or require us to establish relationships with more clients. The conduct of the Independent Mortgage Brokers through whom we originate mortgage loans could subject us to fines or other penalties. We depend exclusively on Independent Mortgage Brokers for our loan originations. These clients are subject to parallel and separate legal obligations. While these laws may not explicitly hold the originating lenders responsible for the legal violations of such entities, U. S. federal and state agencies increasingly have sought to impose such liability. For example, the U. S. Department of Justice (" DOJ "), through its use of a disparate impact theory under the Fair Housing Act, has held **originating home loan** lenders responsible for the pricing practices of third parties, alleging that the lender is directly responsible for the total fees and charges paid by the borrower even if the lender neither dictated what the third party could charge nor kept the money for its own account. See " — Regulatory agencies and consumer advocacy groups are asserting claims that the practices of lenders and loan servicers violate anti- discrimination laws. " **Similarly In the past few years**, there ~~were~~ **have been** a number of actions brought by the DOJ and other federal and state agencies under ECOA that allege that lenders have engaged in "**redlining** " by engaging in acts or practices directed at discouraging potential loan applicants from seeking financing **and**, ~~even~~ **Even** though we do not market directly to consumers, the failure or inability of our clients and their loan officers to attract certain classes of borrowers could result in actions being brought against us. In addition, under the TILA- RESPA Integrated Disclosure (" TRID ") rule, we may be held responsible for

improper disclosures made to borrowers by our clients. While **the new Presidential Administration has suggested that these types of claims will not be pursued by the DOJ or federal agencies to the same degree, there is no assurance that state or private parties will not pursue similar claims.** While we seek to use technology, such as our LOS, to monitor whether these clients and their loan officers are complying with their obligations, our ability to enforce such compliance is extremely limited. Consequently, we may be subject to claims for fines or other penalties based upon the conduct of our clients and their loan officers with whom we do business, which could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition. The mortgage industry can be very cyclical, with loan origination volumes varying materially based on macroeconomic conditions. If we are unable to effectively manage our team members during periods of volatility, it could adversely affect our current business operations and our growth. The mortgage industry can be very cyclical, with loan origination volumes varying materially based **largely** on macroeconomic conditions. Our ability to effectively manage significant increases and decreases in loan origination volume will depend on our ability to hire, integrate, train and retain highly-qualified personnel for all areas of our organization during these periods of changing volume. Any talent acquisition and retention challenges or mismanagement of our personnel needs in these situations could reduce our operating efficiency, increase our costs of operations and harm our overall financial condition. As the pool of qualified candidates has continued to be limited and there continues to be significant competition for talent, we may face challenges in hiring and retaining highly qualified personnel in changing environments. Additionally, we invest heavily in training our team members, which increases their value to competitors who may seek to recruit them. If we do not effectively manage our pool of team members in times of volatility, it could disrupt our business operations and have a negative impact on our long-term growth. We may not be able to detect or prevent cyberattacks and other data and security breaches, which could adversely affect our business and subject us to liability to third parties. We are dependent on information technology networks and systems, particularly for our loan origination systems and other technology-driven platforms, designed to provide best-in-class service and experience for clients and to ensure adherence to regulatory compliance, operational governance, training and security. In the ordinary course of our business, we receive, process, retain and transmit proprietary information and sensitive or confidential data, including the public and non-public personal information of our team members, clients and loan applicants. Despite devoting significant time and resources to ensure the integrity of our information technology systems, we have not always been able to, and may not be able to in the future, anticipate or implement effective preventive measures against all security breaches or unauthorized access of our information technology systems or the information technology systems of third-party vendors that receive, process, retain and transmit electronic information on our behalf. Cybersecurity risks for lenders have significantly increased in recent years, in part, because of the proliferation of new technologies, the use of the internet and telecommunications technologies to conduct financial transactions, and the increased sophistication and activities of computer hackers, organized crime, terrorists, and other external parties, including foreign state actors. Additionally, the evolution and increased adoption and widespread availability of new **AI artificial intelligence** technologies may increase our cybersecurity risks, **including the potential ability to bypass encryption protections**. We, our clients, borrowers and loan applicants, regulators and other third parties have been subject to, and are likely to continue to be the target of, cyberattacks and other security breaches. Security breaches, cyberattacks such as computer viruses, malicious or destructive code, phishing attacks, denial of service or information, acts of vandalism, natural disasters, fire, power loss, telecommunication failures, team member misconduct, human error and developments in computer intrusion capabilities could result in a compromise or breach of the technology that we or our third-party vendors use to collect, process, retain, transmit and protect the personal information and transaction data of our team members, clients, borrowers and loan applicants. Similar events outside of our control can also affect the demands we and our vendors may make to respond to any security breaches or similar disruptive events. ~~For example, one of our sub-servicers, Mr. Cooper, determined that there was unauthorized access to certain of its systems between October 30, 2023 and November 1, 2023 which resulted in an unauthorized party obtaining files containing personal information of virtually all of the borrowers in their systems, including those whose loans were originated or serviced by us.~~ We invest in industry-standard security **technology technologies** designed to protect our data and business processes against risk of a data security **breach breaches** and **cyberattack cyberattacks**. Our data security management program includes identity, trust, vulnerability and threat management business processes as well as the adoption of standard data protection policies. We measure our data security effectiveness through industry-accepted methods and remediate significant findings. The **technology technologies** and other controls and processes designed to secure our team member, client, borrower and loan applicant information and to prevent, detect and remedy any unauthorized access to that information were designed to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that such information is secure and that any unauthorized access is identified and addressed appropriately. Such controls **may** have not always prevented or detected, and may in the future fail to prevent or detect, unauthorized access to our team member, client, borrower and loan applicant information. The techniques used to obtain unauthorized, improper or illegal access to our systems and those of our third-party vendors, our data, our team members', clients', borrowers' and loan applicants' data or to disable, degrade or sabotage service are constantly evolving, and have become increasingly complex and sophisticated. Furthermore, such techniques change frequently and are often not recognized or detected until after they have been launched. Therefore, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques and may not become aware of such a security breach in a timely manner, which could exacerbate any damage we experience. Security attacks can originate from a wide variety of sources, including third parties such as computer hackers, persons involved with organized crime or associated with external service providers, or foreign state or foreign state-supported actors. Those parties may also attempt to fraudulently induce team members, clients, borrowers and loan applicants or other users of our systems to disclose sensitive information in order to gain access to our data or that of our team members, clients, borrowers and loan applicants. Our failure to detect or prevent a cyberattack or other data or security breach could adversely affect our business. The occurrence of any of the foregoing events could subject us to increased costs, litigation, disputes, damages, and other liabilities. In addition, the foregoing events could result in violations of applicable privacy and

other laws. If this information is inappropriately accessed and used by a third party or a team member for illegal purposes, such as identity theft, we may be responsible to the affected individuals for any losses they may have incurred as a result of such misappropriation. In such an instance, we may also be subject to regulatory action, investigation or liability to a governmental authority for fines or penalties associated with a lapse in the integrity and security of our team members', clients', borrowers' and loan applicants' information. We may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against and remedy any potential or existing security breaches and their consequences. In addition, our remediation efforts may not be successful and we may not have adequate insurance to cover these losses. Furthermore, any publicized security problems affecting our businesses and / or those of such third parties may negatively impact the market perception of our products and discourage clients or borrowers from doing business with us. Technology disruptions or failures, including a failure in our operational or security systems or infrastructure, or those of third parties with whom we do business, could disrupt our business, cause legal or reputational harm and adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition. We are dependent on the secure, efficient, and uninterrupted operation of our technology infrastructure, including computer systems, related software applications and data centers, as well as those of certain third parties and affiliates. Our websites and computer / telecommunication networks must accommodate a high volume of traffic and deliver frequently updated information, the accuracy and timeliness of which is critical to our business. Our technology must be able to facilitate a loan application experience that equals or exceeds the experience provided by our competitors. We have or may in the future experience service disruptions and failures caused by system or software failure, fire, power loss, telecommunications failures, team member misconduct, human error, computer hackers, computer viruses and disabling devices, malicious or destructive code, denial of service or information, as well as natural disasters, health pandemics and other similar events and our disaster recovery planning may not be sufficient for all situations. The implementation of technology changes and upgrades to maintain current and integrate new technology systems, such as AI, may also cause service interruptions. Any such disruption could interrupt or delay our ability to provide services to our clients and could also impair the ability of third parties to provide critical services to our business. Additionally, the technology and other controls and processes we have created to help us identify misrepresented information in our loan origination operations were designed to obtain reasonable, not absolute, assurance that such information is identified and addressed appropriately. Accordingly, such controls may not have detected, and may fail in the future to detect, all misrepresented information in our loan origination operations. If our operations are disrupted or otherwise negatively affected by a technology disruption or failure, this could result in client dissatisfaction and damage to our reputation and brand, and have a material impact on our business. **The development, proliferation and use of AI could give rise to legal and / or regulatory action, damage our reputation or otherwise materially harm our business. We believe the development and proliferation of AI will have a significant impact in our industry; however, the incipient nature of AI presents risks, challenges, and unintended consequences, including potential defects in the design and development of the technologies used to automate processes, misapplication of technologies, the reliance on data, rules or assumptions that may prove inadequate, information security vulnerabilities and failure to meet customer expectations, among others. For example, the use of AI algorithms may raise ethical concerns and legal issues due to perceived or actual unintentional bias in the processing and servicing of mortgage loans. While we aim to develop and use AI responsibly, we may be unsuccessful in identifying or resolving issues before they arise. AI- related issues, including potential government regulation of AI, deficiencies or failures could give rise to legal and regulatory actions, damage our reputation or otherwise materially impact our business, financial condition, and liquidity. Laws and regulations related to AI are evolving, and there is uncertainty as to potential adoption of new laws and regulations that may restrict or impose burdensome and costly requirements on our ability to use AI. We may receive claims from third parties, including our competitors, alleging that the use of AI technology infringes on or violates such third party' s intellectual property rights. Adverse consequences of these risks related to AI could undermine the decisions, predictions or analyses such technologies produce and subject us to competitive harm, legal liability, heightened regulatory scrutiny and brand or reputational harm. We may face significant competition in the market and may be unable to develop and implement AI at the same rate to keep pace with our competitors.** Loss of our key management could result in a material adverse effect on our business. Our future success depends to a significant extent on the continued services of our senior management, including Mat Ishbia, our **Chairman**, President and Chief Executive Officer. The experience of our senior management is a valuable asset to us and would be difficult to replace. The loss of the services of our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer or other members of senior management could disrupt and have a detrimental effect on our business. Our products rely on software and services from third-party vendors and if any of these services became unavailable or unreliable, it could adversely affect the quality and timeliness of our mortgage origination process. In addition to our proprietary software, we license third- party software and depend on services from various third parties for use in our products. For example, we rely on third- party vendors for our online mortgage application services, to generate the documents required for closing the mortgage, to generate flood certifications, to confirm employment, and to facilitate appraisal services for borrowers. While there are other providers of these services in the market, any loss of the right to use any of the software or services could result in decreased functionality of our products until equivalent technology is either developed by us or, if available from another provider, is identified, obtained and integrated, which could adversely affect our reputation and our future financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, we remain responsible for ensuring our loans are originated in compliance with applicable laws. Despite our efforts to monitor such compliance, any errors or failures of such third- party vendors or their software to perform in the manner intended could result in loan defects potentially requiring repurchase. In addition, any errors or defects in or failures of the other software or services we rely on, whether maintained by us or by third parties, could result in errors or defects in our products or cause our products to fail, which could adversely affect our business and be costly to correct. Many of our third- party vendors attempt to impose limitations on their liability for such errors, defects or failures, and if enforceable, we may have additional liability to our clients, borrowers or

other third parties that could harm our reputation and increase our operating costs. Any failure to do so could adversely affect our ability to deliver effective products to our clients, borrowers and loan applicants and adversely affect our business. We rely on third party sub- servicers who service all the mortgage loans **on for** which we hold MSR, and our financial performance may be adversely affected by their inability to adequately perform their servicing functions. We contract with third party sub-servicers for the servicing of the portion of the mortgage loans in our portfolio for which we retain MSR. Although we use third- party servicers, we, as master servicer, retain primary responsibility to ensure these loans are serviced in accordance with the contractual and regulatory requirements. Therefore, the failure of our sub- servicers to adequately perform their servicing obligations may subject us to liability for their improper acts or omissions and adversely affect our financial performance. Specifically, we may be adversely affected: • if our sub- servicers breach their servicing obligations or are unable to perform their servicing obligations properly, which may subject us to damages or termination of the servicing rights, and cause us to lose loan servicing income and / or require us to indemnify an investor or securitization trustee against losses as a result of any such breach or failure; • by regulatory actions taken against any of our sub- servicers, which may adversely affect their licensing and, as a result, their ability to perform their servicing obligations under GSE and U. S. government agency loans which require such licensing; • by a default by any of our sub- servicers under their debt agreements, which may impact their access to capital to be able to perform their obligations; • if any of our sub- servicers were to face adverse actions from the GSEs or Ginnie Mae **due to economic or other circumstances that are difficult to anticipate** and are terminated as servicer under their agreements with the GSEs or Ginnie Mae; • if **our sub- servicers fail to meet their obligations due to economic or other circumstances that are difficult to anticipate, including as a result of the impact of pandemics, epidemics, disease outbreaks and other public health crises;** • if as a result of poor performance by our sub- servicers, we experience greater than expected delinquencies and foreclosures on the mortgage loans being serviced, which could lead to liability from third party claims or adversely affect our ability to access the capital and secondary markets for our loan funding requirements; • if any of our sub- servicers were the target of a cyberattack or other security breach, resulting in the unauthorized release, misuse, loss or destruction of information related to our current or former borrowers, or material disruption of our or our clients network access or business operations; • if any of our sub- servicers become subject to bankruptcy proceedings; or • if one or more of our sub- servicers terminate their agreement with us. We **currently** rely on two nationally- recognized sub- servicers to service all of our mortgage loans for which we have retained MSR. This sub- servicer counterparty concentration subjects us to a potentially greater impact if any of the risks described above were to occur, and any delay in transferring servicing to a new sub- servicer could further adversely affect servicing performance and cause financial losses. Any of these risks could adversely affect our results of operations, including our loan servicing income and the cash flow generated by our MSR portfolio. Any of these risks may be further exacerbated to the extent we materially increase our MSR portfolio in the future. We are required to make servicing advances that can be subject to delays in recovery or may not be recoverable in certain circumstances and could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, business and financial condition. During any period in which one of our borrowers is not making payments on a loan we service, we are required under most of our servicing agreements to advance our own funds to meet some combination of contractual principal and interest remittance requirements, pay property taxes and insurance premiums, legal expenses and other protective advances. We also advance funds to maintain, repair and market real estate properties. In certain situations, our contractual obligations may require us to make certain advances for which we may not be reimbursed. In addition, in the event a loan serviced by us defaults or becomes delinquent, or the mortgagee is allowed to enter into a forbearance, the repayment of advances may be delayed, which may adversely affect our liquidity. Any significant increase in required servicing advances or delinquent loan repurchases, could have an adverse impact on our cash flows, even if they are reimbursable. With delinquent VA guaranteed loans, the VA guarantee may not make us whole on losses or advances we may have made on the loan. In addition, for certain loans sold to Ginnie Mae, we, as the servicer, have the unilateral right to repurchase any individual loan in a Ginnie Mae securitization pool if that loan meets defined criteria, including being delinquent for longer than 90 days. Once we have the unilateral right to repurchase the delinquent loan, we have effectively regained control over the loan and we must recognize the loan on our balance sheet and recognize a corresponding financial liability. Any significant increase in seriously delinquent Ginnie Mae loans could have an adverse impact on our balance sheet, as well as our financial covenants that are based on balance sheet ratios. Servicers of mortgage loans are often times contractually bound to advance monthly payments to investors, insurers and taxing authorities regardless of whether the borrower actually makes those payments. While Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac issued guidance limiting the number of payments a servicer must advance in the case of a forbearance, we expect that a borrower who has experienced a loss of employment or a reduction of income may not repay the forbore payments at the end of the forbearance period. Approximately 1. ~~45~~**37**% of our serviced loans were 60 days delinquent and 0. ~~18-11~~% were in forbearance as of December 31, ~~2023~~**2024**. Government intervention also occurs periodically as a result of natural disasters or other events that cause widespread borrower harm. Similar challenges and risks to servicers, including us, will likely occur when such events transpire in the future. **We face intense competition that could adversely..... financial condition or results of operations.** The success and growth of our business will depend upon our ability to be a leader in technological innovation in our industry. We operate in an industry experiencing rapid technological change and frequent product introductions. In order to succeed, we must lead our peers in designing, innovating and introducing new technology and product offerings **to make the mortgage origination process cheaper, faster and easier**. The process of developing new technologies and products is complex, and if we are unable to successfully innovate and continue to deliver a superior client experience, the demand for our products and services may decrease, we may lose market share and our growth and operations may be harmed. **We and many of our competitors are beginning to deploy AI and machine learning technology into systems and processes, and our ability to successfully adopt and implement AI and other technological advancements into our processes efficiently and effectively will impact our future competitiveness.** The origination process is increasingly dependent on technology, and our business relies on our continued ability to process loan applications

over the internet, accept electronic signatures, provide instant process status updates and other client- and loan applicant- expected conveniences. Our proprietary and exclusively licensed technology is integrated into all steps of the loan origination process, from the original submission, to the underwriting to the closing. Our dedication to incorporating technological advancements into our loan origination and servicing platforms requires significant financial and personnel resources. For example, we have **invested**, and will continue to, invest significant capital resources on developing, maintaining and improving our proprietary technology platforms, **including through the integration of AI into these platforms and processes**. To the extent we are dependent on any particular technology or technological solution, we may be harmed if such technology or technological solution (1) becomes non-compliant with existing industry standards, (2) fails to meet or exceed the capabilities of our competitors' equivalent technologies or technological solutions, (3) becomes increasingly expensive to service, retain and update, (4) becomes subject to third-party claims of intellectual property infringement, misappropriation or other violation, or (5) malfunctions or functions in a way we did not anticipate or that results in loan defects potentially requiring repurchase. As such, it is difficult to predict the problems we may encounter in improving **the functionality of** our websites ~~and other technologies' functionality~~. If we are unable to successfully develop or adopt new technology as critical systems and applications become dated or obsolete and better options become available, or to respond to technological developments and changing client and borrower needs in a cost-effective manner, we may experience disruptions in our operations, lose market share or incur substantial costs. We could be adversely affected if we do not adequately obtain, maintain, protect and enforce our intellectual property and proprietary rights and may encounter disputes from time to time relating to our use of the intellectual property of third parties. Our proprietary technology platforms and other proprietary rights are important to our success and our competitive position. We rely on intellectual property to protect our proprietary rights. Despite these measures, third parties may attempt to disclose, obtain, copy or use intellectual property rights owned or licensed by us and these measures may not prevent misappropriation, infringement, reverse engineering or other violation of intellectual property or proprietary rights owned or licensed by us. Furthermore, confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions can be difficult to enforce and, even if successfully enforced, may not be entirely effective. In addition, we cannot guarantee that we have entered into confidentiality agreements with all team members, partners, independent contractors or consultants that have or may have had access to our trade secrets and other proprietary information. Any issued or registered intellectual property rights owned by or licensed to us may be challenged, invalidated, held unenforceable or circumvented in litigation or other proceedings, and such intellectual property rights may be lost or no longer provide us **with** meaningful competitive advantages. Third parties may also independently develop products, services and technology similar to or duplicative of our products and services. Our success and ability to compete also depends in part on our ability to operate without infringing, misappropriating or otherwise violating the intellectual property or proprietary rights of third parties. We may encounter disputes from time to time concerning intellectual property rights of others, including our competitors, and we may not prevail in these disputes. Third parties may raise claims against us alleging an infringement, misappropriation or other violation of their intellectual property rights, including trademarks, copyrights, patents, trade secrets or other intellectual property or proprietary rights. An assertion of an intellectual property infringement, misappropriation or other violation claim against us could result in adverse judgments, settlement on unfavorable terms or cause us to spend significant amounts to defend the claim, even if we ultimately prevail and we may have to pay significant money damages, lose significant revenues, suffer harm to our reputation, be prohibited from using the relevant systems, processes, technologies or other intellectual property, cease offering certain products or services, or incur significant license, royalty or technology development expenses. Fraud could result in significant financial losses and harm to our reputation. We use automated underwriting engines from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to assist us in determining if a loan applicant is creditworthy, as well as other proprietary and third-party tools and safeguards to detect and prevent fraud. We are unable, however, to prevent every instance of fraud that may be engaged in by our clients, borrowers or team members, and any seller, real estate broker, notary, settlement agent, appraiser, title agent, or third-party originator that misrepresents facts about a loan, including the information contained in the loan application, property valuation, title information **and**, employment and income stated on the loan application. If any of this information was intentionally or negligently misrepresented and such misrepresentation was not detected prior to the acquisition or closing of the loan, the value of the loan could be significantly lower than expected, resulting in a loan being approved in circumstances where it would not have been, had we been provided with accurate data. A loan subject to a material misrepresentation is typically unsalable to the GSEs or subject to repurchase if it is sold before detection of the misrepresentation. In addition, the persons and entities making a misrepresentation are often difficult to locate and it is often difficult to collect from them any monetary losses we have suffered. High profile fraudulent activity also could negatively impact our brand and reputation, which could impact our business. In addition, significant increases in fraudulent activity could lead to regulatory intervention, which could increase our costs and negatively impact our business. Our counterparties may terminate our servicing rights, which could have a material adverse effect on our revenues. The majority of the mortgage loans we service are serviced on behalf of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. These entities establish the base service fee to compensate us for servicing loans as well as the assessment of fines and penalties that may be imposed upon us for failing to meet servicing standards. As is standard in the industry, under the terms of our master servicing agreements with the GSEs, the GSEs have the right to terminate us as servicer of the loans we service on their behalf at any time and have the right to cause us to sell the MSRs to a third party. In addition, failure to comply with servicing standards could result in termination of our agreements with the GSEs with little or no notice and without any compensation. If any of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae were to terminate us as a servicer, or increase our costs related to such servicing by way of additional fees, fines or penalties, such changes could have a material adverse effect on the revenue we derive from servicing activity, as well as the value of the related MSRs. These agreements, and other servicing agreements under which we service mortgage loans for non-GSE loan purchasers, also require that we service in accordance with **the** GSE servicing guidelines and contain financial covenants. If we were to have our servicing rights terminated on a material portion of our

servicing portfolio, this could adversely affect our business. If we cannot maintain our corporate culture, we could lose the innovation, collaboration and focus on the mission that contributes to our business. We believe that a critical component of our success is our corporate culture and our deep commitment to our mission. We believe this mission-based culture fosters innovation, encourages teamwork and cultivates creativity. Our mission defines our business philosophy as well as the emphasis that it places on our clients, our people and our culture and is consistently reinforced to and by our team members. As we have significantly increased our team members it may be harder to maintain our corporate culture. If we are unable to preserve our culture, this could negatively impact our future success, including our ability to attract and retain team members, encourage innovation and teamwork, and effectively focus on and pursue our mission and corporate objectives. Substantially all of our operations are housed on one campus, and if the facilities are damaged or rendered inoperable by natural or man-made disasters, our business may be negatively impacted. Substantially all of our operations are housed on one campus in Pontiac, Michigan. Our campus could be harmed or rendered inoperable by natural or man-made disasters, including **tornadoes**, earthquakes, fires, power shortages, telecommunications failures, water shortages, floods, extreme weather conditions, **epidemics**, and other natural or man-made disasters, pandemics, or other business interruptions. If due to such disaster a significant portion of our team members must work remotely for an extended period of time, our business may be negatively impacted. See “ — If we cannot maintain our corporate culture, we could lose the innovation, collaboration and focus on the mission that contribute to our business. ” In addition, it could be costly and time-consuming to repair or replace our campus. In certain circumstances, Holdings LLC will be required to make distributions to us and SFS Corp. and the distributions that Holdings LLC will be required to make may be substantial and in excess of our tax liabilities and obligations under the tax receivable agreement. To the extent we do not distribute such excess cash, SFS Corp. would benefit from any value attributable to such cash balances as a result of their ownership of Class B common stock (or Class A common stock, as applicable) following an exchange of Holdings LLC Common Units and the stapled shares of Common Stock. Holdings LLC is treated as a partnership for U. S. federal income tax purposes and, as such, **under current law**, will not be subject to any entity-level U. S. federal income tax. Instead, taxable income will be allocated to us and SFS Corp., as holders of membership interests in Holdings LLC (the “ Holdings LLC Common Units ”). Accordingly, we will incur income taxes on our allocable share of any net taxable income of Holdings LLC. Under the Holdings LLC Second Amended & Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement (the “ Holdings LLC A & R Company Agreement ”), Holdings LLC will generally be required from time to time to make distributions in cash to its equityholders, SFS Corp. and us, in amounts sufficient to cover the taxes on their allocable share of the taxable income of Holdings LLC, which may not be pro-rata based on equity holdings due to different tax rates. As a result of **our “ Up- C ”** (i) potential non pro-rata allocations of net taxable income allocable to us and SFS Corp., (ii) the lower tax **structure rate applicable to corporations as compared to individuals and differing** (iii) the favorable tax **benefits rates, we anticipate** that we anticipate receiving from (a) the exchange of Holdings LLC Common Units from SFS Corp. and (b) **payments under the tax receivable agreement, we expect that these — the tax distributions that we receive from time to time** will be in amounts that exceed our tax liabilities and obligations to make payments under the tax receivable agreement. Our Board of Directors will determine the appropriate uses for any excess cash so accumulated, which may include, among other uses, special dividends **or the payment obligations under the tax receivable agreement**. However, we will have no obligation to distribute such cash (or other available cash other than any declared dividend) to our stockholders. If we do not distribute such excess cash, then SFS Corp. would benefit from any value attributable to such cash balances **following an exchange of Holdings LLC Common Units and the stapled shares of Common Stock into share of Class A Common Stock. Consistent with our “ Up- C ” structure** (**No adjustments to the exchange ratio for Holdings LLC Common Units and the stapled shares of Common Stock will be made as a result of (x) described in Note 1 to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**), and **notwithstanding any cash distribution by Holdings LLC or (y) any cash that we retain and or use to pay our expenses that do not distribute to directly affect SFS Corp. or stockholders Holdings LLC, and in any event the ratio will of outstanding membership interests in Holdings LLC to shares of our Common Stock shall remain, at all times, one to one.** We are required to pay SFS Corp. for certain tax benefits we may claim, and the amounts we may pay could be significant. We entered into a tax receivable agreement with SFS Corp. that provides for the payment by us to SFS Corp. (or its transferees or other assignees) of 85 % of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U. S. federal, state and local income tax or franchise tax that we actually realize as a result of (i) certain increases in tax basis resulting from exchanges of Holdings LLC Common Units; (ii) imputed interest deemed to be paid by us as a result of payments we make under the tax receivable agreement; (iii) certain increases in tax basis resulting from payments we make under the tax receivable agreement; and (iv) disproportionate allocations (if any) of tax benefits to us which arise from, among other things, the sale of certain assets such as MSRs as a result of section 704 (c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the “ Code ”) (the tax attributes in clauses “ (i) ” through “ (iv) ” collectively referred to as the “ Covered Tax Attributes ”). The tax receivable agreement will make certain simplifying assumptions regarding the determination of the cash savings that we realize or are deemed to realize from the Covered Tax Attributes, which may result in payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement in excess of those that would result if such assumptions were not made. The actual tax benefit, as well as the amount and timing of any payments under the tax receivable agreement, will vary depending upon a number of factors, including, among others, the timing of exchanges by or purchases from SFS Corp., the price of our Class A common stock at the time of the exchanges or purchases, the extent to which such exchanges are taxable, the amount and timing of the taxable income we generate in the future and the tax rate then applicable, and the portion of our payments under the tax receivable agreement constituting imputed interest. Future payments under the tax receivable agreement could be substantial. The payments under the tax receivable agreement are not conditioned upon SFS Corp.’ s continued ownership of us. We are not required to make a payment of the 85 % applicable tax savings to SFS Corp. unless and until at least one of the payment conditions has been satisfied (the “ Payment Conditions ”). One **Payment condition Condition** is a requirement that we have received a tax opinion that provides that the applicable assets of Holdings LLC giving

rise to the payment are “ more likely than not ” amortizable (the “ Indemnifiable Condition ”). If we determine that none of the Payment Conditions have been satisfied with respect to all or a portion of such applicable tax savings, we will pay such applicable tax savings (or portion thereof) at the time we reasonably determine a Payment Condition has been satisfied. If we make a payment and the applicable tax savings are subsequently disallowed, we may deposit future payments due under the tax receivable agreement in an escrow account up to an amount necessary to cover 85 % of the estimated additional taxes due by us as a result of the disallowance until such time as there has been a conclusive determination as to the validity of the disallowance. If we make a payment pursuant to the satisfaction of the Indemnifiable Condition and the applicable tax savings are subsequently disallowed, SFS Corp. will be required to indemnify us for 85 % of the taxes and any additional losses attributable to the disallowance. At our election, SFS Corp. may satisfy all or a portion of ~~this indemnity~~ **the Indemnifiable Condition** by transferring Holdings LLC Common Units held by it. There is no guarantee that SFS Corp. will hold Holdings LLC Common Units with a value sufficient to satisfy this indemnity or that the escrow account will hold sufficient funds to cover the cost of any disallowed tax savings. We could make payments to SFS Corp. under the tax receivable agreement that are greater than our actual cash tax savings and may not be able to recoup those payments, which could negatively impact our liquidity. In addition, the tax receivable agreement provides that in the case of a change in control of UWMC or a material breach of our obligations under the tax receivable agreement, we will be required to make a payment to SFS Corp. in an amount equal to the present value of future payments under the tax receivable agreement (calculated using a discount rate as provided in the tax receivable agreement), which payment would be based on certain assumptions, including those relating to our future taxable income. In these situations, our obligations under the tax receivable agreement could have a substantial negative impact on our, or a potential acquirer’ s, liquidity and could have the effect of delaying, deferring, modifying or preventing certain mergers, asset sales, other forms of business combinations or other changes of control. These provisions of the tax receivable agreement may result in situations where SFS Corp. has interests that differ from or are in addition to those of our other stockholders. In addition, we could be required to make payments under the tax receivable agreement that are substantial, significantly in advance of any potential actual realization of such further tax benefits, and in excess of our, or a potential acquirer’ s, actual cash savings in income tax. Decisions we make in the course of running our business, such as with respect to mergers, asset sales, other forms of business combinations or other changes in control, may influence the timing and amount of payments made under the tax receivable agreement. For example, the earlier disposition of assets following an exchange or purchase of Holdings LLC Common Units (along with the stapled shares of Class D common stock or Class C common stock) may accelerate payments under the tax receivable agreement and increase the present value of such payments, and the disposition of assets before such an exchange or purchase may increase the tax liability of SFS Corp. (or its direct or indirect owners) without giving rise to any rights to receive payments under the tax receivable agreement. Such effects may result in differences or conflicts of interest between the interests of SFS Corp. and the interests of other stockholders. Finally, because we are a holding company with no operations of our own, our ability to make payments under the tax receivable agreement is dependent on the ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us. Our debt agreements restrict the ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us, which could affect our ability to make payments under the tax receivable agreement. To the extent that we are unable to make payments under the tax receivable agreement as a result of restrictions in our debt agreements, such payments will be deferred and will accrue interest until paid, which could negatively impact our results of operations and could also affect our liquidity in periods in which such payments are made. ~~Pandemics, epidemics, disease outbreaks and other public health crises have disrupted our business and operations, and future public health crises could materially adversely impact our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. Pandemics, epidemics or disease outbreaks in the U. S. or globally, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, have previously disrupted, and may in the future disrupt, our business, which could materially affect our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and future expectations. In addition, our business could be disrupted if we are unable to operate due to changing governmental restrictions such as travel bans and quarantines placed on our team members, other measures that ensure the protection of our team members’ health, measures aimed at maintaining our information technology infrastructure, or if an outbreak occurs in our headquarters that prevents us from operating. Any new public health crisis could have a material impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations going forward.~~ **Risks Related to our Financing** We rely on our warehouse facilities, structured as repurchase agreements, to finance our loan originations. These instruments are short- term and subject us to various risks different from other types of credit facilities. We fund a vast majority of the mortgage loans we originate through borrowings under our short- term warehouse facilities and funds generated by our operations. Our ability to fund our loan originations may be impacted by our ability to secure further such borrowings on acceptable terms. Our warehouse facilities typically renew annually, although as of December 31, ~~2023~~ **2024**, ~~one two~~ of our facilities (\$ ~~3-4~~ **0-5** billion in available credit) had a two year renewal ~~term-terms~~. As of December 31, ~~2023~~ **2024**, all but \$ ~~750-900~~ . 0 million of our warehouse facilities were uncommitted and can be terminated by the applicable lender at any time. Our warehouse facilities are generally structured in the form of repurchase agreements. We currently leverage and, to the extent available, intend to continue to leverage the mortgage loans we originate with borrowings under these repurchase agreements. When we enter into repurchase agreements, we sell mortgage loans to other lenders, which are the repurchase agreement counterparties, and receive cash from these lenders. These lenders are obligated to resell the same assets back to us at the end of the term of the transaction, which typically ranges from 30 to 90 days, but may have terms of up to 364 days or longer. These repurchase agreements subject us to various risks: • If we default on one of our obligations under a repurchase transaction, the lender will be able to terminate the transaction and cease entering into any other repurchase transactions with us. Our repurchase agreements also typically contain cross default provisions, so that if a default occurs under any one agreement, the lenders under our other agreements could also declare a default. If a default occurs under any of our repurchase agreements and the lenders terminate one or more of our repurchase agreements, we may need to enter into replacement agreements with different lenders. • If the market value of the loans pledged or sold by us under a repurchase agreement borrowing to a counterparty lender declines,

the lender may initiate a margin call and require us to either post additional collateral to cover such decrease or repay a portion of the outstanding borrowing. We may not have the funds available to do so, and we may be required to liquidate assets at a disadvantageous time to avoid a default, which could cause us to incur further losses and limit our ability to leverage our assets. If we are unable to satisfy a margin call, our counterparty may accelerate repayment of our indebtedness, increase interest rates, liquidate the collateral (which may result in significant losses to it) or terminate our ability to borrow. Such a situation would likely result in a rapid deterioration of our financial condition and possibly necessitate a filing for bankruptcy protection. A rapidly rising interest rate environment may increase the likelihood of additional margin calls that could adversely impact our liquidity.

- The warehouse facilities subject us to counterparty risk. The amount of cash that we receive from a lender when we initially sell the mortgage loans to that lender is less than the fair value of those loans (this difference is referred to as the “haircut”). If the lender defaults on its obligation to resell the loans back to us, we could incur a loss on the transaction equal to the amount of the haircut (assuming that there was no change in the fair value of the loans, which the lenders are generally permitted to revalue to reflect current market conditions).
- We incur losses on a repurchase transaction if the value of the underlying loans has declined as of the end of the transaction term (including as a result of a lender counterparty revaluing the loans), as we would have to repurchase the loans for their initial value but would receive loans worth less than that amount if the loans have not **be been** effectively hedged. Our warehouse lenders also may revise their eligibility requirements for the types of assets they are willing to finance or the terms of such financings, based on, among other factors, the regulatory environment and their management of perceived risk, particularly with respect to assignee liability. Moreover, the amount of financing we receive under our warehouse facilities will be directly related to the lenders’ valuation of our assets that cover the outstanding borrowings. Our use of this short-term financing exposes us to the risk that our lenders may respond to market conditions by making it more difficult for us to renew or replace on a continuous basis our maturing short-term warehouse facility borrowings. If we are not able to renew our then existing warehouse facilities or arrange for new financing on terms acceptable to us, or if we default on our covenants or are otherwise unable to access funds under this type of financing, we may have to curtail our loan origination activities and / or dispose of assets. We depend on our ability to sell loans **and MSR**s in the secondary market to **fund** a limited number of investors and to the GSEs, and to securitize our loans into MBS. If our ability to sell or **our operations** securitize mortgage loans is impaired, we may not be able to originate mortgage loans, and if the GSEs and Ginnie Mae become less competitive, it could affect our volume and margins. Substantially all of our loan originations are sold into the secondary market. We securitize loans into MBS through Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac **and**, Ginnie Mae. Loans originated outside of the guidelines of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the FHA, USDA, or VA (for **or** loans securitized with Ginnie Mae), such as jumbo loans and home equity lines of credit (HELOCs) are sold individually or in bulk to private investors, through mortgage conduits and through our own private label securitizations **and sell individually or in bulk to private investors, through mortgage conduits. Sales through the GSEs and Ginnie Mae are limited to conforming loans and non-GSE products, such as jumbo mortgage loans and home equity lines of credits (HELOCs), or for which the GSEs may have imposed limitations, are sold directly to either private investors or** into the market MBS. GSE-eligible products are also sold through private label securitization **securitizations** transactions, in certain situations, such as when the GSE’s limit the volume of certain products they will purchase. The gain recognized from **producing origination** and subsequent sales in the secondary market represents a significant portion of our revenues and net earnings. A decrease in the prices paid to us upon sale of our loans could be detrimental to our business, as we are dependent on the cash generated from such sales to fund our future loan closings and repay borrowings under our warehouse facilities. **Furthermore, non-GSE and Ginnie Mae sales typically take longer to execute which can increase the amount of time that a mortgage loan is held, which exposes us to additional market risk and increased liquidity requirements.** If it is not possible or economical for us to complete the sale or securitization of certain of our mortgage loans, we may lack liquidity to continue to fund such loans and our revenues and margins on new loan originations could be materially and negatively impacted. **In addition** The severity of the impact would be most significant to the extent we were unable to sell conforming home loans to the GSEs or securitize such loans pursuant to the GSEs and government agency-sponsored programs. We also derive other **the** material financial benefits from these relationships, including the assumption of credit risk on securitized loans in exchange for our payment of guarantee fees and the ability to avoid certain loan inventory finance costs through streamlined loan funding and sale **of** procedures, which benefits we would lose if we were unable to complete the sale or **our** securitization of our loans. We sell those loans that we originate that are non-GSE products, such as jumbo mortgage loans and HELOCs, **we sell MSR**s and excess servicing on GSE loans into the market to fund **or** our operational and financing cash needs. The cash generated from the sale of **MSR**s and GSE excess servicing in the secondary market represents a material source of liquidity and revenue. In recent years we have retained significant amounts of excess servicing on both GSE and Ginnie Mae loans, which is the portion of the mortgage service fee left over after the minimum base mortgage servicing fees paid to mortgage servicers for which the maintenance of MBS are deducted. To the extent that excess servicing is retained and cannot be separated from base servicing and sold (as is the case for excess servicing on Ginnie Mae loans), the value of our MSR asset may be even more sensitive to changes in interest rates. We occasionally enter into transactions for MSR on GSE loans whereby the rights to the excess servicing fees are separated, securitized by the GSEs **and sold** may have imposed limitations, directly to either private investors or into the market through private label securitizations. **As part of** These **these** transactions, we retain non-GSE sales typically take longer to execute which can increase the **obligation to service** the amount of time that a mortgage loan **and therefore continue to receive the base servicing fee. When excess servicing is priced as part of these sale transactions, it is valued based** on our books, which exposes us to additional market risk and **an estimate** increased liquidity requirements. Furthermore, the availability and pricing of **how** these alternative distribution markets can fluctuate materially and external macroeconomic factors could result in reduced demand or pricing for our non-GSE products. For example, in March 2020 at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic many private and non-GSE investors significantly reduced their demand, as

a result we had certain non-GSE products in our portfolio longer -- **long the cash flows will last (i** than anticipated and were unable to continue to originate jumbo loans due to liquidity constraints. **e. If such a market shift were to occur again, how long the related mortgages will** we may need to adjust our business model to accommodate such shifts and our origination volume, margins and liquidity would likely be adversely affected **outstanding**). The value of our MSR **excess servicing** can fluctuate significantly and these **change dramatically when interest rates change, because** changes in **current interest rates relative to** value, or inaccuracies in the estimates of their -- **the interest rate** value, could adversely affect our financial condition and liquidity. The value of our MSR is based on **the mortgage determine how long** the cash flows projected to result from **excess** the right to service **servicing** of the related **associated with that** mortgage **might last. Consequently, while we have been able to sell the excess servicing on GSE** loans and continually fluctuates due to **generate liquidity** a number of factors, **there is no assurance that changes in valuation of MSR or excess serving may not reduce the value of the assets or the price at which we can sell** such **assets** as prepayment speeds, costs to service the loan and other market conditions. The primary factor driving the value of MSR is interest rates, which impact the likelihood of loan prepayments through refinancing and estimated float earnings on custodial deposits. In periods of rising interest rates, the fair value of the MSR generally increases as prepayment expectations decrease, consequently extending the average estimated life of the MSR, and estimated float earnings increase, resulting in expected increases in cash flows. In a declining interest rate environment, the fair value of MSR generally decreases as prepayment expectations increase consequently truncating the average estimated life of the MSR, and estimated float earnings decrease, resulting in expected decreases in cash flows. Other market conditions also affect the number of loans that are refinanced and thus no longer result in cash flows, and the number of loans that become delinquent. Available borrowings, as well as mandatory curtailments, under our MSR financing facilities are based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. Accordingly, decreases in MSR values could decrease the available borrowing capacity under these facilities, or require mandatory repayments of outstanding borrowings on these facilities, which could adversely affect our financial condition and liquidity. A substantial portion of our assets are measured at fair value, and if our estimates with respect to the determination of the fair value of those assets prove to be incorrect, we may be required to write down the value of such assets, which could adversely affect our earnings, financial condition and liquidity. We measure the fair value of our mortgage loans, derivatives and MSR on a recurring basis. Fair value determinations require many estimates and assumptions made by our management, especially to the extent there are not active markets for identical assets. For example, we generally estimate the fair value of loans based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is estimated based on other relevant factors, including dealer price quotations and prices available for similar instruments, to approximate the amounts that would be received from a third party. In addition, the fair value of interest rate lock commitments, or IRLCs, are measured based upon the difference between the current fair value of similar loans (as determined generally for mortgages at fair value) and the price at which we have committed to originate the loans, subject to the pull-through factor. Further, MSR do not trade in an active market with readily observable prices and, therefore, their fair value is determined using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated net future cash flows, using estimates of prepayment speeds, discount rate, cost to service, float earnings, contractual servicing fee income and ancillary income, and late fees. If our estimates of fair value prove to be incorrect, we may be required to write down the value of such assets, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Our **outstanding Warrants financing arrangements subject us to risk in volatile interest rate environments Our financing arrangements subject us to risk from volatile interest rates. Borrowings under our warehouse facilities and some of our other financing facilities are accounted at variable rates of interest based on short term rate indexes, whereas our mortgage loans that serve as collateral for as the warehouse liabilities facilities are generally based on long- term interest rates, which exposes us to interest rate risk. If short term interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on certain of our variable- rate indebtedness will increase and if long-term rates do not increase in kind, our net income and cash flows, including cash available for servicing our indebtedness, could correspondingly decrease. Furthermore, we have also issued \$ 2. 8 billion in principal amount of senior unsecured notes that mature in 2025, 2027, 2029 and 2030. Currently, the coupon on the 2025, 2027 and 2029 senior unsecured notes is lower than prevailing market interest rates. When each note matures, the there changes in value of is a risk that the notes will need to be refinanced at higher interest rates, our or outstanding Warrants that we will have to use other sources of liquidity to repay these notes, either of which could have an adverse effect on our financial business or results and thus may have an adverse effect on the market price of operations our securities. We account for our outstanding Warrants as liabilities at fair value on our balance sheet. The Warrants are subject to remeasurement at each balance sheet date and any change in fair value is recognized as a component of earnings in each period for which our earnings are reported. We will continue to adjust the liability for changes in fair value until the earlier of exercise or expiration of the Warrants. The volatility introduced by changes in fair value on earnings may have an adverse effect on our quarterly and annual financial results. Our hedging strategies may not be successful in mitigating our risks associated with changes in interest rates. Our profitability is directly affected by changes in interest rates. The market value of closed mortgage loans and interest rate locks generally change along with interest rates. The value of these instruments moves opposite of interest rate changes. For example, as interest rates rise, the value of these existing financial instruments falls. We employ various economic hedging strategies to mitigate the interest rate risk and the anticipated loan financing probability or “ pull-through risk ” inherent in such mortgage assets. Our use of these hedge instruments may expose us to counterparty risk as they are not traded on regulated exchanges or guaranteed by an exchange or our clearinghouse and, consequently, there may not be the same level of protections with respect to margin requirements and positions and other requirements designed to protect both us and our counterparties. Furthermore, the enforceability of agreements underlying hedging transactions may depend on compliance with applicable statutory, commodity and other regulatory requirements and, depending on the domicile of the counterparty, applicable international requirements. Consequently, if a counterparty fails to perform under a derivative agreement we could incur a significant loss.**

Our hedge instruments are accounted for as free-standing derivatives and are included on our consolidated balance sheet at fair value. Our operating results could be negatively affected because the losses on the hedge instruments we enter into may not be offset by a change in the fair value of the related asset or liability. Our hedging strategies also require us to provide cash margin to our hedging counterparties from time to time. The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) requires us to provide daily cash margin to (or receive daily cash margin from, depending on the daily value of related instrument) our hedging counterparties in excess of certain thresholds. The collection of daily margins between us and our hedging counterparties could, under certain market conditions, adversely affect our short-term liquidity and cash-on-hand. Additionally, our hedge instruments may expose us to counterparty risk — the possibility that a loss may occur from the failure of another party to perform in accordance with the terms of the contract, which loss exceeds the value of existing collateral, if any. Our hedging activities in the future may include entering into interest rate swaps, caps and floors, options to purchase these items, purchasing or selling U. S. Treasury securities, and / or other tools and strategies. These hedging decisions will be determined in light of the facts and circumstances existing at the time and may differ from our current hedging strategy. These hedging strategies may be less effective than our current hedging strategies in mitigating the risks described above, which could be detrimental to our business and financial condition. Our rights under our repurchase agreements may be subject to the effects of bankruptcy laws in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of us or our lenders under the repurchase agreements, which may allow our lenders to repudiate our repurchase agreements. In the event of insolvency or bankruptcy, repurchase agreements normally qualify for special treatment under the U. S. bankruptcy code, the effect of which, among other things, would be to allow the lender under the applicable repurchase agreement to avoid the automatic stay provisions of the U. S. bankruptcy code and to foreclose on the collateral agreement without delay. In the event of the insolvency or bankruptcy of a lender during the term of a repurchase agreement, the lender may be permitted, under applicable insolvency laws, to repudiate the contract, and our claim against the lender for damages may be treated simply as an unsecured creditor. In addition, if the lender is a broker or dealer subject to the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, or an insured depository institution subject to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, our ability to exercise our rights to recover our securities under a repurchase agreement or to be compensated for any damages resulting from the lender's insolvency may be further limited by those statutes. These claims would be subject to significant delay and, if and when received, may be substantially less than the damages we actually incur. Our financing arrangements contain, and the government agencies impose, certain financial and restrictive covenants that limit our ability to operate our business and a default under such agreements or requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, liquidity, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations. Our warehouse facilities contain, and our other current or future debt agreements contain or may contain, covenants imposing operating and financial restrictions on our business, including requirements to maintain a certain minimum tangible net worth, minimum liquidity, maximum total debt or liabilities to net worth ratio, profitability requirements, litigation judgment thresholds, and other customary debt covenants. We are also subject to minimum financial eligibility requirements established by the FHA, VA, USDA, HUD, GSEs, Ginnie Mae, and certain state regulators, including net worth, capital ratio and / or liquidity criteria in order to set a minimum level of capital needed to adequately absorb potential losses and a minimum amount of liquidity needed to service such agency mortgage loans and MBS and cover the associated financial obligations and risks. The minimum liquidity requirements of the GSEs and Ginnie Mae were **updated** ~~changed~~ ~~effective~~ in 2023, increasing ~~such~~ ~~certain~~ requirements, and Ginnie Mae implemented a new minimum risk-based capital ratio requirement which ~~becomes~~ **became** effective as of December 31, 2024. In addition, the indentures governing our 2025 Senior Notes, 2029 Senior Notes, ~~and~~ **2027 Senior Notes, and 2030** Senior Notes contain covenants imposing operating and financial restrictions on our business. As a result, we may not be able to leverage our assets as fully as we would choose, which could reduce our return on equity, and could significantly impede us from growing our business and place us at a competitive disadvantage in relation to federally chartered banks and certain other financial institutions. A breach of the covenants under our warehouse facilities, Senior Notes, or other debt agreements can result in an event of default under these facilities and as such allow the lenders to pursue certain remedies. In addition, each of these facilities includes cross default or cross acceleration provisions that could result in most, if not all, facilities terminating if an event of default or acceleration of maturity occurs under any facility. To the extent that the minimum financial requirements imposed by the agencies are not met, the agencies may suspend or terminate our agency approvals or agreements, which could cause us to cross default under our warehouse facilities arrangements, could have an adversely effect on our ability to access these markets and could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and future growth. In addition, the covenants and restrictions in our warehouse facilities, indentures governing our Senior Notes, and other debt agreements may restrict our ability to, among other things: • make certain investments; • declare or pay dividends on capital stock; • redeem or purchase capital stock and certain debt obligations; • incur liens; • enter into transactions with affiliates; • enter into certain agreements restricting our subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends; • incur indebtedness; and • consolidate, merge, make acquisitions and sell assets. These restrictions may interfere with our ability to obtain financings or to engage in other business activities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, liquidity, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations. In addition, if we are unable to meet or maintain the necessary covenant requirements or satisfy, or obtain waivers for, the continuing covenants, we may lose the ability to borrow under all of our financing facilities, which could be detrimental to our business. Risks Related to our Regulatory Environment We operate in a heavily regulated industry, and our mortgage loan origination and servicing activities expose us to risks of noncompliance with an increasing and inconsistent body of complex laws and regulations at the U. S. federal, state and local levels. Due to the heavily regulated nature of the mortgage industry, we and our clients are required to comply with a wide array of U. S. federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations that concern, among other things, the manner in which we conduct our loan origination and servicing businesses and the fees that we may charge, and the collection, use, retention, protection, disclosure, transfer and ~~other~~ processing of personal information by us and our clients. Governmental authorities and various U. S. federal and state agencies have broad oversight and supervisory authority over our business. Because we originate mortgage

loans and provide servicing activities nationwide, we must be licensed in all relevant jurisdictions that require licensure, and we are required to comply with each such jurisdiction's respective laws and regulations, as well as with judicial and administrative decisions applicable to us. Such licensing requirements also generally require the submission of information regarding any person who has 10 % or more of the combined voting power of our outstanding equity interests. In addition, we and our clients are currently subject to a variety of, and may in the future become subject to additional U. S. federal, state and local laws that are continuously evolving and developing, including, but not limited to, laws on advertising, as well as privacy laws, including the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (" TCPA "), the Gramm- Leach- Bliley Act (" GLBA "), the CAN- SPAM Act, and a growing number of state privacy laws including, most notably, the California Consumer Privacy Act (" CCPA") and the California Privacy Rights Act (" CPRA"). We expect more states to enact legislation similar to the CCPA and CPRA, which increase the privacy and security obligations of entities handling certain personal information of such consumers and could require us to provide consumers with privacy rights such as the right to request deletion of their data, the right to receive data on record for them and the right to know what categories of data (generally) are maintained about them, and increases the privacy and security obligations of entities handling certain personal information of such consumers. These regulations directly impact our business and require ongoing compliance, monitoring and internal and external audits as they continue to evolve, and may result in ever- increasing public scrutiny and escalating levels of enforcement and sanctions. Subsequent changes to data protection and privacy laws could also impact how we process personal information, and therefore limit the effectiveness of our products or services or our ability to operate or expand our business, including limiting strategic partnerships that may involve the sharing of personal information. Additionally, the interpretation of such data protection and privacy laws is rapidly evolving, making implementation and enforcement, and thus compliance requirements, ambiguous, uncertain, and potentially inconsistent. Although we make reasonable efforts to comply with all applicable data protection laws and regulations, our interpretations and such measures may have been or may prove to be insufficient or incorrect. We and our clients must also comply with a number of federal, state and local consumer financial services, laws and regulations including, among others, the Truth in Lending Act (" TILA "), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (" RESPA "), the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Fair Housing Act, the TCPA, the GLBA, the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act, the Homeowners Protection Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the SAFE Act, the Federal Trade Commission Act, the TRID rules, the Dodd- Frank Act, the Appraisal Independence Rule, the Bank Secrecy Act, U. S. federal and state laws prohibiting unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices, and state foreclosure laws. These laws and regulations mandate certain disclosures and notices to borrowers and apply to loan origination, home appraisal, marketing, use of credit reports, safeguarding of non- public, personally identifiable information about borrowers, foreclosure and claims handling, investment of and interest payments on escrow balances and escrow payment features, and mandate certain disclosures and notices to borrowers. The Appraisal Independence Rule requires that there be a separation of duties to ensure no conflicts of interest. As part of our strategy to provide our clients innovative solutions to bottlenecks in the mortgage loan pipeline, in 2021, we launched UWM Appraisal Direct, in which we directly engage appraisers rather than utilizing an appraisal management company, and in 2022, we launched TRAC, which provides an alternative to the traditional title and closing process by removing the need for a lender title policy. While we believe that these programs meet all of the regulatory and legal requirements, there is a risk that a regulatory agency could decide that our programs do not meet all of the regulatory and legal requirements, or that these our programs will not be accepted by other market participants, which could expose us to additional liability, or subject us to repurchase obligations. Various federal, state and local laws have been enacted that are designed to discourage predatory lending and servicing practices. The Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act of 1994 (" HOEPA ") prohibits inclusion of certain provisions in residential loans that have mortgage rates or origination costs in excess of prescribed levels and requires that borrowers be given certain disclosures prior to origination. Some states have enacted, or may enact, similar laws or regulations, which in some cases impose restrictions and requirements greater than those in HOEPA. In addition, under the anti- predatory lending laws of some states, the origination of certain residential loans, including loans that are not classified as " high cost " loans under applicable law, must satisfy a net tangible benefits test with respect to the related borrower. This test may be highly subjective and open to interpretation. As a result, a court may determine that a residential loan, for example, does not meet the test even if the related originator reasonably believed that the test was satisfied. Our failure to comply with these laws, or the failure of residential loan originators or servicers to comply with these laws, to the extent any of their residential loans are or become part of our mortgage- related assets, could subject us, as an originator or a servicer, as applicable, or, in the case of acquired loans, as an assignee or purchaser, to monetary penalties and could result in the borrowers rescinding the affected loans. Lawsuits have been brought in various states making claims against originators, servicers, assignees and purchasers of high cost loans for violations of state law. Named defendants in these cases have included numerous participants within the secondary mortgage market. If our loans are found to have been originated in violation of predatory or abusive lending laws, we could be subject to lawsuits or governmental actions, or could be fined or incur losses. Both the scope of the laws, rules and regulations and the intensity of the regulatory oversight to which our business is subject has continue to increase increased over time. In the past years, Regulatory regulatory enforcement and fines had have also become more significant across the financial services sector. We expect For example, various federal regulatory agencies and departments, including the DOJ and CFPB, have historically taken the position that our business antidiscrimination statutes, such as the FHA and the ECOA, that of prohibit creditors from discriminating against loan applicants and borrowers based on certain characteristics, such as race, ethnicity, sex, religion and national origin apply not only to intentional discrimination, but also to neutral practices that have a disparate impact on a group that shares a characteristic that a creditor may not consider in making credit decisions (i. e., creditor our- or clients- servicing practices that have a disproportionate negative effect on a protected class of individuals). While the recent change in Presidential Administration is expected to reduce the rule making and enforcement power of these regulatory agencies, it is not clear the scope of such future limitations or the timing for

implementation. Furthermore, it is not clear what effect those changes will remain subject to extensive regulation and supervision. These **have, or whether as a result of lesser oversight by the federal authorities, states will increase their** regulatory **oversight. Moreover, subsequent** changes could **in administrations may** result in an increase **yet other changes** in our regulatory **oversight** compliance burden and associated costs and place restrictions on our origination and servicing operations. Our failure to comply with applicable U. S. federal, state and local consumer protection and data privacy laws could lead to: • loss of our licenses and approvals to engage in our servicing and lending businesses; • damage to our reputation in the industry; • governmental investigations and enforcement actions; • administrative fines and penalties and litigation; • civil and criminal liability, including class action lawsuits; • increased costs of doing business; • diminished ability to sell loans that we originate or purchase, requirements to sell such loans at a discount compared to other loans or repurchase or address indemnification claims from purchasers of such loans, including the GSEs; • reduced payments by borrowers; • modification of the original terms of mortgage loans; • permanent forgiveness of debt; • delays in the foreclosure process; • increased servicing advances; • inability to raise capital; and • inability to execute on our business strategy, including our growth plans. As these U. S. federal, state and local laws evolve, it may be more difficult for us to identify these developments comprehensively, to interpret changes accurately and to train our team members effectively with respect to these laws and regulations. These difficulties potentially increase our exposure to the risks of noncompliance with these laws and regulations, **including loss of our licenses and approvals to engage in our servicing and lending businesses, costs associated with defending ourselves from investigations and enforcement actions or resulting administrative fines and penalties and civil and criminal liability, including class action lawsuits,** which could be detrimental to our business. In addition, our failure to comply with these laws, regulations and rules may result in reduced payments by borrowers, modification of the original terms of loans, permanent forgiveness of debt, delays in the foreclosure process, increased servicing advances, litigation, enforcement actions, and repurchase and indemnification obligations. A failure to adequately supervise our clients, service providers and vendors, including outside foreclosure counsel, may also have these negative results. The laws and regulations applicable to us are subject to administrative or judicial interpretation. **Furthermore,** but some of **state and federal agencies may differ in their interpretations as may private plaintiffs. Litigation amongst** these laws **various agencies** and **between private plaintiffs, including participants in the mortgage industry, and these agencies have added complexity and ambiguity in interpreting these** regulations **have been enacted only recently and may not yet have been interpreted or may be interpreted infrequently.** Ambiguities in applicable laws and regulations may leave uncertainty with respect to permitted or restricted conduct and may make compliance with laws, and risk assessment decisions with respect to compliance with laws difficult and, uncertain. **In addition, ambiguities make it difficult, in certain circumstances, to determine if, and** **costly** how, compliance violations may be cured. The adoption by industry participants of different interpretations of these statutes and regulations has added uncertainty and complexity to compliance **and may result in them having a short or long term competitive advantage**. If we are deemed to have violated applicable statutes or regulations, it could result in regulatory investigations, state or federal governmental actions or private civil claims, including class actions, being brought against us. Such litigation would cause us to incur costs, fines and legal expenses in connection with these matters, regardless of any eventual ruling in our favor, and could also harm the reputation of our brand, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. To resolve issues raised in examinations or other governmental actions, we may be required to take various corrective actions, including changing certain business practices, making refunds **and /** or taking other actions that could be financially or competitively detrimental to us. We expect to continue to incur costs to comply with governmental regulations. In addition, certain legislative actions and judicial decisions can give rise to the initiation of lawsuits against us for activities we conducted in the past. Furthermore, provisions in our mortgage loan documentation, including but not limited to the mortgage and promissory notes we use in loan originations, could be construed as unenforceable by a court. We have been, and expect to continue to be, subject to regulatory enforcement actions and private causes of action from time to time with respect to our compliance with applicable laws and regulations. **The influx of laws, regulations, and other directives adopted in response to the COVID-19 pandemic exemplifies the ever-changing and increasingly complex regulatory landscape we operate in. The forbearance requirements imposed on mortgages servicers in the CARES Act added new regulatory responsibilities. The GSEs and the FHFA, Ginnie Mae, the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”), various investors and others issued guidance relating to COVID-19.** Although we have compliance management systems and procedures to comply with these legal and regulatory requirements, we cannot assure you that more restrictive laws and regulations will not be adopted in the future, or that governmental bodies or courts will not interpret existing laws or regulations in a more restrictive manner, which could render our current business practices non-compliant or which could make compliance more difficult or expensive. Any of these, or other, changes in laws or regulations could have a detrimental effect on our business. The CFPB **continues to be** **has traditionally been** active in its monitoring of the loan origination and servicing sectors, and **to the extent that it continues** its **recently issued rules** **path of regulation** and **heightened examination** **it will impact** and **enforcement scrutiny** increase our regulatory compliance burden and associated costs. We are subject to the regulatory, supervisory and enforcement authority of the CFPB, which has oversight of federal and state non-depository lending and servicing institutions, including residential mortgage originators and loan servicers. The CFPB has rulemaking and enforcement authority with respect to most of the federal consumer protection laws applicable to mortgage lenders and servicers, including TILA and RESPA and the FDCPA. The CFPB has issued a number of regulations under the Dodd- Frank Act relating to loan origination and servicing activities, including ability-to-repay, “Qualified Mortgage” standards and other origination standards and practices as well as guidance addressing relationships with brokers, communication with borrowers, secondary market transactions, servicing requirements that address, among other things, periodic billing statements, certain notices and acknowledgments, prompt crediting of borrowers’ accounts for payments received, additional notice, review and timing requirements with respect to delinquent borrowers, loss mitigation, prompt investigation of complaints by borrowers, and lender-placed insurance notices. **These**

regulations and guidance may adversely impact our ability or the cost to develop new products which respond to market conditions, subject us to additional requirements under the ECOA, for example with respect to valuations, including appraisals and automated valuation models, may subject us to additional rules and potential liability arising from our role as an originator, lender or loan servicer and potentially increase our lender liability, vendor management risk or other risks. Beyond these mortgage-specific initiatives, the CFPB **has also issued regulations** is generally increasing its scrutiny of fee-based business models and so-called "junk fees," fair lending and servicing, and potential misuse of consumer data. **With** all of which could subject players in the mortgage industry **second term of the Trump Administration, a level of heightened uncertainty exists with respect** to additional rules **the future of the CFPB, including its leadership. We cannot predict the specific legislative or supervisory executive actions that may result or what actions state regulators or enforcement agencies might take** scrutiny. Pursuant to its supervisory authority, the CFPB has conducted routine examinations of our business and will conduct future examinations. The CFPB's examinations have increased, and will likely continue to increase, our administrative and compliance costs. They could also greatly influence the availability and cost of residential mortgage credit and increase servicing costs and risks. These increased costs of compliance, the effect of CFPB rules on the lending and loan servicing industries, and any failure in **response** our ability, or our clients' ability, to **changes** comply with new rules could be detrimental to our business. The CFPB also issued guidelines on sending examiners to banks and other institutions that service and/or originate mortgages to assess whether consumers' interests are protected. The CFPB has conducted routine examinations of our business and will conduct future examinations. The CFPB has broad enforcement powers, and continues to use them aggressively to police mortgage lenders and servicers as well as other players in the mortgage ecosystem. Our failure to comply with the federal consumer protection laws, rules and regulations - **regulatory environment. Such** to which we are subject, whether actual or alleged, could expose us to investigations, enforcement actions or potential litigation liabilities. In addition, the occurrence of one or more of the foregoing events or a determination by any court or regulatory agency that our policies and procedures do not comply with applicable law could impact our business operations. For example, if the **industry generally, violation is related to our servicing operations it could lead impact our ability** to a transfer of **reach acceptable resolution with the CFPB our** - or servicing responsibilities, increased delinquencies **other regulatory or enforcement agencies** on **outstanding examinations** mortgage loans we service or any combination of these events. Such a determination could also require us to modify our - **or reviews** servicing standards. The expense of complying with new or modified servicing standards may be substantial. Any such changes or revisions may have a material impact on our servicing operations, which could be detrimental to our business. We are required to hold various agency approvals in order to conduct our business and there is no assurance that we will be able to obtain or maintain those agency approvals or that changes in agency guidelines will not materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. We are required to hold certain agency approvals in order to sell mortgage loans to GSEs and service such mortgage loans on their behalf. Our failure to satisfy the various requirements necessary to obtain and maintain such agency approvals over time would restrict our direct business activities and could materially and adversely impact our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. We are also required to follow specific guidelines that impact the way that we originate and service such agency loans. A significant change in these guidelines that has the effect of decreasing the fees we charge or requiring us to expend additional resources in providing mortgage services could decrease our revenues or increase our costs, which would also adversely affect our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. In addition, the FHFA has directed the GSEs to align their guidelines for servicing delinquent mortgages and assess compensatory penalties against servicers in connection with the failure to meet specified timelines relating to delinquent loans and foreclosure proceedings, and other breaches of servicing obligations. Our failure to operate efficiently and effectively within the prevailing regulatory framework and in accordance with the applicable origination and servicing guidelines and / or the loss of our seller / servicer license approval or approved issuer status with the agencies could result in our failure to benefit from available monetary incentives and / or expose us to monetary penalties and curtailments, all of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. The state regulatory agencies, GSEs and others continue to be active in their supervision of the loan origination and servicing sectors and the results of these examinations may be detrimental to our business. State attorneys general, state licensing regulators, and state and local consumer financial protection offices have authority to examine us and / or investigate consumer complaints and to commence investigations and other formal and informal proceedings regarding our operations and activities. **To the extent that the CFPB or other federal agencies decrease their regulation or oversight of the loan origination and servicing sectors, it may result in increased activity at the state level. This could increase costs and uncertainty as we would have to comply with a variety of state rules and state interpretations of federal statutes, rather than just one federal regulation. Furthermore, as national lender, we will effectively have to comply with strictest interpretation whereas some of our regional or state competitors may not, which could provide them a competitive advantage.** In addition, the GSEs and the FHFA, Ginnie Mae, the FTC, HUD, various investors, non-agency securitization trustees and others subject us to periodic reviews and audits. A determination **of our that we have failure** - **failed** to comply with applicable law could lead to enforcement action, administrative fines and penalties, or other administrative action. If we do not obtain and maintain the appropriate state licenses, we will not be allowed to originate or service loans in some states, which would adversely affect our operations. Our operations are subject to regulation, supervision and licensing under various federal, state and local statutes, ordinances and regulations. In most states in which we operate, a regulatory agency regulates and enforces laws relating to mortgage lenders and mortgage loan servicing companies **such as us**. In most states, we are subject to periodic examination by state regulatory authorities. Some states in which we operate require special licensing or provide extensive regulation of our business. **In addition** As part of licensing requirements, **as** we **roll out new services** are typically required to designate individual licensees of record. We cannot ensure that we are, and **products** will always remain, **such as title review** in full compliance with all state licensing laws and regulations, **settlement**

services and direct appraisals, we may be subject to **additional** fines or penalties, including license **licenses** revocation, for **or** any non-compliance. If we lose a license or are otherwise found to be in violation of a law or regulation **regulations**, our business operations in that state may be suspended until we obtain the license or otherwise remedy the compliance issue. We may not be able to maintain all requisite licenses and permits, and the failure to satisfy those and other regulatory requirements could restrict our ability to originate, purchase, sell or service loans. In addition, our failure to satisfy any such requirements relating to servicing of loans could result in a default under our servicing agreements and have a material adverse effect on our operations. Those states that currently do not provide extensive regulation of our business may later choose to do so, and if such states so act, we may not be able to obtain or maintain all requisite licenses and permits. The failure to satisfy those and other regulatory requirements could limit our ability to originate, purchase, sell or service loans in a certain state, or could result in a default under our financing and servicing agreements and have a material adverse effect on our operations. Furthermore, the adoption of additional, or the revision of existing, rules and regulations could have a detrimental effect on our business **to the extent they conflict with practices and / or operations we currently employ**. If new laws and regulations lengthen foreclosure times or introduce new regulatory requirements regarding foreclosure procedures, our operating costs could increase and we could be subject to regulatory action. When a mortgage loan we service is in foreclosure, we are generally required to continue to advance delinquent principal and interest to the securitization trust and to make advances for delinquent taxes **and**, insurance and foreclosure costs and the upkeep of vacant property in foreclosure to the extent that we determine that such amounts are recoverable. These servicing advances are generally recovered when the delinquency is resolved. Regulatory actions that lengthen the foreclosure process will increase the amount of servicing advances that we are required to make, lengthen the time it takes for us to be reimbursed for such advances and increase the costs incurred during the foreclosure process. These regulatory actions and similar **actions** that may be taken in the future could increase our operating costs and negatively impact our liquidity, as they may extend the period for which we are required to make advances for delinquent principal and interest, taxes and insurance, and could delay our ability to seek reimbursement from the investor to recoup some or all of the advances. Increased regulatory scrutiny and new laws and procedures could cause us to adopt additional compliance measures and incur additional compliance costs in connection with our foreclosure processes. We may incur legal and other costs responding to regulatory inquiries or **addressing** any allegation that we improperly foreclosed on a borrower. We could also suffer reputational damage and could be fined or otherwise penalized if we are found to have breached regulatory requirements. Our servicing policies and procedures are subject to examination by our regulators, and the results of these examinations may be detrimental to our business. As a loan servicer, we are examined for compliance with U. S. federal, state and local laws, rules and guidelines by numerous regulatory agencies. It is possible that any of these regulators will inquire about our servicing practices, policies or procedures and require us to revise them in the future. The occurrence of one or more of the foregoing events or a determination by any court or regulatory agency that our servicing policies and procedures do not comply with applicable law could lead to penalties and fines, changes to our servicing practices and standards, transfer of our servicing responsibilities, increased delinquencies on mortgage loans we service or any combination of these events, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. ~~Antidiscrimination statutes, such as the FHA and the ECOA, prohibit creditors from discriminating against loan applicants and borrowers based on certain characteristics, such as race, ethnicity, sex, religion and national origin. States have analogous anti-discrimination laws that extend protections beyond the protected classes under federal law, extending protections, for example, to gender identity. Various federal regulatory agencies and departments, including the DOJ and CFPB, take the position that these laws apply not only to intentional discrimination, but also to neutral practices that have a disparate impact on a group that shares a characteristic that a creditor may not consider in making credit decisions (i. e., creditor or servicing practices that have a disproportionate negative effect on a protected class of individuals). These regulatory agencies, as well as consumer advocacy groups and plaintiffs' attorneys, are focusing greater attention on "disparate impact" claims. The U. S. Supreme Court has confirmed that the "disparate impact" theory applies to cases brought under the FHA, while emphasizing that a causal relationship must be shown between a specific policy of the defendant and a discriminatory result that is not justified by a legitimate, non-discriminatory business objective of the defendant. Although it is still unclear whether disparate impact theory applies under the ECOA, regulatory agencies and private plaintiffs can be expected to continue to apply it to both the FHA and the ECOA in the context of home loan lending and servicing. Application of disparate impact theory to our activities exposes us to significant administrative burdens and risks potential liability for noncompliance. Furthermore, many industry observers believe that the "ability to repay" rule issued by the CFPB, will have the unintended consequence of having a disparate impact on protected classes. Specifically, it is possible that lenders that make only qualified mortgages may be exposed to discrimination claims under a disparate impact theory. Beyond exposure to potential fair lending or servicing claims under disparate impact theory, lenders face increasing regulatory, enforcement and litigation risk under the FHA and ECOA from claims of "redlining" and "reverse redlining." Redlining is the practice of denying a creditworthy applicant a loan for housing in a certain neighborhood even though the applicant may be otherwise qualified. Reverse redlining is targeting an applicant in a certain neighborhood for a higher cost products or services. Enforcement actions have also been brought against lenders who have been accused of discouraging prospective loan applicants from seeking financing. In late 2021, the DOJ launched a "combating redlining initiative" and partnership with other federal and state agencies, including the CFPB, to police these practices, making clear they are a high priority across the financial services regulatory ecosystem. The Biden Administration, in June 2021, also formed an interagency task force to address concerns around improper bias in home appraisals. The CFPB, HUD and FHFA all have been clear that policing such bias and working to develop new guidance for industry as to how it can reduce human discretion in the home appraisal and valuation process are key agency priorities in 2023. Such efforts could result in a change in our appraisal practices or expose us to liability under the FHA or ECOA. In addition to reputational harm, violations of the ECOA and the FHA can result in actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive or equitable relief, attorneys' fees and civil money penalties.~~

From time to time, we are subject to various legal actions that if decided adversely, could be detrimental to our business. From time to time, we are named as a defendant in legal proceedings alleging improper lending, servicing or marketing practices, abusive loan terms and fees, disclosure violations, quiet title actions, improper foreclosure practices, violations of consumer protection, securities or other laws, breach of contract and other related matters. In addition, we have a large number of team members and have increased our profile in the community and nationally. As a result, the number of lawsuits against us regarding alleged violation of employment laws, including wage and hour, and other employment issues, has and may continue to increase. In recent years there has been an increase in the number of collective and class actions with respect to employment matters against employers generally. Coupled with the expansion of social media platforms and similar **devices-platforms** that allow individuals access to a broad audience, these claims, whether or not they have merit, could result in reputational risk, negative publicity, out-of-pocket costs and distractions to our management team. We are subject to various consumer protection regulatory regimes which expose us to liability directly from consumers. We operate in an industry that is highly sensitive to consumer protection, and we and our clients are subject to numerous local, state and federal laws that are continuously changing. Remediation for non-compliance with these laws can be costly and significant fines may be incurred. We are routinely involved in consumer complaints, regulatory actions and legal proceedings in the ordinary course of our business and may become subject to class action suits alleging non-compliance with these laws. If we were to become involved in a lengthy litigation, we could incur substantial costs and our resources and the attention of management could be diverted from our business. We are also routinely involved in state regulatory audits and examinations, and occasionally involved in other governmental proceedings arising in connection with our respective businesses. Negative public opinion can result from our actual or alleged conduct in any number of activities. Negative public opinion can also result from actions taken by government regulators and community organizations in response to our activities, from consumer complaints, including in the CFPB complaints database, and from media coverage, whether accurate or not. Any of these types of matters could cause us to incur costs, loss of business, fines and legal expenses, regardless of any eventual ruling in our favor, and could also harm the reputation of our brand, ~~any~~. **Any of which these instances** could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Accounting rules for certain of our transactions are highly complex and involve significant judgment and assumptions. Changes in accounting interpretations or assumptions could impact our financial statements. Accounting rules for mortgage loan sales and securitizations, valuations of financial instruments and MSRs, investment consolidations, income taxes and other aspects of our operations are highly complex and involve significant judgment and assumptions. These complexities could lead to a delay in preparation of financial information and the delivery of this information to our stockholders and also increase the risk of errors and restatements, as well as the cost of compliance. Our inability to timely prepare our financial statements in the future would likely be considered a breach of our financial covenants and adversely affect our share price significantly, **and may jeopardize our federal approvals and state licenses, which may require periodic submission of financial statements**. Changes in accounting interpretations or assumptions as well as accounting rule misinterpretations could result in differences in our financial results or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

Risks Associated with Our Corporate Structure and Common Stock-our Capital Structure We are controlled by SFS Corp., whose interests may conflict with our interests and the interests of other stockholders. SFS Corp. holds all of our issued and outstanding Class D common stock, which has ten votes per share, and controls approximately 79 % of the combined voting power of our Common Stock (our Class A common stock, Class B common stock, Class C common stock and Class D common stock collectively, the “Common Stock”) (based on the Voting Limitation). Without the Voting Limitation, SFS Corp. would have 99 % of the combined voting power of our capital stock. As long as SFS Corp. owns at least 10 % of the outstanding Common Stock, SFS Corp. will have the ability to determine all corporate actions requiring stockholder approval, including the election and removal of directors and the size of our Board, any amendment to our certificate of incorporation or bylaws, or the approval of any merger or other significant corporate transaction, including a sale of substantially all of our assets. This could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control or otherwise discouraging a potential acquirer from attempting to obtain control of us, which could cause the market price of our Class A common stock to decline or prevent stockholders from realizing a premium over the market price for our Class A common stock. SFS Corp.’s interests may conflict with our interests as a company or the interests of our other stockholders. Resales of the outstanding shares of Class A common stock or shares issuable upon Holdings LLC Unit Exchanges, exercise of Warrants or in connection with the Earn-Out could depress the market price of our Class A common stock or result in dilution. As of February 23-24, 2024-2025, there were 94-157, 507-975, 889-819 shares of our Class A common stock outstanding, **substantially all of which can be resold without restrictions**. In addition, **there are** (1) 1, 502-440, 069-332, 787-098 shares of Class A common stock (or approximately 1, 592-531, 831-093, 471-782 shares of Class A common stock if the full amount of the Earn-Out Shares is earned) **that** may be issued to SFS Corp. or its transferees or assignees in connection with future Holdings LLC Unit Exchanges and (2) 15, 874, 987 shares may be issued upon exercise of our outstanding Warrants with a strike price of \$ 11.50 per share. **We Currently-currently, all of have an effective registration statement registering the resale by SFS Corp of 150.0 million** shares of Class A common stock outstanding are freely tradable. In addition, we have the obligation to register for resale, at any time, all of the Shares of Class A Common Stock (issuable to SFS Corp. upon Holdings LLC Unit Exchanges, of which 500 million 88, 262, 311 remain) **and have the obligation under their registration rights agreement to register the issuance of all other** shares have been currently registered **of Class A Common Stock that may be issued to them**. Shares of Class A common stock issuable upon the exercise of our Warrants or in connection with the Earn-Out or upon Holdings LLC Unit Exchanges- **Exchange Transactions** may result in dilution to the then existing holders of our Class A common stock and increase the number of shares eligible for resale in the public market. **During 2024, an aggregate of 61, 737, 689 Holdings LLC Units were exchanged for shares of Class A Common Stock and were sold in public or private transactions**. Such sales of shares of Class A common stock or

the perception that such sales may occur could depress the market price of our Class A common stock. As a “ controlled company ” within the meaning of NYSE listing rules, we qualify for exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements. We have the opportunity to elect any of the exemptions afforded a controlled company. Because SFS Corp. controls more than a majority of our total voting power, we are a “ controlled company ” within the meaning of NYSE listing rules. Under NYSE rules, a company of which more than 50 % of the voting power is held by another person or group of persons acting together is a “ controlled company ” and may elect not to comply with the following NYSE rules regarding corporate governance: • the requirement that a majority of our Board of directors consist of independent directors; • the requirement that compensation of our executive officers be determined by a majority of the independent directors of the Board or a compensation committee comprised solely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee’s purpose and responsibilities; and • the requirement that director nominees be selected, or recommended for the Board’s selection, either by a majority of the independent directors of the Board or a nominating committee comprised solely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee’s purpose and responsibilities. Three of our ten directors are independent directors and our Board has an independent audit committee. However, our Board does not have a majority of independent directors, or a compensation committee comprised of solely independent directors or a nominating committee. Rather, actions with respect to executive compensation will be taken by the Compensation Committee on which Mr. Mat Ishbia sits, and compensation decisions with respect to Mr. Ishbia’s compensation will be taken by a special subcommittee, and director nominations will be made by our full Board. Our Board has determined that Stacey Coopes, Kelly Czubak, and Robert Verdun are “ independent directors, ” as defined in the NYSE listing rules and applicable SEC rules. We may experience volatility in the trading price of our shares due to fluctuations in our quarterly operating results or other factors. Significant fluctuations in the price of our securities could contribute to the loss of all or part of your investment. Since the consummation of our Business Combination, trading in the shares of our Class A common stock has been extremely volatile and subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. Accordingly, the valuation ascribed to us and our Class A common shares may not be indicative of the price of that will prevail in the trading market in the future. Any of the factors in this Annual Report could have a material adverse effect on your investment in our securities and our securities may trade at prices significantly below the price you paid for them. In such circumstances, the trading price of our securities may not recover and may experience a further decline. In addition, broad market and industry factors may materially harm the market price of our securities irrespective of our operating performance. The stock market in general and NYSE have experienced price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of the particular companies affected. In addition, the trading prices of companies that were formerly special purpose acquisition companies have **experienced**, and may continue to, experience volatility unrelated to the operating performance of the specific company. The trading prices and valuations of these stocks, and of our securities, may not be predictable. A loss of investor confidence in the market for the stocks of other companies that investors perceive to be similar to our business could depress our stock price regardless of our business, prospects, financial condition or results of operations. A decline in the market price of our securities also could adversely affect our ability to issue additional securities and our ability to obtain additional financing in the future. In the past, securities class action litigation has often been initiated against companies following periods of volatility in their stock price. This type of litigation could result in substantial costs and divert our management’s attention and resources, and could also require us to make substantial payments to satisfy judgments or to settle litigation. **Our outstanding Warrants are accounted for as liabilities and the changes in value of our outstanding Warrants could have an adverse effect on our financial results and thus may have an adverse effect on the market price of our securities. We account for our outstanding Warrants as liabilities at fair value on our balance sheet. The Warrants are subject to remeasurement at each balance sheet date and any change in fair value is recognized as a component of earnings in each period for which our earnings are reported. We will continue to adjust the liability for changes in fair value until the earlier of exercise or expiration of the Warrants. The volatility introduced by changes in fair value on earnings may have an adverse effect on our quarterly and annual financial results**. Anti- takeover provisions contained in our Charter and Amended and Restated Bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could impair a takeover attempt. Our Charter contains provisions that may discourage unsolicited takeover proposals that stockholders may consider to be in their best interests. We are also subject to anti- takeover provisions under Delaware law, which could delay or prevent a change of control. Together, these provisions may make more difficult the removal of management and may discourage transactions that otherwise could involve payment of a premium over prevailing market prices for our securities. These provisions include: • a capital structure where holders of Class B common stock and holders of Class D common stock each have ten votes per share of Class B common stock and Class D common stock (as compared with holders of Class A common stock and holders of Class C common stock, who each have one vote per share of Class A common stock and Class C common stock, respectively) and consequently have a greater ability to control the outcome of matters requiring stockholder approval, even when the holders of Class B common stock and Class D common stock own significantly less than a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock; • no cumulative voting in the election of directors, which limits the ability of minority stockholders to elect candidates to serve as a director of our Board; • a classified Board with three- year staggered terms, which could delay the ability of stockholders to change the membership of a majority of our Board; • the requirement that, at any time from and after the Voting Rights Threshold Date, directors elected by the stockholders generally entitled to vote may be removed from our Board solely for cause; • the exclusive right of our Board, from and after the Voting Rights Threshold Date, to fill newly created directorships and vacancies with respect to directors elected by the stockholders generally entitled to vote, which prevents stockholders from being able to fill vacancies on our Board; • the prohibition on stockholder action by written consent from and after the Voting Rights Threshold Date, which forces stockholder action from and after the Voting Rights Threshold Date to be taken at an annual or special meeting of stockholders; • the requirement that special meetings of stockholders may only be called by the Chairperson of our Board, our Chief Executive

Officer or our Board, which may delay the ability of our stockholders to force consideration of a proposal or to take action, including the removal of directors; • the requirement that, from and after the Voting Rights Threshold Date, amendments to certain provisions of our Charter and amendments to the Amended and Restated Bylaws must be approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least seventy-five percent (75 %) in voting power of our then outstanding shares generally entitled to vote; • our authorized but unissued shares of Common Stock and Preferred Stock, par value \$ 0.0001 per share, are available for future issuances without stockholder approval and could be utilized for a variety of corporate purposes, including future offerings to raise additional capital, acquisitions and employee benefit plans; the existence of authorized but unissued and unreserved shares of Common Stock and Preferred Stock could render more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of us by means of a proxy contest, tender offer, merger or otherwise; and • advance notice procedures set forth in the Amended and Restated Bylaws that stockholders must comply with in order to nominate candidates to our Board or to propose other matters to be acted upon at a meeting of stockholders, which may discourage or deter a potential acquirer from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect the acquirer's own slate of directors or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us. Our Charter contains a provision renouncing our interest and expectancy in certain corporate opportunities. Our Charter provides that we have no interests or expectancy in, or being offered an opportunity to participate in any corporate opportunity, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, with respect to any lines of business or business activity or business venture conducted by any UWM Related Persons as of the date of the filing of our Charter with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware or received by, presented to or originated by UWM Related Persons after the date of the filing of our Charter with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware in such UWM Related Person's capacity as a UWM Related Person (and not in his, her or its capacity as a director, officer or employee of ours), in each case, other than any corporate opportunity with respect to residential mortgage lending. These provisions of our Charter create the possibility that a corporate opportunity of ours may be used for the benefit of the UWM Related Persons. The provision of our Charter requiring exclusive forum in the state courts in the State of Michigan or the State of Delaware for certain types of lawsuits may have the effect of discouraging lawsuits against our directors and officers. Our Charter requires that, unless we consent in writing to the selection of an alternative forum, (i) any derivative action brought on our behalf, (ii) any action asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any director, officer, or employee of our business to us or our stockholders, (iii) any action asserting a claim arising pursuant to any provision of the DGCL, our Charter or Amended and Restated Bylaws, or (iv) any action asserting a claim governed by the internal affairs doctrine of the State of Delaware, in each case, to be filed in either (x) the Sixth Judicial Circuit, Oakland County, Michigan (or, if the Sixth Judicial Circuit, Oakland County, Michigan lacks jurisdiction over any such action or proceeding, then another state court of the State of Michigan, or if no state court of the State of Michigan has jurisdiction over any such action or proceeding, then the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan) or (y) the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (or, if the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware lacks jurisdiction over any such action or proceeding, then the Superior Court of the State of Delaware, or, if the Superior Court of the State of Delaware lacks jurisdiction then the U. S. District Court for the District of Delaware). The exclusive forum provision described above does not apply to actions arising under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act. Section 27 of the Exchange Act creates exclusive federal jurisdiction over all suits brought to enforce any duty or liability created by the Exchange Act or the rules and regulations thereunder, and Section 22 of the Securities Act creates concurrent jurisdiction for federal and state courts over all suits brought to enforce any duty or liability created by the Securities Act or the rules and regulations thereunder. Although we believe these exclusive forum provisions benefit us by providing increased consistency in the application of Delaware law, the exclusive forum provisions may limit a stockholder's ability to bring a claim in a judicial forum that it finds favorable for disputes with us or any of our directors, officers or stockholders, which may discourage lawsuits with respect to such claims. Further, in the event a court finds the exclusive forum provision contained in our Charter to be unenforceable or inapplicable in an action, we may incur additional costs associated with resolving such action in other jurisdictions, which could harm our business, operating results and financial condition. General Risk Factors Unanticipated changes in effective tax rates or adverse outcomes resulting from examination of our income or other tax returns could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. We are subject to income taxes in the U. S. at the federal, state and local levels. Our future effective tax rates could be subject to volatility or adversely affected by a number of factors, including: • changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities; • expected timing and amount of the release of any tax valuation allowances; • tax effects of stock-based compensation; • changes in tax laws, regulations or interpretations thereof; • increases in UWMC's ownership of Holdings LLC resulting from Holdings LLC Unit Exchanges; or • lower than anticipated future earnings in jurisdictions where we have lower statutory tax rates and higher than anticipated future earnings in jurisdictions where we have higher statutory tax rates. In addition, we may be subject to audits of our income, sales and other transaction taxes by taxing authorities. Outcomes from these audits could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.