Legend: New Text Removed Text Unchanged Text Moved Text Section

Our business is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including those highlighted in the section of this report captioned " Risk Factors." The following is a summary of the principal risks we face: • We have incurred significant losses since our inception and anticipate that we will continue to incur significant losses for the foreseeable future. • We will need to raise additional funding, which may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. Failure to obtain necessary capital when needed may force us to delay, limit or terminate our product discovery and development programs or commercialization efforts or other operations . • Our business and operations could suffer in the event of an actual or perceived information security incident such as a cybersecurity breach, system failure, or other compromise of our systems or those of a third- party or other contractor or vendor. • Our business substantially depends upon the successful development of XEN1101. If we are unable to obtain regulatory approval for, and successfully commercialize, XEN1101, our business may be materially harmed. • Clinical trials may fail to demonstrate adequately the safety and efficacy of our, or our collaborators', product candidates at any stage of clinical development. Terminating the development of any of our, or our collaborators', product candidates could materially harm our business and the market price of our common shares. • We, or our collaborators, may find it difficult to enroll patients in our clinical trials , including for ultra- orphan, orphan or niche indications, which could delay or prevent the successful completion of clinical trials of our product candidates. • We, or our collaborators, may incur unexpected costs or experience delays in completing, or ultimately be unable to complete, the development and commercialization of our, or our collaborators', product candidates. • The regulatory approval processes of the FDA, EMA and regulators in other foreign jurisdictions are lengthy, time- consuming and inherently unpredictable. If we, or our collaborators, are unable to obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates in a timely manner, or at all, our business will may be substantially harmed. • If , in the future, we are unable to establish our own sales, marketing and distribution capabilities or enter into agreements for these purposes, we may not be successful in independently commercializing any future products. • Our prospects for successful development and commercialization of our partnered products and product candidates are dependent upon the research, development and marketing efforts of our collaborators. • We depend on our collaborative relationship with Neurocrine Biosciences Inc., or Neurocrine Bioseiences, to further develop and commercialize NBI- 921352, and if our relationship is not successful or is terminated, we may not be able to effectively develop and / or commercialize NBI- 921352. • Our reliance on third parties to manufacture our product candidates may increase the risk that we will not have sufficient quantities of our product candidates, raw materials, APIs or drug products when needed or at an acceptable cost. • We rely on third parties to conduct our pre-clinical studies and clinical trials. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties, including to comply with applicable laws and regulations or meet expected deadlines, our business could be substantially harmed. • We could be unsuccessful in obtaining or maintaining adequate patent protection for one or more of our products or, product candidates or future products. • We may not be able to protect our intellectual property rights throughout the world. • Our business and operations could suffer in the event of an actual or perceived information security incident such as a cybersecurity breach, system failure or other compromise of our systems and / or information, including information held by a thirdparty contractor or vendor. • The market price of our common shares may be volatile, and purchasers of our common shares could incur substantial losses. • Future sales and issuances of our common shares or securities convertible into or exchangeable for common shares would cause our shareholders to incur dilution and could cause the market price of our common shares to fall. Our Risk Factors are not guarantees that no such conditions exist as of the date of this report and should not be interpreted as an affirmative statement that such risks or conditions have not materialized, in whole or in part. Item 1. Business We are a elinical stage neuroscience- focused biopharmaceutical company committed to delivering innovative therapeutics to improve improving the lives of patients people living with neurological and psychiatric disorders. We are advancing a novel product pipeline of neurology- focused therapies to address areas of high unmet medical need, including with a focus on epilepsy and **depression**. In addition to our proprietary product candidates, we also have partnered programs with academic and industry collaborators, including Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., or Neurocrine Biosciences. Our Strategy Our goal is to build a fullyintegrated and profitable biopharmaceutical company that discovers, develops, and commercializes innovative therapeutics to improve the health treat a range of neuroscience diseases patients with epilepsy and other neurological disorders. Key components of our strategy include: • Leveraging our discovery capabilities - which were founded upon our understanding of the genetics of channelopathies combined with proprietary biology and medicinal chemistry assets and know- how - to identify product candidates for development, drug targets and / or new indications for our existing product candidates; • Advancing selected proprietary product candidates through clinical development; • Selectively establishing collaborations that allow us to potentially expand our internal capabilities and / or address broader commercial opportunities than may be possible independently; • Identifying opportunities to further expand our pipeline though indication expansion, acquisition, or inlicensing of external product candidates; and • Commercializing product candidates alone or in collaboration with others. Our Pipeline Our Product Candidates Overview of XEN1101, A Kv7 Potassium Channel Opener-XEN1101 is a differentiated novel, potent Kv7 potassium channel opener being developed for the treatment of epilepsy, major depressive disorder, or MDD, and potentially other neurological disorders, including major depressive disorder, or MDD. The Kv7 potassium channel mechanism has been clinically validated with ezogabine, an earlier generation Kv7 opener that was approved by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, as an adjunctive treatment for adults with focal seizures with or without secondary generalization. XEN1101 2 s unique composition is chemically designed to improve upon potency, selectivity and

```
pharmacokinetics, or for Epilepsy (PK, of ezogabine, and we believe XEN1101 does not have ezogabine's composition-
specific tissue pigmentation effects. XEN1101 for Focal Onset Seizures (FOS-) Our In October 2021, we announced positive
results from our Phase 2b X-TOLE clinical trial, which evaluated the clinical efficacy, safety and tolerability of XEN1101
administered as an adjunctive treatment for adult patients with focal epilepsy. The topline data showed all primary and
secondary seizure reduction endpoints were statistically significant across all dose groups, including the primary endpoint of
median percent change, or MPC, from baseline in monthly seizure frequency and in the key secondary endpoint of patients with
at least a 50 % reduction in monthly focal seizure frequency from baseline, with p-values of < 0, 001 for both the 20 mg and 25
mg dose groups. For a more detailed description of XEN1101 clinical results, see "Summary of XEN1101 Clinical Results"
below. Following the positive results of our Phase 2b X- TOLE clinical trial, we had an End- of- Phase 2 meeting with the FDA
and initiated our XEN1101 Phase 3 development epilepsy program, which includes two identical Phase 3 clinical trials to be
run in parallel, called X-TOLE2 and X-TOLE3, that are designed closely after the Phase 2b X-TOLE clinical trial. These
multicenter, randomized, double- blind, placebo- controlled trials will are evaluate evaluating the clinical efficacy, safety, and
tolerability of 15 mg or 25 mg of XEN1101 administered with food as adjunctive treatment in approximately 360 patients per
study with focal onset seizures, or FOS. The primary efficacy endpoint is the median percent change, or MPC, in monthly
seizure frequency from baseline through the double-blind period, or DBP, of XEN1101 compared to placebo. Xenon
anticipates patient enrollment in X- TOLE2 will be completed in late 2024 to early 2025. XEN1101 for Epilepsy (Primary
Generalized Tonic - Clonic Seizures (PGTCS) Our We have initiated a Phase 3 X-ACKT clinical trial is intended, ealled X-
ACKT, to support potential regulatory submissions in an additional epilepsy indication of primary generalized tonic - clonic
seizures, or PGTCS. This multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study will is evaluate evaluating the
clinical efficacy, safety, and tolerability of 25 mg of XEN1101 administered with food as adjunctive treatment in approximately
160 patients with PGTCS. The primary efficacy endpoint is the MPC in monthly PGTCS frequency from baseline through the
DBP of XEN1101 compared to placebo. <mark>XEN1101 for Epilepsy (Open- Label Extension)</mark> Upon completion of the DBP in X-
TOLE2, X-TOLE3, or X-ACKT, eligible patients may enter an open-label extension, or OLE, study for up to three years. In
addition, the ongoing X- TOLE Phase 2b OLE, which has been extended from five years to seven years, continues to
generate important long- term data for XEN1101. Summary of XEN1101 for Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) Based on
promising pre- clinical Clinical data with XEN1101 and published clinical data generated using ezogabine, we are evaluating
the clinical efficacy, safety and tolerability of XEN1101 administered as monotherapy in approximately 150 patients with MDD
in a Phase 2 clinical trial called X-NOVA. Designed as a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter clinical
study, the primary objective is to assess the efficacy of XEN1101 compared to placebo on improvement of depressive symptoms
in subjects diagnosed with moderate to severe MDD, using the Montgomery- Asberg Depression Rating Scale, or MADRS,
score change through week six. Topline results-Results from the X-NOVA study are anticipated in Epilepsy the third quarter
of 2023. We are also collaborating with the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai to support an ongoing investigator-
sponsored Phase 2 proof- of- concept, randomized, parallel- arm, placebo- controlled multi- site study of XEN1101 for the
treatment of MDD in approximately 60 subjects. The primary objective of the study is to investigate the effect of XEN1101 on
the brain reward circuit as measured by the change in bilateral ventral striatum activity as assessed by functional MRI, or fMRI.
The secondary objectives are to test the effect of XEN1101 compared to placebo on clinical measures of depression and
anhedonia using the MADRS and Snaith-Hamilton Pleasure Scale, or SHAPS, respectively. Phase 1: Phase 1 studies conducted
in healthy subjects suggested that XEN1101 was generally safe and well tolerated in the doses examined, and its PK
pharmacokinetic profile supported a once- daily dosing schedule with food and without the need for titration, which has
been utilized in all Phase 2 and Phase 3 trials. We completed a Phase 1 clinical trial that evaluated the safety, tolerability and
PK pharmacokinetic profile of both single ascending doses, or SAD, and multiple ascending doses, or MAD, of XEN1101 in
healthy subjects. The XEN1101 Phase 1 results include data from six SAD cohorts ranging in dose from 5 to 30 mg (n = 34,
placebo = 8), including a crossover food effect cohort (n = 10) with a single 20 mg dose. MAD results included three cohorts
ranging in once daily doses from 15 to 25 mg (n = 18, placebo = 6) including two cohorts of 15 mg evaluated in a fasted and fed
state over 7 and 10 days, respectively, and one cohort of 25 mg evaluated in a fed state over 10 days. The PK profile of
XEN1101 supports a once- per- day dosing schedule without the need for titration. The majority of adverse events, or AEs,
were mild or moderate, resolved spontaneously and were consistent with anti- seizure medications, or ASMs. There were no
serious adverse events, deaths, or clinically significant delayed ventricular repolarization or laboratory findings. Phase 1 results
suggest that XEN1101 is generally safe and well tolerated in the doses examined (single doses of up to 30 mg and multiple
doses of up to 25 mg once daily). Phase 1b: Data from a Phase 1b transcranial magnetic stimulation, or TMS, study – which was
designed to assess XEN1101's ability and potency to modulate cortical excitability – demonstrated activity in the target CNS
tissue and helped inform dose selection for our Phase 2b clinical trial. This Phase 1b double-blind, placebo-controlled,
randomized cross- over TMS study included 20 healthy male subjects. TMS measurements were taken at 2 and 4 hours for all
subjects and, due to a prolonged absorption phase displayed by XEN1101, an additional TMS assessment time-point was added
at 6 hours for a subset of subjects. Subjects were randomized initially to either a 20 mg dose of XEN1101 or placebo and then,
after a one- week wash- out period, crossed over to the other treatment arm. XEN1101 reduced corticospinal excitability, as
demonstrated by a concentration dependent elevation in resting motor threshold, or RMT, the key TMS-EMG measure. RMT
increased in proportion to XEN1101 plasma concentration showing a mean ± standard error of mean increase of 4.9 ± 0.7 % (p
< 0.01) at 6 hours. Active motor threshold, or AMT, also increased in proportion to plasma concentration of XEN1101 with an
increase of 2. 0 \pm 0.4 % at 6 hours. In addition, XEN1101 statistically significantly modulated TMS- evoked
electroencephalogram, or EEG, potentials, or TEPs, in a pattern consistent with reductions in cortical excitability. Relative to
time- matched placebo, at peak plasma levels, XEN1101 decreased the amplitude of TEPs vs placebo at 25, 45 and 180 ms after
the TMS pulse. Additional measures of cortical excitability including global mean field power were similarly impacted.
```

XEN1101 also shifted the power spectra of resting state EEGs toward lower frequencies. This Phase 1b TMS study provided evidence of the CNS effects of a 20 mg dose of XEN1101 as indicated by suppression of cortical and corticospinal excitability, which helped inform dose selection for our XEN1101 Phase 2b clinical trial. Phase 2b X-TOLE Clinical Trial: In October 2021, we announced topline results from the Phase 2b X- TOLE clinical trial, which was designed as a randomized, doubleblind, placebo- controlled, multicenter study to evaluate the clinical efficacy, safety, and tolerability of 10 mg, 20 mg, or 25 mg of XEN1101 administered as once-daily adjunctive treatment with food in adult patients with focal epilepsy. The study included a total of 325 randomized and treated subjects in the safety population and 323 subjects in the modified intent- to- treat population for the efficacy analyses. Subjects had an average age of 40. 8 ± 13 . 3 years, and 8. 9 %, 40. 3 - 6 %, or 50. 8 - 5 % of the subjects were on and continued taking one, two, or three stable background ASMs throughout the study, respectively, and failed a median of 6 previous ASMs prior to study entry. The median baseline seizure frequency across the study groups was approximately 13. 5 seizures per month. Of the 285 subjects who completed the double- blind period, 96. 5 % entered the OLE to evaluate the long-term safety, tolerability, and effectiveness of XEN1101. Summary of X-TOLE Efficacy Results in the DBP: The X- TOLE trial met its primary efficacy endpoint with XEN1101 demonstrating a statistically significant and dosedependent reduction from baseline in monthly (defined as 28 days) focal seizure frequency when compared to placebo (monotonic dose response; p < 0.001). Primary and secondary measures in the topline data set included a pairwise comparison of each active dose to placebo and a responder analysis with the proportion of patients who achieved a 50 % or greater reduction in monthly focal seizure frequency from baseline. XEN1101 demonstrated a statistically significant reduction from baseline in monthly focal seizure frequency in pairwise comparisons to placebo for all three XEN1101 doses. The median percent reduction in monthly focal seizure frequency was 52.8 % in the XEN1101 25 mg group, 46.4 % in the XEN1101 20 mg group, and 33.2 % in the XEN1101 10 mg group compared to 18.2 % in the placebo group. Statistical significance was achieved for all dose groups compared to placebo with 2- sided p- values of p < 0.001 for 25 mg vs. placebo, p < 0.001 for 20 mg vs. placebo, and p = 0.035 for 10 mg vs. placebo. A prespecified secondary endpoint of the study was a responder analysis, which compared the proportion of study subjects treated with XEN1101 who achieved a ≥ 50 % reduction in monthly focal seizures versus placebo. The percentage of subjects who achieved a ≥ 50 % reduction in monthly focal seizures was 54.5 % in the XEN1101 25 mg group, 43. 1 % in the XEN1101 20 mg group, and 28. 3 % in the XEN1101 10 mg group compared to 14. 9 % in the placebo group. Statistical significance was achieved for all dose groups compared to placebo with 2- sided p- values of p < 0. 001 for 25 mg vs. placebo, p < 0.001 for 20 mg vs. placebo, and p = 0.037 for 10 mg vs placebo. In addition to the topline data, further sub- analyses were presented in December 2021 at the Annual Meeting of the American Epilepsy Society, or AES 2021. These sub- analyses include the proportion of patients with at least a 75 % reduction in monthly focal seizure frequency from baseline along with the proportion of patients who achieved 100 % reduction in monthly seizure frequency from baseline. Efficacy results are summarized in the following table; all p-values are 2-sided comparing the active dose to placebo for the prespecified primary and secondary seizure reduction endpoints: XEN1101 25 mg (N = 112) XEN1101 20 mg (N = 51) XEN1101 10 mg (N = 46) Placebo (N = 114) Median reduction from baseline in monthly focal seizure frequency 52. 8 % (p < 0. 001) 46. 4 % (p < 0.001) 33. 2 % (p = 0.035) 18. 2 % Patients with at least a 50 % reduction in monthly focal seizure frequency from baseline 54. 5 % (p < 0. 001) 43. 1 % (p < 0. 001) 28. 3 % (p = 0. 037) 14. 9 % Patients with at least a 75 % reduction in monthly focal seizure frequency from baseline 29. 5 % 29. 4 % 8. 7 % 6. 1 % Patients with 100 % reduction in monthly focal seizure frequency from baseline 6.3 % 7.8 % 2.2 % 1.8 % Additional sub- analyses were performed in patients with different baseline characteristics given that X-TOLE included a "difficult- to- treat" patient population as defined by the number of prior failed ASMs, concomitant ASMs on study, and baseline seizure burden. The table below outlines a sub-group analyses of median percent reduction in seizures within the 25 mg dose group, showing that there was a significant increase in seizure reduction in patients with less disease severity at baseline: XEN1101 25 mg Median reduction from baseline in monthly focal seizures frequencyOverall frequency PlaceboOverall in X-TOLE 52.8 % (N = 112) 52-18. 8-2 % (n = 114) Prior failed 3 50 51 . 8 3 % **(n = 54) 20. 4 % (n = 56)** Concomitant ASMs < 2 60 59 . 9 7 % **(n = 58) 14. 4 % (n = 58)** Baseline seizures > 8. 5 per month 50. 8 % (n = 83) 18. 2 % (n = 84) Baseline seizures < 8. 5 per month 70. 6 % (n = 29) 18. 8 % (n = 30) In addition, an analysis of seizure reduction across seizure subtypes showed a median percent reduction in monthly focal seizure frequency of 86. 9 % (n = 23) in 'type 4' focal seizures that lead to generalized tonic clonic seizures in the 25 mg dose group. A time- toevent analysis analyzing the time to reach the baseline monthly focal seizure count during the double- blind period showed a marked dose- dependent decrease in the rate of seizure recurrence when comparing XEN1101 to placebo. These marked reductions in seizures were associated with statistically significant improvements in overall status, as assessed by physicians using the Clinical Global Impression of Change, or CGI-C, and by subject self-reporting using the Patient Global Impression of Change, or PGI- C, scales in the XEN1101 25 mg group, which are shown in the table below with 2- sided p- values: XEN1101 25 mg (N = 112) Placebo (N = 114) CGI-C (Portion of patients much improved or very much improved) 46.4 % (p < 0.001) 22.8 % PGI-C (Portion of patients much improved or very much improved) 42.9 % (p = 0.001) 21.9 % The XEN1101 25 mg group was statistically significant in CGI- C and PGI- C, and the XEN1101 20 mg group was statistically significant in PGI- C, while the XEN1101 20 mg group in CGI- C and the XEN1101 10 mg group for both CGI- C and PGI- C showed numerical improvements over placebo but were not statistically significant. Summary of X-TOLE Safety Results in the DBP: XEN1101 was generally well- tolerated in the DBP with AEs consistent with other ASMs. The incidence of treatmentemergent adverse events, or TEAEs, was higher in the treatment groups as compared to the placebo group, with 62.3 % of patients in the placebo group, 67.4% of patients in the XEN1101 10 mg group, 68.6% of patients in the XEN1101 20 mg group, and 85. 1 % of patients in the XEN1101 25 mg group experiencing at least one TEAE. The TEAEs that were greater than or equal to 5 % in all treatment arms were attributed to nervous system disorders; psychiatric disorders; general disorders; gastrointestinal disorders; eye disorders; and infections – with the majority related to the central nervous system, mild or

```
moderate in severity, and occurring early in the treatment period. Across all XEN1101 dose groups (n = 211), the most common
TEAEs were dizziness (n = 52, 24.6 %), somnolence (n = 33, 15.6 %), fatigue (n = 23, 10.9 %), and headache (n = 21, 10.0
%). The breakdown of subjects with dizziness across dose groups including placebo is as follows: 8 subjects (7.0%) in the
placebo group, 3 subjects (6.5%) in the 10 mg group, 13 subjects (25.5%) in the 20 mg group, and 36 subjects (31.6%) in the
25 mg group. The incidence of treatment- emergent serious adverse events, or SAEs, was similar in all four arms of the study
with 2. 6 % of patients in the placebo group, 4. 3 % of patients in the XEN1101 10 mg group, 3. 9 % of patients in the XEN1101
20 mg group, and 2.6 % of patients in the XEN1101 25 mg group experiencing at least one treatment- emergent SAE. There
were 3.5 % of subjects in the placebo group, 2.2 % of subjects in the XEN1101 10 mg group, 13.7 % of subjects in the
XEN1101 20 mg group, and 15. 8 % of subjects in the XEN1101 25 mg group that had an AE leading to treatment
discontinuation. Two TEAEs of urinary retention were reported in the active treatment groups, one of which required a dose
reduction, and both subjects remained on drug with no other changes or intervention. There was no evidence of urinary retention
based upon mean differences across treatment groups in the total or individual items of the American Urological Association
Symptom Index. There was no cardiovascular signal of concern based on vital signs from resting or orthostatic tests; there were
no safety signals of concern from physical or neurologic exams; and there were no signals of concern from ECGs, safety labs or
urinalysis. Weight changes were modest with mean (SD) changes of 0. 2 kg (2.4) in the placebo group, 0.6 kg (2.3) in the 10
mg group, 1. 6 kg (2. 2) in the 20 mg group and 1. 9 kg (2. 9) in the 25 mg group. Additional Post Hoc Sub- Analyses of X-
TOLE Data and Interim Open Label Extension (OLE) Data: In 2022 and 2023, we presented additional sub-group analyses of
data from the XEN1101 Phase 2b X- TOLE clinical trial and interim data from the ongoing X- TOLE OLE. Additional sub-
analyses of the X-TOLE data suggest that the rapid onset of efficacy for XEN1101 was associated with starting at an effective,
therapeutic and well-tolerated dose. There was a statistically significant reduction in median seizure frequency within 1-one
week for all doses compared with placebo. Rapid onset of efficacy of XEN1101 was seen at <del>Week week</del> 1, with a dose-
dependent reduction from baseline in median weekly seizure frequency of 39. 1 % (\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{p} < 0. 01, n = 46), 41. 5 % (\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{p} = 0. 04, n
=50) and 55. 4 % (\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{p} < 0. 001, n = 110) in the 10 mg, 20 mg, and 25 mg groups, respectively, compared to placebo (20. 2 %, n
= 114). Analysis Analyses of the interim OLE data shows- show XEN1101 continues to be generally well- tolerated, yielding
long- term efficacy at the 20 mg once- daily dose, with patients experiencing continued scizure reduction during 60 % retention
at 24 months in the OLE, as and extended periods of seizure freedom the analysis cutoff date of September 5, 2023. During
the OLE study months 18 to 30, there was a sustained monthly reduction in seizure frequency (80.78\% - 90.95\% median
percent change seizure reduction at 12 to 18 months in OLE as measured by MPC) from the DBP double-blind period
baseline, as of and higher reductions were observed for patients who were receiving one to two ASMs at baseline
compared to the those receiving three ASMs analysis cutoff date of September 22, 2022 . Seizure freedom for ≥ 3- month,≥
6- month, and \geq 12- month consecutive durations was achieved in \frac{17.37}{3}, 5\%, \frac{22.2\%}{3}, and \frac{10.14.9\%}{3} of all patients
enrolled in the OLE (n = 275), respectively. Seizure freedom for \geq 3- month, \geq 6- month, and \geq 12- month consecutive
durations was achieved in 56. 4 %, 34 . 5 % and 23. 6 % of those patients with at least 24 months of treatment in the OLE
(n = 165), respectively. At 24 months in the OLE, clinically important improvements in the Quality of Life in Epilepsy
Inventory- 31 (QOLIE- 31) subscales of Seizure Worry, Social Functioning, and Medication Effects were seen across all
patients (n = 162), with even greater improvements in the seizure- free group (n = 39). In addition, quality- of- life
improvements, as measured by the QOLIE- 31, originally reported at year one were maintained or improved at year two
of the X- TOLE OLE. No new safety signals were identified, and the clinical data analyzed to date indicates that
XEN1101 continues to be generally well- tolerated in the OLE with AEs consistent with prior results and AEs seen with other
anti ASMs. At the end of the first year in the OLE, patients recorded a mean (SD) weight gain of 1. 1 (5. 9) kg. To date, two
AEs of urinary retention occurred in the OLE possibly related to study drug, and both patients continued in the study without
requiring intervention. Although not seen to date, we continue to monitor for the emergence of the tissue discoloration that was
associated with long-seizure medications term exposure to ezogabine. Based on the potential to continue to provide
significant benefit to patients, we have extended the X-TOLE OLE from three to five to seven years . Intellectual Property
Related to XEN1101 We have a comprehensive strategy in place to protect and expand the intellectual property portfolio that
eovers XEN1101. Importantly, two additional U. S. patents were granted in 2021 covering claims related to: (1) distinct
erystalline forms of XEN1101 drug substance and pharmaceutical compositions containing the same as compositions- of-
matter, along with methods for their preparation and use; and (2) methods of enhancing the bioavailability of XEN1101 by
administration with or close to a meal. These U. S. patents are expected to expire in 2040 and 2039, respectively, absent any
extensions of patent term. For a more detailed description of our intellectual property portfolio covering our product pipeline,
       - Intellectual Property "below. About Epilepsy and Seizure Types Epilepsy is a chronic neurologic disorder, the
hallmark of which is recurrent, unprovoked and unpredictable seizures. Individuals are diagnosed with epilepsy if they have two
unprovoked seizures (or one unprovoked seizure with the likelihood of recurrent seizures) that were not caused by a known and
reversible medical condition. Seizures are generally described in two major groups: focal onset seizures, or FOS, and
generalized onset seizures. FOS are the most common type of seizure experienced by people with epilepsy. FOS are localized
within the brain and can either stay localized or spread to the entire brain, which is typically categorized as a secondarily
generalized seizure. FOS account for approximately 60 % of seizures in the U.S., which results in a total FOS patient population
of approximately 1. 8 million patients. Generalized onset seizures affect both sides of the brain or groups of cells on both sides
of the brain at the same time. This term includes primary generalized tonic - clonic seizures, or PGTCS, absence seizures, and
atonic seizures. Generalized onset seizures account for approximately 30 % of seizures in the U.S., or approximately 0.9
million patients, of which the majority experience PGTCS. The remaining 10 % of seizures in the U. S. are characterized as
unknown onset seizures, which occurs when the beginning of the seizure is unknown. As more information is learned, unknown
onset seizures may later be diagnosed as focal onset or generalized onset seizures. Numerous ASMs are available for the
```

```
treatment of seizures in the U. S., although there are fewer indicated for PGTCS. The treatment of an individual patient with
FOS or PGTCS is currently focused on reduction of seizure frequency, with seizure freedom as the ultimate goal. Early
treatment typically begins with monotherapy followed by increasing use of polypharmacy to manage patients with residual
seizure burden. Despite the availability of multiple treatment options, approximately up to 50 % of patients are considered
inadequately managed with initial lines of therapy warranting additional treatment options. For poorly managed patients,
physicians increasingly turn to complementary mechanisms used as adjunctive therapy to control seizures. We believe there is a
need for new, more effective and tolerable treatments for FOS and PGTCS that have rapid onset of action, unique mechanisms
important in polypharmacy, are easy to take (for example, once-daily), and durable. Based on our market research, we believe
XEN1101 could offer a compelling value proposition to address FOS and PGTCS, if approved. XEN1101 Overview of
XEN496, a Kv7 Potassium Channel Opener XEN496, a Kv7 potassium channel opener, is a proprietary, pediatric formulation
of the active ingredient ezogabine being developed for the treatment of KCNQ2 developmental and epileptic encephalopathy, or
for KCNQ2 Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) In November 2023, we reported topline results from the randomized,
double - blind, placebo- controlled, DEE. The Kv7 potassium channel mechanism has Phase been 2 proof- of- concept X-
NOVA clinically -- clinical trial, which validated evaluated the clinical efficacy, safety, and tolerability of 10 mg and 20 mg
of XEN1101 taken once daily with food ezogabine, an earlier generation Kv7 opener that was approved by the FDA as an
adjunctive treatment for adults with focal seizures with or without secondary generalization. Published case reports where
physicians have used ezogabine in 168 infants and young children with KCNQ2-DEE suggest that XEN496 may be efficacious
in this often hard- to- treat pediatric patient population. We have received Fast Track designation and orphan drug designation,
or ODD, for XEN496 for the treatment of seizures associated with KCNQ2-DEE from the FDA, as well as an orphan medicinal
product designation from the European Commission. The FDA previously indicated that it is acceptable to study XEN496 in
infants and children up to four years old, and that a single, small pivotal trial may be considered adequate in order to
demonstrate XEN496's efficacy in KCNQ2-DEE, provided the study shows evidence of a clinically meaningful benefit in
patients with the intended indication moderate to severe major depressive disorder, or MDD. Clinical Development The
primary objective was to assess the efficacy of XEN496-XEN1101 compared to placebo on improvement of depressive
symptoms in subjects diagnosed with moderate to severe MDD, using the Montgomery- Åsberg Depression Rating Scale,
<mark>or MADRS, score change through week 6.</mark> We <mark>anticipate participating in an " end <sup>have developed XEN496 as a</mark></mark></sup>
proprietary, pediatrie-specific, immediate-release formulation of ezogabine-Phase 2" meeting with the U. To-S. Food and
Drug Administration, or FDA, in April 2024 to support the initiation of our late- stage XEN1101 clinical program in
MDD, which will include three Phase 3 clinical trial trials, of XEN496 in patients with the first KCNO2-DEE, we
completed a PK study testing our pediatric formulation in 24 healthy adult volunteers. The PK profile observed for XEN496
was comparable to historical PK data for immediate-release ezogabine tablets, with XEN496 showing similar absorption and
elimination curves. We have an ongoing-Phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group, multicenter
elinical trial, called the EPIK study, expected to begin in the second half of 2024. We are also evaluating the other potential
indications for the future development of XEN1101. Summary of X-NOVA efficacy Efficacy Data, safety, and tolerability
of XEN496 administered as adjunctive treatment in the DBP; approximately 40 pediatric patients aged one month to less than 6
years with KCNQ2-DEE. The primary endpoint of the study was a change in MADRS at week 6. The mean reduction was
13. 90 in the placebo group, 15. 61 in the XEN1101 10 mg group and 16. 94 in the XEN1101 20 mg group. A clear dose
response and a clinically meaningful, but not statistically significant, 3. 04 difference between placebo and the XEN1101
20 mg group (p = 0.135) was observed. Statistical significance was achieved on the pre-specified endpoint of the
Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, or HAM- D17, at week 6 with a mean reduction of 10, 18 in the placebo group and 13.
26 in the XEN1101 20 mg group (p = 0.042). Statistical significance was achieved on the key secondary endpoint of a
change in the Snaith- Hamilton Pleasure Scale, or SHAPS, measuring anhedonia at week 6 with a reduction of 5, 30 in
the placebo group and 7. 77 in the XEN1101 20 mg group (p = 0.046). Statistical significance was achieved in MADRS at
week 1 with a mean reduction of 4. 88 in the placebo group and 7. 54 in the XEN1101 20 mg group (p = 0.047)
demonstrating early onset of efficacy. Statistical significance was achieved in reporting of at least minimally improved
symptoms of depression as assessed by physicians using the Clinical Global Impression of Improvement, or CGI- I, (p =
0. 004) in the XEN1101 20 mg group compared to placebo. Summary of X- NOVA Safety and Tolerability Data in the
DBP: XEN1101 was well tolerated with similar rates of adverse events reported across all treatment arms. The most
commonly reported TEAEs in the XEN1101 20 mg group included dizziness (17. 9 %), somnolence (10. 7 %), headache
(8.9%) and disturbance in attention (8.9%), as compared to the placebo group which reported dizziness (7.3%),
somnolence (1, 8%), headache (12, 7%) and disturbance in attention (0%). Rates of discontinuation were similar across
all treatment arms and rates of discontinuation due to TEAEs were low with three patients in the XEN1101 20 mg group
(5.4%), as compared to two patients in the placebo group (3.6%). No serious adverse events, or SAEs, were reported in
the two XEN1101 treatment groups and there were two patients (3.6%) in the placebo group who experienced a
treatment- emergent SAE. XEN1101 was not associated with notable weight gain, and patients did not report notable
sexual dysfunction. Investigator- Led Phase 2 Proof- of- Concept Study of XEN1101 in MDD We are also collaborating
with the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai to support an ongoing investigator- sponsored Phase 2 proof- of-
concept, randomized, parallel- arm, placebo- controlled multi- site study of XEN1101 for the treatment of MDD in
approximately 60 subjects. The primary objective of the study is to investigate the percent effect of XEN1101 on the brain
<mark>reward circuit as measured by the</mark> change <del>from baseline i</del>n <mark>bilateral ventral striatum activity monthly countable motor</mark>
seizure frequency during the blinded treatment period, as recorded assessed by functional MRI, caregivers in a daily seizure
diary. Patients may be considered for or an open-label extension if fMRI. The secondary objectives are to test they.
meet all requirements. We anticipate that effect of XEN1101 compared to placebo on clinical measures of depression and
```

```
anhedonia using the MADRS and SHAPS, respectively EPIK study will be completed in 2024. About Major Depressive
Disorder (MDD) MDD KCNQ2- DEE KCNQ2 developmental and epileptic encephalopathy, or KCNQ2- DEE, otherwise
known as EIEE7, is a rare common, severe neurodevelopmental chronic neurological disorder with a significant seizure
burden and profound developmental impairment. KCNQ2-DEE is uniquely-characterized by multiple low mood, daily
inability to feel pleasure, refractory scizures presenting within feelings of guilt and worthlessness, low energy, and other
emotional and physical symptoms that last for two weeks or more, and which impairs social, occupational, educational,
or other important functioning. MDD is highly prevalent and difficult to treat. According to the National Institutes of
Health, an estimated 7, 8 % of U. S. adults (21, 0 million) experience MDD each year, and of the them approximately
two-thirds had severe impairment associated with their depression. Results of the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to
Relieve Depression, or STAR * D trial, funded by the National Institute of Mental Health, indicate that nearly two-
thirds of diagnosed and treated patients do not experience adequate treatment response with first - week of life line
therapy, and that the majority of these initial failures also fail second-line treatment, highlighting the need for new anti-
depressant medications with novel mechanisms of action a prominent tonic component and autonomic signs. Scizures
Intellectual Property Related to XEN1101 We have a comprehensive strategy in place to protect and expand the
intellectual property portfolio that covers XEN1101. Importantly, two U. S. patents were issued in 2021 with claims
covering: (1) distinct crystalline forms of XEN1101 drug substance and related pharmaceutical compositions, along with
methods for their preparation and use; and (2) various methods of orally administering XEN1101 with or close to a
meal. These U. S. patents are often accompanied by clonic jerking expected to expire in 2040 and 2039, respectively, absent
<mark>any extensions of patent term. or For complex motor behavior. The EEG at onset of the disease shows-a more detailed</mark>
description of our burst suppression pattern later evolving into multifocal epileptiform activity. The infants usually develop a
severe to profound-intellectual property portfolio covering disability with axial hypotonia that can be accompanied by limb
spasticity. The seizure activity typically decreases with age with some patients becoming seizure free or our pipeline
experiencing more minor seizure burden by 3 to 5 years of product candidates age; however, see "— a survey of patient
earegivers indicates that a significant proportion of patients have ongoing seizures beyond this age range. The intellectual
Intellectual Property "below disability and other co-morbidities are not reversed or improved with age and patients generally
require life- long care. Patients are often non- verbal, and some children may also have autistic features. Seizure- related
bradycardia and oxygen desaturation with cyanosis have been observed and are thought to contribute to the significant risk of
sudden unexpected death in epilepsy, or SUDEP, in these children. An epidemiology study from Europe examining the
incidence and phenotypes of childhood-onset genetic epilepsies reports the incidence of KCNO2-DEE as approximately 1 per
17, 000 live births. New Pipeline Opportunities Given our expertise in drug discovery, our efforts are concentrated on the
identification of ion channel targets where we believe novel openers-modulators might represent significant therapeutic
advances , with a particular focus on epilepsy and other CNS- related indications. Expansion of our pipeline may come from
our internal research efforts and through the acquisition or in-licensing of other external product candidates . The near-term
focus is on internal development candidates targeting Kv7, Nav1. 1 and Nav1. 7. Additional updates will be provided as
these pre-clinical drug candidates advance into clinical development. Our Partnered Programs NBI- 921352, A Clinical
Stage, Selective Nav1. 6 Sodium Channel Inhibitor for the Treatment of Epilepsy In December 2019, we entered into a license
and collaboration agreement with Neurocrine Biosciences to develop treatments for epilepsy. Neurocrine Biosciences has an
exclusive license to XEN901, now known as NBI- 921352, a clinical stage selective Nav1. 6 sodium channel inhibitor, and an
exclusive license to pre-clinical compounds for development, including selective Nav1. 6 inhibitors and dual Nav1. 2 / 1. 6
inhibitors. The agreement also included a multi- year research collaboration to discover, identify and develop additional novel
Nav1. 6 and Nav1. 2 / 1. 6 inhibitors, which was completed in June 2022. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we have the
potential to receive certain clinical, regulatory, and commercial milestone payments, as well as future sales royalties. For a more
detailed description of the terms of this agreement with Neurocrine Biosciences, see " - Collaborations, Commercial and
License Agreements" below. NBI- 921352 is a potent, highly selective Nav1. 6 sodium channel inhibitor being developed to
treat pediatric patients with SCN8A developmental and epileptic encephalopathy, or SCN8A- DEE, and other potential
indications, including adult focal epilepsy. A Neurocrine Biosciences has received orphan drug and rare pediatric disease
designations from the FDA for NBI-921352 in SCN8A-DEE. Prior to our license and collaboration agreement with Neurocrine
Biosciences, we completed a Phase 1 clinical trial in healthy adult subjects, and subsequently developed a pediatric-specific,
granule formulation. Neurocrine Biosciences is currently conducting a Phase 2 clinical trial evaluating NBI-921352 in adult
patients with focal-onset seizures, with data expected in the second half of 2023. In addition, a Phase 2 clinical trial is underway
evaluating NBI- 921352 in pediatric patients (aged between 2 and 21 years) with SCN8A- DEE. Neurocrine Biosciences has
received orphan drug and rare pediatric disease designations from the FDA for NBI- 921352 in SCN8A- DEE. In
November 2023, Neurocrine Biosciences reported that a Phase 2 clinical trial evaluating NBI- 921352 in adult patients
with FOS failed to demonstrate meaningful reduction in seizure frequency and that no further development with NBI-
921352 in FOS is planned at this time. License and Collaboration Agreement with Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc. On In
December 2, 2019, as amended in January 2021 and February 2022, we entered into a license and collaboration agreement,
or the Collaboration Agreement, with Neurocrine Biosciences to establish a collaboration under which the parties will identify,
research and develop sodium channel inhibitors, including our clinical candidate XEN901, now known as NBI- 921352, and
<mark>certain</mark> preclinical candidates <del>XEN393, XPC' 535-</del>(" DTCs ") and <mark>research compounds XPC' 391,</mark> which <del>compounds</del>
Neurocrine Biosciences will have the exclusive right to further develop and commercialize under the terms and conditions set
forth in the Collaboration Agreement. Licenses. Under the terms of the Collaboration Agreement we granted to Neurocrine
Biosciences has an exclusive, royalty- bearing, sublicensable license to certain of our intellectual property rights for the
research, development and commercialization of (i) NBI-921352; (ii) XEN393, XPC' 535 and XPC' 391, collectively referred
```

```
to as the these development track candidates, or the DTCs; and (iii) certain research-compounds that bind to and inhibit voltage-
gated sodium channels Nav1. 2 and Nav1. 6 as their primary mechanism of action, collectively, the Research Compounds and,
together with NBI-921352 and the DTCs, the Compounds, on a worldwide basis for the treatment, cure, diagnosis, prediction or
prevention of any human disease or disorder, state, condition and / or malady, subject to certain exceptions set forth in the
Collaboration Agreement. We also granted to Neurocrine Biosciences a non- exclusive, non- royalty- bearing, sublicensable
license to certain of our intellectual property rights for the screening of compounds for identification as a Select Nav Inhibitor
(as defined below) and for the research of certain compounds otherwise expressly excluded from the Collaboration Agreement,
or the Excluded Compounds. Exclusivity. During the Research Term (as defined below) and for one year thereafter, other than
in accordance with the terms of the Collaboration Agreement, neither Neurocrine Biosciences nor any of its respective affiliates
is permitted to directly or indirectly research, develop, manufacture or commercialize any small-molecule Select Nav Inhibitor
(as defined below). During the term of the Collaboration Agreement, other than the Excluded Compounds and otherwise in
accordance with the terms of the Collaboration Agreement, neither we nor any of our respective affiliates is are permitted to
directly or indirectly research, develop, manufacture or commercialize a compound that, as its primary mechanism of action,
binds to and inhibits voltage- gated sodium channels Nav1. 2 and Nav1. 6, such compound referred to as a Select Nav Inhibitor.
Governance. The parties have established a joint steering committee, or JSC, composed of an equal number of representatives
from each entity, which will coordinate and oversee the Collaboration Programs (as defined below). The JSC will disband upon
the completion or earlier termination of both of the Collaboration Programs. Decisions of the JSC will be made by unanimous
vote, provided that in the event of a disagreement on any matter, following a specified dispute resolution procedure, Neurocrine
Biosciences will have the right to decide such matter, subject to certain exceptions. Collaboration Programs. We are
collaborating with Neurocrine Biosciences on the conduct of two collaboration programs: (i) a joint research collaboration to
discover, identify and preclinically develop Research Compounds, or the Research Program, and (ii) a collaborative
development program for NBI- 921352 and two DTCs selected by the JSC, or the Initial Development Program and, together
with the Research Program, referred to as the Collaboration Programs. The Research Program included the preclinical
development of our existing non-clinical Research Compounds and the discovery of new back- up and follow- on Research
Compounds to NBI- 921352 and the two DTCs selected by the JSC as clinical development candidates for subsequent
development and commercialization by Neuroerine Biosciences. During the term of the Research Program, the parties
<del>conducted related activities in accordance with an agreed research plan and budget.</del> Each party was is solely responsible for all
costs such party incurred incurs to conduct its activities under the development and research plans, provided that, with
respect to NBI- 921352 development and research activities, Neurocrine Biosciences <del>reimbursed</del> reimburses us for certain
full- time employees and out- of- pocket expenses incurred by us in accordance with the research budget. The Research Program
was completed in June 2022. The Initial Development Program includes: (i) completion of any preclinical and clinical studies
that were ongoing as the date of the Collaboration Agreement of any NBI-921352 product and the two DTC products selected
by the JSC; (ii) a pharmacokinetic, drug-drug interaction and food effect Phase 1 clinical trial of a NBI-921352 product to
examine the adequacy of a new pediatric formulation; and (iii) all preclinical studies of two DTC products containing the two
DTCs selected by the JSC. The parties will use their commercially reasonable efforts to conduct the development activities
under the Initial Development Program pursuant to specific development plans. Each party is solely responsible for all costs
such party incurs to conduct its activities under these development plans, provided that, with respect to NBI-921352
development activities, Neurocrine Biosciences reimburses us for certain full-time employees and out- of- pocket expenses
incurred by us, and with respect to certain development activities related to certain the two JSC-selected DTCs, the JSC may
determine that Neurocrine Biosciences shall may make such agreed-upon reimbursements. Development, Regulatory and
Manufacturing. Except for the activities set forth in the development plans for the Collaboration Programs, Neurocrine
Biosciences is solely responsible, at its sole cost and expense, for all development and manufacturing of the Compounds
compounds and any pharmaceutical product that contains a <del>Compound c</del>ompound , subject to the Co- Funding Option (as
defined below). For We will have the right to elect to co-fund the development of one product in the first indication that
meets or exceeds a specified prevalence threshold, or a Major Indication, for which Neurocrine Biosciences intends to conduct a
Phase 3 clinical trial of a NBI- 921352 product or the first clinical trial of a DTC product following a successful Phase 2 clinical
trial for such DTC product, Neurocrine Bioseienees will prepare a development plan including an estimated budget and provide
such plan to us. We will have the right to elect to co-fund the development of one product in a Major Indication-under such
development plan and to receive a mid-single digit percentage increase in royalties owed on the net sales as calculated pursuant
to the terms of the Collaboration Agreement, or Net Sales, of such products in the U.S., or the Co-Funding Option. If we
exercise the Co-Funding Option, the parties will share equally all reasonable and documented costs and expenses that
Neurocrine Biosciences incurs in connection with the development of such product in the applicable indication, except costs and
expenses that are solely related to the development of such product for regulatory approval outside the U. S... We have not
exercised this option as of December 31, 2022 2023. Neurocrine Biosciences will be the regulatory sponsor and is solely
responsible for all regulatory activities (except for those delegated to us) under the Collaboration Agreement, including
submitting one or more INDs for an NBI-921352 product. If the FDA grants a Rare Pediatric Disease Priority Review Voucher
in connection with the approval of a New Drug Application for a NBI-921352 product, Neurocrine Biosciences may, at its
option, (i) sell it to a third-party and share a specified portion of the proceeds with us; (ii) use it for a product Neurocrine
Biosciences is developing outside the Collaboration Agreement and pay us a specified portion of the voucher's intrinsic value
(as calculated pursuant to the terms of the Collaboration Agreement); or (iii) use the voucher for a pharmaceutical product that
contains a Compound, in which case no payments would be due to us. If the FDA grants Neurocrine Biosciences a voucher in
connection with any other product, Neurocrine Biosciences will retain all rights to such voucher without any payment or other
obligations to us. Commercialization. Neurocrine Biosciences has the exclusive right to conduct, and will be solely responsible
```

```
for all aspects of, the commercialization of any pharmaceutical product that contains a Compound. Financial Terms. Neurocrine
Biosciences paid us an upfront payment of $50.0 million, which included a $30.0 million payment in cash. For the remainder
of the upfront payment, concurrently with the entry into the Collaboration Agreement, the parties entered into the Share
Purchase Agreement (as defined below) pursuant to which we issued and sold the Shares (as defined below) to Neurocrine
Biosciences for an aggregate purchase price of $ 20.0 million. Based on the regulatory approval of a clinical trial application in
Europe for NBI- 921352 for focal- onset seizures in adults, in September 2021 we received an aggregate milestone payment of $
10. 0 million in the form of $ 4. 5 million in cash and a $ 5. 5 million in equity investment. In January 2022, we received an
aggregate milestone payment of $ 15.0 million in the form of a $ 6.75 million payment in cash and a $ 8.25 million equity
investment, based on the FDA's acceptance of a protocol amendment to expand the study population of a clinical trial in
pediatric patients with SCN8A- DEE. The Collaboration Agreement also provides for potential aggregate development and
regulatory milestone payments from Neurocrine Biosciences to us of up to $325.0 million for a NBI-921352 product and up to
$ 247. 5 million for each other Compound up to a maximum of three other Compounds. Sales-based milestones of up to $ 150.
0 million for each Compound, including a NBI- 921352 product, will be paid from Neurocrine Biosciences to us upon the
achievement of certain Net Sales targets, up to a maximum of four Compounds . Neurocrine Biosciences has further agreed to
pay us royalties based on future Net Sales of any pharmaceutical product that contains a Compound. Such royalty percentages,
for Net Sales in and outside the U. S., range from (i) for a NBI-921352 product, a low double-digit percentage to a mid-teen
percentage and a high-single digit percentage to low double-digit percentage, respectively; (ii) for each DTC product, a high-
single digit percentage to a low double- digit percentage and a mid-single digit percentage to a high- single digit percentage,
respectively; and (iii) for each Research Compound product, a mid-single digit percentage to a high- single digit percentage and
a tiered mid-single digit percentage, respectively. Neurocrine Biosciences' obligations to pay royalties with respect to a product
and country will expire upon the latest of: (i) the expiration of the last to expire valid claim in (a) the parties' joint patent rights
filed during the Research Term or a specified period of time thereafter or (b) our patent rights as specified in the Collaboration
Agreement, in each case that cover such product; (ii) ten years from the first commercial sale of the product in such country; and
(iii) the expiration of regulatory exclusivity for such product in such country, or the Royalty Term. Royalty payments are
subject to reduction in specified circumstances, including expiration of patent rights or if average Net Sales decrease by a certain
percentage after the introduction of a generic product. Term and Termination. Unless earlier terminated, the term of the
Collaboration Agreement will continue on a product- by- product and country- by- country basis until the expiration of the
Royalty Term for such product in such country. Upon the expiration of the Royalty Term for a particular product and country,
the exclusive license granted by us to Neurocrine Biosciences with respect to such product and country will become fully-paid,
royalty free, perpetual and irrevocable. Neurocrine Biosciences may terminate the Collaboration Agreement in its entirety or on
a product-by-product or country-by-country basis, for any or no reason, by providing at least 90 days' written notice, provided
that such unilateral termination will not be effective (i) with respect to a NBI- 921352 product until Neurocrine Biosciences has
used its commercially reasonable efforts to complete one Phase 2 clinical trial for a NBI- 921352 product; (ii) with respect to a
DTC product until Neurocrine Biosciences has used its commercially reasonable efforts to complete one Phase 1 clinical trial for
a DTC product; and (iii) with respect to the Collaboration Agreement in its entirety until Neurocrine Biosciences has used its
commercially reasonable efforts to complete both of these clinical trials. Either party may terminate the Collaboration
Agreement in the event of a material breach in whole or in part, subject to specified conditions. If Neurocrine Biosciences is
entitled to terminate the Collaboration Agreement due to our uncured material breach, in lieu of termination, Neurocrine
Biosciences may elect to reduce all subsequent payments owing from Neurocrine Biosciences to us by half. Upon the
termination of the Collaboration Agreement for any reason, all licenses and other rights granted to Neurocrine Biosciences by us
shall terminate, provided that if termination is solely with respect to one or more products or countries, then such termination
will apply only to the terminated products or countries. Upon termination in certain cases, Neurocrine Biosciences has agreed to
grant us licenses to certain Neurocrine Biosciences intellectual property that is reasonably necessary, and that was actually used
by Neurocrine Biosciences for the development, manufacturing or commercialization of the terminated products, to research,
develop and commercialize the terminated products in the terminated countries. Such license will be royalty- free with respect to
any terminated product for which a Phase 2 clinical trial was not completed prior to the effective date of termination, and
otherwise will be royalty- bearing ranging from a low- single digit percentage to a high- single digit percentage depending on
the stage of development of the applicable product at the effective date of termination. The Collaboration Agreement includes
eertain other customary terms and conditions, including mutual representations and warranties, indemnification and
confidentiality provisions. Amendment. In January 2021, we entered into an amendment with Neurocrine Bioseienees pursuant
to which we revised certain IND acceptance criteria relating to NBI-921352 for the potential treatment of SCN8A-DEE. In
February 2022, we entered into a second amendment with Neuroerine Biosciences pursuant to which the restrictions imposed on
Neurocrine Biosciences prohibiting it from developing, seeking regulatory approval for, marketing or promoting certain early
compounds (as the term is defined in the Collaboration Agreement) in the pain field (as the term is defined in the Collaboration
Agreement) were removed. Share Purchase Agreements On December 2, 2019, pursuant to the Collaboration Agreement, we
entered into a Share Purchase Agreement, or SPA, with Neurocrine Biosciences pursuant to which we issued and sold 1, 408,
847 of our common shares, or Shares, to Neurocrine Biosciences in a private placement for an aggregate purchase price of $ 20.
0 million, or $ 14. 196 per share. The purchase price represented a 20 % premium to the closing price of our common shares on
November 29, 2019. In We entered into additional SPAs in September 2021 and pursuant to the Collaboration Agreement,
as amended in January 2021 2022, we entered into a SPA with Neurocrine Biosciences pursuant to which we issued and sold
275, 337 and 258, 986, respectively, of our Shares to Neurocrine Biosciences in a private placement placements for an
aggregate purchase prices of $ 5.5 million (, or $ 19.9755 per share) and $ 8.25 million ($ 31.855 per share),
respectively. The purchase price represented a 15 % premium to our 30-day volume- weighted average price immediately
```

```
prior to the public announcement announcements. In January 2022, pursuant to the Collaboration Agreement, as amended in
January 2021, we entered into a SPA with Neurocrine Bioseienees pursuant to which we issued and sold 258, 986 of our Shares
to Neurocrine in a private placement for an aggregate purchase price of $ 8, 25 million, or $ 31, 855 per share. The purchase
price represents a 15 % premium to our 30-day volume- weighted average price immediately prior to the public announcement.
The SPAs contain certain other customary terms and conditions, including mutual representations, warranties, and covenants.
Asset Purchase Agreement with 1st Order Pharmaceuticals, Inc. In April 2017, we entered into an asset purchase agreement
with 1st Order Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or 1st Order, pursuant to which we acquired all rights with respect to XEN1101
(previously known as 10P2198). 1st Order previously acquired 10P2198 from Valeant Pharmaceuticals Luxembourg S. a. r. l.,
an indirect subsidiary of Bausch Health Companies Inc., together with Valeant Pharmaceuticals Ireland Limited, Bausch Health,
and assumed certain obligations, including potential milestone and royalty payments. In September 2018, we signed an
agreement with Bausch Health to buy out all future milestone payments and royalties owed to Bausch Health with respect to
XEN1101, including up to $ 39.6 million in potential clinical development, regulatory and sales-based milestones and a mid-
to- high single digit percentage royalty on commercial sales in exchange for a one- time payment of $ 6. 0 million. In August
2020, we entered into an amendment to the asset purchase agreement to amend certain definitions in the agreement and to
modify the payment schedule for certain milestones. Upon execution of the amendment, we made a payment of $ 0.3 million to
1st Order. In February 2023, an additional $ 1.4 million was paid for the achievement of clinical and other milestones. We
remain responsible for future potential payments of up to $6.0 million in regulatory milestones. There are no royalty
obligations to 1st Order. As part of our business strategy, we strive to protect the proprietary technologies that we believe are
important to our business, including pursuing and maintaining patent protection intended to cover our product candidates and
their methods of use and processes for their manufacture, as well as other inventions that are important to our business. We plan
to continue to expand our intellectual property estate by filing patent applications directed to compositions, methods of use,
treatment and patient selection, formulations and manufacturing processes created or identified from our ongoing development
of our product candidates . We generally file applications in the U. S., Canada, the European Union, or EU, and future products
other commercially significant foreign jurisdictions. We also rely on trade secrets, internal know- how, technological
innovations and agreements with third parties to develop, maintain and protect our competitive position. Our ability to be
competitive will depend on the success of this strategy. As of December 31, 2022 2023, we owned, co-owned or licensed 16 30
issued U. S. patents and approximately 18 pending U. S. patent applications, including provisional and non-provisional filings.
We also owned, co-owned or licensed approximately 363 pending and granted counterpart applications worldwide, including 11
eountry-specific validations of three European patents. As of December 31, 2022, we owned four issued U. S. patents and six
pending U. S. non- provisional patent applications related to XEN1101, and methods of making and using XEN1101 and certain
related compounds. Upon issuance, the patents are expected to expire between 2028 and 2042 (absent any extensions of term).
In addition, we have approximately 15 foreign issued patents and 56 patents in foreign jurisdictions (exclusive of European
patent national validations), two-and over 370 pending patent PCT international applications. With regard to XEN1101, and
approximately as of December 31, 2023, we owned 4 U. S. issued patents, 129-29 pending applications issued patents in
various foreign jurisdictions relating to XEN1101 and certain related compounds. As of December 31, 2022, we have filed one
U. S. provisional patent application directed to certain of our potassium channel modulators (exclusive of XEN1101 European
patent national validations), as well as methods of making and over 200 pending using the same. Any patents issuing from
this application are expected to expire in 2043 (absent any extension in term). As of December 31, 2022, we have filed one U.S.
non-provisional patent application and approximately 32 pending applications in various jurisdictions directed to XEN496 (i. e.,
our pediatric formulation of ezogabine), a genus of related formulations, and methods of making and using the same. Any
patents issuing from this application are expected to expire in 2040 (absent any extensions of term). As of December 31, 2022,
we owned four issued U. S. patents, one pending U. S. non-provisional patent application, and one U. S. provisional patent
application directed to NBI-921352 (formerly known as XEN901) and methods of making and using this and certain related
compounds. The issued patents, along with any patents issuing from these applications, are expected to expire between 2037
and 2042 2028 and 2044 (absent any extensions of term). In addition With regard to NBI- 921352 (formerly known as
XEN901), as of December 31, 2023, we owned approximately nine foreign or co- owned 4 U. S. issued patents, 12 issued
<mark>patents in foreign jurisdictions</mark> (exclusive of European patent national validations), <del>two <mark>and over 18</mark> p</del>ending <del>PCT</del>
international applications, and we have approximately 17 pending corresponding applications in various foreign jurisdictions
relating to NBI- 921352 and certain related compounds. Pursuant to our collaboration with Neurocrine Biosciences, Neurocrine
Biosciences will oversee the prosecution, maintenance and other matters relating to the patent portfolio for NBI- 921352 and the
other selective Nav1. 6 inhibitors and dual Nav1. 2 / 1. 6 inhibitors. As of December 31, 2022, we owned five issued U. S.
patents, and two U. S. non-provisional patent applications directed to certain of our selective inhibitors of Nav1. 6 and / or
Nav1. 2 (exclusive of NBI-921352), as well as methods of making and using the same. The issued patents, along with any
patents issuing from these applications , are expected to expire between 2037 and 2044 (absent any extensions of term).
With regard to our selective inhibitors of Nav1. 6 and / or Nav1. 2 (exclusive of NBI- 921352), as of December 31, 2023,
we owned 6 U. S. issued patents, 15 issued patents in foreign jurisdictions (exclusive of European patent national
validations), and over 90 pending patent applications. The issued patents, along with any patents issuing from these
applications, are expected to expire between 2037 and 2039 (absent any extensions of term). In addition Pursuant to our
collaboration with Neurocrine Biosciences, Neurocrine Biosciences controls the prosecution, maintenance and other
matters relating to the patent portfolio for NBI- 921352 and the other selective Nav1. 6 inhibitors and dual Nav1. 2 / 1. 6
inhibitors, although we have a right to comment. With regard to our development programs, including targets related to
Kv7 (exclusive of XEN1101), Nav1. 1 and Nav1. 7, as of December 31, 2023, we owned approximately seven foreign 1 U.S.
issued patent and over 20 pending patent applications. The issued patents and approximately 97 pending corresponding,
```

```
<mark>along with any patents issuing from these</mark> applications <del>in various foreign jurisdictions (exclusive of European patent national</del>
validations) relating to our selective inhibitors of Nav1. 6 and / or Nav1. 2 (exclusive of NBI-921352), are as well as methods
of making and using the same. As of December 31, 2022, we co-owned one issued U. S. patent directed to Nav1. 7 inhibitors,
as well as methods of making and using the same. The issued patent is expected to expire in-between 2036 and 2044 (absent
any extensions of term). Competition The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are highly competitive and are
characterized by rapidly advancing technologies and a strong emphasis on proprietary products. While we believe that our
technology, development experience, scientific knowledge and drug discovery approach provide us with certain advantages, we
face potential competition in our discovery and product candidate development efforts from many different approaches and
sources, including pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, academic institutions and governmental agencies and public
and private research institutions. Any product candidates or products that we, or our collaborators, successfully develop and
commercialize will compete with existing products and new products that may become available in the future. Many of the
companies against which we are competing or against which we may compete in the future have significantly greater financial
resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, pre-clinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining
regulatory approvals and marketing approved products than we, or our collaborators, do. Mergers and acquisitions in the
pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries may result in even more resources being concentrated among a smaller number of
our competitors. Smaller or early- stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through
collaboration arrangements with large and established companies. Our commercial opportunities could be reduced or eliminated
if our competitors develop and commercialize products or therapies that are safer, more effective, have fewer or less severe side
effects, are more convenient or are less expensive than any products that we may develop. Our competitors also may obtain
FDA, European Medicines Agency, or EMA, or other foreign regulatory approval for their products more rapidly than we may
obtain approval for ours, which could result in our competitors establishing a strong market position before we are able to enter
the market. In addition, our ability to compete may be affected in many cases by insurers or other third- party payers. Aside
from the product marketplace, our competitors also compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and
management personnel, establishing clinical trial sites, recruiting patients for clinical trials, and by acquiring technologies
complementary to, or necessary for, our programs. The key competitive factors affecting the success of all of our product
candidates, if approved, are likely to be their efficacy, safety, convenience, price, the effectiveness of alternative products, the
level of competition and the availability of coverage, and adequate reimbursement from government and other third-party
payers. Our product candidates that are in clinical development may compete with various therapies and drugs, both in the
marketplace and currently under development. ASMs for the Treatment of Epilepsy If one or more of our proprietary or
partnered <del>products</del> product candidates were approved for the treatment of epilepsy, we anticipate that they could potentially
compete with other ASMs or one another. These Currently currently commonly prescribed ASMs, among others, include
phenytoin, levetiracetam, brivaracetam, carbamazepine, cenobamate, clobazam, lamotrigine, valproate, oxearbazepine,
topiramate, lacosamide, ethosuximide, perampanel, cannabidiol, eslicarbazepine acetate, ethosuximide, gabapentin,
lacosamide, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, oxcarbazepine, perampanel, phenytoin, topiramate, and valproate fenfluramine.
The FDA has not yet approved any drug products specifically for KCNQ2-DEE or for SCN8A-DEE. There are other ASMs in
elinical development that could potentially compete with our products, including products product candidates in development
from <del>Angelini Pharma,</del> Biohaven Ltd <mark>.</mark> , Cerevel Therapeutics Holdings, Inc., <del>Eliem</del>Equilibre Biopharmaceuticals Corp.,
Johnson & Johnson Innovative Medicine, Neurona Therapeutics, Inc., Eisai Co., Ltd., Epygenix Therapeutics, Inc., Janssen
Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Jazz Pharmaceuticals plc, Longboard Pharmaceuticals Inc., Marinus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Neurocrine
Biosciences. Praxis Precision Medicines, Inc., Our Alis Corporation Rapport Therapeutics, Inc., SK Life Science Inc., Stoke
Supernus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Zhimeng Biopharma, Inc. If one or more of our proprietary product candidates
were approved for the treatment of MDD, we anticipate that they could potentially compete with other anti- depressant
medications, or ADs. Patients with MDD are typically treated with a variety of ADs, which include selective serotonin
reuptake inhibitors, or SSRIs, benzodiazepines, serotonin / norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors, or SNRIs,
norepinephrine and dopamine reuptake inhibitors, or NDRIs, N- methyl- D- aspartate, or NMDA, receptor agonists and
atypical antipsychotics. Currently prescribed antidepressants include benzodiazepines, brexpiprazole, bupropion,
bupropion / dextromethorphan, cariprazine, citalopram, duloxetine, escitalopram, esketamine, fluoxetine, ketamine,
sertraline, trazodone, tricyclic agents, venlafaxine, vilazodone and vortioxetine. We are aware of several companies
developing product candidates for the treatment of MDD including AbbVie Inc., Biohaven Ltd., Intra- Cellular
Therapies, Inc., Johnson & Johnson Innovative Medicine, Neumora Therapeutics, Inc., Relmada Therapeutics Takeda
Pharmaceutical Company Ltd., Inc., Sage Therapeutics, Inc. and UCB Sumitomo Pharma America, Inc. Government
Regulation We are developing small-molecule product candidates, which are regulated as drugs by the FDA and equivalent
regulatory authorities outside the U. S. Within the FDA, the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, or CDER, regulates
drugs. Drugs are subject to regulation under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FD & C Act, and other federal,
provincial, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations. The FD & C Act and corresponding regulations govern, among other
things, the testing, manufacturing, safety, efficacy, labeling, packaging, storage, record keeping, distribution, import, export,
reporting, advertising and other promotional practices involving drugs. FDA approval of an investigational new drug
application, or IND application, must be obtained before clinical testing of drugs is initiated, and each clinical study protocol
for such product candidates is reviewed by the FDA and <mark>an institutional review board, or</mark> IRB <mark>,</mark> prior to initiation in the U. S.
FDA approval also must be obtained before marketing of drugs in the U. S. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals and
the subsequent compliance with appropriate federal, provincial, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations require the
expenditure of substantial time and financial resources, and we may not be able to obtain the required regulatory approvals
possible civil or criminal sanctions. Failure to comply with the applicable U.S. regulatory requirements at any time during the
```

```
product development process,approval process or after approval <del>, </del>may subject an applicant <mark>and /</mark> or <del>manufacturer sponsor</del> to <mark>a</mark>
<mark>variety of</mark> administrative or judicial <del>civil or criminal</del> sanctions <del>and adverse publicity</del> . <del>FDA <mark>These</mark> sanctions could include</del>
among other actions,FDA's refusal to approve pending applications,withdrawal of an approval, imposition of a clinical hold,
issuance of warning or untitled letters and other types of enforcement- related letters, product recalls, product seizures
relabeling or repackaging, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, refusals of government
contracts, mandated corrective advertising or communications with doctors, debarment, restitution, disgorgement of profits, or
civil or criminal investigations and penalties . Any agency brought by FDA and the Department of Justice or indicial
enforcement action could have a material adverse effect on us. Drug manufacturers and other governmental entities involved in.
U. S. Drug Development Process The process required by the FDA before a drug product may be marketed in the U. S.
generally involves the following: • completion of nonclinical laboratory tests and animal studies according to good laboratory
practices, or GLPs, and applicable requirements for the humane use of laboratory animals or and other applicable regulations;
• submission to the FDA of an application for an IND, which must become effective before human clinical studies may begin; •
performance of adequate and well- controlled human clinical studies according to the FDA's regulations commonly referred to
as good clinical practices, or GCPs, and any additional requirements for the protection of human research subjects and their
health information, to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed product for its intended use; • submission to the FDA of
an NDA for drug products for marketing approval that includes substantial evidence of safety and efficacy, which is usually
based on large - scale phase Phase 3 clinical studies; • satisfactory completion of an FDA pre- approval inspection of the
manufacturing facility or facilities where the product is produced to assess compliance with good manufacturing practices, or
GMP, to assure that the facilities, methods and controls are adequate to consistently manufacture the product pursuant to
regulatory requirements; • potential FDA audit inspection of the nonclinical and clinical study sites that generated the data in
support of the NDA; and • payment of applicable user fees and FDA review and approval of the NDA. The data required to
support an NDA is generated in two distinct development stages: pre-clinical and clinical. For new chemical entities, the
pre- clinical development stage generally involves synthesizing the active component, developing the formulation and
determining the manufacturing process, evaluating purity and stability, as well as carrying out non- human toxicology,
pharmacology and drug metabolism studies in the laboratory, which support subsequent clinical testing. The conduct of
the pre-clinical tests must comply with federal regulations, including GLPs and the U. S. Department of Agriculture's
Animal Welfare Act. The sponsor must submit the results of the pre-clinical tests, together with manufacturing
information, analytical data, any available clinical data or literature and a proposed clinical protocol, to the FDA as part
of the IND. An IND is a request for authorization from the FDA to administer an investigational drug product to
humans. The central focus of an IND submission is on the general investigational plan and the protocol (s) for human
trials. The IND automatically becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless the FDA raises concerns or
questions regarding the proposed clinical trials and places the IND on clinical hold within that 30- day time period. In
such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns or questions before the clinical trial
can begin. Some long-term pre-clinical testing, such as animal tests of reproductive AEs and carcinogenicity, may
continue after the IND is submitted. The FDA may also impose clinical holds on a drug candidate at any time before or
during clinical trials due to safety concerns or non- compliance. Accordingly, submission of an IND does not guarantee
the FDA will allow clinical trials to begin, or that, once begun, issues will not arise that could cause the trial to be
suspended or terminated. If the FDA accepts the IND, the drug can then be studied in human clinical trials to determine
if the drug is safe and effective. The clinical stage of development involves the administration of the drug product to
human subjects, including patients, under the supervision of qualified investigators in accordance with GCPs, which
establish standards for conducting, recording data from, and reporting the results of clinical trials, and also include the
requirement that all research subjects provide their informed consent in writing for their participation in any clinical
trial. Human clinical studies are typically conducted in three sequential phases that may overlap or be combined: • Phase 1.
The drug is initially introduced into healthy human subjects and tested for safety. In the case of some products for severe or life-
threatening diseases, especially when the product may be too inherently toxic to ethically administer to healthy volunteers, the
initial human testing is often conducted in patients that have the condition or disease being studied. • Phase 2. The drug is
evaluated in a limited patient population to identify possible adverse effects and safety risks, to preliminarily evaluate the
efficacy of the product for specific targeted diseases and to determine a dose range and dosing schedule. • Phase 3. Clinical
studies are undertaken to further evaluate dosing and dosing schedule, clinical efficacy, and safety in an expanded patient
population at geographically dispersed clinical study sites. These clinical studies are intended to establish the overall risk /
benefit ratio of the product and provide an adequate basis for product labeling. Post-approval clinical studies, sometimes
referred to as Phase 4 clinical studies, may be conducted after initial marketing approval. These clinical studies are used to gain
additional experience from the treatment of patients in the intended therapeutic indication, particularly for long-term safety
follow- up. In certain instances, the FDA may mandate the performance of Phase 4 clinical trials as a condition of
approval of an NDA. During all phases of clinical development, regulatory agencies require extensive monitoring and auditing
of all clinical activities, clinical data, and clinical study investigators. Concurrent with clinical studies, companies usually
complete additional animal studies and must also develop additional information about the physical characteristics of the drug
as well as finalize a process for manufacturing the product in commercial quantities in accordance with GMP requirements. The
manufacturing process must be capable of consistently producing quality batches of the product candidate and, among other
requirements, the sponsor must develop methods for ensuring the quality, identity, strength—and purity of the final drug.
Additionally, appropriate packaging must be selected and tested and stability studies must be conducted to demonstrate that the
drug candidate does not undergo unacceptable deterioration over its labeled shelf life. Further, due to disasters and public
health emergencies as a result of the COVID-19 pandemie, we may be required to develop and implement additional clinical
```

```
trial policies and procedures designed to help protect subjects from the COVID-19 virus. For example, the FDA has issued
guidance on conducting clinical trials during <del>the pandemic <mark>major disruptions due to disasters and public health emergencies</del></del></mark>
, which describes a number of considerations for sponsors of clinical trials impacted by the these pandemic events, including
eertain reporting requirements, and additional guidance on the good manufacturing practice considerations for responding to
ensure COVID-19 infection and other -- the topics safety of trial participants, maintaining GCP compliance, and
minimizing risks to trial integrity. We may be required to make further adjustments to our clinical trials or business
operations based on current or future guidance and regulatory requirements as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic major
disruptions due to disasters and public health emergencies. U. S. Review and Approval Processes After the completion of
clinical studies of a drug, FDA approval of an NDA must be obtained before commercial marketing of the drug can begin. The
NDA must include results of product development, laboratory and animal studies, human studies, information on the
manufacture and composition of the product, proposed labeling and other relevant information. In addition, under the Pediatric
Research Equity Act, or PREA, an NDA or supplement to an NDA must contain data to assess the safety and effectiveness of
the product for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric subpopulations and to support dosing and administration for each
pediatric subpopulation for which the product is safe and effective. The FDA may grant deferrals for submission of data or full
or partial waivers. Unless otherwise required by regulation, PREA does not apply to any drug for an indication for which orphan
designation has been granted. The testing and approval processes require substantial time and effort, and there can be no
assurance that the FDA will accept the NDA for filing and, even if filed, that any approval will be granted on a timely basis, if
at all. Under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, or PDUFA, as amended, each NDA must be accompanied by a substantial user
fee. PDUFA also imposes an annual product fee for drugs and an annual establishment fee on facilities used to manufacture
prescription drugs. Fee waivers or reductions are available in certain circumstances, including a waiver of the application fee for
the first application filed by a small business. Additionally, no user fees are assessed on NDAs for products designated as
orphan drugs, unless the product also includes a non-orphan indication. Within 60 days following submission of the application,
the FDA reviews the NDA to determine if it is substantially complete before the agency accepts it for filing. The FDA may
refuse to file any marketing application that it deems incomplete or not properly reviewable at the time of submission and may
request additional information, including additional clinical data. In this event, the NDA must be resubmitted with the additional
information. The resubmitted application also is subject to review before the FDA accepts it for filing. Once the submission is
accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth substantive review of the NDA. The FDA reviews the application to determine,
among other things, whether the proposed product is safe and effective for its intended use, and whether the product is being
manufactured in accordance with GMPs. The FDA may refer applications for novel products or products that present difficult
questions of safety or efficacy to an advisory committee, typically a panel that includes clinicians and other experts, for review,
evaluation and a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved and under what conditions. The FDA is not
bound by the recommendations of an advisory committee, but it considers such recommendations carefully when making
decisions. During the product approval process, the FDA also will determine whether a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation
Strategy, or REMS, is necessary to assure the safe use of the product. If the FDA concludes a REMS is needed, the sponsor of
the NDA must submit a proposed REMS; the FDA will not approve the application without a REMS, if required.
Notwithstanding the submission of relevant data and information, the FDA may ultimately decide that the NDA does not satisfy
its regulatory criteria for approval and deny approval. Data obtained from clinical studies are not always conclusive and the
FDA may interpret data differently than we interpret the same data. If the agency decides not to approve the marketing
application, the FDA will issue a Complete complete Response response letter that usually, which typically describes all of
the specific deficiencies in the application identified by the FDA. The deficiencies identified may be minor, for example (e.g.,
requiring labeling changes \frac{1}{1} or major \frac{1}{1} for example (e. g., requiring additional clinical studies). Additionally, the Complete
complete Response response letter may include recommended actions that the applicant might take to place the application in a
condition for approval. If a Complete complete Response response letter is issued, the applicant may either resubmit the NDA,
addressing all of the deficiencies identified in the letter, or withdraw the application. If a product receives regulatory approval,
the approval will be limited to the specific diseases and dosages studied in clinical trials or, and the indications for use may
otherwise be limited, which could restrict the commercial value of the product. Further, the FDA may require that certain
contraindications, warnings or precautions be included in the product labeling. The FDA may impose restrictions and conditions
on product distribution, prescribing 7 or dispensing pursuant to a REMS request, or otherwise limit the scope of any approval.
Drug approvals may be withdrawn for non- compliance with regulatory standards or if problems occur following initial
marketing. One of the performance goals agreed to by the FDA under the PDUFA is to complete its review of 90 % of
standard new molecular entity, or NME, NDAs within ten months from the filing date and 90 % of priority NME NDAs within
six months from the filing date, whereupon a review decision is to be made. The FDA does not always meet its PDUFA goal
dates, and its review goals are subject to change from time to time. The review process and the PDUFA goal date may be
extended by three months if , within the last three months before the PDUFA goal date, the FDA requests (or the application
sponsor otherwise provides ), or a new analysis or major reanalysis of studies previously submitted to the pending application
.Post- Approval Requirements Rigorous and extensive FDA regulation of drug continues after approval, particularly with respect
to GMP. We will rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties for the production of clinical and commercial quantities of
any products that we may commercialize. Manufacturers of our products are will be required to comply with applicable
requirements in the GMP regulations, including quality control and quality assurance and maintenance of records and
documentation. Other post- approval requirements applicable to drug manufacturers, include reporting of GMP deviations that
may affect the safety, efficacy or quality of a distributed product, record-keeping requirements, reporting of adverse
effects, reporting updated safety and efficacy information, and complying with electronic record and signature requirements. We
also must comply with the FDA's advertising and promotion requirements, such as those related to direct-to-consumer
```

```
advertising, the prohibition on promoting products for uses or in patient populations that are not described in or are otherwise
inconsistent with the product's approved labeling (known as "off-label use"), and industry-sponsored scientific and
educational activities. Discovery of previously unknown problems or the failure to comply with the applicable regulatory
requirements may result in restrictions on the marketing of a product or withdrawal of the product from the market as well as
possible civil or criminal sanctions. Failure to comply with the applicable U.S. requirements at any time during the product
development process, approval process or after approval, may subject an applicant or manufacturer to administrative or judicial
civil or criminal sanctions and adverse publicity. FDA sanctions could include refusal to approve pending
applications, withdrawal of an approval, clinical hold, warning or untitled letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial
suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, refusals of government contracts, mandated corrective advertising or
communications with doctors, debarment, restitution, disgorgement of profits, or civil or criminal penalties. An Any agency or
judicial enforcement action could have a material adverse effect on us.Drug manufacturers and other entities involved in the
manufacture and distribution of approved drugs are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state
agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and certain state agencies for compliance with GMPs
and other laws. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money, and effort in the area of production and quality
control to maintain GMP compliance. Discovery of problems with a product after approval may result in restrictions on a
product, manufacturer, or holder of an approved NDA, including withdrawal of the product from the market. In addition, changes
to the manufacturing process or facility generally require prior FDA approval before being implemented and other types of
changes to the approved product, such as adding new indications and additional labeling claims, are also subject to further
FDA review and approval additional <mark>labeling claims, are also subject to further FDA review and approval <del>information or</del></mark>
elarification regarding information already provided in the submission within the last three months before the PDUFA goal date
. Fast Track Designation , Priority Review, Breakthrough Therapy Designation and Accelerated Approval The FDA has
various programs, including Fast fast Track-track designation, priority review, breakthrough therapy designation and
accelerated approval, which are intended to expedite or simplify the process for the development and FDA review of drugs.
Even if a drug qualifies for one or more of these programs, the FDA may later decide that the drug no longer meets the
conditions for qualification. Generally, drugs that are eligible for these programs are those for serious or life-threatening
conditions, those with the potential to address unmet medical needs, and those that offer meaningful benefits over existing
treatments. For example While these pathways can reduce the time it takes for the FDA to review an NDA, they do not
guarantee that a product will receive FDA approval. To be eligible for a Fast fast Track track designation, the FDA must
determine, based on the request of a sponsor, that a drug is intended to treat a process serious or life threatening disease
or condition for which there is no effective treatment and demonstrates the potential to address an unmet medical need
for the disease or condition. Under the fast track program, the sponsor of a drug candidate may request the FDA to
designed designate the product for a specific indication as a fast track product concurrent with or after the filing of the
IND for the drug candidate. The FDA must make a fast track designation determination within 60 days after receipt of
the sponsor's request. In addition to expedite other benefits, such as the ability to use surrogate endpoints and have
greater interactions with the FDA, the FDA may initiate review of sections of a fast track product's NDA before the
application is complete. This rolling review is available if the applicant provides, and the FDA approves, a schedule for
the submission of the remaining information and the applicant pays applicable user fees. However, the FDA's time
period goal for reviewing a fast track application does not begin until the last section of the NDA is submitted. A fast
track drug also may be eligible for accelerated approval and priority review <del>of drugs.</del> In addition, the fast track
designation may be withdrawn by the FDA if it believes that t<del>reat serious or life- threatening diseases or conditions and fill</del>
unmet medical needs. Under the Fast Track designation is no longer supported by data emerging in the clinical trial process
The FDA may give a priority review designation to drugs that offer major advances in treatment, or provide a treatment
where no adequate therapy exists . A , may also receive priority review by means that the goal for the FDA to , or review
within an application is six months of, rather than the standard filing of an NDA compared to a traditional review time of
ten months under current PDUFA guidelines. Although These six- and ten- month review periods are measured from the
"filing" date rather than the receipt date for NDAs for new molecular entities, which typically adds approximately two
months to the timeline for review and decision from the date of submission. Most products that are eligible for Fast fast
Track track and designation are also likely to be considered appropriate to receive a priority review. Under do not affect
the standards provisions of the new Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act, for- or approval FDASIA,
enacted by Congress in 2012, a sponsor can request designation of a drug candidate as , and may not result in a faster
approval "breakthrough therapy," typically by if approval is granted, for Fast Track designated drugs, the end FDA will
also attempt to facilitate early and frequent meetings with a sponsor of the a Fast Track designated drug, to expedite such drug's
Phase II trials. A breakthrough therapy is defined as a drug that is intended, alone or in combination with one or more
other drugs, to treat a serious or life- threatening disease or condition, and preliminary clinical evidence indicates that
the drug may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant
endpoints, such as substantial treatment effects observed early in clinical development. Drugs designated as
breakthrough therapies are also eligible for accelerated approval. For breakthrough therapies, the FDA may take
certain actions, such as intensive and early guidance on the drug development program, that are intended to expedite the
development and review of and- an application for approval. FDASIA also codified and expanded on FDA's accelerated
approval regulations, under which FDA may approve a drug for a serious or life threatening illness that provides
meaningful therapeutic benefit over existing treatments based on a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to
predict clinical benefit, or on an intermediate clinical endpoint that can be measured earlier than irreversible morbidity
or mortality, that is reasonably likely to predict an effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical benefit.
```

A surrogate endpoint is a marker that does not itself measure clinical benefit but is believed to predict clinical benefit. This determination takes into account the severity, rarity or prevalence of the disease or condition and the availability or lack of alternative treatments. As a condition of approval, the FDA may require a sponsor of a drug receiving accelerated approval to perform Phase IV or post marketing studies to verify and describe the predicted effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical endpoint, and the drug may be subject to accelerated withdrawal procedures. All promotional materials for drug candidates approved under accelerated regulations are subject to prior review by the FDA. Even if a product qualifies for one or more of these programs, the FDA may later decide that the product no longer meets the conditions for qualification or decide that the time period for the FDA review or approval will not be shortened. Furthermore, fast track designation, priority review, accelerated approval and breakthrough therapy designation, do not change the standards for approval and may not ultimately expedite the development or approval process. Orphan Drug Designation Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may grant orphan designation to a drug intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which is generally a disease or condition that affects fewer than 200, 000 individuals in the U.S., or more than 200, 000 individuals in the U.S. and for which there is no reasonable expectation that the cost of developing and making a drug available in the U. S. for this type of disease or condition will be recovered from sales of the product. We have received orphan Orphan drug designation from the FDA for XEN496 (active ingredient ezogabine), a drug we are evaluating in a Phase 3 clinical trial for the treatment of KCNQ2-DEE. Orphan product designation must be requested before submitting an NDA. After the FDA grants orphan product drug designation, the identity of the therapeutic agent and its potential orphan use are disclosed publicly by the FDA. Orphan product <mark>drug</mark> designation does not convey any advantage in or shorten the duration of the regulatory review and approval process. Orphan drug products may also be eligible for <mark>rare</mark> pediatric disease, or RPD, designation if greater than 50 % of patients living with the disease are under age 19 and the condition affects fewer than 200, 000 individuals in the U.S. A priority review voucher will be given to the sponsor of a product with an RPD designation at the time of product approval that is-can be redeemed to receive a priority review of a subsequent marketing application for a different product. Such vouchers are transferable to another company. If a product candidate that has orphan designation subsequently receives the first FDA approval for such drug for the disease or condition for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan product drug exclusivity, which means that the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same indication for seven years, except in limited circumstances, such as a showing of clinical superiority to the product with orphan exclusivity. Competitors, however, may receive approval of different products for the same indication for which the orphan product drug has exclusivity or obtain approval for the same product but for a different indication for which the orphan product drug has exclusivity. Orphan product drug exclusivity also could block the approval of one of our products - product candidates for seven years if a competitor obtains approval of the same product for the same orphan indication as defined by the FDA, or if our product candidate is determined to be contained within the competitor's product for the same orphan indication or disease. If a drug product candidate designated as an orphan product drug receives marketing approval for an indication broader than what is designated, it may not be entitled to orphan product-drug exclusivity. Orphan drug status in the EU has similar, but not identical, benefits, including up to ten years of exclusivity. In Catalyst Pharms., Inc. v. Becerra, 14 F. 4th 1299 (11th Cir. 2021), the court disagreed with the FDA's longstanding position that the orphan drug exclusivity only applies to the approved use or indication within an eligible disease, and not to all uses or indications within the entire disease or condition. In particular, the circuit court held that the orphan -drug exclusivity for Catalyst's drug blocked the FDA's approval of another drug for all uses or indications within the same orphandesignated disease, or Lambert- Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS), even though Catalyst's drug was approved at that time only for use in the treatment of LEMS in adults. Accordingly, the court ordered the FDA to set aside the approval of a drug indicated for LEMS in children. This decision created uncertainty in the application of the orphan drug exclusivity. On January 24, 2023, the FDA published a notice in the Federal Register to clarify that while the agency complies **complied** with the court' s order in Catalyst, the FDA intends to continue to apply its longstanding interpretation of the regulations to matters outside of the scope of the Catalyst order – that is, the agency will continue tying the scope of orphan –drug exclusivity to the uses or indications for which a drug is approved, which permits other sponsors to obtain approval of a drug for new uses or indications within the same orphan - designated disease or condition that have not yet been approved. It is unclear how future litigation, legislation, agency decisions - and administrative actions will impact the scope of the orphan drug exclusivity. Post-Approval Requirements Rigorous and..... subject to further FDA review and approval . Controlled Substance Regulation The United States Controlled Substances Act, or CSA, establishes registration, security, recordkeeping, reporting, storage, distribution and other requirements administered by the Drug Enforcement Administration, or DEA. The DEA is concerned with the control of handlers of controlled substances, and with the equipment and raw materials used in their manufacture and packaging, in order to prevent loss and diversion into illicit channels of commerce. The DEA regulates controlled substances as Schedule I, II, III, IV or V substances. Schedule I substances by definition have a high potential for abuse, have no established medicinal use, and may not be marketed or sold in the U. S. -A pharmaceutical product may be listed as Schedule II, III, IV or V, with Schedule II substances considered to present the highest risk of abuse and Schedule V substances the lowest relative risk of abuse among such substances. Annual registration is required for any facility that manufactures, distributes, dispenses, imports or exports any controlled substance. The registration is specific to the particular location, activity and controlled substance schedule. For example, separate registrations are needed for import and manufacturing, and each registration will specify which schedules of controlled substances are authorized. The DEA typically inspects a facility to review its security measures prior to issuing a registration. Security requirements vary by controlled substance schedule, with the most stringent requirements applying to Schedule I and Schedule II substances. Required security measures include background checks on employees and physical control of inventory through measures such as cages, surveillance cameras and inventory reconciliations. Records must be maintained for the handling of all controlled substances, and periodic reports made to the DEA. Reports must also be made for

thefts or losses of any controlled substance, and to obtain authorization to destroy any controlled substance. In addition, special authorization and notification requirements apply to imports and exports. The DEA conducts periodic inspections of certain registered establishments that handle controlled substances. Failure to maintain compliance with applicable requirements, particularly as manifested in loss or diversion, can result in enforcement action that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. The DEA may seek civil penalties, refuse to renew necessary registrations, or initiate proceedings to restrict, suspend or revoke those registrations. In certain circumstances, violations could result in criminal proceedings. Individual states also regulate controlled substances, and we and our contract manufacturers will be subject to state regulation on distribution of these products, including licensing, recordkeeping and security. Controlled substances are also regulated pursuant to several international drug control treaties. These treaties are enforced by the United National Commission on Narcotic Drugs. The U.S. is a signatory to these treaties and thus must conform its laws and regulations to the international requirements, which generally include licensing, recordkeeping and reporting requirements. Any change in the international treaties regarding classification of these products could affect regulation of these substances in the U. S. and in other countries. Further, marketing approval and controlled substance classification procedures vary among countries, can involve additional testing and administrative review periods and may be otherwise complicated if our product candidates contain ingredients already classified as controlled substances in the countries where we develop them, which could make such product candidates subject to applicable controlled substances laws prior to commercialization. Foreign regulation of controlled substances can differ significantly from U. S. DEA and state regulations. The time required to obtain marketing approval and controlled substance classification in other countries may differ from and be longer than that required to obtain FDA approval and DEA classification in the U. S. U. S. Patent Term Restoration Extension and Marketing Exclusivity Depending upon the timing, duration and specifics of the FDA approval of the use of our product candidates, some of our U. S. patents may be eligible for limited patent term extension under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, commonly referred to as the Hatch- Waxman Amendments Act. The Hatch- Waxman Amendments Act permit permits a patent restoration term **extension** of up to five years as compensation for patent term lost during product development and the FDA regulatory review process. However, patent Patent term restoration extension cannot extend the remaining term of a patent beyond a total of 14 years from the product's approval date. Only one patent applicable to an approved product is eligible for the extension and the application for the patent term extension must be submitted within 60 days prior to the expiration of the patent receipt of FDA approval. The U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, in consultation with the FDA, reviews and approves the application for any patent term extension or restoration. Under the Hatch-Waxman Amendments Act , a drug product containing a new chemical entity as its active ingredient is entitled to five years of market exclusivity. A drug product is a new chemical entity if the FDA has not previously approved any other new drug containing the same active moiety, which is defined as the molecule or ion responsible for the activity of the drug substance, excluding those appended portions of the molecule that cause the drug to be and—an ester, salt, or other noncovalent derivative. During this exclusivity period, the FDA may not approve an abbreviated new drug application, or ANDA, or a 505 (b) (2) NDA submitted by another company that contains the previously approved active moiety. However, an ANDA or 505 (b) (2) NDA may be submitted after four years if it contains a certification of patent invalidity or non- infringement. A drug product whose active ingredient was previously FDA - approved, and for which the sponsor is required to generate new clinical data investigations such as to support new indications, dosages, strengths or dosage forms, is entitled to three years of market exclusivity. This three- year exclusivity covers only the conditions of use associated with the new clinical investigations and, as a general matter, does not prohibit the FDA from approving ANDAs or 505 (b) (2) NDAs for generic versions of the original unmodified drug product, although the approval of such an application may not be effective until, at the earliest, after the full five years of market exclusivity has expired. A drug product can also obtain pediatric market exclusivity in the U. S. and, if granted, adds six months to existing marketing exclusivity exclusivities periods and any Orange Book-listed patent terms term (s). This six- month exclusivity, which runs from the end of other exclusivity protection periods and / or patent term (s), may be granted based on the timely, voluntary, and as-agreed upon completion of a pediatric study in accordance with an FDA- issued "Written Request" for such a study, even if the data do not show that the drug product was effective in the pediatric population studied. Additional Regulation Manufacturing, sales, promotion and other activities following drug approval are also subject to regulation by numerous regulatory authorities in addition to the FDA, including, in the United States, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, other divisions of the Department of Health and Human Services, the Drug Enforcement Administration for controlled substances, the Consumer Product Safety Commission, the Federal Trade Commission, the Occupational Safety & Health Administration, the Environmental Protection Agency and state and local governments. In the United States, the activities of pharmaceutical manufacturers are subject to federal and state laws designed to prevent "fraud and abuse" in the healthcare industry. The laws generally limit financial interactions between manufacturers and health care providers or other participants in the healthcare industry and / or require disclosure to the government and public of such interactions. Many of these laws and regulations contain ambiguous requirements or require administrative guidance for implementation. Pharmaceutical manufacturers are also required to provide discounts or rebates under government healthcare programs or to certain government and private purchasers in order to obtain coverage under federal healthcare programs such as Medicaid. Participation in such programs may require tracking and reporting of certain drug prices. Manufacturers are subject to fines and other penalties if such prices are not reported accurately. The handling of any controlled substances must comply with the U. S. Controlled Substances Act and Controlled Substances Import and Export Act. Drugs must meet applicable child-resistant packaging requirements under the U.S. Poison Prevention Packaging Act. Manufacturing, sales, promotion and other activities are also potentially subject to federal and state consumer protection and unfair competition laws. The failure to comply with regulatory requirements

```
subjects manufacturers to possible legal or regulatory action. Depending on the circumstances, failure to meet applicable
regulatory requirements can result in criminal prosecution, fines or other penalties, injunctions, recall or seizure of
drugs, total or partial suspension of production, denial or withdrawal of product approvals, exclusion from participation
in government healthcare programs or refusal to allow a firm to enter into supply contracts, including government
contracts. In addition to , even if a firm complies with FDA and the other foregoing requirements , new information
provincial, state and federal U. S. and Canadian laws regarding environmental protection and hazardous substances the safety or
efficacy of a product could lead the FDA to modify or withdraw product approval. Prohibitions or restrictions on sales or
withdrawal of future products marketed by us could materially affect our business in . These and an other laws govern
adverse way. Changes in regulations, statutes our- or the interpretation use, handling and disposal of existing regulations
could impact our business various biological, chemical and radioactive substances used in the future, and wastes generated
by requiring, for example: (i) changes to our manufacturing arrangements; (ii) additions our operations
modifications to product labeling; (iii) the recall or discontinuation of our products; or (iv) additional record- keeping
requirements. If our operations result in contamination of any such changes were to be imposed, the they environment or
expose individuals to hazardous substances, we could be liable for damages and governmental fines. We believe that we are in
material compliance with applicable environmental laws and that continued compliance therewith will not have a material
adverse adversely effect affect on the operation of our business. We cannot predict, however, how changes in these laws may
affect our future operations. Global Anti- Corruption Laws The U. S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the Canadian
Corruption of Foreign Public Officials Act, the U.S. Travel Act, the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention, Title 18 United States
Code section 201, and any other applicable domestic or foreign anti- corruption or anti- bribery laws to which we are subject
prohibit corporations and individuals from engaging in certain activities to obtain or retain business or to influence a person
working in an official capacity. It is illegal to pay, offer to pay or authorize the payment of anything of value to any foreign
government official, government staff member, political party or political candidate in an attempt to obtain or retain business or
to otherwise influence a person working in an official capacity. We may also be held liable for the acts of our third- party agents
under the U. S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Canadian Corruption of Foreign Public Officials Act, and other applicable anti-
corruption and anti- bribery laws. Noncompliance with these laws could subject us to investigations, sanctions, settlements,
prosecution, other enforcement actions, disgorgement of profits, significant fines, damages, other civil and criminal penalties or
injunctions, suspension or debarment from contracting with certain persons, the loss of export privileges, whistleblower
complaints, reputational harm, adverse media coverage, and other collateral consequences. Any investigations, actions or
sanctions or other previously mentioned harm could have a material negative effect on our business, operating results and
financial condition. Government Regulation Outside of the U. S. In addition to regulations in the U. S., we will be subject to a
variety of regulations in other jurisdictions governing, among other things, research, development, testing, manufacture, quality
control, controlled substances, approval, labeling, packaging, storage, record-keeping, promotion, advertising, distribution,
post- approval monitoring and reporting, marketing and export and import of drugs, and reimbursement requirements.
Generally, before a new drug can be marketed, considerable data demonstrating its quality, safety and efficacy must be obtained,
organized into a format specific for each regulatory authority, submitted for review and approved by the regulatory authority.
Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product candidate, we must obtain the requisite approvals from regulatory
authorities in foreign countries prior to the commencement of clinical studies or marketing of the product candidate in those
countries. Certain countries outside of the U.S. have a similar process that requires the submission of a clinical study
application much like the IND prior to the commencement of human clinical studies. In the EU, for example, a CTA must be
submitted to each country's national health authority and an independent ethics committee, much like the FDA and the IRB,
respectively. Once the CTA is approved in accordance with a country's requirements, clinical study development may proceed.
Similar requirements regarding a CTA and ethics approval exist in Canada. The requirements and processer processer governing
the conduct of clinical studies, product licensing, coverage, pricing and reimbursement vary from country to country. In all
cases, the clinical studies are conducted in accordance with GCP and the applicable regulatory requirements and the ethical
principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki. The EU clinical trials legislation currently is undergoing a
transition process mainly aimed at harmonizing and streamlining clinical-trial authorization, simplifying adverse-event
reporting procedures, improving the supervision of clinical trials and increasing their transparency. The Clinical Trials
Regulation EU No 536 / 2014, or the CTR, which replaced the Clinical Trials Directive, entered into application on January 31,
2022, <del>is intended to harmonizes the procedures for the submission, assessment and monitoring of clinical drug trials in</del>
the EU, thus simplify simplifying the current rules for clinical trial authorization and standards of performance. For instance,
The CTR requires a clinical trial sponsor to obtain approval from there-- the national competent authority (NCA) of
<mark>each will be a streamlined application procedure via a single- entry point, a</mark> European Union <mark>member state in which the</mark>
clinical trial is to be conducted. Furthermore, the sponsor can only start a clinical trial at a specific study site after the
local research ethics committee, or REC, has issued a favorable opinion. Subject to the transition arrangement
referenced below, a sponsor submits a single application for a clinical trial authorization, or CTA, through a centralized
EU clinical trials portal called the Clinical Trials Information System, or CTIS. One NCA (the reporting EU member
state selected by the sponsor) takes the lead in validating and evaluating the application, as well as consulting and
<mark>coordinating with the other concerned member states in which the clinical trial is to be conducted. If <del>and</del>- <mark>an <del>database</del></mark></mark>
application is rejected, it may be amended and resubmitted through CTIS. A concerned member state may in limited
circumstances declare an " opt- out " from an approval and prevent the clinical trial from being conducted in that
member state. The CTR foresees a three- year transition period. As of January 31, 2023, all new CTA applications had
to be submitted via CTIS and be made pursuant to the CTR. From and after January 31, 2025, any trials approved
under the Clinical Trial Directive (now replaced by the CTR) which are still ongoing will need to comply with the CTR
```

```
and be recorded in CTIS. In the UK, clinical trials of medicinal products for human use are primarily governed by the
Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2004 (as amended). Similar to the EU, before a clinical trial can
be initiated in the UK, a CTA must be obtained from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, or
MHRA, as well as a positive opinion from a REC. To obtain regulatory approval of an investigational drug under EU
regulatory systems, we must submit a marketing authorization application, or MAA. There are two types of marketing
authorizations: • The centralized MA is issued by the European Commission through the centralized procedure, based
on the opinion of the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, or the CHMP, of the EMA, and is valid
throughout the entire territory of the EEA. The centralized procedure is mandatory for certain types of products, such
as biotechnology medicinal products, orphan medicinal products, advanced- therapy medicinal products (gene- therapy,
somatic cell- therapy or tissue- engineered medicines) and medicinal products containing a new active substance
indicated for the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, cancer,
neurodegenerative disorders, diabetes, auto- immune and other immune dysfunctions and viral diseases. The centralized
procedure is optional for products containing a new active substance not yet authorized in the EEA, or for products that
constitute a significant therapeutic, scientific or technical innovation or which are in the interest of public health in the
EU. Under the centralized procedure, the maximum timeframe for the evaluation of a MA application by the EMA is 210
days, excluding clock stops, when additional written or oral information is to be provided by the applicant in response to
questions asked by the CHMP. Clock stops may extend the timeframe of evaluation of a MA application considerably
beyond 210 days. Where the CHMP gives a positive opinion, the EMA provides the opinion together with supporting
documentation to the European Commission, who makes the final decision to grant a MA, which is ordinarily issued
within 67 days of receipt of the EMA's recommendation. Accelerated assessment might be granted by the CHMP in
exceptional cases, when a medicinal product is expected to be of a major public health interest, particularly from the
point of view of therapeutic innovation. The timeframe for the evaluation of a MA application under the accelerated
assessment procedure is 150 days, excluding clock stops, but it is possible that the CHMP may revert to the standard
time limit for the centralized procedure if it determines that the application is no longer appropriate to conduct an
accelerated assessment. • National MAs, which are issued by the NCAs of the EEA member states and only cover their
respective territory, are available for products not falling within the mandatory scope of the centralized procedure.
Where a product has already been authorized for marketing in a member state of the EEA, this national MA can be
progressively recognized in other EEA member states through the mutual recognition procedure. If the product has not
received a national MA in any EEA member state at the time of application, it can be approved simultaneously in
various EEA member states through the decentralized procedure. Under the decentralized procedure, an identical
dossier is submitted to the NCAs of each of the EEA member states in which the MA is sought, one of which is selected
by the applicant as the Reference Member State (the RMS). The competent authority of the RMS prepares a draft
assessment report, a draft summary of the product characteristics (SmPC), and a draft of the labeling and package
leaflet, which are sent to the other EEA member states, referred to as the Concerned Member States, for their approval.
If the Concerned Member States raise no objections, based on a potential serious risk to public health, to the assessment,
SmPC, labeling, or packaging proposed by the RMS, the product is subsequently granted a national MA in all EEA
member states to which an application was submitted. In relation to the UK, until the end of 2024, under the Northern
Ireland Protocol which is contained in the Agreement on the withdrawal of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and
Northern Ireland from the European Union and the European Atomic Energy Community, centralized MAs continue to
provide a valid basis for commercializing medicinal products in Northern Ireland. However, centralized MAs no longer
provide a valid basis for the commercialization of medicinal products in Great Britain, Pursuant to the Windsor
Framework (which is a political declaration by the European Commission and the UK Government to correct the post-
Brexit restrictions of movements of goods including medicines), from January 1, 2025, all new medicinal products for the
UK market will be authorized by the MHRA which will grant on behalf of the UK Licensing Authority a single UK-
wide MA for all medicinal products intended for sale in the UK, enabling medicinal products to be sold in a single pack
and under a single authorization throughout the UK, including Northern Ireland, but the UK packaging must carry a
clearly legible 'UK only' to be allowed onto the UK market. Since leaving the EU, the MHRA has introduced changes to
national licensing procedures, including procedures to prioritize access to new medicines that will benefit patients, an
accelerated assessment procedure, and new routes of evaluation for novel products and biotechnological products. There
is no wholesale recognition of EU pharmaceutical legislation between the jurisdictions, and EU MAs do not
automatically provide a valid basis for the commercialization of medicinal products in Great Britain, From January 1,
2024, companies are able to request the MHRA to recognize MAs granted by acceptable Reference Regulators in foreign
jurisdictions (including the EU) under a new International Recognition Procedure, or IRP, IRP allows the MHRA to
take into account the expertise and decision- making of trusted regulatory authorities to conduct targeted assessments of
IRP applications while retaining the authority to reject applications if the evidence provided is considered insufficiently
robust. The application used to file the NDA in the U. S. is similar to that required in the EU, with the exception of, among
other things, country- specific document requirements. Reimbursement approval for the drug by regulatory authorities is also
required before a drug may be commercialized. The EU also provides opportunities for data and market exclusivity. For
example, in the EU, upon receiving marketing authorization, new chemical entities generally innovative medicinal products
(including both small molecules and biological medicinal products) approved on the basis of a complete independent
data package consisting of quality, preclinical testing results, and clinical trial data receive eight years of data exclusivity
upon grant of an MA, and an additional two years of <del>market marketing</del> exclusivity. If granted, data exclusivity prevents
generic or biosimilar applicants <del>regulatory authorities in the EU-</del>from <mark>cross-</mark> referencing the innovator's preclinical and
```

```
<mark>clinical trial</mark> data <del>to assess <mark>contained in the dossier of the reference medicinal product when applying for </mark>a generic</del>
application. During or biosimilar MA until the data exclusivity additional two-year-period of market exclusivity, has
expired. Even if a generic marketing authorization can be submitted, and a biosimilar the innovator's data may be referenced,
but no generic product is approved, it can be marketed only until the expiration of the full ten- year exclusivity period. The
overall ten- year period can be extended to a maximum of 11 years if, during the first eight years of those ten years, the
MA holder obtains an authorization for one or more new therapeutic indications which, during the scientific evaluation
prior to their authorization, are determined to bring a significant clinical benefit in comparison with currently approved
therapies. The UK domestic law follows the same formula of regulatory data and market marketing exclusivity. However,
there is no guarantee that a product will be considered by the EU's regulatory authorities to be a new chemical entity or new
active substance, and products may not qualify for data exclusivity. Products receiving orphan designation in the EU can
receive ten years of market exclusivity, during which provided that the orphan designation is maintained at the time of
grant of the marketing authorization. During the ten-year orphan market exclusivity period, no application for a similar
medicinal product for the same indication may be placed on accepted by any regulatory authority in the market EU for
approval. An orphan product can also obtain an additional two years of market exclusivity in the EU for completing pediatric
studies in compliance with an agreed Pediatric Investigation Plan even though the data do not lead to approval of a
pediatric indication. No extension to any supplementary protection certificate can be granted on the basis of pediatric studies
for orphan indications. The criteria for designating an "orphan medicinal product" in the EU are similar in principle but not
identical to those in the U. S. Under Article 3 of Regulation (EC) 141 / 2000, a medicinal product may be designated as orphan
if (1) it is intended for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of a life- threatening or chronically debilitating condition; (2)
either (a) such condition affects no more than five in 10,000 persons in the EU when the application is made, or (b) the product,
without the benefits derived from orphan status, would not generate sufficient return in the EU to justify investment; and (3)
there exists no satisfactory method of diagnosis, prevention or treatment of such condition authorized for marketing in the EU, or
if such a method exists, the product will be of significant benefit to those affected by the condition, as defined in Regulation
(EC) 847 / 2000. The criteria for orphan designation must be re- assessed and confirmed at the time when a marketing
authorization is granted in order to benefit from a period of 10 years orphan market exclusivity. The MHRA conducts
an equivalent assessment, against the criteria specific to the UK. In the EEA, orphan drug designation must be requested
before submitting an application for MA. The EMA's Committee for Orphan Medicinal Products (COMP) is required to
re- assess the granted orphan designation at the time of MA grant to ensure that it continues to meet the criteria for the
designation to be maintained. Otherwise, the orphan designation can be revoked. In contrast, the MHRA does not grant
orphan designations during the development of the medicinal product. Instead, the MHRA will decide whether the
criteria are satisfied at the point of grant of an MA. In the EEA and the UK, orphan medicinal products are eligible for
financial incentives such as reduction of fees or fee waivers and are, upon grant of a marketing authorization, entitled to ten
years of market exclusivity for the approved therapeutic indication . The application for orphan drug designation must be
submitted before the application for marketing authorization. The applicant will receive a fee reduction for the marketing
authorization application if the orphan drug designation has been granted, but not if the designation is still pending at the time
the marketing authorization is submitted. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of,
the regulatory review and approval process. The 10- year market exclusivity may be reduced to six years if, at the end of the
fifth year, it is established that the product no longer meets the criteria for orphan designation, for example, if the product is
sufficiently profitable not to justify maintenance of market exclusivity. Additionally, marketing authorization may be granted to
a similar medicinal product for the same therapeutic indication at any time if: • the second applicant can establish that its
product, although similar, is safer, more effective or otherwise clinically superior; • the applicant consents to a second orphan
medicinal product application; or • the applicant cannot supply enough orphan medicinal product , A " similar medicinal
product" is defined as a medicinal product containing a similar active substance or substances as contained in an
authorized orphan medicinal product, and which is intended for the same therapeutic indication. In the EEA, companies
developing a new medicinal product must agree upon a pediatric investigation plan ("PIP"), with the EMA's Pediatric
Committee (the" PDCO"), and must conduct pediatric clinical trials in accordance with that PIP, unless a waiver
applies. The PIP sets out the timing and measures proposed to generate data to support a pediatric indication of the drug
for which MA is being sought. The PDCO can grant a deferral of the obligation to implement some or all of the measures
of the PIP until there are sufficient data to demonstrate the efficacy and safety of the product in adults. Further, the
obligation to provide pediatric clinical trial data can be waived by the PDCO when this data is not needed or appropriate
because the product is likely to be ineffective or unsafe in children, the disease or condition for which the product is
intended occurs only in adult populations, or when the product does not represent a significant therapeutic benefit over
existing treatments for pediatric patients. Products that are granted a MA with the results of the pediatric clinical trials
conducted in accordance with the PIP (even where such results are negative) are eligible for six months' supplementary
protection certificate extension (if any is in effect at the time of approval). In the case of orphan medicinal products, a
two- year extension of the orphan market exclusivity may be available. This pediatric reward is subject to specific
conditions and is not automatically available when data in compliance with the PIP are developed and submitted.
According to local law requirements, the UK MHRA adopts a similar approach to the EEA to facilitate the development
<mark>of medicinal products for the pediatric population</mark>. For other countries outside of the EU, such as Canada and countries in
Eastern Europe, Latin America or Asia, the requirements governing the conduct of clinical studies, product and establishment
licensing, coverage, data protection, pricing and reimbursement vary from country to country. In all cases, again, the clinical
studies are conducted in accordance with GCP and the applicable regulatory requirements and the ethical principles that have
their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki. If we fail to comply with applicable foreign regulatory requirements, we may be
```

```
subject to, among other things, fines, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product recalls, inability to import or
export, seizure of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecution. Pharmaceutical Coverage, Pricing and
Reimbursement Significant uncertainty exists as to the coverage and reimbursement status of any product candidates for which
we obtain regulatory approval. In the U. S. and markets in other countries, sales of any products for which we receive regulatory
approval for commercial sale will depend, in part, on the availability of coverage and adequate reimbursement from third-party
payers. Third- party payers include government programs such as Medicare or Medicaid, and private payers such as private
health insurance and managed care plans, <del>private health insurers,</del> and other organizations. These third- party payers may deny
or limit coverage or reimbursement for a product. Within the U. S., coverage and reimbursement or for therapy in whole or
in drug products can differ significantly from payer to payer. One third-part party if they determine payer's decision to
cover a particular drug product or service does not ensure that other payers will also provide coverage for the product or
therapy was not medically appropriate or necessary will provide coverage at an adequate reimbursement rate. Third-party
payers may attempt to control costs by limiting coverage (e.g., to specific drug products on an approved list, or formulary,
which might not include all of the FDA- approved drug products for a particular indication ), by controlling utilization (e.g.,
requiring pre- approval or prior authorization for new or innovative drug therapies before they will provide coverage
for specific patients) and by limiting the amount of reimbursement for particular procedures or drug drugs treatments. The
cost of pharmaceuticals continues to generate substantial governmental and third-party payer interest. We expect that the
pharmaceutical industry will experience pricing pressures due to the trend toward managed healthcare, the increasing influence
of managed care organizations and additional legislative proposals. Third-party payers are increasingly challenging the price
and examining the medical necessity and cost-effectiveness of medical products and services, in addition to their safety and
efficacy. We may need to conduct expensive pharmacoeconomic studies in order to demonstrate the medical necessity and cost-
effectiveness of our products, in addition to the costs required to obtain the FDA approvals. Our product candidates may not be
considered medically necessary or cost- effective. A payer's decision to provide coverage for a drug product does not imply that
an adequate reimbursement rate will be approved. Adequate third- party reimbursement may not be available to enable us to
maintain price levels sufficient to realize an appropriate return on our investment in product development. Some third-party
payers also require pre- approval or prior authorization of coverage for new or innovative drug therapies before they will
reimburse healthcare providers who prescribe such therapies or patients who use such prescription drugs. While we cannot
predict what whether any proposed cost- containment measures will be adopted or otherwise implemented in the future, these
requirements new measures or any announcement or adoption of such proposals proposed measures could have a material
adverse effect on our ability to obtain adequate prices for our product candidates and to operate profitably. In international
markets, reimbursement and healthcare payment systems vary significantly by country, and many countries have instituted price
ceilings on specific products and therapies. There can be no assurance that our products will be considered medically reasonable
and necessary for a specific indication, that our products will be considered cost- effective by third- party payers, that coverage
or an adequate level of reimbursement will be available or that the third- party payers' reimbursement policies will not adversely
affect our ability to sell our products profitably. In addition, in many foreign countries, the proposed pricing for a drug must be
approved before it may be lawfully marketed. The requirements governing drug pricing and reimbursement vary widely from
country to country. For example, the EU provides options for its member states to restrict the range of medicinal products for
which their national health insurance systems provide reimbursement and to control the prices of medicinal products for human
use. A member state may approve a specific price for the medicinal product or it may instead adopt a system of direct or indirect
controls on the profitability of the company placing the medicinal product on the market. There can be no assurance that any
country that has price controls or reimbursement limitations for pharmaceutical products will allow favorable reimbursement
and pricing arrangements for any of our products. Historically, products launched in the EU do not follow price structures of the
U. S. and generally prices tend to be significantly lower. Healthcare Reform In the U. S. and foreign jurisdictions, there have
been a number of legislative and regulatory changes to the healthcare system that could affect our future results of operations. In
particular, there have been and continue to be a number of initiatives at the U. S. federal and state levels that seek to reduce
healthcare costs generally and drug costs specifically. In the U. S., the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and
Modernization Act of 2003, or for example the Medicare Modernization Act, changed the way Medicare covers and pays for
pharmaceutical products. The Medicare Modernization Act expanded Medicare coverage for drug purchases by the elderly by
establishing Medicare Part D and introduced a new reimbursement methodology based on average sales prices for physician
administered drugs under Medicare Part B. In addition, this legislation provided authority for limiting the number of drugs that
will be covered in any therapeutic class under the new Medicare Part D program. Cost reduction initiatives and other provisions
of this legislation could decrease the coverage and reimbursement rate that our customers receive for any of our approved
products. While the Medicare Modernization Act applies only to drug benefits for Medicare beneficiaries, private payers often
follow Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own reimbursement rates. Therefore, any reduction in
reimbursement that results from the Medicare Modernization Act may result in a similar reduction in payments from private
payers. Enacted in March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended, or PPACA, is a sweeping law
intended to broaden access to enacted in March of 2010 that expanded health care coverage through Medicaid expansion
and the implementation of the individual mandate for health insurance , reduce or constrain the growth of healthcare
spending, enhance remedies against healthcare fraud and abuse, add new transparency requirements for healthcare and health
insurance industries, impose new taxes and fees on pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturers and impose additional
health policy reforms. Among other things, PPACA revises the definition of "average coverage and manufacturer price" for
reporting purposes, which included changes could increase the amount of Medicaid drug rebates to states. Further, the
coverage and reimbursement of PPACA also imposes a significant annual fee on companies that manufacture or import
branded prescription drug products under government healthcare programs. Since its enactment Beyond the PPACA, there
```

```
remain judicial and Congressional challenges to certain aspects of the PPACA, and we expect there will be additional challenges
and amendments to the PPACA in the future. Any changes to the PPACA are likely to ongoing and widespread health care
reform efforts, a number of which have focused an impact on regulation of prices our or payment for drug products
results of operations, and may have a material adverse effect on our business. In particular Drug pricing and payment reform
was a focus of the Trump Administration and has been a focus of the Biden Administration. For example, federal
legislation enacted in June 2021 eliminates a the U.S. Supreme Court held that Texas and other challengers had no legal
standing to challenge the PPACA, dismissing the ease on procedural grounds without specifically ruling on the constitutionality
of the PPACA. Thus, the PPACA will remain in effect in its current form. We cannot predict how this decision or future
litigation will impact our business, or what other healthcare measures and regulations will ultimately be implemented at the
federal or state level or their effect on our business. Under the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, effective January 1, 2024, the
statutory cap on Medicaid Drug drug Rebate rebate Program program rebates effective January 1 that manufacturers pay to
state Medicaid programs will be eliminated. Elimination of this cap may require a pharmaceutical manufacturer to pay more in
rebates than it receives on the sale of products, which could have a material impact on our business. In August 2022 2024, As
another example. Congress passed the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) of 2022 contains various, which includes prescription
drug price negotiation, inflationary rebate, and pricing provisions with varying implementation dates. The IRA allows
that have significant implications for the pharmaceutical industry and Medicare beneficiaries, including allowing the federal
government to negotiate a maximum fair price for certain high- priced single <mark>-</mark> source Medicare drugs, <del>imposing-</del>impose<mark>s</mark>
penalties and excise tax for manufacturers that fail to comply with the drug price negotiation requirements, <del>requiring requires</del>
inflation rebates for all Medicare Part B and Part D drugs 🔫 with limited exceptions 👈 if their drug prices increase faster than
inflation, and redesigning redesigns Medicare Part D to reduce out- of- pocket prescription drug costs for beneficiaries, among
other changes. The impact of these-the legislative, executive, IRA on our business and administrative actions and any future
healthcare measures and agency rules implemented by the broader pharmaceutical industry remains uncertain as
implementation is ongoing. As another example, in 2022, subsequent to the enactment of the IRA, the Biden administration
<mark>released an executive order directing the HHS to report</mark> on <mark>how <del>us and</del> the <del>pharmaccutical industry <mark>Center for Medicare</mark></mark></del>
and Medicaid Innovation (" CMMI ") could be leveraged to test new models for lowering drug costs for Medicare and
Medicaid beneficiaries. The report as was a whole issued in 2023 and proposed various models that CMMI is <del>unclear. The</del>
implementation of currently developing which seek to lower the cost containment measures of drugs, promote accessibility
and improve quality of care. These changes or other healtheare reforms may prevent us from being able to generate revenue,
attain profitability, or commercialize our product candidates if approved. Complying with any new legislation and regulatory
changes could be time-intensive and expensive, resulting in a material adverse effect affect the market conditions for our
products. We expect continued scrutiny on our business-drug pricing and government price reporting from Congress,
agencies, and other bodies. At the state level, legislatures have increasingly passed legislation and implemented regulations
designed to control pharmaceutical and biological product pricing, including price or patient reimbursement constraints,
discounts, restrictions on certain product access and marketing cost disclosure and transparency measures, and, in some cases,
designed to encourage importation from other countries and bulk purchasing. For example, on January 5, 2024, the FDA
approved Florida's Section 804 Importation Program, or SIP, proposal to import certain drugs from Canada for
specific state healthcare programs. It is unclear how this program will be implemented, including which drugs will be
chosen, and whether it will be subject to legal challenges in the United States or Canada. Other states have also
submitted SIP proposals that are pending review by the FDA. Any such approved importation plans, when implemented,
may result in lower drug prices for products covered by those programs. Additionally, a number of states are considering
or have recently enacted state drug price transparency and reporting laws that could substantially increase our compliance
burdens and expose us to greater liability under such state laws once if and when we have marketed begin commercialization
after obtaining regulatory approval for any of our products. Implementation of cost containment measures or other healthcare
Healthcare reforms reform efforts have been and may continue to be subject to scrutiny and legal challenge. For
example, with respect to the PPACA, tax reform legislation was enacted that affect eliminated the pricing and / tax penalty
established or for availability individuals who do not maintain mandated health insurance coverage beginning in 2019
and, in 2021, the U. S. Supreme Court dismissed the latest judicial challenge to the ACA brought by several states
without specifically ruling on the constitutionality of the PPACA. As another example, revisions to regulations under the
federal anti- kickback statute would remove protection for traditional Medicare Part D discounts offered by
pharmaceutical manufacturers to pharmacy benefit managers and health plans. Pursuant to court order, the removal
was delayed and recent legislation imposed a moratorium on implementation of the rule until January 2032. As another
example, the IRA drug price negotiation program has been challenged products may impact our ability to generate revenue,
attain or maintain profitability, or commercialize products for which we may receive regulatory approval in the future litigation
filed by various pharmaceutical manufacturers and industry groups. We expect that <del>PPACA, as well as other</del> healthcare
reform measures that have been and or in the future may be adopted in the future, may result in more rigorous coverage
criteria and in additional downward pressure on the price that we receive for any approved product, and could seriously harm
our any future revenue generation. Any reduction in reimbursement from Medicare or other government programs may result
in a similar reduction in payments from private payers. The implementation of cost containment measures Complying with any
new legislation and regulatory changes could be time- intensive and expensive, resulting in a material adverse effect on of
our business other healtheare reforms may prevent us from being able to generate revenue, attain profitability, or
commercialize our products. Legislative and regulatory proposals have been made to expand post-approval requirements and
restrict sales and promotional activities for pharmaceutical products. We cannot be sure whether additional legislative changes
will be enacted, or whether FDA regulations, guidance or interpretations will be changed, or what the impact of such changes on
```

```
the regulatory approvals, if any, of our product candidates, if any, may be. In addition, increased scrutiny by Congress of the
FDA's approval process may significantly delay or prevent regulatory approval, as well as subject us to more stringent product
labeling and post- marketing testing and other requirements. In addition, different pricing and reimbursement schemes exist in
other countries. In the European Community, governments influence the price of pharmaceutical products through their pricing
and reimbursement rules and control of national healthcare systems that fund a large part of the cost of those products to
consumers. Some jurisdictions operate positive and negative list systems under which products may be marketed only once a
reimbursement price has been agreed upon. Some of these countries may require, as a condition of obtaining reimbursement or
pricing approval, the completion of clinical trials that compare the cost-effectiveness of a particular product eandidate-to
eurrently other then, available therapies. Other member states allow companies to fix their own prices for medicines, but
monitor and control company profits. The downward pressure on healthcare costs in general, particularly prescription drugs, has
become very intense. As a result, increasingly high barriers are being erected to the entry of new products. In addition, in some
countries, cross- border imports from low- priced markets exert a commercial pressure on pricing within a country. On May 1,
2021, the EU and UK trade and cooperation agreement, or TCA, entered into application. The TCA includes specific
provisions concerning pharmaceuticals, which include the mutual recognition of the outcomes of GMP inspections.
Applicants and MA holders may submit GMP certificates issued by the MHRA for sites located outside the EU/EEA as
supporting information for EU regulatory submissions. However, the TCA does not foresee wholesale mutual
recognition of UK and EU pharmaceutical regulations. The regulatory regime in Great Britain currently broadly aligns
with EU regulations. However, it is possible that these regimes may diverge in the future, given proposed legislative
changes such as the European Commission's proposals for the entire overhaul of the pharmaceutical regulatory regime.
Other Healthcare Laws and Compliance Requirements In the United States U.S., pharmaceutical the research, manufacturing
manufacturers, distribution, sale and promotion of drug products that we are developing are subject to numerous other
federal, state and local laws designed to, for example, prevent fraud and abuse; promote transparency in interactions
with others in the healthcare industry; <del>regulation <mark>regulate pricing of drugs and protect the privacy of individual</del></del></mark>
information. These laws are enforced by various federal, and state enforcement and local authorities in addition to the FDA.
including but not limited to, the U. S. Department of Justice, and individual U. S. Attorney offices within the Department
of Justice, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, HHS' various divisions, including but not
limited to, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS other divisions of the U. S. Department of Health and
Human Services (e.g., and the Office of Inspector General), and the U. S. Department of Justice, state Attorneys General,
boards of pharmacy. We may be subject to various federal and other state laws pertaining to and local government
agencies. For example, sales, marketing and scientific / educational grant programs must comply with applicable health care
fraud and abuse <mark>, " including anti kickback</mark> laws, <mark>and false claims laws, for activities related to past and future sales of any</mark>
products reimbursable by third party payers such as the federal health care programs (including Medicare and Medicaid)
or, in some cases, commercial health plans. Anti- <del>Kickback kickback Statute laws generally prohibit a pharmaceutical</del>
manufacturer from soliciting, offering, receiving, or paying anything of value to generate business, including the
purchase, prescription or use of a particular drug. False claims laws generally prohibit anyone from knowingly and
willingly presenting, or causing to be presented, any claims for payment for reimbursed drugs or services to third-party
payers that are false or fraudulent. Although the specific provisions of these laws vary, their scope is generally broad and
there may not be regulations, guidance or court decisions that apply the laws to particular industry practices. There is
therefore a possibility that our practices might be challenged under such laws. Laws and regulations have also been
<mark>enacted by</mark> the federal <del>False Claims Act. Stark government and various states to regulate the sales and marketing practices</del>
of pharmaceutical manufacturers with marketed products. The law laws, and implementing regulations, generally limit
financial interactions between manufacturers and <del>similar state</del> health care providers; require manufacturers to adopt
certain compliance standards; require disclosure to the government and public of financial interactions; require
disclosure of marketing expenditures or pricing information, regulate drug pricing and / or require the registration of
pharmaceutical sales representatives. Many of these laws <del>. Pricing and rebate regulations contain ambiguous</del>
requirements or require administrative guidance for implementation. Given the lack of clarity in laws and their
implementation, any future activities (if we obtain approval and / or reimbursement from federal healthcare programs
must comply with for our product candidates) could be subject to challenge. Federal laws, including the Medicaid Drug
Rebate Program, require pharmaceutical manufacturers to report certain calculated product prices to the government or
provide certain discounts or rebates to government authorities or private entities, often as a condition of reimbursement
under government healthcare programs. These laws are complex and the failure to calculate reported prices correctly or
provide appropriate prices and rebates can expose a manufacturer to penalties and other sanctions. The distribution of
drugs and biological products is subject to additional requirements of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, as
amended, and regulations the Veterans Health Care Act of 1992, as amended. If including extensive record- keeping,
licensing, storage and security requirements intended to prevent the unauthorized sale of pharmaceutical products. are
made available to authorized users of the Federal Supply Schedule of the General Services Administration, additional laws and
requirements apply. Other laws and regulations that may apply to prescription drug manufacturers include the Sunshine Act,
prescription drug price reporting requirements, and various state transparency and reporting laws. All business activities of
prescription drug manufacturers are also potentially subject to federal and state consumer protection and unfair competition laws
. The federal Anti- Kickback Statute prohibits any person, including a prescription drug manufacturer (or a party acting on its
behalf), from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce
or reward either the referral of an and regulations individual, or the furnishing, recommending, or arranging for a good or
service, for which payment may be made under a federal healthcare program such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs. This
```

statute can be applied broadly regulate marketplace activities and that potentially harm consumers and could apply to include arrangements between the activities of pharmaceutical manufacturers on one. We may be subject to data privacy hand- and security laws in and any referral source on the various jurisdictions in which we operate, obtain or store personally identifiable information. Numerous U. S. federal and state laws govern the collection, use, disclosure and storage of personal information. Various foreign countries also have, or are developing, laws governing the collection, use, disclosure and storage of personal information. Globally, other- there, including prescribers, purchasers, and formulary managers. The term "remuneration" has been broadly interpreted to include anything of value, including, for example, gifts, discounts, the furnishing of supplies or equipment, credit arrangements, payments of cash, waivers of payments, ownership interests, and service fees, unless expressly exempted or protected by a safe harbor. Further, the statute has been interpreted to cover any arrangement where one purpose of the remuneration was to obtain remuneration in exchange for referral or to induce further referrals for an increasing focus on privacy item or service. Although there are a number of statutory exemptions and data regulatory safe harbors protecting protection certain legitimate issues that may affect our business. Efforts to ensure arrangements from prosecution, the exemptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly, and practices that involve remuneration intended to induce prescribing, purchasing or our recommending may be subject to scrutiny if they do not qualify for an exemption or safe harbor. Our practices may not in all cases meet all of the criteria of an applicable safe harbor for protection from liability under the federal Anti- Kickback Statute. The reach of the Anti- Kickback Statute was broadened by PPACA, which, among other things, amends the intent requirement of the federal Anti- Kiekback Statute such that the government does not need to prove that a person had the intent to specifically violate the statute in order to find a violation. In addition, the PPACA provides that the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti- Kiekback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the federal False Claims Act (discussed below) or the civil monetary penalties statute, which imposes fines against any person who is determined to have presented or caused to be presented claims to a federal healthcare program that the person knows or should know is for an item or service that was not provided as claimed or is false or fraudulent. Additionally, many states have adopted laws similar to the federal Anti- Kickback Statute, and some of these state prohibitions apply to referral of patients for healthcare items or services reimbursed by any third- party payer, not only the Medicare and Medicaid programs in at least some cases, and do not expressly provide for certain safe harbors or impose different requirements for safe harbor protection under applicable state laws. The federal False Claims Act imposes liability on any person or entity that, among other things, knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, a false or fraudulent claim for payment by a federal healthcare program. The qui tam provisions of the False Claims Act allow a private individual to bring civil actions on behalf of the federal government alleging that the defendant has submitted or cause to be submitted a false claim to the federal government, and to share in any monetary recovery. In recent years, the number of suits brought by private individuals has increased dramatically. In addition, various states have enacted false claims laws analogous to the False Claims Act. Many of these state laws apply where a claim is submitted to any thirdparty payer and not merely a federal healthcare program. There are many potential bases for liability under the False Claims Act. Liability arises, primarily, when an entity knowingly submits, or causes another to submit, a false claim for reimbursement to the federal government. The False Claims Act has been used to assert liability on the basis of inadequate care, kickbacks and other improper referrals, improperly reported government pricing metrics such as Best Price or Average Manufacturer Price, improper use of Medicare numbers when detailing the provider of services, improper promotion of off-label uses (i. e., uses not expressly approved by FDA in a drug's label), and allegations as to misrepresentations with respect to the services rendered. Our future activities relating to the reporting of discount and rebate information and other information affecting federal, provincial, state and third-party reimbursement of our products, and the sale and marketing of our products and our service arrangements or data purchases, among other activities, may be subject to scrutiny under these laws. We are unable to predict whether we would be subject to actions under the False Claims Act or a similar state law, or the impact of such actions. However, the cost of defending such claims, as well as any sanctions imposed, could adversely affect our financial performance. Also, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, created several new federal crimes, including healthcare fraud, and false statements relating to healthcare matters. The healthcare fraud statute prohibits knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program, including private third- party payers. The false statements statute prohibits knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services. In addition, we may be subject to, or our marketing activities may be limited by, data privacy and security regulation in the U.S. and foreign jurisdictions in which we conduct our business, including jurisdictions in which we conduct our clinical trials. For example, HIPAA and its implementing regulations established uniform federal standards for certain "covered entities "(healthcare providers, health plans and healthcare clearinghouses) governing the conduct of certain electronic healthcare transactions and protecting the security and privacy of protected health information. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 included expansion of HIPAA's privacy and security standards called the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, or HITECH. Among other things, HITECH makes HIPAA's privacy and security standards directly applicable to "business associates" — independent contractors or agents of covered entities that create, receive, maintain, or transmit protected health information in connection with providing a service for or on behalf of a covered entity. HITECH also increased the civil and criminal penaltics that may be imposed against covered entities, business associates and possibly other persons, and gave state attorneys general new authority to file civil actions for damages or injunctions in federal courts to enforce the federal HIPAA laws and seek attorney's fees and costs associated with pursuing federal civil actions. In addition, in May 2016, the EU formally adopted the General Data Protection Regulation, or GDPR, which applies to all EU member states from May 25, 2018 and replaced the European Union Data Protection Directive. The GDPR has imposed many new or additional requirements including, but not limited to, obtaining consent of the individuals to whom the personal data

```
relates, the nature and scope of notifications provided to the individuals, the security and confidentiality of the personal data,
data breach notification and using third-party processors in connection with the processing of the personal data. Failure to
comply with applicable healthcare the GDPR could subject us to regulatory sanctions, delays in clinical trials, criminal
prosecution and or civil fines or penalties. Additionally, GDPR creates a direct cause of action by individual data subjects. The
GDPR is a complex law-laws and the regulatory regulations will involve substantial costs. Given the breadth of the laws
and regulations, limited guidance is still for certain laws and regulations and evolving government interpretations,
including with respect to how the GDPR should be applied in the context of clinical trials or other -- the transactions from laws
and regulations, governmental authorities may possibly conclude that we may gain access to personal data. In 2021, the UK
became a "third country" under the GDPR. These changes in the law will increase our costs of compliance and result in greater
legal risks. Other countries maintain different privacy laws that we are subject to. The Physician Payment Sunshine Act, or our
business the Sunshine Act, requires applicable manufacturers and certain distributors of prescription drugs, among other
products, that are available for coverage by Medicare, Medicaid or the Children's Health Insurance Program to report annually
to the Secretary of HHS: (i) payments or other transfers of value made by that entity, or by a third-party as directed by that
entity, to covered recipients, such as physicians (defined to include doctors, dentists, optometrists, podiatrists and chiropractors),
certain non-physician healthcare professionals (such as physician assistants and nurse practitioners, among others), and teaching
hospitals or to third parties on behalf of such physicians, non-physician healthcare professionals or teaching hospitals; and (ii)
physician ownership (including immediate family member's ownership) and investment interests in the entity. There are also an
increasing number of state and local "sunshine" or transparency and reporting laws that require applicable manufacturers to
make reports to states on pricing and marketing information. The U. S. federal government discloses the reported information
on a publicly available website. Several states have enacted legislation requiring pharmaceutical companies to, among other
things, establish marketing compliance programs, file periodic reports with the state, make periodic public disclosures on sales,
marketing, pricing, clinical trials and other activities, and / or register their sales representatives, as well as to prohibit
pharmacies and other healthcare entities from providing certain physician prescribing data to pharmaceutical companies for use
in sales and marketing, and to prohibit certain other sales and marketing practices. These federal, state, and local laws may not
affect our sales, marketing, and other promotional activities by imposing administrative and compliance burdens on us. If we
fail to track and report as required by these laws or otherwise comply with these laws, we could be subject to the penalty
provisions of the pertinent state and federal authorities. Because of the breadth of these health care laws and the narrowness of
available statutory and regulatory exemptions, it is possible that some of our business activities could be subject to challenge
under one or more of such laws. If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the these federal and state laws described
above or any other governmental regulations that may apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including criminal and
significant civil monetary, criminal and administrative penalties, damages, fines, imprisonment, exclusion from participation
in government funded healthcare programs, injunctions such as Medicare and Medicaid, recall and the curtailment or
restructuring of or our seizure of products operations. Further, defending against any such total or partial suspension of
production, denial or withdrawal of pre-marketing product approvals, private qui tam actions can be costly, time-consuming
and may require significant personnel resources. Therefore, even if we are successful in defending against any such
actions that may be brought against by individual whistleblowers in the name of the government or refusal to allow us to enter
into supply contracts, including government contracts, the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, and corporate integrity
agreement, which impose certain compliance, certification and reporting obligations, any of which could adversely affect our
ability to operate our business may be impaired and our results of operations. To the extent that any of our products are sold in
a foreign country or if we contract with vendors or independent contractors outside of the U. S., we may be subject to similar
foreign laws and regulations, which may include, for instance, applicable post-approval requirements, including safety
surveillance, anti- corruption / anti- bribery laws, anti- kickback laws, healthcare fraud and abuse laws, and implementation of
corporate compliance programs and reporting of payments or transfers of value to healthcare professionals. While we are not
aware of any current issues, we are unable to predict whether we will be subject to actions under applicable healthcare laws, or
the impact of such actions on our business. However, the costs of defending such actions or claims, as well as any sanctions
imposed, could result in a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition. Environmental Matters Our operations
require the use of hazardous materials (including biological materials) which subject us to a variety of federal, provincial and
local environmental and safety laws and regulations. Some of the regulations under the current regulatory structure provide for
strict liability, holding a party potentially liable without regard to fault or negligence. We could be held liable for damages and
fines as a result of our, or someone else's, business operations should contamination of the environment or individual exposure
to hazardous substances occur. We <mark>believe that we are in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and that</mark>
continued compliance therewith will not have a material adverse effect on our business. We cannot predict, however, how
changes in laws or development of new regulations will affect our business operations or the cost of compliance. Human Capital
Our board of directors and management recognize that creating long- term enterprise value is advanced by considering the
interests and concerns of many stakeholders, including those of our employees. As of December 31, 2022 2023, we had 213
259 employees, including 203-251 full- time and part- time permanent employees, of which 157-179 are located in Canada and
46-72 are located in the U. S. -Of our employees, 159-190 were primarily engaged in research and development, 62-78 of whom
hold a Ph. D. or M. D. (or equivalent) degree, and 69 were engaged in general and administrative or commercial activities.
None of our employees are represented by a labor union. We have not experienced any work stoppages and we consider our
relations with our employees to be good. As competition for qualified personnel in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical field is
intense, attracting and retaining qualified employees at all levels is critical to our business. We continuously strive to ensure that
employee morale remains strong, and conduct employee engagement surveys to identify areas of focus and monitor employee
turnover rates. As of December 31, 2023, and for the last several years, our company turnover rate was and has been
```

```
lower than the industry market average. We have established comprehensive and competitive compensation <del>, leave</del> and
benefits programs to attract and retain the highly qualified personnel essential and to our business incentivize and reward
strong performance. In addition to providing our employees with competitive salaries, we believe that employees should share
in the potential financial gains resulting from the advancement of our programs. Our practice is by way of annual bonuses to
permanent employees based on the achievement of corporate and / or personal objectives. To align the interests of our
employees with those of our shareholders, we award stock options to all permanent employees, both upon initial hiring and
annually thereafter, and pay annual bonuses to permanent employees based on the achievement of corporate and / or personal
objectives. Our leave programs include paid vacation, personal, sick, disability and other paid and unpaid leaves. Our health
and wellness programs include medical, dental, vision care, retirement savings, employee assistance programs, flexible work
schedules and other benefits. As a biopharmaceutical company with highly educated employees, we believe that our employees
must stay current with advances in our industry and continue to grow in their careers. We offer support our employees' further
development through a variety of internal training and development opportunities as well as dedicated resources for colleagues
to attend conferences and external professional development programs opportunities, including conference attendance and
tuition reimbursement. We are committed to diversity, equity and, inclusion and accessibility, or DEL DEIA, at all levels of
our company, and we have established a joint management / employee DEI Committee committee to progress this these
important issue issues. We will continue to focus on measuring and extending our diversity and inclusion initiatives across our
entire workforce. We recruit the best - qualified employees regardless of sex, gender, ethnicity, race, religion, or other protected
traits, and it is our policy to comply with all applicable laws related to discrimination in the workplace. We currently rely, and
expect to continue to rely, on collaborators, either directly or through-third- party contract manufacturers, or CMOs, to
manufacture (or produce sufficient quantities of materials required for the manufacture of) our product candidates for
pre- clinical testing and clinical trials, and we intend to do so for the commercial manufacture of our products. Similarly,
we may rely on collaborators to manufacture, either directly or through CMOs, product candidates licensed to them <del>or</del>
work with multiple CMOs to produce sufficient quantities of materials required for the manufacture of our product candidates
for pre- clinical testing and clinical trials and intend to do so for the commercial manufacture of our products. Accordingly, we
have not internally developed any manufacturing facilities or hired related personnel. To date, we have obtained materials for
our product candidates from multiple third- party manufacturers and suppliers. We believe that all of the materials required for
the manufacture of our product candidates can be obtained from more than one source. However, the manufacturing processes
for each of our product candidates vary and sourcing adequate supplies may be made more difficult depending on the type of
product candidate involved. Our product candidates generally can be manufactured in reliable and reproducible synthetic
processes from readily available starting materials, excipients and packaging components. The drug substance chemistry
generally is amenable to scale-up and does not require unusual equipment in the manufacturing processes. Corporate
Information We were incorporated in the Province of British Columbia on November 5, 1996 under the predecessor to the
Business Corporations Act (British Columbia) under the name "Xenon Bioresearch Inc." We continued from British Columbia
to the federal jurisdiction pursuant to Section 187 of the Canada Business Corporations Act, or the CBCA, on May 17, 2000 and
concurrently changed our name to "Xenon Genetics Inc." We registered as an extra-provincial company in British Columbia
on July 10, 2000 and changed our name to "Xenon Pharmaceuticals Inc." on August 24, 2004. We have had one wholly-
owned subsidiary as of December 31, 2022 2023, Xenon Pharmaceuticals USA Inc., which was incorporated in Delaware on
December 2, 2016. Our principal executive offices are located at <del>200</del>—3650 Gilmore Way, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada
V5G 4W8, and our telephone number is (604) 484-3300. We are a reporting issuer in British Columbia, Alberta and Ontario,
but our shares are not listed on any recognized Canadian stock exchange. Our common shares trade on the Nasdag Global
Market under the symbol "XENE." Where You Can Find Additional Information We make available free of charge through
our investor relations website, http://investor.xenon-pharma.com, our annual reports, quarterly reports, current reports, proxy
statements and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed or
furnished with the U. S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. These reports may also be obtained without charge by
contacting Investor Relations, Xenon Pharmaceuticals Inc., 200 - 3650 Gilmore Way, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5G
4W8, e- mail: investors @ xenon- pharma. com. Our website and the information contained therein or incorporated therein are
not intended to be incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10- K. The SEC maintains a website that contains reports,
proxy and information statements, and other information regarding reports that we file or furnish electronically with them at
www. sec. gov. Additional information related to Xenon is also available on SEDAR at www. sedar. com. Item 1A. Risk
Factors You should carefully consider the following risk factors, in addition to the other information contained in this report,
including the section of this report captioned "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of
Operations" and our financial statements and related notes. If any of the events described in the following risk factors and the
risks described elsewhere in this report occurs, our business, operating results and financial condition could be seriously harmed.
This report on Form 10- K also contains forward- looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results
could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements as a result of factors that are described below
and elsewhere in this report. Our Risk Factors are not guarantees that no such conditions exist as of the date of this report and
should not be interpreted as an affirmative statement that such risks or conditions have not materialized, in whole or in part.
Risks Related to Our Financial Condition and Capital Requirements Investment in biopharmaceutical product development is
highly speculative because it entails substantial capital expenditures and significant risk that a potential product candidate may
fail to demonstrate adequate efficacy or an acceptable safety profile, gain regulatory approval and become commercially viable.
We have no products approved for commercial sale and have not generated any revenue from product sales to date, and we will
continue to incur significant research and development and other expenses related to our clinical development and ongoing
operations. As a result, we are not profitable and have incurred losses in each period since our inception. Since our inception,
```

we have devoted substantially all of our financial resources and efforts to research and development, including pre-clinical studies, manufacturing of investigational drug and our clinical trials. Our financial condition and operating results, including net losses, may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. Accordingly, you should not rely upon the results of any quarterly or annual periods as indications of future operating performance. We do not expect to have sustained profitability for the foreseeable future. We had net losses of \$ 125-182. 4 million, \$ 125. 4 million and \$ 78. 9 million and \$ 28. 8 million for the years ended December 31, <mark>2023,</mark> 2022 , and 2021 and 2020, respectively, and an accumulated deficit of \$ 482 665 . 7-1 million as of December 31, 2022 **2023**, which were driven by expenses incurred in connection with our research and development programs and from general and administrative costs associated with our operations. We expect to continue to incur significant losses for the foreseeable future, and we expect these losses to increase as we continue our research and development of, and seek regulatory approvals for our product candidates. We expect to incur significant expenses and increasing operating losses for the foreseeable future as we: • continue our research and pre- clinical and clinical development of our product candidates; • conduct additional pre- clinical, clinical or other studies for our product candidates; • manufacture, label, serialize and distribute drug substance and drug product for clinical trials and commercialization; • seek regulatory and marketing approvals for any of our product candidates that successfully complete clinical trials; • hire and retain additional personnel, such as clinical, quality assurance, regulatory, scientific, commercial and administrative personnel; • seek to identify and validate additional product candidates; • acquire or in-license other product candidates and technologies; • make milestone or other payments under our in-license or other agreements, including, without limitation, payments to 1st Order Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and other third parties; • maintain, protect and expand our intellectual property portfolio; • establish sales, marketing, distribution and other commercial infrastructure to commercialize any products for which we may obtain marketing approval; • create additional infrastructure and incur additional costs to support our operations and our product development and planned future commercialization efforts; and • experience any delays or encounter adverse issues with respect to any of the above. Our expenses could increase beyond expectations for a variety of reasons, including if we are required by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, or other regulatory authorities to perform clinical and other studies including post- approval commitments in addition to those that we currently anticipate, or if there are any delays in establishing appropriate manufacturing arrangements to support our clinical trials, the development of any of our product candidates or commercialization. Our prior losses, combined with expected future losses, have had and will continue to have an adverse effect on our shareholders' equity and working capital. We do not generate any revenue from product sales and may never become profitable. Our ability to generate revenue and achieve profitability depends on our ability, alone or with collaborators, to successfully complete the development of, and obtain the regulatory approvals necessary to commercialize, our product candidates. Successful commercialization will require achievement of many key milestones, including demonstrating safety and efficacy in clinical trials, obtaining regulatory, including marketing, approval for these product candidates, manufacturing, marketing and selling those products for which we, or any of our existing or future collaborators, may obtain regulatory approval, satisfying any post-marketing requirements and obtaining reimbursement for our products from private insurance or government payors payers. Because of the uncertainties and risks associated with these activities, we are unable to accurately and precisely predict the timing and amount of revenues, the extent of any further losses or if or when we might achieve profitability. We and our existing or future collaborators may never succeed in these activities and, even if we do, or any existing or future collaborators do, we may never generate revenues that are large enough for us to achieve profitability. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Additionally, our expenses could increase if we are required by the FDA, EMA or other regulatory authorities to perform clinical trials in addition to those currently expected, or if there are any delays in completing our clinical trials or the development of any of our product candidates. If any of our product candidates fail in clinical trials or do not gain regulatory approval, or if any of our future products, if any, once approved, fail to achieve market acceptance or adequate market share, we may never become profitable. If we are unable to achieve generate sufficient revenue to become profitable and remain so, our financial condition and operating results will be negatively impacted, and the market price of our common shares might be adversely impacted. Since our inception, we have dedicated most of our resources to the discovery and development of our pre-clinical and clinical product candidates. We expect to continue to spend substantial amounts of resources to continue the pre-clinical and clinical development of our current and future programs. If we are able to gain marketing approval for product candidates that we develop, we will require significant additional amounts of capital in order to launch and commercialize such product candidates to the extent that such launch and commercialization are not the responsibility of a collaborator. In addition, other unanticipated costs may arise in the course of our development efforts. Because the design and outcome of our planned and anticipated clinical trials is highly uncertain, we cannot reasonably estimate the actual amounts necessary to successfully complete the development and commercialization of any product candidate we develop. Our future capital requirements depend on many factors, including but not limited to: • the scope, progress, results and costs of researching and developing our current product candidates, as well as other additional product candidates we may develop and pursue in the future; • the timing of, and the costs involved in, obtaining marketing approvals for our product candidates and any other additional product candidates we may develop and pursue in the future; • the number of future product candidates that we may pursue and their development requirements; • if approved, the costs of commercialization activities for any product candidate that receives regulatory approval to the extent such costs are not the responsibility of an existing or future collaborator, including the costs and timing of establishing product sales, marketing, distribution and manufacturing capabilities; • subject to the receipt of regulatory approval, revenue, if any, received from commercial sales of our product candidates and any other-additional product candidates we may develop and pursue in the future; • whether our existing collaborations generate substantial milestone payments and, ultimately, royalties on future approved products for us; • our ability to maintain existing collaborations and to establish new collaborations, licensing or other arrangements and the financial terms of such agreements arrangements; • the costs

associated with any transactions to acquire or in-license other product candidates and technologies; • our headcount growth and associated costs as we expand our research and development efforts and initiate pre- commercial activities; • the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patents, including litigation costs and the outcome of such litigation; and • the ongoing costs of operating as a public company. Based on our research and development plans and our timing expectations related to the progress of our programs, we expect that our existing cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities as of the date of this report, will enable us to fund our operating expenses and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next 12 months. Our operating plan may change as a result of many factors currently unknown to us, and we may need to seek additional funds sooner than planned. Raising funds in the future may present additional challenges and future financing may not be available in sufficient amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all. If we are unable to raise additional capital in sufficient amounts or on terms acceptable to us, we may have to significantly delay, reduce or terminate our product development programs or plans for commercialization. We may allocate our limited resources to pursue a particular product candidate or indication and fail to capitalize on other product candidates or indications that may be more profitable or for which there is a greater likelihood of success. Because we have limited financial and management resources, we focus on a limited number of research programs and product candidates. As a result, we may forgo or delay pursuit of opportunities with other product candidates or for our current product candidates in other indications that later prove to have greater commercial potential. Our resource allocation decisions may cause us to fail to capitalize on viable commercial drugs or profitable market opportunities. Our spend on current and future research and development programs and product candidates for specific indications may not yield any commercially viable drugs. If we do not accurately evaluate the commercial potential or target market for a particular product candidate, we may relinquish valuable rights to that product candidate through collaboration, licensing or other arrangements in cases in which it would have been more advantageous for us to retain sole development and commercialization rights. Raising additional capital may cause dilution to our existing shareholders, restrict our operations or require us to relinquish rights to our technologies or product candidates. We expect our expenses to increase in connection with our planned operations. Unless and until we can generate a substantial amount of revenue from any approved product candidates, we expect to finance our future cash needs through public or private equity offerings, debt financings, royalty-based financing, collaborations, licensing arrangements or other sources, or any combination of the foregoing. In addition, we may seek additional capital due to favorable market conditions or strategic considerations, even if we believe that we have sufficient funds for our current or future operating plans. The terms of any financing arrangements we enter into may adversely affect the holdings or the rights of our shareholders and the issuance of additional securities, whether equity or debt, by us, or the possibility of such issuance, may cause the market price of our common shares to decline. The sale of additional equity or convertible securities also would dilute all of our shareholders. Historically, we have also financed our operations through the incurrence of debt. Any future incurrence of indebtedness would result in increased fixed payment obligations and, potentially, the imposition of restrictive covenants. Such covenants could include limitations on our ability to incur additional debt, limitations on our ability to acquire, sell or license intellectual property rights and other operating restrictions that could adversely impact our ability to conduct our business. We could also be required to seek funds through collaborations or marketing, distribution or licensing arrangements, or royalty- based financings with third parties, and we may have to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies, future revenue streams or product candidates, or grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us. If we are unable to raise additional capital when needed, we may be required to delay, reduce or terminate our product discovery and development programs, commercialization efforts, or grant rights to develop and market product candidates that we would otherwise prefer to develop and market ourselves. In addition, any additional fundraising efforts may divert our management from their day- to- day activities, which may adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates. We are subject to risks associated with currency fluctuations which could impact our results of operations. As of December 31, 2022-2023, approximately 6-3% of our cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities were denominated in Canadian dollars. We incur significant expenses in Canadian dollars in connection with our operations in Canada. We do not currently engage in foreign currency hedging arrangements for our Canadian dollar expenditures, and, consequently, foreign currency fluctuations may adversely affect our earnings; however, in the future, we may engage in exchange rate hedging activities in an effort to mitigate the impact of exchange rate fluctuations. Any hedging technique we implement may fail to be effective. If our hedging activities are not effective, changes in currency exchange rates may have a more significant impact on the market price of our common shares. Risks Related to Our Business and Industry We and our collaborators face substantial competition in the markets for our product candidates, which may result in others discovering, developing or commercializing products before us or doing so more successfully than we, or our collaborators, do. The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are characterized by rapidly advancing technologies, intense competition and a strong emphasis on proprietary products. We face potential competition in drug discovery and product development from many different approaches and sources, including major pharmaceutical, specialty pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, academic institutions, governmental agencies, as well as public and private research institutions. Any product candidates that we, or our collaborators, successfully develop and commercialize will compete with existing products and any new products that may become available in the future. The key competitive factors affecting the success of all of our product candidates, if approved, are likely to be their efficacy, safety and / or tolerability, convenience and ease of administration, price, the potential advantages of alternative products, the level of generic competition, and the availability of coverage and adequate reimbursement from government and other third- party payers. Our commercial opportunities could be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize products or therapies that are safer, more effective, have fewer or less severe side effects, are more convenient or are less expensive than any products that we may develop. Our competitors also may obtain FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory approval for their products more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours, which could result in our competitors establishing a strong market position before we are able to enter the market. In addition, our

```
ability to compete may be affected by decisions made by insurers or other third- party payers. If one or more than one of our
proprietary or partnered products were approved for the treatment of epilepsy, we anticipate that they could potentially compete
with other anti-seizure medications, or ASMs, or one another and. In addition, if one or more of our proprietary
products were approved for the treatment of major depressive disorder, or MDD, we anticipate that they could
potentially compete with other anti-seizure-depressant medications, or ADs ASMs. To the extent that we are unable to
compete effectively against one or more of our competitors in these areas, our business will not grow and our financial condition,
results of operations and the market price of our common shares may suffer. We have no marketed proprietary products and
have not yet completed clinical development beyond Phase 2 clinical trials, which makes it difficult to assess our ability to
develop our future product candidates and commercialize any resulting products independently. As a company, we have no
previous experience in completing a Phase 3 clinical trial or in completing clinical trials in pediatric or orphan drug indications,
and related regulatory requirements including a New Drug Application, or NDA, or equivalent submission, or the
commercialization of products. We have not yet demonstrated our ability to independently and repeatedly conduct clinical
development after Phase 2, obtain regulatory approval, manufacture drug substance or drug product on a registrational and
commercial scale or arrange for a third- party to do so on our behalf, and commercialize therapeutic products. We will need to
develop such abilities if we are to execute on our business strategy to develop and independently commercialize product
candidates. To execute on our business plan for the development of independent programs, we will need to successfully: • reach
agreement with multiple regulatory agencies on clinical and pre-clinical studies required for registration; • execute our clinical
development and manufacturing plans for later- stage product candidates; • obtain required regulatory approvals in each
jurisdiction in which we will seek to commercialize products; • build and maintain appropriate pre-commercialization
capabilities as well as commercial sales, distribution and marketing capabilities; • build and implement effective market access
strategy and gain market acceptance for our future products, if any; and • manage our spending as costs and expenses increase
due to clinical trials, regulatory approvals and commercialization activities. If we are unsuccessful in accomplishing these
objectives, we will not be able to develop and commercialize any future product candidates independently and could fail to
realize the potential advantages of doing so. If we are not successful in discovering, developing and commercializing additional
product candidates, our ability to expand our business and achieve our strategic objectives may be impaired. We have built a
product development pipeline by identifying product candidates either from our internal research efforts or through acquiring or
in- licensing other product candidates or technologies . To date, our internal discovery efforts have yielded multiple
development candidates, including XEN901, which we licensed to Neurocrine Biosciences and is now known as NBI-921352.
Both our internal discovery efforts and our assessment of potential acquisition or in-licensing opportunities require substantial
technical, financial and human resources, regardless of whether we identify any viable product candidates. If we are unable to
identify additional product candidates suitable for clinical development and commercialization either from our internal research
efforts or through acquiring or in-licensing other product candidates or technologies, we may not be able to obtain product
revenue in future periods, which likely would result in significant harm to our financial position and adversely impact the market
price of our common shares. If we fail to attract and retain our executive officers and key personnel, we may be unable to
successfully develop our product candidates, perform our obligations under our collaboration agreements, conduct our clinical
trials and commercialize our product candidates. Our success depends in part on our continued ability to attract, retain and
motivate highly qualified management, clinical and scientific personnel. Our industry has experienced a high rate of turnover of
management personnel in recent years. Replacing executive officers or other key employees may be difficult and may take an
extended period of time because of the limited number of individuals in our industry with the breadth of skills and experience
required to develop, gain regulatory approval of and commercialize products successfully. We are highly dependent upon our
executive officers, including Mr. Ian Mortimer, our President and Chief Executive Officer. The loss of services of one or more
of our executive officers could materially delay or even prevent the successful development of our product candidates. In
addition, we will need to hire additional personnel as we expand our clinical development activities and develop commercial
capabilities, including a sales infrastructure to support our independent commercialization efforts. We may not be able to attract
and retain personnel on acceptable terms given the competition among numerous pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies
for individuals with similar skill sets. The inability to recruit or loss of the services of any executive or key employee may
impede the progress of our research, development and commercialization objectives. Our employees, collaborators and other
personnel may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including non-compliance with legal and regulatory standards
and requirements, which could cause significant liability for us and harm our reputation. We are exposed to the risk of fraud or
other misconduct by our employees, collaborators, vendors, investigational site staff, consultants, commercial partners and other
personnel. Misconduct by those parties could include intentional, reckless and / or negligent conduct or disclosure of
unauthorized activities to us that violates: • the regulations of the FDA, EMA and other foreign regulators, including those laws
requiring the reporting of true, complete and accurate information to such authorities; • manufacturing standards; • insider
trading laws; • data privacy, data protection and security; • federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations in
the U. S. and abroad; and • laws that require the reporting of financial information or data accurately. In particular, sales,
marketing and business arrangements in the healthcare industry are subject to extensive laws and regulations intended to prevent
fraud, misconduct, kickbacks, self-dealing and other abusive practices. Additionally, we are subject to applicable foreign,
federal and state data privacy and security laws. For additional information, see "Risk Factors - We are subject to evolving
global laws and regulations relating to privacy, data protection and information security, which may require us to incur
substantial compliance costs, and any failure or perceived failure by us to comply with such laws and regulations may harm our
business and operations." Various laws and regulations may restrict or prohibit a wide range of pricing, discounting, marketing
and promotion, sales commission, customer incentive programs and other business arrangements. Any misconduct could also
involve the improper use or misrepresentation of information obtained in the course of clinical trials or creating fraudulent data
```

in our pre- clinical studies or clinical trials, which could result in regulatory sanctions and cause serious harm to our reputation. We have adopted a code of conduct applicable to all of our employees, officers, directors, agents and representatives, including consultants, but it is not always possible to identify and deter misconduct, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent misconduct may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or claims, demands, or lawsuits stemming from an actual or alleged failure to comply with these laws and regulations. Additionally, we are subject to the risk that a person or government could allege such fraud or other misconduct, even if none occurred. If any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves, achieving a favorable settlement or otherwise asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant impact on our business, including the imposition of civil, criminal and administrative penalties, damages, monetary fines, disgorgement, integrity oversight and reporting obligations, possible exclusion from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs, contractual damages, reputational harm, diminished profits and future earnings, and curtailment of our operations. Additionally, defending against any such actions can be costly, time- consuming and may require significant financial and personnel resources. Therefore, even if we are successful in defending against any such actions that may be brought against us, our business may be impaired. We may encounter difficulties in managing our growth, including headcount, and expanding our operations successfully. Our business strategy involves continued development and, where development is successful, commercialization of select product candidates. In order to execute on this strategy, we will need to build out a regulatory, sales, manufacturing, supply chain and marketing infrastructure and expand our development capabilities or contract with third parties to provide these capabilities and infrastructure for us. To achieve this, we will need to identify, hire and integrate personnel, compensate our employees on adequate terms in an increasingly competitive, inflationary market and continue to implement and improve our managerial, operational and financial systems. As our operations expand, we expect that we will need to manage additional relationships with various strategic collaborators, suppliers and other third parties. Future growth will impose significant added responsibilities on members of management including the need to identify, recruit, maintain, motivate and integrate additional employees. Also, our management may need to divert a disproportionate amount of its attention away from our day- to- day activities and devote a substantial amount of time to managing these growth activities. We may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations, which may result in weaknesses in our business, give rise to operational errors, loss of business opportunities, loss of employees and reduced productivity amongst remaining employees. Our expected growth could require significant capital expenditures and may divert financial resources from other projects, such as the development of existing and additional product candidates. If we are unable to effectively manage our growth, our expenses may increase more than expected, our ability to generate and grow revenue could be reduced, and we may not be able to implement our business strategy. Our future financial performance and our ability to commercialize product candidates and compete effectively will depend, in part, on our ability to effectively manage any future growth. In the ordinary course of business, we process personal data and other sensitive information, including our proprietary and confidential business data, trade secrets, intellectual property, data about trial participants collected in connection with clinical trials, and other sensitive data. Our data processing activities subject us to numerous data privacy and security obligations, such as various laws, regulations, guidance, industry standards, external and internal privacy and security policies, contracts, and other obligations that govern the processing of personal data by us and on our behalf. In the U. S., federal, state, and local governments have enacted numerous data privacy and security laws, including data breach notification laws, personal data privacy laws, and consumer protection laws. For example, the U. S. federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009, or HITECH, imposes specific requirements relating to the privacy, security, and transmission of individually identifiable health information that apply to most U. S. health care providers with which we interact, such as our U. S. clinical trial sites. At the state level, the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018, or CCPA, as amended and supplemented by the California Privacy Rights Act, imposes obligations on businesses to which it applies. The CCPA allows for statutory fines for noncompliance. Although the CCPA exempts some data processed in the context of clinical trials, the CCPA, to the extent applicable to our business and operations, may increase compliance costs and potential liability with respect to other personal information we maintain about California residents. Other states have also enacted data privacy laws. Additional data privacy and security laws have been proposed at the federal, state, and local levels in recent years, which could further complicate compliance efforts. Outside the U. S., the European Union's **General Data Protection Regulation, or EU** GDPR <mark>,</mark> and the United Kingdom's GDPR, or UK GDPR, impose strict requirements for processing the personal data of individuals. For example, under the EU GDPR, government regulators may impose temporary or definitive bans on data processing, as well as fines of up to 20 million euros or 4 % of annual global revenue, whichever is greater. Further, individuals may initiate litigation related to our processing of their personal data. Certain foreign jurisdictions have enacted data localization laws and cross- border personal data transfer laws, which could make it more difficult to transfer information across jurisdictions, such as transferring or receiving personal data that originates in the European Union, or EU. Additional jurisdictions continue to enact and modify their data privacy laws, which increases the complexity of the data privacy landscape. Although we endeavor to comply with all applicable data privacy and security obligations, these obligations are quickly changing in an increasingly stringent fashion, creating some uncertainty as to how to comply, and potentially requiring us to modify our policies and practices, which may be costly and may divert the attention of management and technical personnel. Further, we may at times fail, or be perceived to have failed, to have complied and could face significant consequences. These consequences may include, but are not limited to, government enforcement actions, investigations and other proceedings; additional reporting requirements and / or oversight; bans on processing personal data; orders to destroy or not use personal data; and imprisonment of company officials. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, business, or financial condition, including but not limited to: interruptions or stoppages in our business operations, including our clinical trials; inability to process personal data or to operate in certain

```
jurisdictions; limited ability to develop or commercialize our products; expenditure of time and resources to defend any claim or
inquiry; adverse publicity; or revision or restructuring of our operations. Our business and operations could suffer in the
event of an actual or perceived information security incident such as a cybersecurity breach, system failure, or other
compromise of our systems and / or information, including information held by a third- party contractor or vendor. We
rely on both internal information technology systems and networks, and those of third - party parties and their vendors and
contractors, to acquire, transmit, store and otherwise process information in connection with our business activities. Our We are
increasingly dependent upon our technology systems to operate our business and our ability to effectively manage our business
depends on the security, reliability and adequacy of our and our third-party or other contractors' or and vendors' technology
systems. Any incident, whether hostile or inadvertent, that adversely impacts the confidentiality, integrity or availability
of our systems and / or data, . Any cyberattack including phishing, business email compromise, social engineering,
ransomware or other malware, or any security breach, security incident \tau or other destruction, loss, or unauthorized use or other
processing of data maintained or otherwise processed by us or on our behalf could result in a loss of intellectual property or
misappropriation of trade secrets, disruptions to our business and operations, subject us to increased costs and require us to
expend time and resources to address the matter, may subject us to claims, demands, and proceedings by private parties,
regulatory investigations and other proceedings, and fines, penalties, and other liability and have a material adverse effect on our
business. In addition, the loss, alteration or other damage to or other unavailability of pre-clinical data or clinical trial data from
completed or ongoing clinical trials for our product candidates could result in delays in our development and regulatory
approval efforts and significantly increase our costs to recover or reproduce the data. Any cyber- attack, security breach or
incident, or other destruction, loss or unauthorized processing of data maintained or otherwise processed by us or on our behalf,
or the perception any such matter has occurred, could result in actual or alleged violations of applicable U. S. and international
privacy, data protection, information security and other laws and regulations, harm our reputation and subject us to litigation and
governmental investigations and proceedings by federal, state and local regulatory entities in the U. S. and by international
regulatory entities, resulting in exposure to material civil and / or criminal proceedings and liability. In addition, we may incur
significant additional expense to implement further measures relating to privacy, data protection and information security,
whether in response to an actual or perceived security breach or incident or otherwise. To date, we have not experienced any
material impact to our business, financial position or operations resulting from cyberattacks or other information security
incidents; however, because of frequently changing attack techniques, along with the increased volume and sophistication of
such attacks, our business, financial position or operations could be adversely impacted in the future. Moreover, the
increasingly distributed nature of computing, including prevalent use of mobile devices that to access confidential
information -and widespread use of cloud-based applications with hosted in remote data centers, and ability to work remotely
all increase increases the risk of security breaches and incidents. These risks may be heightened due to the increasing number of
our and our third-party vendors' and contractors' personnel working remotely. As cyber threats continue to evolve, we may be
required to expend significant additional resources to continue to modify or enhance our protective measures or to investigate
and remediate information security vulnerabilities, threats and incidents. While we have implemented layered security
measures, our computer systems and the external systems and services used by our third-party contract manufacturers, or
CMOs, and contract research organizations, or CROs, and their vendors and contractors remain potentially vulnerable to these
events and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in preventing cyber- attacks or successfully mitigating their
effects. Our liability insurance may not be sufficient in type or amount to cover us against claims related to security breaches,
cyberattacks and other related breaches. In addition, regulators are considering new cybersceurity regulations. For example, the
SEC has proposed amendments to its disclosure rules regarding cybersecurity risk management, strategy, governance and
incident reporting by public companies. These proposed regulations may impact the manner in which we operate. A variety of
risks associated with international operations could materially harm our business. We must dedicate additional resources to
comply with numerous laws and regulations in each jurisdiction in which we operate and plan to operate outside the U. S.,
including those countries outside the U.S. in which we are conducting clinical trials. As we engage in significant cross-border
and international activities, we will be subject to risks related to international operations, including: • different regulatory
requirements for initiating conducting clinical trials, registering and maintaining approval of, manufacturing and advertising
drugs in foreign countries; • reduced protection for intellectual property rights in certain countries; • unexpected changes in
tariffs, trade barriers and regulatory requirements; • economic weakness, including inflation, political instability or open conflict
in particular foreign economies and markets; • differing and multiple <del>payor payer</del> reimbursement regimes, government payers or
patient self- pay systems; • compliance with tax, employment, immigration and labor laws for employees living or traveling
abroad; • foreign currency fluctuations, which could result in increased operating expenses and reduced revenue, and other
obligations of doing business in another country; • workforce uncertainty in countries where labor unrest is more common than
in North America; • different controlled substance legislation between countries and legislation in certain countries that may
restrict, limit, or delay our ability to manufacture and / or transport our product candidates; * potential or actual violations of
domestic and international anti- corruption laws, such as the U. S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the U. K. Bribery Act, or
of U. S. and international import, export and re-export control and sanctions laws and regulations, the likelihood of which may
increase with an increase of operations in foreign jurisdictions, directly or indirectly through third parties (whose corrupt or other
illegal conduct may subject us to liability), which may involve interactions with government agencies or government- affiliated
hospitals, universities and other organizations, such as conducting clinical trials, selling our products, and obtaining necessary
permits, licenses, patent registrations, and other regulatory approvals; • tighter restrictions on privacy and data protection, and
more burdensome obligations associated with the collection, use and retention of data, including clinical data and genetic
material, may apply in jurisdictions outside of North America; • production shortages resulting from any events affecting raw
material supply or manufacturing capabilities abroad; • business interruptions resulting from geopolitical actions, including war,
```

```
civil and political unrest (such as the ongoing conflict between Russia and Ukraine) and terrorism, or natural disasters including
earthquakes, typhoons, floods and fires; and • supply and other disruptions resulting from the impact of public health pandemics
or epidemics , including the COVID-19 pandemic, on our strategic partners, third- party manufacturers, suppliers and other
third parties upon which we rely. If we are unable to successfully manage these risks associated with cross- border and
international activities, our business could be materially harmed. Health pandemies or epidemies, including the COVID-19
pandemic and other public health crises, may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of
operations. The COVID-19 pandemic and other public health crises may materially and adversely affect our business, financial
condition and results of operations in several ways. For example, because our supply chain for raw materials, drug substance
and drug product is worldwide, it could be subject to significant disruptions. There may be related restrictions on the export,
import or shipment of raw materials, drug substance or drug product that could materially delay our business or clinical trials. In
addition, our ability to initiate clinical sites and enroll patients globally may be negatively impacted by the COVID-19
pandemic and other public health crises. With each additional COVID-19 variant, there is a risk that COVID-19 infections
could affect a sizable number of employees at the same time, which could in turn significantly affect our operations.
Additionally, if any of our critical vendors are impacted, our business could be affected if we become unable to timely procure
essential equipment, clinical trial drug product, supplies or services. There continues to be uncertainty around the ultimate
impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on public health, business operations and the overall economy; therefore, the negative
impact on our financial position, operating results and liquidity cannot be reasonably estimated at this time, but the impact may
be material. U. S. holders of our common shares may suffer adverse tax consequences if we are characterized as a passive
foreign investment company. Generally, for any taxable year in which 75 % or more of our gross income is passive income, or
at least 50 % of the average percentage of our assets (as determined under applicable Treasury Regulations, which may be
determined in part by the market value of our common shares, which is subject to change) are held for the production of, or
produce, passive income, we would be characterized as a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for U. S. federal
income tax purposes. Based on the price of our common shares and the composition of our gross income and gross assets, we
are deemed do not believe we were a PFIC for the taxable year ended ending December 31, 2022-2023 and may but we could
be a PFIC in for subsequent taxable years. Our status as a PFIC is a fact- intensive determination made on an annual basis, and
we cannot provide any assurance regarding our PFIC status for the current taxable year or future taxable years. If we are a PFIC
for any year, U. S. holders of our common shares may suffer adverse tax consequences. Gains realized by non-corporate U. S.
holders on the sale of our common shares would be taxed as ordinary income, rather than as capital gain, and the preferential tax
rate applicable to dividends received on our common shares would be lost. Interest charges would also be added to taxes on
gains and dividends realized by all U. S. holders. U. S. holders should consult their own tax advisors with respect to their
particular circumstances. A U. S. holder may avoid these adverse tax consequences by timely making a qualified electing fund
election. For each year that we would meet the PFIC gross income or asset test, an electing U. S. holder would be required to
include in gross income its pro rata share of our net ordinary income and net capital gains, if any. A U. S. holder may make a
qualified electing fund election only if we commit to provide U. S. holders with their pro rata share of our net ordinary income
and net capital gains. We will provide, upon request, our U. S. holders with the information that is necessary in order for them
to make a qualified electing fund election and to report their pro rata shares of ordinary earnings and net capital gains for each
year we believe we were a PFIC. U. S. holders should consult their own tax advisors with respect to making this election and
the related reporting requirements. A U. S. holder may also mitigate the adverse tax consequences by timely making a mark-to-
market election. Generally, for each year that we meet the PFIC gross income or asset test, an electing U. S. holder would
include in gross income the increase in the value of its common shares during each of its taxable years and deduct from gross
income the decrease in the value of such shares during each of its taxable years. A mark- to- market election may be made and
maintained only if our common shares are regularly traded on a qualified exchange, including the Nasdaq Global Market, or
Nasdaq. Whether our common shares are regularly traded on a qualified exchange is an annual determination based on facts
that, in part, are beyond our control. Accordingly, a U. S. holder might not be eligible to make a mark- to- market election to
mitigate the adverse tax consequences if we are characterized as a PFIC. U. S. holders should consult their own tax advisors
with respect to the possibility of making this election. In addition, if we are or become a PFIC (or our PFIC status is uncertain),
it may deter certain U. S. investors from purchasing our common shares, which could have an adverse impact on the market
price of our common shares. Our ability to use our net operating loss carryforwards and certain other tax attributes may be
limited. We have significant At December 31, 2022 we had Canadian federal net operating loss carryforwards totaling $ 338, 0
million which are limited will begin to expire in life 2026. In addition, we had Canadian federal investment tax credit
carryforwards of $ 27. 3 million and provincial investment tax credit carryforwards of $ 8. 4 million which will begin to expire
in 2023. The net operating losses which are limited in life and tax credit earryforwards could expire unused and be unavailable
to offset future income tax liabilities. The rules dealing with Canadian and U. S. federal, provincial, state, and local income
taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the Canadian -- Canada Revenue
Agency, Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Treasury Department. Changes to tax laws, or changes in interpretations of
existing laws (which changes may have retroactive application), including with respect to net operating losses and tax credits,
could adversely affect us or holders of our common shares. In recent years, many such changes have been made and changes are
likely to continue to occur in the future. Future changes in tax laws could have a material adverse effect on our business, cash
flow, financial condition or results of operations. We may become subject to income tax in jurisdictions in which we are
organized or operate, which would reduce our future earnings. There is a risk that we may become subject to income tax in
jurisdictions outside of Canada and the U. S., if under the laws of any such jurisdiction, we are considered to be carrying on a
trade or business there or earn income that is considered to be sourced there and we do not qualify for an exemption. In
jurisdictions where we do not believe we are subject to tax, we can provide no certainty that tax authorities in those jurisdictions
```

```
will not subject one or more tax years to examination. Tax examinations are often complex as tax authorities may disagree with
the treatment of items reported by us, the result of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and
financial condition. Acquisitions or other strategic transactions could disrupt our business, cause dilution to our shareholders and
otherwise harm our business. We actively evaluate various strategic transactions on an ongoing basis, including the acquisition
of other businesses, products or technologies as well as pursuing strategic alliances, licensing transactions or investments in
complementary businesses. Any of these transactions could be material to our financial condition and operating results and
expose us to many risks, including: • disruption in our relationships with collaborators or suppliers as a result of such a
transaction; • unanticipated liabilities related to acquired companies; • difficulties integrating acquired personnel, technologies
and operations into our existing business; • retention of key employees; • diversion of management time and focus from
operating our business to pursuing strategic transactions and managing any such strategic alliances, joint ventures or acquisition
integration challenges; • dilution to our shareholders if we issue equity in connection with such transactions; • increases in our
expenses and reductions in our cash available for operations and other uses; and • possible write- offs or impairment charges
relating to acquired businesses. Foreign acquisitions involve unique risks in addition to those mentioned above, including those
related to integration of operations across different cultures and languages, currency risks and the particular economic, political
and regulatory risks associated with specific countries. Also, the anticipated benefit of any strategic alliance or acquisition may
not materialize. Future acquisitions or dispositions could result in potentially dilutive issuances of our equity securities, the
incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities or amortization expenses or write- offs of goodwill, any of which could harm our
financial condition. We cannot predict the number, timing or size of future acquisitions, or the effect that any such transactions
might have on our operating results. Our current and future operations in the U. S. and elsewhere will be subject, directly or
indirectly, to applicable federal and state anti-kickback, fraud and abuse, false claims, transparency, health information privacy
and security, and other healthcare laws and regulations, which could expose us to criminal sanctions, civil penalties, contractual
damages, reputational harm, administrative burdens, and diminished profits and future earnings. Healthcare providers and third-
party payers in the U. S. and elsewhere play a primary role in the recommendation and prescription of any product candidates
for which we obtain marketing approval. Our <del>current</del>arrangements with <del>health-healthcare care-</del>providers <mark>, and our future</mark>
arrangements with third- party payers, patients and eustomers other parties within the healthcare industry may expose us to
broadly applicable fraud and abuse and other healthcare laws and regulations , including, without limitation, the federal Anti-
Kickback Statute and the federal False Claims Act and similar laws in foreign jurisdictions in which we conduct business, that
may constrain the business or financial arrangements and relationships through which we market, sell, and distribute any
products for which we obtain marketing approval. In addition Restrictions under applicable healthcare and data privacy
laws and regulations include the following, some of which will apply only if and when we have a marketed product may
be subject to transparency laws and patient privacy regulation by the federal government and by the U. S. states and foreign
jurisdictions in which we conduct our business. The applicable federal, state and foreign healthcare laws and regulations that
may affect our ability to operate include the following: • the federal Anti- Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other
things, persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in
cash or in kind, to induce or reward either the referral of an individual for, or the purchase, order or recommendation of, any
good or service for which payment may be made under federal and state healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid; •
federal civil and criminal false claims laws, including the federal False Claims Act, which can be enforced through civil
whistleblower, or qui tam actions, as well as civil monetary penalty laws can impose criminal and civil penalties, assessment,
and exclusion from participation for various forms of fraud and abuse involving the federal health healthcare eare programs,
such as Medicare and Medicaid: • the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA.
including its as amended, which imposes criminal and civil liability provisions for executing a scheme to defraud any
healthcare benefit program and also establishes requirements related to the privacy and, security obligations imposed on
covered entities, and business associates transmission of individually identifiable health information which apply to many
healthcare providers, physicians, and third- party payers with whom we interact; • the FDCA, which, among other
things, strictly regulates drug product and medical device marketing, prohibits manufacturers from marketing such
products for off-label use and regulates the distribution of samples; • federal laws that require pharmaceutical
manufacturers Physicians Payment Sunshine Act, also referred to report certain calculated product prices to the
government or provide certain discounts or rebates to government authorities or private entities, often as a condition of
reimbursement under governmental healthcare programs; • the <del>CMS</del>-so- called federal" sunshine law" or Open
Payments —which requires <del>applicable</del> manufacturers of <del>certain</del> drugs, devices, biologics , and medical supplies to report to the
Centers for which payment is available under-Medicare , & Medicaid Services or the Children's Health Insurance Program
(with certain exceptions) to report annually to CMS, information related to : certain payments or and other transfers of value
made to physicians (defined to include doctors of medicine and osteopathy, dentists, podiatrists, optometrists and licensed
chiropractors), certain non-physician healthcare professionals (such as physician assistants and nurse practitioners among
others), and teaching hospitals, physicians, and other healthcare practitioners, as well as information regarding ownership or
and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members; • federal and state consumer protection
and unfair competition laws, which broadly regulate marketplace activities and activities that potentially harm
consumers; and • analogous state and foreign laws and regulations, such as state anti- kickback and false claims laws, which
may apply to sales or marketing arrangements and claims involving healthcare items or services reimbursed by non-
governmental third- party payers, including private insurers; state and foreign laws that limit financial interactions between
manufacturers require pharmaceutical companies to comply with the pharmaceutical industry's voluntary compliance
guidelines and the relevant compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government or otherwise restrict payments that
may be made to healthcare ---- health care providers; state and foreign laws that require drug manufacturers to report
```

```
information related adopt certain compliance standards; require disclosure to payments to physicians and other--- the
healthcare providers or government and public of financial interactions; require disclosure of marketing expenditures; state
or pricing information, regulate drug pricing and <del>local laws</del>/or requiring require the registration of pharmaceutical sales
representatives; and state and foreign laws governing the collection, export, privacy, use, protection and security of biological
materials and health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not
have the same effect, thus complicating compliance efforts . Efforts to ensure that our business arrangements with third parties
will comply with applicable healthcare and privacy and data protection laws and regulations may involve substantial costs and
may require us to undertake or implement additional policies or measures. We may face claims and proceedings by private
parties, and claims, investigations and other proceedings by governmental authorities, relating to allegations that our business
practices do not comply with current or future statutes, regulations or case law involving applicable fraud and abuse or other
healthcare laws and regulations, and it is possible that courts or governmental authorities may conclude that we have not
complied with them, or that we may find it necessary or appropriate to settle any such claims or other proceedings. If our
operations are found to be in violation of any of these laws or any other governmental regulations that may apply to us, we may
be subject to significant civil, criminal and administrative penalties, including, without limitation, damages, fines, disgorgement,
imprisonment, exclusion from participation in government healthcare programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, integrity
oversight and reporting obligations, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, which could have a material adverse
effect on our business. If any of the physicians or other providers or entities with whom we expect to do business, including our
collaborators, is found not to be in compliance with applicable laws, it may be subject to criminal, civil or administrative
sanctions, including exclusions from participation in government healthcare programs, which could also materially affect our
business. Further, defending against any such actions can be costly, time- consuming and may require significant financial and
personnel resources. Therefore, even if we are successful in defending against any such actions that may be brought against us,
our business may be impaired. If we fail to comply with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, we could
become subject to fines or penalties or incur costs that could have a material adverse effect on the success of our business. Our
research and development activities involve the controlled use of potentially harmful biological materials as well as hazardous
materials, chemicals, and various radioactive compounds typically employed in molecular and cellular biology. For example, we
routinely use cells in culture and we employ small amounts of radioisotopes. We cannot completely eliminate the risk of
accidental contamination or injury from the use, storage, handling, or disposal of these materials through our maintenance of up-
to- date licensing and training programs. In the event of contamination or injury, we could be held liable for damages that result,
and any liability could exceed our resources. We currently carry insurance covering certain claims arising from our use of these
materials. However, if we are unable to maintain our insurance coverage at a reasonable cost and with adequate coverage, our
insurance may not cover any liability that may arise. We are subject to Canadian federal, provincial, and local laws and
regulations and may be subject to U. S. and / or foreign, laws and regulations governing the use, storage, handling, and disposal
of these materials and specified waste products. Complying with regulations regarding the use of these materials could be costly,
and if we fail to comply with these regulations, it could have a material adverse effect on our operations and profitability. We or
the third parties upon whom we depend may be adversely affected by earthquakes or other natural disasters and our business
continuity and disaster recovery plans may not adequately protect us from serious disaster. Our headquarters are located in
Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada. We are vulnerable to natural disasters such as earthquakes that could disrupt our operations.
If a natural disaster, power outage, fire or other event occurred that prevented us from using all or a significant portion of our
headquarters, that damaged critical infrastructure, such as the manufacturing facilities of our CMOs, or that otherwise disrupted
operations, it may be difficult or, in certain cases, impossible for us to continue our business for a substantial period of time.
Although we carry insurance for earthquakes and other natural disasters, we may not carry sufficient business interruption
insurance to compensate us for all losses that may occur. The disaster recovery and business continuity plans we have in place
may not be adequate in the event of a serious disaster or similar event. We may incur substantial expenses as a result of a natural
disaster or earthquake, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, we may lose samples or other
valuable data. The occurrence of any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business. Risks Related to the
Discovery, Development and Commercialization of Our Product Candidates We currently have no products approved for
commercial sale and are investing significant efforts and financial resources in the development of our lead-clinical-stage
product candidate, XEN1101 for the treatment of epilepsy , MDD and potentially other neurological disorders . Our future
business success depends on the continued development and ultimate regulatory approval of XEN1101. We will need to
successfully enroll and complete our XEN1101 Phase 3 program epilepsy clinical trials and any other future Phase 3 clinical
trials. The future regulatory and commercial success of XEN1101 is subject to a number of risks, including: • successful
patient enrollment in clinical trials and ultimate completion of clinical trials; • successful efficacy data from our clinical
programs that support acceptable risk-benefit profiles of XEN1101 in the intended patient populations; • receipt and
maintenance of marketing approvals from applicable regulatory authorities; • completing any post- marketing studies required
by applicable regulatory authorities; • obtaining and maintaining patent and trade secret protection and regulatory exclusivity for
XEN1101; • making arrangements with third- party manufacturers for both clinical and commercial supplies of XEN1101; •
establishing sales, marketing and distribution capabilities and commercial launch of XEN1101, if and when approved, whether
alone or in collaboration with others; • successful commercial launch of XEN1101, if and when approved; • acceptance of
XEN1101, if and when approved, by patients, the medical community and third- party <del>payors payers</del>; • obtaining and
maintaining acceptable pricing, third- party insurance coverage and adequate reimbursement; • maintaining a continued
acceptable safety profile of XEN1101 following approval; • effectively competing with other therapies; • enforcing and
defending intellectual property rights and claims; and • raising sufficient funds to support the regulatory approval and
commercialization activities. Many of these risks are beyond our control, including the risks related to clinical development, the
```

```
regulatory submission process, potential threats to our intellectual property rights and the manufacturing, marketing and sales
efforts of any future collaborator. If we or any collaborator are unable to develop, receive regulatory approval for, or
successfully commercialize XEN1101 for our initial or potential additional indications, or if we experience delays as a result of
any of these risks or otherwise, our business could be materially harmed. In addition, of the large number of drugs in
development in the pharmaceutical industry, only a small percentage result in the submission of an NDA to the FDA and even
fewer are approved for commercialization. Furthermore, even if we do receive regulatory approval for XEN1101 for any
indication, any such approval may be subject to limitations on the indications or uses or patient populations for which we may
market XEN1101. Accordingly, even if we are able to obtain the requisite financing to continue to fund our development
programs, we cannot ensure that we will successfully develop or commercialize XEN1101 for any indication. Our approach to
drug discovery is unproven, and we do not know whether we will be able to develop any products of commercial value. Our
approach to drug discovery may not reproducibly or cost- effectively result in the discovery of product candidates and
development of commercially viable products that safely and effectively treat human disease. Our drug discovery efforts may
initially show promise in identifying additional potential product candidates yet fail to yield viable product candidates for
clinical development or commercialization. Such failure may occur for many reasons, including that any product candidate may,
on further study, be shown to have serious or unexpected side effects or other characteristics that indicate it is unlikely to be safe
or otherwise does not meet applicable regulatory criteria and / or not be capable of being produced in commercial quantities at
an acceptable cost, or at all. If our discovery activities fail to identify novel targets for drug discovery, or such targets prove to
be unsuitable for treating human disease, or if we are unable to develop product candidates with specificity and selectivity for
such targets, we will fail to develop viable products. If we fail to develop and commercialize viable products, we will not
achieve commercial success. Results of pre-clinical studies and / or earlier clinical trials may not be predictive of the results of
later- stage clinical trials and the results of our clinical trials may not satisfy regulatory requirements and we may experience
delays or unexpected difficulties in obtaining regulatory approval. The results of pre-clinical studies, either generated by us 5
such as for XEN901 (licensed to Neurocrine Biosciences and is now known as NBI-921352), by our CROs or by other third
parties from which we have in-licensed or acquired a product candidate, may not be predictive of results in clinical testing.
Moreover, pre- clinical results can often be difficult to compare across different studies for a variety of reasons, including
differences in experimental protocols and techniques, personnel, equipment and other factors, which may make the pre-clinical
results less reliable and predictive of clinical trial results. In addition, published clinical data or case reports from third parties or
early clinical trial data of our product candidates may not be predictive of the results of later- stage clinical trials. Interpretation
of results from early, usually smaller, studies that suggest a clinically meaningful response in some patients, requires caution.
Results from later stages of clinical trials enrolling more patients may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy results or
otherwise fail to be consistent with the results of earlier trials of the same product candidate. Later clinical trial results may not
replicate earlier clinical trials for a variety of reasons, including differences in trial design, different trial endpoints (or lack of
trial endpoints in exploratory studies), patient population, number of patients, patient selection criteria, trial duration, drug
dosage and formulation and lack of statistical power in the earlier studies. These uncertainties are enhanced where the diseases
or disorders under study lack established clinical endpoints, validated measures of efficacy, as is often the case with orphan
diseases or disorders for which no drugs have been developed previously and where the product candidates target novel
mechanisms. For example, to our knowledge, NBI-921352 is the first selective Nav1. 6 sodium channel inhibitor being
developed for the treatment of epilepsy and therefore standard pre-clinical models may not be predictive of clinical efficacy due
to its novel molecular mechanism. Further, our product candidates may not be approved even if they achieve their primary
endpoint in our Phase 3 clinical trials. The FDA, EMA or foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with our trial design and
our interpretation of data from pre-clinical studies and clinical trials or require additional data. In addition, any of these
regulatory authorities may change its requirements for the approval of a product candidate even after reviewing and providing
comments or advice on a protocol for a pivotal clinical trial that, if successful, would potentially form the basis for an
application for approval by the FDA, EMA or another foreign regulatory authority. For example, the FDA may refuse to accept
our planned NDA for substantive review or may conclude after review of our data that our application is insufficient to obtain
regulatory approval. If the FDA does not approve our planned NDA, it may require that we conduct additional clinical,
nonclinical or manufacturing studies before it will reconsider our application. Depending on the extent of these or any other
studies required by FDA or another regulatory authority, approval of an NDA or equivalent filing may be significantly delayed
or may require us to expend more resources than we have available. Furthermore, applicable regulatory authorities may also
approve our product candidates for a narrower indication or population than we request or may grant approval contingent on the
performance of costly post- marketing commitments. Interim, initial, "top- line" and preliminary data from our clinical trials
that we announce or publish from time to time may change as more patient data become available and are subject to audit and
verification procedures that could result in material changes in the final data. From time to time, we may publicly disclose
preliminary or top-line data from our pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, which are based on preliminary analyses of then-
available data, and the results and related findings and conclusions are subject to change following a more comprehensive
review of the data related to the particular pre- clinical study or clinical trial. We also make assumptions, estimations,
calculations and conclusions as part of our analyses of data, and we may not have received or had the opportunity to fully and
carefully evaluate all data. As a result, the top-line or preliminary results that we report may differ from future results of the
same studies or trials, or different conclusions or considerations may qualify such results, once additional data have been
received and fully evaluated. Top-line data also remain subject to audit and verification procedures that may result in the final
data being materially different from the preliminary data we previously published. As a result, top-line data should be viewed
with caution until the final data are available. Further, others, including regulatory agencies, may not accept or agree with our
assumptions, estimates, calculations, conclusions or analyses or may interpret or weigh the importance of data differently, which
```

could impact the value of the particular program, the approvability or commercialization of the particular product candidate or product and could have a material adverse effect on the success of our business. In addition, the information we choose to publicly disclose regarding a particular study or clinical trial is based on what is typically extensive information, and you or others may not agree with what we determine is material or otherwise appropriate information to include in our disclosure. If the interim, topline or preliminary data that we report differ from actual results, or if others, including regulatory authorities, disagree with the conclusions reached, our ability to obtain approval for, and commercialize, our product candidates may be harmed, which could harm our business, results of operations, prospects or financial condition. Further, disclosure of interim, top-line or preliminary data by us or by our competitors could result in volatility in the price of our common shares. Our and our collaborators' clinical product candidates, which include XEN1101 , XEN496, and NBI- 921352 (being developed by our collaborator Neurocrine Biosciences), along with product candidates we expect to enter clinical development, which include our pre- clinical compounds, are in varying stages of development and will require substantial clinical development, testing and regulatory approval prior to commercialization. Before obtaining regulatory approvals for the commercial sale of our product candidates, we, or our collaborators, must demonstrate through lengthy, complex and expensive pre-clinical testing and clinical trials that each product candidate is both safe and effective for use in each target indication. Failure can occur at any time during the clinical trial process. Clinical trials often fail to demonstrate safety and efficacy of the product candidate studied for the target indication. Most product candidates that commence clinical trials are never approved as products. A number of companies in the biopharmaceutical industry have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials due to lack of efficacy or adverse safety profiles, notwithstanding promising results in earlier trials. In addition to the safety and efficacy trials of any product candidate, clinical trial failures may result from a multitude of factors including flaws in trial design, dose selection, statistical analysis plan, placebo effect, patient enrollment criteria, patient compliance and trial execution. Data obtained from trials and studies are susceptible to varying interpretations, and regulators may not interpret our data as favorably as we do, which may delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval. Failure of a clinical trial due to any of these reasons could materially harm our business and the market price of our common shares. In the case of some of our and our collaborators' product candidates, we and our collaborators are seeking to develop treatments for certain diseases or disorders for which there is relatively limited clinical experience, and clinical trials may use novel endpoints and measurement methodologies or subjective patient feedback, which adds a layer of complexity to these clinical trials and may delay regulatory approval. Negative or inconclusive results from our, or our collaborators', clinical trials could lead to a decision or requirement to conduct additional pre-clinical testing or clinical trials or result in a decision to terminate the continued development of a product candidate. For example, in October 2021, we released topline data from our **Phase 2b** X-TOLE clinical trial of XEN1101 in adult patients with focal epilepsy. Even though the In addition, in November 2023, we released topline data from our Phase 2 X- TOLE NOVA clinical trial were positive, of XEN1101 in patients with MDD, there There can be no assurance that our ongoing XEN1101 Phase 3 program <mark>epilepsy clinical trials or any other future Phase 3 clinical trials</mark> will demonstrate adequate efficacy and safety results and that we will be able to obtain regulatory approval of XEN1101. Any of the foregoing outcomes would materially and adversely impact our business, product candidate pipeline and future prospects. If our, or our collaborators', product candidates are not shown to be both safe and effective in clinical trials, such product candidates will be unable to obtain regulatory approval or be successfully commercialized. In addition, our, or our collaborators', failure to demonstrate positive results in clinical trials in any indication for which we, or our collaborators, are developing clinical product candidates could adversely affect development efforts in other indications. In such case, we would need to develop other compounds and conduct associated pre-clinical testing and clinical trials, as well as potentially seek additional financing, all of which would have a material adverse effect on our business, growth prospects, operating results, financial condition and results of operations. We, or our collaborators, may not be able to identify, recruit and enroll a sufficient number of patients, or those with required or desired characteristics to achieve diversity in a study, to complete clinical trials in a timely manner, or at all. Patient enrollment for clinical trials for ultra- orphan, orphan and niche indications and for more prevalent conditions is affected by factors including: • severity of the disease or disorder under investigation; • design of the study protocol; • size of the patient population and geographic dispersion; • identification of patients; • eligibility criteria for the study in question; • perceived risks and benefits of the product candidate under study; • our ability to recruit clinical trial investigators with the appropriate competencies, staff and experience; • proximity and availability of clinical trial sites for prospective patients; • availability of competing therapies and clinical trials; • efforts to facilitate timely enrollment in clinical trials; and • patient referral practices of physicians. Our The limited patient populations in ultra- orphan, orphan and niche indications, such as KCNQ2- DEE, SCN8A- DEE and other early infantile epileptic encephalopathics, present significant recruitment challenges for clinical trials and a full understanding of the size of these populations is still relatively unknown. Many of these patients may not be suitable or available to participate in our, or our collaborators '', elinical trials. This means that we, or our collaborators, will generally have to run multi- site and potentially multi-national trials, which can be expensive and require close coordination and supervision. Our and our collaborator's clinical trials will compete with other clinical trials for product candidates that are in the same therapeutic areas as our product candidates, and this competition will reduce the number and types of patients available to us, because some patients who might have opted to enroll in our trials may instead opt to enroll in a trial being conducted by one of our competitors. Since the number of qualified clinical investigators is limited, we expect to conduct some of our clinical trials at the same clinical trial sites that some of our competitors use, which will reduce the number of patients who are available for our clinical trials at such clinical trial sites. Our and our collaborator collaborators 's-inability to enroll a sufficient number of patients for our clinical trials would result in significant delays or might require us to abandon one or more clinical trials altogether. Delays in patient enrollment may result in increased costs, affect the timing or outcome of the planned clinical trials, affect product candidate development and approval process and jeopardize our ability to seek and obtain the regulatory approval required to commence product sales and generate revenue, any of which could cause the value of our company to decline and limit our ability to obtain

additional financing if needed. Our success also depends on the collective performance, contributions, and expertise of the personnel who manage our clinical trial sites. There is significant competition for qualified personnel, particularly those with higher educational degrees, in the biopharmaceutical and related services industries. Increased personnel turnover and labor shortages facing the biopharmaceutical services industry could have a negative impact on the third parties we rely on to execute our clinical trials. While we seek to choose trial sites with adequate staffing support, we cannot be certain that personnel turnover or the broader labor market dynamics in this industry will not negatively impact our trial sites. If our sites are negatively impacted by these factors, our ability to enroll our clinical trials in a timely fashion may be hindered and might negatively affect our business, development timelines, and financial condition. To obtain the requisite regulatory approvals to commercialize any of our product candidates, we, or our collaborators, must demonstrate through extensive pre-clinical studies and clinical trials that our, or our collaborators', product candidates are safe and effective in humans. We, or our collaborators, may experience delays in completing our, or our collaborators', clinical trials or pre-clinical studies, and initiating or completing additional clinical trials or pre-clinical studies, including as a result of regulators not allowing or delay in allowing clinical trials to proceed under an IND, or not approving or delaying approval for any clinical trial grant or similar approval we need to initiate a clinical trial. We, or our collaborators, may also experience numerous unforeseen events during our clinical trials that could delay or prevent our, or our collaborators', ability to complete development for a product candidate, or receive marketing approval or commercialize the product candidates we, or our collaborators, develop, including: • delay or failure in obtaining the necessary approvals from regulators or institutional review boards, or IRBs, in order to commence a clinical trial at a prospective trial site, or their suspension or termination of a clinical trial once commenced; • inability to reach agreement with prospective CROs and clinical trial sites, the terms of which can be subject to extensive negotiation and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites, or the breach of such agreements; • we may experience challenges or delays in recruiting principal investigators or study sites to lead our clinical trials; • side effects or adverse events in study participants presenting an unacceptable safety risk; • failure of third- party contractors, such as CROs, or investigators to comply with regulatory requirements, including good clinical practices, or GCPs; • difficulty in having patients complete a trial, adhere to the trial protocol, or return for post- treatment follow- up; • the number of subjects or patients required for clinical trials of our product candidates may be larger than we anticipate, enrollment in these clinical trials may be insufficient or slower than we anticipate, and the number of clinical trials being conducted at any given time may be high and result in fewer available patients for any given clinical trial, or patients may drop out of these clinical trials at a higher rate than we anticipate; • clinical sites deviating from trial protocol or dropping out of a trial; • we may have to amend clinical trial protocols submitted to regulatory authorities or conduct additional studies to reflect changes in regulatory requirements or guidance, which it may be required to resubmit to an IRB and regulatory authorities for re- examination; • challenges or delays with accessing certain species of animals to complete our pre- clinical studies; • problems with investigational medicinal product storage, stability and distribution; our inability to manufacture, or obtain from third parties, adequate supply of drug substance or drug product sufficient to complete our pre- clinical studies and clinical trials, including supply chain issues resulting from any events affecting raw material supply or manufacturing capabilities abroad; • a requirement to undertake and complete additional preclinical studies to generate data required to initiate clinical development or to support the continued clinical development of a product candidate or submission of an NDA or equivalent; • unforeseen disruptions, caused by man- made or natural disasters, public health pandemics or epidemics, civil unrest or military conflict, or other business interruptions, including, for example, the COVID-19 pandemie; and o governmental or regulatory delays and changes in regulatory requirements, policy and guidelines. These risks and uncertainties could impact any of our, or our collaborators', clinical programs and any of the clinical, regulatory or operational events described above could change our, or our collaborators', planned clinical and regulatory activities. For example, we previously experienced a significant reduction in the rate of new patient enrollment in our X-TOLE trial due to the COVID-19 pandemie. While we were able to complete recruitment for this trial, we cannot be certain that the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic or related variants will not negatively impact other trials in the future. In addition, we have experienced an impact on the initiation of clinical sites and on enrollment of patients in our EPIK clinical trial due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Further challenges Challenges in enrolling and retaining patients in our clinical trials, including in our XEN1101 Phase 3 program-<mark>epilepsy clinical trials</mark> , whether as a result of the COVID- 19 pandemic <mark>pandemics</mark> , geopolitical events, or for any other reasons, may further delay the trials or cause them to be discontinued. The results of any Phase 3 or other pivotal clinical trials ; including without limitation our EPIK trial, may not be adequate to support marketing approval. These clinical trials are lengthy and , with respect to non-orphan indications, usually involve many hundreds to thousands of patients. Clinical trials can also be lengthy due to the challenge of identifying patients, especially in orphan indications such as KCNQ2-DEE. Even if patients are successfully identified, they may fail screening criteria, including baseline seizure burden for epilepsy clinical trials, and, as a result, not be enrolled in the trial. Any challenges associated with identifying, screening and / or enrolling patients in our trials may extend the time needed to complete our EPIK trial or other clinical trials or require additional sites to be initiated in order to achieve target enrollment numbers and to complete our clinical trials, which may increase the cost of our operations and / or delay the timing of our regulatory approval. In addition, if the FDA, EMA or another foreign regulator disagrees with our, or our collaborators', choice of the key testing criterion, or primary endpoint, or if the results for the primary endpoint are not robust or significant relative to the control group of patients not receiving the experimental therapy, or our statistical analysis is inconclusive, such regulator may refuse to approve our product candidate in the region in which it has jurisdiction. The FDA, EMA or other foreign regulators also may require additional clinical trials as a condition for approving any of these product candidates. We, or our collaborators, could also encounter delays if a clinical trial is suspended or terminated by us, by our collaborators, by the IRBs of the institutions in which such trial is being conducted, by any Data Safety Monitoring Board for such trial, or by the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities. Such authorities may impose such a suspension or termination due to a number of factors, including failure to

```
conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements or our clinical protocols, inspection of the clinical trial
operations or trial site by the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities resulting in the imposition of a clinical hold,
product candidate manufacturing problems, unforeseen safety issues or adverse side effects, failure to demonstrate a benefit
from using a drug, changes in governmental regulations or administrative actions or lack of adequate funding to continue the
clinical trial. In addition, delays can occur due to safety concerns arising from trials or other clinical data regarding another
company's product candidate in the same compound class as one of ours. Additionally, changes in applicable regulatory
requirements and guidance may occur and we may need to amend clinical trial protocols to reflect these changes or to include
additional objectives that could yield important scientific information critical to our overall development strategy. The protocol
amendment process often requires review and approval by several review bodies, including regulatory agencies and scientific,
regulatory and ethics boards and IRBs which may affect timely completion of a clinical trial. Further, these protocol
amendments may not be accepted by the review bodies in the form submitted, or at all, which may impact costs, timing or
successful completion of a clinical trial. Since March 2020, the FDA, EMA and other foreign regulatory authorities have issued
various COVID-19 related guidance documents for sponsors and manufacturers. Recently, President Biden announced that the
administration intends to end the COVID-19 national and public health emergencies on May 11, 2023. The full impact of the
termination of the public health emergencies on FDA and other regulatory policies and operations is unclear. If we, or our
collaborators, experience delays in the completion of, or termination of, any clinical trial of one of our product candidates, the
commercial prospects of the product candidate may be harmed, the period during which we may have the exclusive right to
commercialize our products under patent protection could be shortened, and our, or our collaborators', ability to commence
product sales and generate product revenue from the product will be delayed. In addition, any delays in completing our clinical
trials will increase our costs and slow down our product candidate development and approval process and may ultimately lead
to the termination of a clinical trial and development of a product candidate. Any of these occurrences may harm our
business, financial condition and prospects significantly. In addition, many of the factors that cause or lead to a delay in the
commencement or completion of clinical trials may also ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of our, or our
collaborators', product candidates . XEN496 targets an ultra- orphan indication of KCNQ2- DEE and the FDA has indicated
that a single, small pivotal trial may be sufficient to demonstrate effectiveness and safety in KCNQ2- DEE provided that no new
or unexpected safety issues arise during drug development. However, other regulatory authorities may require additional data.
Further, even though we believe the safety and efficacy profile of ezogabine, the active ingredient in XEN496, in pediatric
patients with KCNQ2-DEE generated to date by others appears promising based on published clinical case reports, we do not
vet know if our pediatric-specific formulation of XEN496 will have the same or similar safety, pharmacokinetic and / or
efficacy profile in pediatric patients with KCNQ2- DEE as the original formulation of ezogabine. If we are unable to replicate
the published clinical case reports, due to the new formulation or any other factors, the clinical development of XEN496 may
not be successful and the FDA, EMA or other regulatory authorities may require additional data in more patients or we may not
be able to generate sufficient data for approval in this patient population. Our product candidates may cause undesirable side
effects or have other properties that could delay or prevent their regulatory approval, limit the commercial profile of an
approved label, or result in significant negative consequences following regulatory approval, if obtained. Undesirable side
effects caused by any of our product candidates could cause us or regulatory authorities to interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials
and could result in a more restrictive label or the delay or denial of regulatory approval by the FDA, EMA or comparable
foreign regulatory authorities. Results of our clinical trials could reveal a high and unacceptable severity and prevalence of side
effects or unexpected characteristics. For example, while adverse events in our X-TOLE and X-NOVA clinical trials of
XEN1101 to date, adverse events—were generally mild or moderate in severity. However, there can be no guarantee that we
would will observe a similar tolerability profile of XEN1101 in our ongoing or planned. Phase 3 epilepsy or other clinical trials
or in other future clinical trials. Many compounds that initially showed promise in clinical or earlier- stage testing are later found
to cause undesirable or unexpected side effects that prevented further development of the compound. If unacceptable side
effects arise in the development of our product candidates, we, the FDA, EMA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities, the
IRBs, or independent ethics committees at the institutions in which our trials are conducted, could suspend, limit or terminate
our clinical trials, or the independent safety monitoring committee could recommend that we suspend, limit or terminate our
trials, or the FDA, EMA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities could order us to cease clinical trials or deny approval of
our product candidates for any or all targeted indications. Treatment- emergent side effects that are deemed to be drug- related
could delay recruitment of clinical trial subjects or may cause subjects that enroll in our clinical trials to discontinue
participation in our clinical trials. In addition, these side effects may not be appropriately recognized or managed by the treating
medical staff. We may need to train medical personnel using our product candidates to understand the side effect profiles for our
clinical trials and upon any commercialization of any of our product candidates. Inadequate training in recognizing or managing
the potential side effects of our product candidates could result in harm to patients that are administered our product candidates.
Any of these occurrences may adversely affect our business, financial condition and prospects significantly. Moreover, clinical
trials of our product candidates are conducted in carefully defined sets of patients who have agreed to enter into clinical trials.
Consequently, it is possible that our clinical trials may indicate an apparent positive effect of a product candidate that is greater
than the actual positive effect, if any, or alternatively fail to identify undesirable side effects. Changes in methods of product
candidate manufacturing or formulation may result in additional costs or delay. As product candidates are developed through
pre- clinical to late- stage clinical trials towards approval and commercialization, it is common that various aspects of the
development program, such as manufacturing methods and formulations, are altered along the way in an effort to optimize
products, processes and results, to extend patent protection and / or to target different populations. For example, XEN496 is a
pediatric-specific formulation of ezogabine and we have also developed a pediatric formulation for NBI- 921352 that was
included in the license to Neurocrine Biosciences. Any of these changes could cause our product candidates to perform
```

differently and not provide the same drug exposure profile in children and / or cause side effects different to those observed with the same formulation in adults or with other formulations. Unexpected changes in the performance of a new formulation may affect the results of planned clinical trials or other future clinical trials conducted with the altered materials. This could delay completion of clinical trials, require the conduct of additional bridging clinical trials or the repetition of one or more clinical trials, increase clinical trial costs and or delay or jeopardize approval of our product candidates and or jeopardize our, or our collaborators', ability to commence product sales and generate revenue. The regulatory approval process is expensive and the time required to obtain approval from the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities in other jurisdictions to sell any product is uncertain and may take years. Whether regulatory approval will be granted is unpredictable and depends upon numerous factors, including the substantial discretion of the regulatory authorities. Approval policies, regulations, or the type and amount of pre-clinical and clinical data necessary to gain approval may change during the course of a product candidate's clinical development and may vary among jurisdictions. Moreover, pre-clinical and clinical data are often susceptible to varying interpretations and analyses, and even if the pre-clinical studies show promising results and clinical trials are successfully completed, we cannot guarantee that the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities in other jurisdictions will interpret the results as we do, and more trials, manufacturing- related studies or non- clinical studies could be required before we submit our product candidates for approval. Many companies that have believed their product candidates performed satisfactorily in pre-clinical studies and clinical trials have nonetheless failed to obtain marketing approval of their products. To the extent that the results of our studies and trials are not satisfactory to the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities in other jurisdictions for support of a marketing application, approval of our product candidates may be significantly delayed, or we may be required to expend significant additional resources, which may not be available to us, to conduct additional trials in support of potential approval of our product candidates. It is also possible that none of our existing product candidates or any of our future product candidates will ever obtain regulatory approval, even if we expend substantial time and resources seeking such approval. Our product candidates could fail to receive regulatory approval for many reasons, including the following: • the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with the design or implementation of our, or our collaborators', clinical trials; • we, or our collaborators, may be unable to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA, EMA or foreign other regulatory authorities that a product candidate is safe and effective for its proposed indication; • the results of clinical trials may not meet the level of statistical significance required by the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities for approval; • we, or our collaborators, may be unable to demonstrate that a product candidate's clinical and other benefits outweigh its safety risks; • the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with our, or our collaborators', interpretation of data from pre- clinical studies or clinical trials; • the data collected from clinical trials of our product candidates may not be sufficient to support the submission of an a New Drug Application, or NDA, or other submission or to obtain regulatory approval in the U. S. or elsewhere; • the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities may fail to approve the manufacturing processes, controls or facilities of third- party manufacturers with which we, or our collaborators, contract for clinical and commercial supplies; • the pre- approval inspections of Xenon, manufacturing, clinical sites, pre- clinical or clinical service providers, conducted by regulatory authorities may identify errors or omissions that may result in the product candidate not being approved; and • the approval policies or regulations of the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities may significantly change in a manner rendering our, or our collaborators', clinical data insufficient for approval. Even if we, or our collaborators, obtain approval for a particular product, regulatory authorities may grant approval contingent on the performance of costly postapproval commitments including clinical trials, or may approve a product with a label that does not include the labeling claims necessary or desirable for the successful commercialization of that product. In addition, the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities' policies with respect to clinical trials may change and additional government regulations may be enacted. For instance, the regulatory landscape related to clinical trials in the EU recently evolved. The EU Clinical Trials Regulation, or CTR, which was adopted in April 2014 and repealed the EU Clinical Trials Directive, became applicable on January 31, 2022. The implementation of the CTR also includes the implementation of the Clinical Trials Information System, or CTIS, a new clinical trial portal and database that will be maintained by the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, in collaboration with the European Commission and the EU Member States. The objectives of the CTR include consistent rules for conducting trials throughout the EU, consistent data standards and adverse events listing, and consistent information on the authorization status. Information on the conduct and results of each clinical trial carried out in the EU will be made publicly available. The CTR authorizes EU Member States to regulate certain aspects of clinical trials at the national level. To the extent an EU Member State where we plan to conduct any of our clinical trials is slow to adopt CTIS or implements other regulatory changes at the national level, or technical issues are encountered with the CTIS system and / or process, our clinical trial may be delayed in such EU Member State, and our costs may be increased. The main legislation that applies to clinical trials in the United Kingdom, or UK, is the UK Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2004, which transposes the EU Clinical Trials Directive into domestic law. The UK has implemented the Integrated Research Application System, which allows a single application to be reviewed by both the Medicines and Healthcare products -Regulatory Agency and a research ethics committee at the same time. Requirements and obligations that relate to the conduct of clinical trials in the UK remain largely aligned with the EU position. Complying with changes in regulatory requirements in different jurisdictions can result in additional costs, delay our clinical development plans, or expose us to greater liability if we are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies governing clinical trials, our development plans, including our XEN1101 Phase 3 development program epilepsy clinical trials, may be impacted. Additionally, because there may be approved treatments for some of the diseases or disorders for which we may seek approval, in order to receive regulatory approval, we may need to demonstrate in clinical trials that the product candidates we develop to treat those diseases or disorders are not only safe and effective, but may need to be compared to existing products, which may make it more difficult for our product candidates to receive regulatory approval or adequate reimbursement. Even if we obtain and maintain approval

```
for our product candidates from one jurisdiction, we may never obtain approval for our product candidates in other jurisdictions,
which would limit our market opportunities and adversely affect our business. Sales of our approved products, if any, will be
subject to the regulatory requirements governing marketing approval in the countries in which we obtain regulatory approval,
and we plan to seek, ourselves or with collaborators, regulatory approval to commercialize our product candidates in North
America, the EU and in additional foreign countries. Clinical trials conducted in one country may not be accepted by regulatory
authorities in other countries and regulatory approval in one country does not ensure approval in any other country, while a
failure or delay in obtaining regulatory approval in one country may have a negative effect on the regulatory approval process in
others. For example, approval in the U. S. by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries or
jurisdictions, and approval by one foreign regulatory authority does not ensure approval by the FDA, EMA or regulatory
authorities in other countries. Approval procedures vary among jurisdictions and can be lengthy and expensive, and involve
requirements and administrative review periods different from, and potentially greater than, those in the U. S., including
additional pre- clinical studies or clinical trials. Even if our product candidates are approved, regulatory approval for any
product may be withdrawn by the regulatory authorities in a particular jurisdiction. We do not have experience in obtaining
regulatory approval in international markets. If we, or our collaborators, fail to comply with regulatory requirements or to obtain
and maintain required approvals, our target market will be reduced and our ability to realize the full market potential of our
product candidates will be harmed. Although If we fail to obtain or maintain orphan drug designation has been granted in the
United States and Europe to NBI- 921352 or for other -- the treatment regulatory exclusivity for some of our product
candidates SCN8A-DEE, we may not our competitive position would be able harmed. Although we have pending provisional
and non-provisional patent applications related to XEN496 realize any value from such designations. NBI-921352, being
developed this product candidate is not currently covered by our collaborator Neurocrine Biosciences, has received any
issued patents and we may have to rely solely on orphan drug designation to gain market exclusivity for this from the FDA and
<mark>orphan medicinal</mark> product <del>candidate <mark>designation was granted by the European Commission for the treatment of SCN8A-</del></del></mark>
DEE. Currently, this orphan drug designation provides market exclusivity in the U. S. and the EU for seven years and ten
years, respectively, if a product is the first such product approved for such orphan indication. In the EU, for orphan medicines, a
valid and completed Pediatric Investigation Plan, or PIP, could qualify the sponsor for a two- year marketing exclusivity
extension to the ten- year marketing exclusivity which is granted at the time of review of the orphan medicinal designation. The
orphan drug market exclusivity does not, however, pertain to indications other than those for which the drug was specifically
designated in the approval, nor does it prevent other types of drugs from receiving orphan designations or approvals in these
same indications. Further, even after an orphan drug is approved, the FDA can subsequently approve a drug with similar
chemical structure for the same condition if the FDA concludes that the new drug is clinically superior to the orphan product or
a market shortage occurs. Orphan drug designation does not provide the drug any advantage in the regulatory review or
approval process other than potential fee reductions, nor does such designation increase the likelihood that the drug will
receive marketing approval. In Catalyst Pharms., Inc. v. Becerra, 14 F. 4th 1299 (11th Cir. 2021), the court disagreed with the
FDA's longstanding position that the orphan drug exclusivity only applies to the approved use or indication within an eligible
disease. This decision created uncertainty in the application of the orphan drug exclusivity in the U. S. -On January 24, 2023,
the FDA published a notice in the Federal Register to clarify that while the FDA complied with the court's order in
Catalyst, the FDA intends to continue to apply its longstanding interpretation of the regulations to matters outside of the scope of
the Catalyst order – that is, the FDA will continue tying the scope of orphan- drug exclusivity to the uses or indications for
which a drug is approved, which permits other sponsors to obtain approval of a drug for new uses or indications within the same
orphan designated disease or condition that have not vet been approved. It is unclear how future litigation, legislation, agency
decisions and administrative actions will impact the scope of the orphan drug exclusivity. In the EU, orphan exclusivity may be
reduced to six years if the drug no longer satisfies the original designation criteria or can be lost altogether if the marketing
authorization holder consents to a second orphan drug application or cannot supply enough drug, or when a second applicant
demonstrates its drug is "clinically superior" to the original orphan drug. Although We have received orphan drug designation
from the FDA has and orphan medicinal product designation was granted by the European Commission to XEN496 as a
treatment of KCNQ2- DEE and Neurocrine Biosciences received orphan drug designation from the FDA for NBI- 921352 as a
treatment of SCN8A-DEE. If we seek orphan drug designations for other indications or in other jurisdictions, we may fail to
receive such orphan drug designations and, even if we succeed, such orphan drug designations may fail to result in or maintain
orphan drug exclusivity upon approval, which would harm our competitive position. Further, not all jurisdictions, such as
Canada, have orphan drug designations. Neither orphan drug designation, nor rare pediatric disease, or RPD, designation gives
the drug any advantage in the regulatory review or approval process other than potential fee reductions, and in the case of RPD,
priority review vouchers. Although the FDA has granted RPD designation to NBI- 921352 for the treatment of SCN8A- DEE,
we may not be able to realize any value from such designation. NBI- 921352, being developed by our collaborator Neurocrine
Biosciences, has received RPD designation for the treatment of SCN8A- DEE. The FDA defines a "rare pediatric disease" as a
disease that affects fewer than 200, 000 individuals in the U. S. primarily under the age of 18 years old. Under the FDA's RPD
priority review voucher program, upon the approval of an NDA or a biologics license application, BLA, for the treatment of an
RPD, the sponsor of such application would be eligible for a priority review voucher that can be used to obtain priority review
for a subsequent NDA or BLA. There is no assurance Neurocrine Biosciences will receive a RPD priority review voucher or
that use of the priority review voucher will result in a faster review or approval for a subsequent marketing application. It is
possible that even if Neurocrine Biosciences obtains approval for NBI- 921352 in SCN8A- DEE and qualifies for such a priority
review voucher, the program may no longer be in effect at the time of approval of this product candidate. Also, although priority
review vouchers may be freely sold or transferred to third parties, there is no guarantee that we will be able to realize any value
if we or any of our collaborators were to sell a priority review voucher to a third-party. In addition, Congress extended FDA
```

```
authorization to designate RPDs through September 30, 2024 and award RPD priority review vouchers through September 30,
2026. RPD designation does not <del>lead to faster development or <mark>provide the drug any advantage in the</mark> regulatory review <del>of </del>or</del>
approval process the other product than potential fee reductions, and priority review vouchers, or nor does such
designation increase the likelihood that it the drug will receive marketing approval . Even though XEN496 has Fast Track
designation from FDA for the treatment of KCNQ2-DEE, it may not lead to a faster development or regulatory review or
approval process, and will not increase the likelihood that XEN496 will receive marketing approval. If a drug is intended for the
treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition or disease, and non-elinical or elinical data demonstrate the potential to
address an unmet medical need, the product may qualify for FDA Fast Track or Breakthrough Therapy designations and / or
PRIority Medicines, or PRIME, designation from the EMA, for which sponsors must apply. The FDA and the EMA have broad
discretion whether or not to grant those designations. Although we have received Fast Track designation for the investigation of
XEN496 for the treatment of KCNQ2-DEE, we may not experience a faster development process, review or approval
compared to conventional FDA procedures. In addition, the FDA may withdraw Fast Track or Breakthrough Therapy
designation and the EMA may withdraw PRIME designation if the relevant agency believes that the designation is no longer
supported by data from the applicable clinical development program. If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, we
may incur substantial liabilities in excess of our limited product liability insurance coverage and may be required to limit
commercialization of our current and any future products. We face an inherent risk of product liability as a result of the clinical
testing of our product candidates, and we will face an even greater risk if we commercialize any product candidates. For
example, we may be sued if any of our product candidates, including any that are developed in combination with other therapies,
allegedly causes injury or is found to be otherwise unsuitable during product testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. Any
such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing, defects in design, a failure to warn of dangers
inherent in the product, negligence, strict liability and a breach of warranties. Claims could also be asserted under state or
provincial consumer protection acts. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur
substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our product candidates. Even successful defense would require
significant financial and management resources. There is also risk that third parties we have agreed to indemnify could incur
liability. Regardless of the merits or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in: • decreased demand for our product
candidates or any resulting products; • injury to our reputation; • withdrawal of clinical trial participants; • costs to defend the
related litigation; • a diversion of management's time and our resources; • substantial monetary awards to trial participants or
patients; • product recalls, withdrawals or labeling, marketing or promotional restrictions; • loss of revenue; • the inability to
commercialize our product candidates; and • a decline in the market price of our common shares. We currently carry product
liability insurance with amounts of coverage that we believe are appropriate relative to our current clinical programs; however,
we may not be able to maintain insurance coverage at a reasonable cost or in sufficient amounts to protect us against losses due
to liability. If and when we obtain marketing approval for product candidates, we intend to expand our insurance coverage to
include the sale of commercial products; however, we may then be unable to obtain product liability insurance on commercially
reasonable terms or in adequate amounts. On occasion, large judgments have been awarded in class action lawsuits based on
drugs or medical treatments that had unanticipated adverse effects. A successful product liability claim or series of claims
brought against us could cause the market price of our common shares to decline and, if judgments exceed our insurance
coverage, could adversely affect our future results of operations and business. Patients with certain of the diseases, or disorders,
targeted by our product candidates are often already in severe and advanced stages of disease and have both known and
unknown significant pre- existing and potentially life- threatening conditions. During the course of treatment, patients have in
the past and may in the future suffer adverse events, including death, for reasons that may be related to our product candidates.
Such events could subject us to costly litigation, require us to pay substantial amounts of money to injured patients, delay,
negatively impact or end our opportunity to receive or maintain regulatory approval to market those product candidates, or
require us to suspend or abandon our commercialization efforts. Even in a circumstance in which we do not believe that an
adverse event is related to our products, the investigation into the circumstance may be time-consuming or inconclusive. These
investigations may interrupt our sales efforts, delay our regulatory approval process in other countries, or impact and limit the
type of regulatory approvals our product candidates receive or maintain. As a result of these factors, a product liability claim,
even if successfully defended, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.
We do not have a sales or marketing infrastructure and, as a company, have no sales, marketing or distribution experience. Our
strategy involves building our own commercial infrastructure to selectively commercialize future products in certain commercial
markets which will be expensive and time consuming. For certain products, including XEN496 and XEN1101, and / or specific
commercial markets, we evaluate commercial partners from time to time. In some cases, we may seek to retain the right to
participate in the future development and commercialization of such products if we believe such involvement would advance our
business. We cannot be certain that we will be successful in consummating any such commercial partnerships or, if
consummated, whether such partnerships will be successful. To develop internal sales, distribution and marketing capabilities in
the U. S., we will have to invest significant amounts of financial and management resources, some of which will need to be
committed prior to any confirmation that any of our product candidates will be approved. We have no prior experience as a
company in the marketing, sale and distribution of biopharmaceutical products and there are significant risks involved in
building and managing a commercial organization. For any future products for which we decide to perform sales, marketing and
distribution functions ourselves, we could face a number of additional risks, including: • the maintenance of existing or the
establishment of new supply arrangements with third- party logistics providers and secondary packagers; • the maintenance of
existing or the establishment of new scaled production arrangements with third- party manufacturers to obtain finished products
that are appropriately packaged for sale; • a continued acceptable safety profile following any marketing approval; • our inability
-- ability to recruit and retain adequate numbers of qualified sales and marketing personnel or develop alternative sales channels;
```

• the inability -- ability of our products to secure acceptance from physicians, healthcare providers, patients, third- party payers and the medical community including identifying an adequate number of physicians and patients, especially for ultra-orphan, orphan or niche indications; • the lack of complementary products to be offered by sales personnel, which may put us at a competitive disadvantage relative to companies with more extensive product lines; • unforeseen costs and expenses associated with creating and maintaining an independent sales and marketing organization; and • our ability to compete with other therapies. Where and when appropriate, we may elect to utilize contract sales forces, distribution partners or collaborators that have sales sales, marketing and distribution capabilities to assist in the commercialization of or to independently commercialize our product candidates. If we enter into arrangements with third parties to perform sales, marketing and distribution services for a product, the resulting revenue or the profitability from this revenue to us is likely to be lower than if we had sold, marketed and distributed that product ourselves. In addition, we may not be successful in entering into arrangements with third parties to sell, market, and distribute our product candidates or may be unable to do so on terms that are favorable to us. We likely will have little control over such third parties, and any of these third parties may fail to devote the necessary resources and attention to sell, market, and distribute our current or any future products effectively. Even if we receive regulatory approval to commercialize any of our product candidates, we will be subject to ongoing regulatory obligations and continued regulatory review, which may result in significant additional expense and delays. Any of our product candidates for which we, or any existing or future collaborators, obtain regulatory approval, as well as the manufacturing processes, postapproval studies, labeling, advertising and promotional activities for such product, among other things, will be subject to ongoing requirements of and review by the FDA and other regulatory authorities. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post- marketing information and reports, registration and listing requirements, requirements relating to manufacturing, quality control, quality assurance and corresponding maintenance of records and documents, requirements regarding the distribution of samples to physicians and recordkeeping. We and our contract manufacturers will also be subject to user fees and periodic inspection by the FDA and other regulatory authorities to monitor compliance with these requirements and the terms of any product approval we may obtain. In addition, our product candidates may receive schedule classifications under the Controlled Substances Act of 1970 (or scheduling classifications under similar legislation outside of the U.S.) which will result in additional complexity and may result in delays and restrictions with respect to manufacturing, supply chain, licensing, import / export and distribution. Even if a product is approved, the FDA or another applicable regulatory authority, as the case may be, may limit the indications for which the product may be marketed, require extensive precautions and warnings on the product labeling or require expensive and time- consuming post- approval commitments including clinical trials or onerous risk management activities, including Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies, or REMS, in the U. S. as conditions of approval to help ensure that the benefits of the drug outweigh the potential risks. REMS can include medication guides, communication plans for health-healthcare eare-professionals, and elements to assure safe use, or ETASU. ETASU can include, but are not limited to, special training or certification for prescribing or dispensing, dispensing only under certain circumstances, special monitoring, and the use of patient registries. The requirement for a REMS can materially affect the potential market and profitability of the drug. For any approved product, we, or our collaborators, will need to ensure continued compliance with extensive regulations and requirements regarding the manufacturing processes, labeling, packaging, serialization, distribution, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and recordkeeping for the product. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-approval information and reports, as well as continued compliance with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, good distribution practices, or GDP, and current good clinical practices, or cGCP, for any clinical trials that we, or our collaborators, are required to conduct post-approval. Post-approval discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or other problems with our product or with third- party manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in, amongst other things, restrictions on the labeling or marketing, withdrawals, consent decrees, clinical holds, postapproval requirements or restrictions, recalls, fines, warning letters, injunctions, penalties, exclusions from federal health healthcare care-programs, seizures and / or detentions, among other consequences and adverse actions. Occurrence of any of the foregoing could have a material and adverse effect on our business and results of operations. In addition, prescription drugs may be promoted only for the approved indications in accordance with the approved label. The FDA, EMA and other agencies actively enforce the laws and regulations prohibiting the promotion of off- label uses, and a company that is found to have improperly promoted off- label use may be subject to significant liability. However, physicians may, in their independent medical judgment, prescribe legally available products for off- label uses. The FDA does not regulate the behavior of physicians in their choice of treatments but the FDA, EMA and other foreign regulators do restrict manufacturer manufacturers '?'s communications on the subject of off- label use of their products. To the extent we develop and commercialize product candidates that contain or are considered controlled substances, any failure by us or our CROs, CMOs and other contractors to comply with controlled substance laws and regulations, may adversely affect the results of our business operations and our financial condition. XEN496 contains ezogabine, a Schedule V controlled substance, and is subject to controlled substance laws and regulations in the U. S. We have received letters of no objection which confirm XEN496 is not considered a controlled substance in Canada, Australia and the European countries where XEN496 will be imported for the EPIK trial. We may in the future develop other product candidates that are considered controlled substances in multiple jurisdictions, such as the U.S., Canada, and the EU, which will expose us to additional controlled substance regulatory requirements in each applicable jurisdiction where we engage in regulated activities, including storage, manufacture, research, clinical trials, import, and export, among other activities. For example, obtaining and maintaining the necessary registrations may result in delay of the importation, manufacturing or distribution of our controlled substance product candidates and may extend our anticipated timelines for our EPIK trial or other clinical trials we run. Controlled substances or scheduled substances are regulated by the DEA under the CSA. The DEA regulates compounds as Schedule I, II, III, IV or V substances. Pharmaceutical products

```
approved for use in the U. S. may be listed as Schedule II, III, IV or V, with Schedule II substances considered to present the
highest potential for abuse or dependence and Schedule V substances the lowest relative risk of abuse among such substances.
Scheduling determinations by the DEA are dependent on FDA approval of a substance or a specific formulation of a substance.
This scheduling determination will be dependent on FDA approval and the FDA's recommendation as to the appropriate
schedule, which may introduce a delay into the approval and any potential rescheduling process. There can be no assurance that
the DEA will make a favorable scheduling decision. Substances that are Schedule II, III, IV or V controlled substances at the
federal level may also require scheduling determinations under state laws and regulations, as well as similar foreign controlled
substances regulations, if applicable. If approved by the FDA, a number of post-approval activities involving controlled
substances will be subject to regulation by the DEA, including DEA regulations relating to registration and inspection of
facilities, manufacturing, storage, distribution and physician prescription procedures, among others. Furthermore, failure of our
contractors, such as our CROs and CMOs, to maintain compliance with the CSA during development and / or
commercialization, as applicable, can result in a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of
operations. Individual U. S. states and countries outside of the U. S. have also established controlled substance laws and
regulations. Those laws and regulations, including state - controlled substances laws that often but not necessarily mirror federal
law, may separately schedule our product candidates. Complying with different controlled substances requirements across
different jurisdictions can increase the cost of our operations and expose us to additional liabilities. Even if we obtain marketing
approval for our product candidates, the presence of a controlled substance in the product candidate may lead to adverse
publicity or public perception regarding our current or future product candidates. Our product candidate XEN496 contains a
<del>Schedule V controlled substance.</del> If <del>XEN496 or <mark>our our other p</del>roduct candidates that are subject to controlled substances</del></mark>
regulation are approved for commercial sale, adverse publicity or public perception of controlled substances in general or other
controlled substances could negatively impact market acceptance or consumer perception of our product candidates. We may
face limited adoption if clinicians or patients are unwilling to try a novel treatment that contains a controlled substance. Any
adverse publicity associated with illness or other adverse effects resulting from patients' use or misuse of our or similar therapies
distributed by other companies could have a material adverse impact on our business, prospects, financial condition and results
of operations. Future adverse events and research in controlled substances that are present in the product candidates could also
result in greater governmental regulation, stricter labeling requirements and potential regulatory delays in the testing or
approvals of our product candidates. Any increased scrutiny could delay or increase the costs of obtaining regulatory approval
for our product candidates. If the market opportunities for our product candidates are smaller than we believe they are, our
revenue may be adversely affected, and our business may suffer. Because the target patient populations for some of our product
candidates are small, we must be able to successfully identify patients and acquire a significant market share to achieve
profitability and growth. Some of our product candidates focus on treatments for rare and ultra- rare disorders. Given the small
number of patients who have some of the disorders that we are targeting, our profitability and growth depend on successfully
identifying patients with these rare and ultra- rare disorders. Currently, most reported estimates of the prevalence of these
disorders are based on studies of small subsets of the population in specific geographic areas, which are then extrapolated to
estimate the prevalence of the disorders in the U.S. or elsewhere. Our projections of both the number of people who have these
disorders, as well as the subset of people with these disorders who have the potential to benefit from treatment with our product
eandidates, are based on our internal estimates. These estimates have been derived from a variety of sources, including scientific
literature, surveys of clinics, patient foundations, and market research, and may prove to be incorrect. Further, new studies may
change the estimated incidence or prevalence of these disorders, and, as a result, the number of patients with these disorders
may turn out to be lower than expected. Our effort to identify patients with diseases or disorders we seek to treat is in early
stages, and we cannot accurately predict the number of patients for whom treatment might be possible. Additionally, the
potentially addressable patient population for some of our product candidates may be limited or may not be amenable to
treatment with our product candidates, and new patients may become increasingly difficult to identify or gain access to, which
would adversely affect our results of operations and our business. Finally, even if we obtain significant market share for our
product candidates focused on treatments for rare and ultra- rare disorders, because the potential target populations are very
small, we may never achieve profitability despite obtaining such significant market share. Any product candidates we develop
may become subject to unfavorable third- party coverage and reimbursement practices, as well as pricing regulations. Our, or
our collaborators', ability to commercialize any products successfully will depend, in part, on the extent to which coverage and
reimbursement for these products and related treatments will be available from government healthcare programs, such as
Medicare and Medicaid, and private health insurers, managed care plans, and other organizations. Government authorities and
third- party payers, such as private health insurers, managed care plans, and other health maintenance organizations.
Government authorities and private third- party payers decide which medications drugs they will pay for and establish
reimbursement levels. A primary trend in the U.S. healthcare industry is cost containment. Government authorities and third-
party payers have attempted to control costs by limiting coverage and the amount of reimbursement for particular medications -
Increasingly, third- party payers are requiring that drug companies provide them with predetermined discounts from list prices
and are challenging the prices charged for medical products. We cannot be sure that coverage and reimbursement will be
available for any product that we, or our collaborators, commercialize and, if reimbursement is available, the level of
reimbursement. In addition, coverage and reimbursement may impact the demand for, or the price of, any product candidate for
which we or a collaborator obtains marketing approval. If coverage and reimbursement are not available or reimbursement is
available only to limited levels, we, or our collaborators, may not be able to successfully commercialize any product candidate
for which marketing approval is obtained. There is significant uncertainty related to third-party payor payor coverage and
reimbursement of newly approved products. In Within the U. S., coverage and for example, principal decisions about
reimbursement varies from for new products are typically made by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, an
```

```
agency within the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS. CMS decides whether and to what extent a new
product will be covered and reimbursed under Medicare, and private third-party payors often follow CMS's decisions
regarding coverage and reimbursement to a substantial degree. However, one third -party payor payer to another. One third-
party payer's determination to provide coverage for a product candidate does not assure that other payers will also
provide coverage for the product candidate. As a result, the coverage determination process is often time- consuming and
costly. Factors payers consider in determining reimbursement are based on whether the product is: (i) a covered benefit
under its health plan; (ii) safe, effective and medically necessary; (iii) appropriate for the specific patient; (iv) cost- effective;
and (v) neither experimental nor investigational. This process will We may be require required us to provide scientific and
clinical support for the use of our products to each third- party payor payer separately, with no assurance that coverage and
adequate reimbursement will be applied consistently or obtained in the first instance. As federal and state governments
implement additional health-healthcare care cost containment measures, including measures to lower prescription drug pricing,
we cannot be sure that our products, if approved, will be covered by private or public payors payers, and if covered, whether
the reimbursement will be adequate or competitive with other marketed products. Actions by federal and state governments and
health plans may put additional downward pressure on pharmaceutical pricing and health-healthcare eare-costs, which could
negatively impact coverage and reimbursement for our products if approved, our revenue, and our ability to compete with other
marketed products and to recoup the costs of our research and development. Additionally, net prices for drugs may be reduced
by mandatory discounts or rebates required by government healthcare programs or private payors payers and by any future
relaxation of laws that presently restrict imports of drugs from countries where they may be sold at lower prices than in the U.
S. <del>. Increasingly, third- party payors <mark>In order to obtain and maintain acceptable reimbursement levels and access for</mark></del>
patients at copay levels that are <del>requiring that drug companies provide them with predetermined reasonable and customary,</del>
<mark>we may have to offer</mark> discounts <mark>or rebates</mark> from list prices <del>and are challenging the prices charged for -</del> <mark>or medical products to</mark>
implement other unfavorable pricing modifications . We cannot be sure that reimbursement will be available for any product
candidate that we commercialize and, if reimbursement is available, the level of reimbursement. Outside the U.S., the
commercialization of therapeutics is generally subject to extensive governmental price controls and other market regulations,
and we believe the increasing emphasis on cost containment initiatives in Europe, Canada and other countries has and will
continue to put pressure on the pricing and usage of therapeutics such as our product candidates. In many countries, particularly
the countries of the European Union, or the EU, medical product prices are subject to varying price control mechanisms as part
of national health systems. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take considerable time
after a product receives marketing approval. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we, or our
collaborators, may be required to conduct a clinical trial that compares the cost- effectiveness of our product candidate to other
available therapies. In general, product prices under such systems are substantially lower than in the U. S. Other countries allow
companies to fix their own prices for products but monitor and control company profits. Additional foreign price controls or
other changes in pricing regulation could restrict the amount that we, or our collaborators, are able to charge for our product
candidates. Accordingly, in markets outside the U.S., the reimbursement for our products may be reduced compared with the
U. S. and may be insufficient to generate commercially reasonable revenue and profits. Some of our and or our collaborators -1
target patient populations may be in orphan and or niche indications, such as KĈNQ2- DEE and SCN8A- DEE. In order for
therapies that are designed to treat smaller patient populations to be commercially viable, the pricing, coverage and
reimbursement for such therapies needs to be higher, on a relative basis, to account for the lack of volume. Accordingly, we will
or our collaborators may need to implement pricing, coverage and reimbursement strategies for any approved product that
accounts for the smaller potential market size. If we or our collaborators are unable to establish or sustain coverage and
adequate reimbursement for our or our collaborators' current and any future products from third- party payers or the
government, the adoption of those products and sales revenue will be adversely affected, which, in turn, could adversely affect
the ability to market or sell those products. Healthcare Recently enacted and future legislation other reforms may increase the
difficulty and cost for us to commercialize any products that we, or our collaborators, develop and affect the prices we may
obtain. The U. S. and some foreign jurisdictions are considering or have enacted a number of legislative and regulatory
proposals to change the healthcare system in ways that could affect our ability to sell any of our products profitably, once such
products are approved for sale. Among policy makers and payers in the U. S. and elsewhere, there is significant interest in
promoting changes in healthcare systems with the stated goals of containing healthcare costs, improving quality and / or
expanding access. In the U.S., the pharmaceutical industry has been a particular focus of these efforts and has been significantly
affected by major legislative initiatives. For example, in 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by
the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, collectively, the PPACA, was enacted and includes measures that
have significantly changed the way healthcare is financed by both governmental and private insurers. Since its enactment
Beyond the ACA, there are ongoing and widespread health care reform efforts, a number of which have focused been
legislative and judicial challenges to the PPACA. In June 2021, the U. S. Supreme Court held that Texas and other challengers
had no legal standing to challenge the PPACA, dismissing the case without specifically ruling on the constitutionality of the
PPACA. Accordingly, the PPACA remains in effect in its current form. It is unclear how future litigation, and healthcare
measures promulgated by the Biden administration will impact the implementation of the PPACA, our business, financial
condition and results of operations. Complying with any new legislation or changes in healthcare regulation of prices could be
time- intensive and expensive, resulting in a material adverse effect on our- or business-payment for drug products. In
addition. Drug pricing and payment reform was a focus of there-- the Trump Administration and has been a focus of
heightened governmental scrutiny over the manner in which manufacturers set prices for their -- the Biden Administration
marketed products, which has resulted in several presidential executive orders, Congressional inquiries and proposed and
enacted federal and state legislation designed to, among other things, bring more transparency to product pricing, review the
```

```
relationship between pricing and manufacturer patient programs, and reform government program reimbursement methodologies
for pharmaceutical products. For example, federal legislation enacted in under the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021
<mark>eliminates a , effective January 1, 2024, the-</mark>statutory cap on Medicaid <del>Drug-<mark>drug Rebate rebate Program-program</mark> rebates <del>that</del></del>
manufacturers pay to state Medicaid programs will be eliminated. Elimination of this cap may require pharmaceutical
manufacturers to pay more in rebates than they receive on the sale of products, which could have a material impact on our
business. In July 2021, the Biden administration released an executive - effective order January 1, "Promoting Competition in
the American Economy, "with multiple provisions aimed at increasing competition for prescription drugs. In August 2022 2024
. As another example, Congress passed the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) of 2022 contains various, which includes
prescription drug price negotiation, inflationary rebate, and pricing provisions with varying implementation dates. The
IRA allows that have significant implications for the pharmaceutical industry and Medicare beneficiaries, including allowing
the federal government to negotiate a maximum fair price for certain high-priced single - source Medicare drugs, imposing
impose penalties and excise tax for manufacturers that fail to comply with the drug price negotiation requirements, requiring
require inflation rebates for all Medicare Part B and Part D drugs - (with limited exceptions -) if their drug prices increase
faster than inflation, and <del>redesigning <mark>redesigns</mark> Medicare Part D to reduce out- of- pocket prescription drug costs for</del>
beneficiaries, among other changes. The impact of these -- the regulations IRA on our business and any future healthcare
measures and agency rules implemented by the broader pharmaceutical industry remains uncertain as implementation is
ongoing. As another example, in 2022, subsequent to the enactment of the IRA, the Biden administration released an
<mark>executive order directing the HHS to report</mark> on <mark>how <del>us and</del> the <del>pharmaceutical industry <mark>Center for Medicare and Medicaid</mark></mark></del>
Innovation ("CMMI") could be leveraged to test new models for lowering drug costs for Medicare and Medicaid
beneficiaries. The report as was a whole issued in 2023 and proposed various models that CMMI is currently unknown
developing which seek to lower the cost of drugs, promote accessibility and improve quality of care . At These changes or
the other changes could affect the market conditions for our products. We expect continued scrutiny on drug pricing and
government price reporting from Congress, agencies, and other bodies. Further, a number of state-states level,
legislatures have increasingly passed legislation and implemented regulations designed to control pharmaceutical and biological
product pricing, including price or patient reimbursement constraints, discounts, restrictions on certain product access and
marketing cost disclosure and transparency measures, and, in some cases, designed to encourage importation from other
countries and bulk purchasing. Further, a number of states are considering or have recently enacted state drug price transparency
and reporting laws that could substantially increase our compliance burdens and expose us to greater liability under such state
laws <del>once if and when we <del>begin commercialization have marketed products . These and other health reform measures that are</del></del>
implemented may have a material adverse effect on our operations. We are unable to predict the future course of federal or state
healthcare legislation in the U. S. Any directed at broadening the availability of healthcare and containing or lowering the cost
of healthcare. These and any further changes in the law or regulatory framework could reduce our ability to generate revenue in
the future or increase our costs, either of which could have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition and
results of operations. The continuing efforts of the government, insurance companies, managed care organizations, and other
third-party payors payers of healthcare services and medical products to contain or reduce costs of healthcare and / or impose
price controls may adversely affect the demand for our product candidates, if approved, and our ability to achieve or maintain
profitability. In the EU, similar political, economic and regulatory developments may affect our, or our collaborators', ability to
profitably commercialize our current or any future products. In addition to continuing pressure on prices and cost containment
measures, legislative developments at the EU or member state level may result in significant additional requirements or
obstacles that may increase our operating costs. In international markets, reimbursement and healthcare payment systems vary
significantly by country, and many countries have instituted price ceilings on specific products and therapies. Our future
products, if any, might not be considered medically reasonable and necessary for a specific indication or cost-effective by third-
party payers. An adequate level of reimbursement might not be available for such products and third-party payers'
reimbursement policies might adversely affect our, or our collaborators', ability to sell any future products profitably.
Legislative and regulatory proposals have been made to expand post-approval requirements and restrict sales and promotional
activities for pharmaceutical products. We cannot be sure whether additional legislative changes will be enacted, or whether the
FDA regulations, guidance or interpretations will be changed, or what the impact of such changes on the marketing approvals of
our product candidates, if any, may be. In addition, increased scrutiny by the U. S. Congress of the FDA's approval process
may significantly delay or prevent marketing approval, as well as subject us to more stringent product labeling and post-
approval testing and other requirements. In addition, other broader legislative changes have been adopted that could have
an adverse effect upon, and could prevent, our products' commercial success. For example, the Budget Control Act of
2011, as amended, resulted in the imposition of reductions in Medicare (but not Medicaid) payments to providers in 2013
and remains in effect through 2032 unless additional Congressional action is taken. Any significant spending reductions
affecting Medicare, Medicaid or other publicly funded or subsidized health programs that may be implemented and / or
any significant taxes or fees that may be imposed on us could have an adverse impact on our results of operations. We
cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative
action, either in the U. S. or in other jurisdictions. If we, or our collaborators, are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing
requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we, or our collaborators, are not able to maintain regulatory
compliance, our product candidates may lose any marketing approval that may have been obtained and we may not achieve or
sustain profitability, which would adversely affect our business. Risks Related to Our Dependence on Third Parties We have no
control over the resources, time and effort that our collaborators may devote to our programs and limited access to information
regarding or resulting from such programs. We are dependent on our collaborators, including Neurocrine Biosciences, to fund
and conduct the research and any clinical development of product candidates under our agreements with each of them, and for
```

the successful regulatory approval, marketing and commercialization of one or more of such products or product candidates. Such success will be subject to significant uncertainty. Our ability to recognize revenue from successful collaborations may be impaired by multiple factors including: • a collaborator may shift its priorities and resources away from our programs due to a change in business strategies, or a merger, acquisition, sale or downsizing of its company or business unit; • a collaborator may cease development in therapeutic areas which are the subject of our strategic alliances; • a collaborator may change the success criteria for a particular program or product candidate thereby delaying or ceasing development of such program or candidate; • a significant delay in initiation of certain development activities by a collaborator will also delay payment of milestones tied to such activities, thereby impacting our ability to fund our own activities; • a collaborator could develop a product that competes, either directly or indirectly, with our current or future products, if any; • a collaborator with commercialization obligations may not commit sufficient financial or human resources to the marketing, distribution or sale of a product; • a collaborator with manufacturing responsibilities may encounter regulatory, resource or quality issues and be unable to meet demand requirements; • a collaborator may exercise its rights under the agreement to terminate our collaboration; • a dispute may arise between us and a collaborator concerning the research or development of a product candidate, commercialization of a product or payment of royalties or milestone payments, any of which could result in a delay in milestones, royalty payments or termination of a program and possibly resulting in costly litigation or arbitration which may divert management attention and resources; • a collaborator may not adequately protect the intellectual property rights associated with a product or product candidate; • a collaborator may use our proprietary information or intellectual property in such a way as to invite litigation from a third-party; and • disruptions caused by man-made or natural disasters or public health pandemics or epidemics or other business interruptions, including, for example, the COVID-19 pandemic. If our collaborators do not perform in the manner we expect or fulfill their responsibilities in a timely manner, or at all, the clinical development, regulatory approval and commercialization efforts could be delayed, terminated or be commercially unsuccessful. Conflicts between us and our collaborators may arise. In the event of termination of one or more of our collaboration agreements, it may become necessary for us to assume the responsibility of any terminated product or product candidates at our own expense or seek new collaborators. In that event, we could be required to limit the size and scope of one or more of our independent programs or increase our expenditures and seek additional funding which may not be available on acceptable terms or at all, and our business could be materially and adversely affected. We depend on our collaborative relationship with Neurocrine Biosciences to further develop and commercialize NBI-921352, and if our relationship is not successful or is terminated, we may not be able to effectively develop and / or commercialize NBI- 921352. We depend on Neurocrine Biosciences to develop and commercialize NBI- 921352. Under the agreement and subject to input from the joint steering committee. Neurocrine Biosciences controls all decision- making with respect to the clinical development and commercialization for NBI-921352. As a result of our collaboration with Neurocrine Biosciences, the eventual success or commercial viability of NBI- 921352 is largely beyond our control. The financial returns to us, if any, depend in large part on the achievement of development and commercialization milestones, plus a share of any revenue from sales. Therefore, our success, and any associated financial returns to us and our investors, will depend in part on Neurocrine Biosciences' performance under the agreement. We are subject to a number of additional specific risks associated with our dependence on our collaborative relationship with Neurocrine Biosciences, including: • adverse decisions by Neurocrine Biosciences regarding the development and commercialization of NBI-921352; * Neurocrine Biosciences' failure to collect all data required by FDA or any other regulatory authority to address any deficiencies or compliance issues raised by FDA or any other regulatory authority, or comply with all regulatory requirements in order to advance clinical development of NBI-921352 to approval; • possible disagreements as to the timing, nature and extent of development plans, including clinical trials or regulatory strategy: • loss of significant rights if we fail to meet our obligations under the agreement: • changes in key management personnel at Neurocrine Biosciences, including in members of the joint steering committee; and • possible disagreements with Neuroerine Biosciences regarding the agreement, for example, with regard to ownership of intellectual property rights. Although we have previously announced that Neuroerine Biosciences is conducting a Phase 2 clinical trial evaluating NBI-921352 in adult patients with focal onset seizures and a Phase 2 clinical trial evaluating NBI-921352 in pediatric patients (aged between 2 and 21 years) with SCN8A-DEE, we cannot be certain that Neuroerine Biosciences will continue to pursue these indications and we may not qualify for additional payments under our collaboration agreement. If either we or Neurocrine Biosciences fail to perform our respective obligations, any clinical trial, regulatory approval or development progress could be significantly delayed or halted, could result in costly or time- consuming litigation or arbitration and could have a negative impact on our business. Decisions by Neurocrine Biosciences to emphasize other drug candidates currently in its portfolio ahead of our product candidates, or to add competitive agents to its portfolio could result in a decision to terminate the agreement, in which event, among other things, we may be responsible for paying any remaining costs of all ongoing or future clinical trials, including expending additional time and resources needed to address any prior deficiencies or regulatory noncompliance issues that we may inherit from Neurocrine Biosciences upon any such termination. Any of the above discussed seenarios could adversely affect the timing and extent of the development and commercialization activities related to NBI-921352, which could negatively impact our business. We may not be successful in establishing new collaborations or maintaining our existing alliances, which could adversely affect our ability to develop product candidates and commercialize products. In the ordinary course, we engage with other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies to discuss potential inlicensing, out-licensing, alliances and other strategic transactions. The advancement of our product candidates and development programs and the potential commercialization of our current and future product candidates will require substantial additional cash to fund expenses. Additionally, there are certain jurisdictions where a collaborator may be able to realize the market potential of our product candidates better than us. For these or other reasons, we may decide to collaborate with additional pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies with respect to development and potential commercialization. We face significant competition in seeking appropriate collaborators and the negotiation process is time- consuming and complex. Moreover, we

```
may not be successful in our efforts to establish other collaborations or other alternative arrangements for any current or future
product candidates because our research and development pipeline may be insufficient, our current or future product candidates
may be deemed to be at too early of a stage of development for collaboration effort and / or third parties may view our product
candidates as lacking the requisite potential to demonstrate safety and efficacy. Even if we are successful in our efforts to
establish collaborations, the terms that we agree upon may not be favorable to us and we may not be able to maintain such
collaborations if, for example, development or approval of a product candidate is delayed or sales of an approved product are
disappointing. If any of our existing collaboration agreements are terminated, or if we determine that entering into other product
collaborations is in our best interest but we either fail to enter into, delay in entering into or fail to maintain such collaborations:
• the development of certain of our current or future product candidates may be terminated or delayed; • our cash expenditures
related to development or commercialization of any such product candidates would increase significantly and we may need to
seek additional financing sooner than expected; • we may be required to hire additional employees or otherwise develop
expertise, such as clinical, regulatory, sales and marketing expertise, some of which we do not currently have; • we may delay
commercialization or reduce the scope of any sales or marketing activities; • we will bear all of the risk related to the
development or commercialization of any such product candidates; and • the competitiveness of any product that is
commercialized could be reduced. We do not own or operate manufacturing facilities for the production of clinical or
commercial quantities of our product candidates, and we lack the resources and the capabilities to do so. As a result, we
currently rely on third parties for the manufacture and supply of the active pharmaceutical ingredients, or APIs, in our product
candidates and the final drug product formulation for all of our product candidates that are being used in our clinical trials and
pre- clinical studies as well as packaging, labelling and distribution of clinical trial supplies. Our current strategy is to
outsource all manufacturing of our product candidates to third parties. In addition, we rely on our collaborators, either directly or
through CMOs, to manufacture product candidates licensed to them or to work with CMOs to produce sufficient quantities of
materials required for the manufacture of our product candidates for pre-clinical testing and clinical trials and intend to do so
for the commercial manufacture of our products. If we, or our collaborators, are unable to arrange for such third-party
manufacturing sources, or fail to do so on commercially reasonable terms, we, or our collaborators, may not be able to
successfully produce sufficient supply of a product candidate or we, or our collaborators, may be delayed in doing so. Such
failure or substantial delay could delay our clinical trials and materially harm our business. The manufacture of
biopharmaceutical products is complex and requires significant expertise and capital investment, including the development of
advanced manufacturing techniques and process controls. The process of manufacturing our product candidates is susceptible to
product loss due to contamination, equipment failure or improper installation or operation of equipment, vendor or operator
error, contamination and inconsistency in yields, variability in product characteristics and difficulties in scaling the production
process. Even minor deviations from normal manufacturing processes could result in reduced production yields, product defects
and other supply disruptions. If microbial, viral or other contaminations are discovered in our product candidates or in the third-
party manufacturing facilities in which our product candidates are made, such manufacturing facilities may need to be closed for
an extended period of time to investigate and remedy the contamination. Any adverse developments affecting manufacturing
operations for our product candidates, if any are approved, may result in shipment delays, inventory shortages, lot failures,
product withdrawals or recalls, or other interruptions in the supply of our products. We may also have to take inventory write-
offs and incur other charges and expenses for products that fail to meet specifications, undertake costly remediation efforts or
seek more costly manufacturing alternatives. Reliance on third- party manufacturers entails risks to which we would not be
subject if we manufactured product candidates ourselves, including reliance on these third parties for regulatory compliance and
quality control and assurance, volume production, the possibility of breach of the manufacturing agreement by the third-party
because of factors beyond our control (including a failure to synthesize and manufacture our product candidates in accordance
with our product specifications), the impact of industry consolidation, including business combinations involving such
third parties, and the possibility of termination or nonrenewal of the agreement by the third-party at a time that is costly or
damaging to us. Although we believe that there are several potential alternative manufacturers who could manufacture our
product candidates, we may incur added costs and delays in identifying and qualifying any such replacement. In addition, we
typically order raw materials, API-APIs and drug product and services on a purchase order basis and do not enter into long-
term dedicated capacity or minimum supply arrangements with any commercial manufacturer. There is no assurance that we will
be able to timely secure needed supply arrangements on satisfactory terms, or at all. Our failure to secure these arrangements as
needed could have a material adverse effect on our ability to complete the development of our product candidates or, to
commercialize them, if approved. We may be unable to conclude agreements for commercial supply with third-party
manufacturers or may be unable to do so on acceptable terms. There may be difficulties in scaling up to commercial quantities
and formulation of our product candidates, and the costs of manufacturing could be prohibitive. Further, the FDA, EMA and
other foreign regulatory authorities require that our product candidates be manufactured according to cGMP and similar foreign
standards. Pharmaceutical manufacturers and their subcontractors are required to register their facilities and / or products
manufactured at the time of submission of the marketing application and then annually thereafter with the FDA, EMA and other
foreign regulatory agencies. They are also subject to pre- approval inspections and periodic unannounced inspections by the
FDA, EMA and other foreign regulatory agencies. Any subsequent discovery of problems with a product, or a manufacturing or
laboratory facility used by us or our collaborators, may result in restrictions on the product or on the manufacturing or laboratory
facility, including product recall, suspension of manufacturing, importation bans, product seizure or a voluntary withdrawal of
the drug from the market. Any failure by our, or our collaborators', third-party manufacturers to comply with cGMP or any
failure to deliver sufficient quantities of product candidates in a timely manner, could lead to a delay in, or failure to obtain,
regulatory approval of any of our product candidates. In addition to third-party manufacturers, we rely on other third parties to
store, monitor, label, package and transport bulk drug substance and drug product. If we are unable to arrange for such third-
```

party sources, or fail to do so on commercially reasonable terms, we may not be able to successfully supply sufficient product candidate or we may be delayed in doing so. Such failure or substantial delay could materially harm our business. If any thirdparty manufacturer of our product candidates is unable to increase the scale of its production of our product candidates, and / or increase the product yield of its manufacturing, then our costs to manufacture the product may increase and commercialization may be delayed. In order to produce sufficient quantities to meet the demand for clinical trials and, if approved, subsequent commercialization of our product candidates, our third- party manufacturers will be required to increase their production and optimize their manufacturing processes while maintaining the quality of the product. The transition to larger scale production could prove difficult. In addition, if our third- party manufacturers are not able to optimize their manufacturing processes to increase the product yield for our product candidates, or if they are unable to produce increased amounts of our product candidates while maintaining the quality of the product, then we may not be able to meet the requirements for registration and validation and the demands of clinical trials or market demands, which could delay regulatory approvals and decrease our ability to generate profits and have a material adverse impact on our business and results of operation. We rely on entities outside of our control, which may include academic institutions, CROs, hospitals, clinics and other third- party collaborators, to monitor, support, conduct and / or oversee pre-clinical and clinical studies of our current and future product candidates. As a result, we have less control over the timing and cost of these studies and the ability to recruit trial subjects than if we conducted these trials with our own personnel. For example, an investigator-sponsored Phase 2 proof- of- concept clinical trial examining XEN1101 in MDD and anhedonia is being conducted in partnership with academic collaborators at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. If we are unable to maintain or enter into agreements with these third parties on acceptable terms, or if any such engagement is terminated prematurely, we may be unable to enroll patients on a timely basis or otherwise conduct our trials in the manner we anticipate. In addition, there is no guarantee that these third parties will devote adequate time and resources to our studies or perform as required by our contract or in accordance with regulatory requirements, including maintenance of clinical trial information regarding our product candidates. If these third parties fail to meet expected deadlines, fail to transfer to us any regulatory information in a timely manner, fail to adhere to protocols or fail to act in accordance with regulatory requirements or our agreements with them, or if they otherwise perform in a substandard manner or in a way that compromises the quality or accuracy of their activities or the data they obtain, then clinical trials of our future product candidates may be extended or delayed with additional costs incurred, or our data may be rejected by the FDA, EMA or other foreign regulatory agencies. Ultimately, we are responsible for ensuring that each of our clinical trials is conducted in accordance with the applicable protocol, legal, regulatory and scientific standards, and our reliance on third parties does not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities. We, our CROs and CMOs are required to comply with current good laboratory practices, or cGLP, cGCP and cGMP regulations and guidelines enforced by the FDA, the competent authorities of the member states of the European Economic Area and comparable foreign regulatory authorities for products in clinical development. Regulatory authorities enforce these regulations through periodic inspections of clinical trial sponsors, principal investigators, clinical trial sites, manufacturing facilities, nonclinical testing facilities and other contractors. If we or any of our CROs or CMOs fail to comply with these applicable regulations, the clinical data generated in our non-clinical studies and clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and our submission of marketing applications may be delayed or the FDA, EMA or another foreign regulatory authority may require us to perform additional clinical trials before approving our marketing applications. Upon inspection, the FDA, EMA or another foreign regulatory authority could determine that any of our clinical trials fail or have failed to comply with applicable cGCP regulations. In addition, our clinical trials must be conducted with product produced under the cGMP regulations enforced by the FDA, EMA and other foreign regulatory authorities, and our clinical trials may require a large number of test subjects. Our failure to comply with cGLP, cGCP and cGMP regulations may require us to repeat clinical trials or manufacture additional batches of drug, which would delay the regulatory approval process and increase our costs. Moreover, our business may be implicated if any of our CROs or CMOs violates federal or state fraud and abuse or false claims laws and regulations or healthcare privacy and security laws, or if this is asserted or reported to have occurred. If any of our clinical trial sites terminates for any reason, we may experience the loss of follow-up information on patients enrolled in our ongoing clinical trials unless we are able to transfer the care of those patients to another qualified clinical trial site. Further, if our relationship with any of our CROs or CMOs is terminated, we may be unable to enter into arrangements with alternative CROs or CMOs on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. Switching or adding CROs, CMOs or other suppliers can involve substantial cost and require extensive management time and focus. In addition, there is a natural transition period when a new CRO, CMO or supplier commences work. As a result, delays may occur, which can materially impact our ability to meet our desired clinical development timelines. If we are required to seek alternative supply arrangements, the resulting delays and potential inability to find a suitable replacement could materially and adversely impact our business. Risks Related to Intellectual Property We could be unsuccessful in obtaining or maintaining adequate patent protection for one or more of our product candidates or future products. Our commercial success will depend, in large part, on our ability to obtain and maintain patent, trademark and trade secret protection of our product candidates and future products, their respective components, formulations, methods used to manufacture them and methods of treatment, as well as successfully defending these patents against third- party challenges. We evaluate our global patent portfolio in the ordinary course of business to enhance patent protection in areas of our strategic focus and in key markets for our potential product candidates and future products and may abandon existing patents or patent applications related to terminated development programs, areas, or markets of low strategic importance. Patents might not be issued - issue or granted with respect to our patent applications that are currently pending, and issued or granted patents might later be found to be invalid or unenforceable, be interpreted in a manner that does not adequately protect our eurrent product candidates or any future products, or fail to otherwise provide us with any competitive advantage. The patent position of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies is generally highly uncertain because it involves complex legal and factual considerations <mark>that may be impacted by changes in the law</mark>. The In addition,

```
the standards applied by the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO, and foreign patent offices in granting issuing
patents are not always applied uniformly or predictably . For example, and also may be there is no uniform worldwide policy
regarding patentable subject to changing law matter or the scope of claims allowable in biotechnology and pharmaceutical
patents. Consequently, patents may not issue from our pending patent applications, or we may end up with patent claims of
different scope in different jurisdictions. As such, we do not know the degree of future protection that we will have on our
future products and proprietary products and technology, if any, and a failure to obtain adequate intellectual property
protection with respect to our product candidates and future products, as well as other proprietary technology could have a
material adverse impact on our business and ability to achieve profitability. Periodic maintenance fees, renewal fees, annuity
fees and various other governmental fees on patents and / or patent applications will be due to be paid to the USPTO and
various governmental patent agencies offices outside of the U.S. in several stages over the lifetime of the patents and / or
applications. The USPTO and foreign various non-US governmental patent agencies offices require compliance with a number
of procedural, documentary, fee payment and other similar provisions during the patent application and maintenance process.
We employ reputable law firms and other professionals to help us comply with respect to the patents and patent applications that
we own or co-own, and we rely upon <del>our licensors or our other</del> collaborators to effect compliance with respect to the patents
and patent applications that we out- license. In some cases, an inadvertent lapse can be cured by payment of a late fee or by
other means in accordance with the applicable rules. However, there are situations in which noncompliance can result in
abandonment or lapse of the patent or patent application, resulting in partial or complete loss of patent rights in the relevant
jurisdiction. In such an event, our competitors might be able to enter the market and this circumstance would have a material
adverse effect on our business. Our intellectual property rights will not necessarily provide us with competitive advantages. The
degree of future protection afforded by our intellectual property rights is uncertain because intellectual property rights have
limitations, and may not adequately protect our business, or may not permit us to maintain our competitive advantage. The
following examples are by way of illustrative illustration only: • others may be able to make compounds that are similar to our
product candidates or future products but that are not covered by the claims of the patents that we , or our collaborators, own,
co- own or have exclusively-may in- licensed - license; • others may independently develop similar or alternative technologies
without infringing our intellectual property rights; • issued patents that we own , co- own or have exclusively may in- licensed-
license may not provide us with any competitive advantages, or may be held invalid or unenforceable, as a result of legal
challenges by our competitors; • we may obtain patents for certain compounds many years before we obtain marketing approval
for products containing such compounds, and because patents have a limited life, which the term (s) may begin to run out prior
to the commercial sale of the related product, the commercial value of our patents may be limited; • we might not have been
the first to make or file upon the inventions covered by the patents or pending patent applications; • it is possible that
our pending patent applications will not issue as patents; • we cannot predict the scope of protection of any patent
issuing from our patent applications, including whether the patent applications that we own will result in patents with
claims directed to our product candidates or future products or uses thereof in the United States or in foreign countries.
· our competitors might conduct research and development activities in countries where we do not have patent rights and then
use the information learned from such activities to develop competitive products for sale in our major commercial markets; • we
may fail to develop additional proprietary technologies that are patentable and / or may fail to adequately protect such
technologies; • the laws of certain foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the
laws of the U. S., or we may fail to apply for or obtain adequate intellectual property protection in all the jurisdictions in which
we operate; and • the patents of others may have an adverse effect on our business, for example by preventing us from
commercializing marketing one or our future more of our product products candidates for one or more indications. Any of
the aforementioned threats to our competitive advantage could have a material adverse effect on our business. Filing,
prosecuting, enforcing and defending patents on product candidates in all countries throughout the world would be prohibitively
expensive, and our intellectual property rights in some countries outside the U. S. can be less extensive than those in the U. S. In
addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as the federal and state
laws in the U. S. Consequently, we may not be able to prevent third parties from practicing our inventions in all countries
outside the U.S., or from offering to sell, selling, using, making or importing products made using our inventions in and into the
U. S. or other jurisdictions. Competitors may use our technologies inventions in jurisdictions where we have not obtained patent
protection to develop their own products and further, may export otherwise infringing products to territories where we have
patent protection, but enforcement is not as strong as that in the U. S. These products may compete with our current or future
products, if any, and our patents or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent them from
competing. Many companies have encountered significant problems in protecting, enforcing and defending intellectual
property rights in certain foreign jurisdictions countries. The legal systems of certain some countries, particularly certain
developing countries, do not favor the enforcement of patents, trade secrets and other intellectual property protection rights,
particularly those relating to biotechnology and pharmaceutical products, which could make it difficult for us to stop the
infringement of our patents or marketing of competing products in violation of our proprietary rights generally. Proceedings to
enforce our patent rights in foreign jurisdictions countries could result in substantial costs and divert our efforts and attention
from other aspects of our business, could put our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and our patent
applications at risk of not issuing as patents and could provoke third parties to assert claims against us. We may not prevail in
any lawsuits that we initiate and the damages or other remedies awarded, if any, may not be commercially meaningful.
Accordingly, our efforts to enforce our intellectual property rights around the world may be inadequate to obtain a significant
commercial advantage from the our intellectual property that we develop. We may be involved in lawsuits to enforce or our
license. Our patents covering one or more of our or products the patents of or our product candidates licensors, which could
be expensive, time consuming and unsuccessful, and those patents could be found invalid or unenforceable if challenged.
```

```
Any of our intellectual property rights could be challenged or invalidated despite measures we or our licensors take to obtain
patent and other intellectual property protection with respect to our product candidates and proprietary technology. could be
expensive, time consuming and unsuccessful. Competitors may infringe our patents or the patents of our licensors. To counter
stop infringement or unauthorized use, we may be required to file infringement claims, which can be expensive and time-
consuming. In addition, in an infringement proceeding, a court may decide that a patent in suit of ours or one of our licensors is
not valid or is not unenforceable -- enforceable. In such case third parties may be able to use or our technology without
paying licensing fees or royalties. Even if the validity of our patents is upheld, a court may refuse to stop the other party in
such infringement proceeding from using the technology at issue on the grounds- ground that our patents do the other party's
activities are not <del>cover covered by our patents. In addition, third parties may affirmatively challenge our rights to, or</del> the
technology in question scope or validity of our patents. An adverse result in any litigation or defense post-grant proceedings
could put additional one or more of our patents at risk of being invalidated, held unenforceable or interpreted narrowly, and
could put any of our patent applications at risk of not yielding an issued patent. Interference proceedings, derivation
proceedings, entitlement proceedings, ex parte reexamination, inter partes review, post-grant review, and opposition proceedings
provoked by third parties or brought by the USPTO or any foreign patent authority may be used to challenge
inventorship, ownership, claim scope, or validity of our patent applications. An Any unfavorable outcome could efforts to enforce
our intellectual property rights are likely to be costly and may divert the efforts of our scientific and management
personnel. For example, if we were to initiate legal proceedings against a third- party to enforce a patent covering one of our
product candidates or future products, the defendant could defend or counterclaim that our patent is invalid and / or
unenforceable. In patent litigation in the U. S. and in some <del>other jurisdictions <mark>foreign countries</mark> ,</del> defendant <mark>defenses and</mark>
counterclaims alleging invalidity and / or unenforceability are commonplace. Grounds for a validity challenge could be an
alleged failure to meet any of several statutory requirements, for example, lack of novelty, obviousness, broken patent
<mark>ineligibility, loss of</mark> priority <mark>claims</mark> , lack of written description, <del>insufficient</del>-or <del>non-lack of</del> enablement. Grounds for an
assertion of unenforceability assertion could be an allegation that someone connected with prosecution of the patent withheld
material information from the USPTO or the an applicable foreign counterpart where such a duty to disclose exists, or made a
misleading statement, during prosecution. A one of our licensors is not valid or For example, administrative proceedings is
unenforceable or may refuse to stop the other party in such as infringement proceeding from using the technology at issue on
the grounds that our patents do not cover the technology in question. An adverse result in any litigation or defense proceedings
could put one or more of our patents at risk of being invalidated, held unenforceable or interpreted narrowly, and could put any of
our patent applications at risk of not yielding an issued patent. Interference proceedings, derivation proceedings, entitlement
proceedings, exparte reexamination, interpartes review, postgrant post-grant review, and or opposition proceedings, provoked
by third parties or <del>brought <mark>initiated</mark> by the USPTO or any foreign patent authority may be used to challenge</del>
inventorship, ownership, claim scope, or validity of our patent patents applications or a patent of our licensor. An unfavorable
outcome in any of these proceedings could require us to cease using the related technology or to attempt to license rights to it
from the prevailing party. Our business could be harmed if the prevailing party does not offer us a license on commercially
reasonable terms, if any license is offered at all. Litigation or interference administrative proceedings may fail and, even if
successful, may result in substantial costs and distract our management and other employees. We may not With respect to
challenges to the validity of our patents or the patents of our licensors, for example, there might be able-prior art of which
we and the patent examiner were unaware during prosecution.If a defendant were to <del>prevent</del>-prevail on a legal assertion
of invalidity and / or unenforceability, we would lose at least part, and perhaps all, of the patent protection on a product
candidate or future product. As another example, a litigant or the USPTO itself could challenge our patents on this basis even
if we believe that we have conducted our patent prosecution in accordance with the duty of candor and in good faith. The
outcome following such a challenge challenges is unpredictable. With respect to challenges to the validity of our patents, for
example, there might be invalidating prior art, of which we and the patent examiner were unaware during prosecution. If a
defendant were to prevail on a legal assertion of invalidity and / or unenforceability, we would lose at least part, and perhaps all,
of the patent protection on a product candidate. Even if a defendant challenger does not prevail on a legal assertion of invalidity
and / or unenforceability, our patent claims may be construed in a manner that would limit our ability to enforce such claims
against the defendant challenger and others. The cost of defending such a challenge, particularly in a foreign jurisdiction
country, and any resulting loss of patent protection could have a material adverse impact on one or more of our product
candidates and our business. Enforcing our intellectual property rights against third parties may also cause such third parties to
file other counterclaims against us, which could be costly to defend, particularly in a foreign jurisdiction, and could require us to
pay substantial damages, cease the sale of certain products or enter into a license agreement and pay royalties (which may not be
possible on commercially reasonable terms, or at all). Any efforts to enforce our intellectual property rights are also likely to be
costly and may divert the efforts of our scientific and management personnel. Patent protection and patent prosecution for some
of our product candidates and future products is dependent on, and the ability to assert patents and defend them against claims
of invalidity is maintained by, third parties. There have been and may be times in the future when certain patents that relate to
our product candidates or any approved future products are controlled by our collaborators, including licensees, sublicensees,
or licensors or other collaborators. Although we may, under such arrangements, have rights to consult with our collaborators on
actions taken as well as back- up rights of prosecution and enforcement, we have in the past and may in the future relinquish
rights to prosecute and maintain patents and patent applications within our portfolio as well as the ability to assert such patents
against infringers. For example, currently the rights relating to the patent portfolio for XEN901 (now known as NBI- 921352),
other selective Nav1. 6 inhibitors and dual Nav1. 2 / 1. 6 inhibitors are exclusively licensed to Neurocrine Biosciences, and
Neurocrine Biosciences has the first right to bring and control any action in connection with product infringement. If
any current or future licensee, sublicensee, licensor or other collaborators collaborator with rights to file, prosecute, assert
```

```
enforce and / or defend patents related to our product candidates or future products fails to appropriately prosecute and
maintain patent protection for patents covering any of our product candidates, or if patents covering any of our product
candidates or future products are asserted against infringers or defended against claims of invalidity or unenforceability in a
manner which adversely affects such coverage, our ability to develop and commercialize any such product eandidate candidates
or future products may be adversely affected and we may not be able to prevent competitors from making, using, importing,
offering for sale, and / or selling competing products. We may be involved in lawsuits to....., results of operations and prospects.
Claims that our product candidates or the sale, offer for sale, importation, manufacture, or use of our future products infringe the
patent or other intellectual property rights of third parties could result in costly litigation or, could require substantial time and
money to resolve, even if litigation is avoided, and could prevent or delay us from developing or commercializing our
product candidates. Our commercial success depends, in part, upon our ability to develop product candidates and
commercialize our future products that may be approved in the future, using our proprietary technology without infringing the
intellectual property rights or other proprietary rights of others. Our product or product candidates or any uses of them may
now and in the future infringe third-party patents or other intellectual property rights. Third parties might allege that we, or our
collaborators, are infringing, their patent rights or that we have misappropriated misappropriating their trade secrets, or that
we are otherwise violating their intellectual property rights, whether with respect to the manner in which we have conducted our
research or to the composition, use or manufacture of the compounds we have developed or are developing with our
collaborators. Such third parties might resort to litigation against us or other parties we have agreed to indemnify, which
litigation could be based on either existing intellectual property or intellectual property that arises in the future. It is possible that
relevant patents or patent applications held by third parties will cover our product candidates at the time of launch and we may
also fail to identify relevant patents or patent applications held by third parties that might be asserted to cover our product
candidates. For example, U. S. applications filed before November 29, 2000, and certain applications filed after that date that
will-were not be-filed outside the U. S. remain confidential until patents issue. Other patent applications in the U. S. and several
other jurisdictions are published approximately 18 months after the earliest filing for which priority is claimed, with such
earliest filing date being commonly referred to as the priority date. Furthermore, publication of discoveries in the scientific or
patent literature often lags behind actual discoveries. Therefore, we cannot be certain that we, or our collaborators, were the first
to invent, or the first to file patent applications on our product candidates or for their uses, or that our product candidates will not
infringe patents that are currently issued or that will be issued in the future. In the event that a third- party has also filed a patent
application covering one of our product candidates or a similar invention, we may have to participate in an adversarial
proceeding, known as an interference or derivation proceeding, declared by the USPTO or its foreign counterpart to determine
priority of invention. Additionally, pending patent applications and patents which have been published can, subject to certain
limitations, be later amended in a manner that could cover our current or future products, if any, or their use. The coverage of
patents is subject to interpretation by the courts, and the interpretation is not always uniform. If we were sued for
patent infringement, we would need to demonstrate that our product candidates, our future products, or methods of use
either do not infringe the patent claims of the relevant patent or that the patent claims are invalid or unenforceable, and
we may not be able to do this. Proving invalidity may be difficult. Even if we are successful in these proceedings, we may
incur substantial costs and the time and attention of our management and scientific personnel could be diverted in
pursuing these proceedings, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and operations. Furthermore, we
may not have sufficient resources to bring these actions to a successful conclusion. We may choose to challenge the
enforceability or validity of claims of a third party's patent by requesting an administrative proceedings, for example,
derivation proceedings, entitlement proceedings, ex parte reexamination, interpartes review, postgrant review, or
opposition proceedings, before the USPTO or any foreign patent authority. These administrative proceedings are
expensive and may consume our time or other resources. The costs of these administrative proceedings could be
substantial and may consume our time or other resources. If we fail to obtain a favorable result in the USPTO, EPO or
other foreign patent office then we may be exposed to litigation by a third party alleging that the patent may be infringed
by our product candidates or future products. Defending against claims of patent infringement, misappropriation of trade
secrets or other violations of intellectual property rights could be costly and time consuming, regardless of the outcome. Thus,
even if we were to ultimately prevail, or to settle at an early stage, such litigation or threatened litigation could burden us with
substantial unanticipated costs. In addition, litigation or threatened litigation could result in significant demands on the time and
attention of our management team, distracting them from the pursuit of other company business. Claims that the selling, using,
making, offering to sell, or importing, of our product candidates or the selling, using, making, offering to sell, or importing, of
our future products infringe, misappropriate or otherwise violate third- party intellectual property rights could therefore have a
material adverse impact on our business. Third parties may Most of our competitors are larger than we are and have
substantially greater financial resources. They are, therefore, likely to be able to sustain the costs of complex intellectual
property litigation longer or proceedings more effectively than we could can. In addition, the uncertainties associated with
litigation could have a material adverse effect on our ability to raise the funds necessary to conduct our clinical trials, continue
our internal research programs, in-license needed technology, or enter into strategic collaborations that would help us bring our
product candidates to market. In addition, any Unfavorable outcomes in intellectual property litigation could limit our
research and development activities and / or our ability to commercialize certain products. Any future intellectual property
litigation, interference or other administrative proceedings will result in additional expense and distraction of our personnel.
There is inevitable uncertainty in intellectual property litigation, and we could lose, even if the case against us is weak or
flawed. An adverse unfavorable outcome in such litigation or proceedings may expose us or any future strategic collaborators
to loss of our proprietary position, expose us to significant liabilities, or require us to seek licenses that may not be available on
commercially acceptable terms, if at all, each of which could have a material adverse effect on our business. Unfavorable
```

```
outcomes in If we are found to infringe a third- party's intellectual property litigation rights, we could limit be forced,
including by court order, to cease developing, manufacturing our- or research and development activities and / or our ability
to commercialize commercializing certain the infringing products product candidate or future product. If Alternatively,
we may be required to obtain a license from such third-party in order to use the infringing technology and continue
developing, manufacturing or marketing the infringing product candidate or future product. For example, if third parties
successfully assert their intellectual property rights against us, we might be barred from using certain aspects of our technology
or barred from developing and commercializing certain future products. Alternatively Prohibitions against using certain
technologies, we may or prohibitions against commercializing certain products, could be required imposed by a court or by a
settlement agreement between us and a plaintiff. In addition, if we are unsuccessful in defending against allegations that we
have infringed, misappropriated or otherwise violated patent or other intellectual property rights of others, we may be forced to
pay substantial damage awards to the plaintiff. There is inevitable uncertainty in intellectual property litigation, including up
to treble damages and attorneys' fees if we are found could lose, even if the case against us is weak or flawed. If litigation
leads to an outcome unfavorable to us have willfully infringed a patent. As another alternative, we may be required to obtain
a license from the intellectual property owner in order to continue our research and development programs or to market any
resulting product. It is also possible that the necessary license will not be available to us on commercially acceptable terms, or at
all. Alternatively, we may be required to modify or redesign our eurrent product candidates or future products, if any, in order
to avoid infringing or otherwise violating third- party intellectual property rights. This may not be technically or commercially
feasible, may render those products less competitive, or may delay or prevent the entry of those products to the market. Any of
the foregoing could limit our research and development activities, our ability to commercialize one or more product candidates,
or both. If In order to avoid or settle potential claims with respect to any patent or other intellectual property rights of third
parties, we may choose or be are required to seek a license from a third-party and, we may be required to pay license fees or
royalties or both, which could be substantial. These licenses may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Even if we or
any future collaborators were able to obtain a license, the rights may be nonexclusive, which could result in our competitors
gaining access to the same intellectual property. Ultimately, we could be prevented from commercializing a product, or be
forced, by court order or otherwise, to cease some or all aspects of our business operations , if, as a result of actual or threatened
litigation patent or other intellectual property claims, we are unable to enter into licenses on acceptable terms. Further, we
could be found liable for significant monetary damages as a result of claims of intellectual property infringement. In the future,
we may receive offers to license and demands to license from third parties claiming that we are infringing their intellectual
property or owe license fees and, even if such claims are without merit, we could fail to successfully avoid or settle such claims.
If Neurocrine Biosciences or other collaborators license or otherwise acquire rights to intellectual property controlled by a third -
party in various circumstances, for example, where a product could not be legally developed or commercialized in a country
without the third- party intellectual property right or, where it is decided that it would be useful to acquire such third- party right
to develop or commercialize the product, they are eligible under our collaboration agreements to decrease payments payable to
us on a product- by -product basis and, in certain cases, on a country- by- country basis. Any of the foregoing events could
harm our business significantly. If we breach any of the agreements - agreement under which we license the use, development
and commercialization rights to our product candidates or technology from third parties, we could lose license rights that are
important to our business. Under our existing or future license and other agreements, we are or may become subject to various
obligations, including diligence obligations such as development and commercialization obligations, as well as potential
milestone payments and other obligations. If we fail to comply with any of these obligations or otherwise breach our license
agreements, our licensing partners may have the right to terminate the applicable license in whole or in part or convert an
exclusive license to a non- exclusive license. Generally, the loss of any such one of our current licenses. license, or any license
exclusivity thereunder, or any other license we may acquire in the future, could materially harm our business, prospects,
financial condition and results of operations. If we are unable to Confidentiality agreements with employees and third parties
may not prevent unauthorized disclosure of trade secrets and other proprietary information, which would harm our competitive
position could be harmed. In addition to patents, we rely on trade secrets, technical know- how and proprietary information
concerning our discovery platform, business strategy and product candidates, which can be difficult to protect, in order to
protect maintain our competitive position , which are difficult to protect. In the course of our research and development
activities and our business activities, we often rely on confidentiality agreements to protect our proprietary information. Such
confidentiality agreements are used, for example, when we talk to vendors of laboratory, manufacturing, pre-clinical
development or clinical development goods or services or potential strategic collaborators. In addition, each of our employees
and consultants is required to sign a confidentiality agreement and invention assignment agreement upon joining our company.
Our employees, consultants, contractors, business partners or outside scientific collaborators might intentionally or inadvertently
disclose our trade secret information in breach of these confidentiality agreements or our trade secrets may otherwise be
misappropriated. Our collaborators might also have rights to publish data and we might fail to apply for patent protection prior
to such publication. It is possible that a competitor will make use of such information, and that our competitive position will be
compromised. In addition, to the extent that our employees, consultants or contractors use intellectual property owned by others
in their work for us, disputes may arise as to the rights in related or resulting know- how and inventions. Enforcing a claim that a
third- party illegally obtained and is using any of our trade secrets is expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is
unpredictable. In addition, courts outside the U. S. sometimes are less willing than U. S. courts to protect trade secrets.
Moreover, our competitors may independently develop equivalent knowledge, methods and know- how. If we cannot maintain
the confidentiality of our proprietary technology and other confidential information, then our ability to obtain patent protection
or to protect our trade secret information would be jeopardized, which would adversely affect our competitive position, our
common shares. In addition, we, or our licensors, may be subject to claims that former employees, collaborators or other third
```

```
parties have an interest in our owned or in-licensed patents, trade secrets, or other intellectual property as an inventor or co-
inventor. For example, we, or our licensors, may have inventorship disputes arise from conflicting obligations of
employees, consultants or others who are involved in developing our product candidates. Litigation may be necessary to defend
against these and other claims challenging inventorship or our, or our licensors', ownership of our owned or in-licensed
patents, trade secrets or other intellectual property. If we, or our licensors, fail in defending against any such claims, in addition to
paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights, such as exclusive ownership of, or right to
use, intellectual property that is important to our product candidates. Even if we are successful in defending against such
claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management and other employees. Any of the foregoing
could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Changes in U. S.
patent law, or laws in other countries, could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent
applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents, thereby impairing our ability to protect our product candidates
and future products. Our success is heavily dependent on intellectual property, particularly patents. The patent positions of
pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical companies can be highly uncertain and involve complex legal and factual questions for
which important legal principles remain unresolved. No consistent policy regarding the breadth of claims allowed in patents in
these fields has emerged to date in the U. S. or other countries. In addition, Congress or other foreign legislative bodies may
pass patent reform legislation that is unfavorable to us. For example, there have been recent changes regarding how patent laws
are interpreted, and both the USPTO and Congress have recently made significant changes to the patent system. There have
been U. S. Supreme Court decisions that now show a trend of the Supreme Court which is distinctly negative on some patents.
The trend of these decisions along with resulting changes in patentability requirements being implemented by the USPTO could
make it increasingly difficult for us to obtain and, maintain and or enforce patents on our products. We cannot accurately
predict future changes in the interpretation of patent laws or changes to patent laws which might be enacted into law. Those
changes may materially affect our patents, our ability to obtain patents, the costs to prosecute our patent applications and enforce
our patents and / or the patents and applications of our collaborators. The patent situation in these fields outside the U. S. also
has uncertainties. Changes in either the patent laws or in interpretations of patent laws in the U. S. and other countries may
diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection. Accordingly, we cannot predict the
breadth of claims that may be allowed or enforced in the patents we own or to which we have a license or third- party patents.
As an example, European patent applications <del>will soon</del> have the option, upon <del>grant <mark>issuance</mark> of a patent, of becoming</del> a Unitary
Patent, which is will be subject to the jurisdiction of the Unitary Patent Court, or UPC. The option of a Unitary Patent will be a
and the creation of the UPC are significant <del>change changes</del> in European patent practice. As the UPC is a new court system,
there is <del>no little</del> precedent for the court, increasing the uncertainty of any litigation in the UPC. Intellectual property
litigation and administrative proceedings may lead to unfavorable publicity that harms our reputation and causes the
market price of our common shares to decline. During the course of any intellectual property litigation or administrative
proceeding, there could be public announcements of the initiation of the litigation or proceeding as well as results of
hearings, rulings on motions, and other interim rulings in the litigation or proceeding. If securities analysts or investors
regard these announcements as negative, the perceived value of our existing products, programs or intellectual property
could be diminished. Accordingly, the market price of our common shares may decline. Such announcements could also
harm our reputation or the market for our future products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.
If we do not obtain protection under the Hatch- Waxman Act in the U. S. and similar foreign legislation outside of the U. S. by
extending the patent terms for our product candidates, our business may be materially harmed. Depending upon the timing,
duration and specifics of FDA marketing approval of our product candidates, if any, one or more U. S. patents may be eligible
for limited patent term <del>restoration <mark>extension</mark> u</del>nder the Hatch- Waxman Act <del>. The Hatch- Waxman Act permits a patent</del>
restoration term of up to five years as compensation for patent term lost during clinical testing of the product and the subsequent
FDA regulatory review process. However, we may not be granted an extension because of, for example, failing to apply within
applicable deadlines, failing to apply prior to expiration of relevant patents or otherwise failing to satisfy applicable
requirements. Moreover, the applicable time period or the scope of patent protection afforded could be less than five years, or
even less than we request if that number is less than five years. If we are unable to obtain patent term extension or restoration or
the term-duration of any such extension is less than we request, the period during which we will have the right to exclusively
market our product may be shortened and our competitors may obtain approval of competing products following our patent
expiration, and our revenue could be reduced, possibly materially. We have If our trademarks are not registered our corporate
<mark>adequately protected, we may not be able to build</mark> name <mark>recognition as a trademark-</mark>in <del>all of</del> our <del>potential</del> markets <mark>of interest</mark>
, which and failure to secure those registrations could adversely affect our business. Our current and future trademarks,
including our corporate name, Xenon, has not been trademarked in each market where we operate and plan to operate. Our
trademark applications for our corporate name or the name of our products may not be allowed for registration, and our
registered trademarks may not be maintained or enforced. During trademark registration proceedings, we may receive rejections,
which we may be unable to overcome in our responses. Third parties may also attempt to register trademarks utilizing the
Xenon name on their products, and we may not be successful in preventing such usage. In addition, in the USPTO and in
comparable agencies patent officers in many foreign jurisdictions countries, third parties are given an opportunity to oppose
pending trademark applications and to seek to cancel registered trademarks. Our Opposition or cancellation proceedings may be
filed against our trademarks, and our trademarks may not survive such proceedings. If we do not secure registrations for our
trademarks, we may encounter more difficulty in enforcing them against third parties than we otherwise would. Intellectual
property litigation may lead Moreover, any name we have proposed to unfavorable publicity use with our product
candidates in the United States, regardless of whether we have registered it or applied to register it as a trademark, must
be approved by the FDA. Similar requirements exist in Europe and other foreign countries. If the FDA (or an equivalent
```

```
administrative body in a foreign country) objects to any of our proposed product names, we may need to identify a
<mark>suitable substitute name, for example,</mark> that <del>harms our reputation and causes would qualify under applicable trademark</del>
laws, not infringe the market price existing rights of our common shares third parties, and be acceptable to decline. During
the course of any intellectual property litigation FDA. This may require additional expense. In addition, there could be
public announcements potential trademark infringement claims brought by owners of the other initiation registered
trademarks that incorporate variations of our registered or unregistered trademarks. If we assert trademark
infringement claims, a court may determine that the litigation marks we have asserted are invalid or unenforceable, or
that the party against whom we have asserted trademark infringement as has well as results of hearings superior rights to
the marks in question. In this case, rulings we could ultimately be forced to cease use of such trademarks. If we are
unable to establish name recognition based on motions, and other interim proceedings in the litigation. If securities analysts
or our trademarks investors regard these announcements as negative, we may not the perceived value of our existing
products, programs or intellectual property could be diminished. Accordingly able to compete effectively, and the market price
of our common shares may decline. Such announcements could also harm our reputation or the market for our future products,
which could have a material adverse effect on our business may be adversely affected. Risks Related to Ownership of Our
Common Shares Our common shares are listed on Nasdaq under the trading symbol "XENE." The market price of our
common shares has fluctuated in the past and is likely to be volatile in the future. As a result of this volatility, investors may
experience losses on their investment in our common shares. The market price for our common shares may be influenced by
many factors, including the following: • announcements by us or our competitors of new products, product candidates or new
uses for existing products, significant contracts, commercial relationships or capital commitments and the timing of these
introductions or announcements; • actions by any of our collaborators regarding our product candidates they are developing,
including announcements regarding clinical or regulatory decisions or developments of our collaboration; • unanticipated serious
safety concerns related to the use of any of our products and product candidates; • negative or inconclusive results from clinical
trials of our product candidates, leading to a decision or requirement to conduct additional pre-clinical testing or clinical trials or
resulting in a decision to terminate the continued development of a product candidate; • delays of clinical trials of our product
candidates; • failure to obtain or delays in obtaining or maintaining product approvals or clearances from regulatory authorities;
· adverse regulatory or reimbursement announcements; · announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions,
strategic collaborations, licenses, joint ventures or capital commitments; • the results of our efforts to discover or develop
additional product candidates; • our dependence on third parties, including our collaborators, CROs, clinical trial sponsors and
clinical investigators; • regulatory or legal developments in Canada, the U. S. or other countries; • developments or disputes
concerning patent applications, issued patents or other proprietary rights; • the recruitment or departure of key personnel; • the
level of expenses related to any of our product candidates or clinical development programs; • actual or anticipated changes in
estimates as to financial results, development timelines or recommendations by securities analysts; • actual or anticipated
quarterly variations in our financial results or those of our competitors; • sales of common shares by us, our insiders or our
shareholders in the future, as well as the overall trading volume of our common shares; • changes in the structure of healthcare
payment systems; • commencement of, or our involvement in, litigation; • the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic pandemics,
epidemics or other public health crises on our business and the macroeconomic environment; • general economic, industry
and market conditions; • market conditions in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sectors and other factors that may be
unrelated to our operating performance or the operating performance of our competitors, including changes in market valuations
of similar companies; and • the other factors described in this "Risk Factors" section. In addition, the stock market in general,
and Nasdag and the biopharmaceutical industry in particular, have from time to time experienced volatility that often has been
unrelated to the operating performance of the underlying companies. The COVID- 19 pandemic and rising inflation and
interest rates, for example, resulted in significant volatility. These broad market and industry fluctuations may adversely affect
the market price of our common shares, regardless of our operating performance. In several recent situations where the market
price of a stock has been volatile, holders of that stock have instituted securities class action litigation against the company that
issued the stock. If any of our shareholders were to bring a lawsuit against us, the defense and disposition of the lawsuit could be
costly and divert the time and attention of our management and harm our operating results. The market price of our common
shares could decline as a result of sales of a large number of our common shares or the perception that these sales could occur.
These sales, or the possibility that these sales may occur, also might make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities in the
future at a time and at a price that we deem appropriate. Pursuant to our equity incentive plans, our compensation committee (or
a subset or delegate thereof) is authorized to grant equity- based incentive awards to our employees, directors and consultants.
Future stock option grants and issuances of common shares under our share- based compensation plans will result in dilution to
all shareholders and may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common shares. In addition, in the future, we may
issue additional common shares, preferred shares, or other equity or debt securities convertible into common shares in
connection with a financing, collaboration agreement, acquisition, litigation settlement, employee arrangements or otherwise.
We may also issue additional common shares upon the exercise of pre-funded warrants that we have issued from time to time.
Any such issuance, including any issuances pursuant to our "at-the-market" equity offering program under our sales
agreement with Jefferies and Stifel, could result in substantial dilution to our existing shareholders and could cause the market
price of our common shares to decline. We are governed by the corporate and securities laws of Canada which in some cases
have a different effect on shareholders than the corporate laws of Delaware and U. S. securities laws. We are governed by the
Canada Business Corporations Act, or CBCA, and other relevant laws, which may affect the rights of shareholders differently
than those of a company governed by the laws of a U. S. jurisdiction, and may, together with our articles and by-laws, have the
effect of delaying, deferring or discouraging another party from acquiring control of our company by means of a tender offer, a
proxy contest or otherwise, or may affect the price an acquiring party would be willing to offer in such an instance. The material
```

```
differences between the CBCA and Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL, that may have the greatest such effect
include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) for material corporate transactions (such as mergers and amalgamations, other
extraordinary corporate transactions or amendments to our articles) the CBCA generally requires a two-thirds majority vote by
shareholders, whereas DGCL generally only requires a majority vote; and (ii) under the CBCA, holders of 5 % or more of our
shares that carry the right to vote at a meeting of shareholders can requisition a special meeting of shareholders, whereas such
right does not exist under the DGCL. In addition, our board of directors is responsible for appointing the members of our
management team and certain provisions of the CBCA and our articles and by- laws may frustrate or prevent any attempts by
our shareholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for shareholders to replace members
of our board of directors. Certain of these provisions include the following: • shareholders cannot amend our articles unless such
amendment is approved by shareholders holding at least two-thirds of the shares entitled to vote on such approval; •
shareholders must give advance notice to nominate directors or to submit proposals for consideration at shareholders' meetings;
and • applicable Canadian corporate and securities laws generally require, subject to certain exceptions, a tender offer (also
known as a take- over bid) to remain open for a minimum of 105 days and that more than 50 % of the outstanding securities not
owned by the offeror be tendered before the offeror may take up the securities. Any provision in our articles, by-laws, under the
CBCA or under any applicable Canadian securities law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control could limit
the opportunity for our shareholders to receive a premium for their common shares, and could also affect the price that some
investors are willing to pay for our common shares, thereby depressing the market price of our common shares. U. S. civil
liabilities may not be enforceable against us, our directors, or our officers. We are governed by the CBCA and our principal
place of business is in British Columbia, Canada. Many of our directors and officers reside outside of the U.S., and all or a
substantial portion of their assets as well as all or a substantial portion of our assets are located outside the U. S. As a result, it
may be difficult for investors to effect service of process within the U. S. upon us and certain of our directors and officers or to
enforce judgments obtained against us or such persons, in U. S. courts, in any action, including actions predicated upon the civil
liability provisions of U. S. federal securities laws or any other laws of the U. S. Additionally, rights predicated solely upon civil
liability provisions of U. S. federal securities laws or any other laws of the U. S. may not be enforceable in original actions, or
actions to enforce judgments obtained in U. S. courts, brought in Canadian courts, including courts in the Province of British
Columbia. We are at risk of securities class action litigation. In the past, securities Securities class action litigation has often
been brought against a company following a decline in the market price of its securities. This risk is especially relevant for us
because biotechnology companies have experienced significant share price volatility in recent years. If we face such litigation, it
could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources, which could harm our business. In
addition, an increase in litigation against biotechnology companies may make it more difficult and more expensive for us to
obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur
substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. Our management has broad discretion over the use of our cash
and we may not use our cash effectively, which could adversely affect our results of operations. Our management has broad
discretion in the application of our cash resources. Shareholders may not agree with our decisions, and our use of our cash
resources may not improve our results of operation or enhance the value of our common shares. Our failure to apply these funds
effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, delay the development of our product candidates and cause the
market price of our common shares to decline. In addition, pending their use, they may be placed in investments that do not
produce significant income or that may lose value. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common shares in the
foreseeable future. We do not currently intend to pay any cash dividends on our common shares in the foreseeable future. We
currently intend to retain all of our future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business. As a result,
capital appreciation, if any, of our common shares may be investors' sole source of gain for the foreseeable future. Reports
published by analysts, including projections in those reports that differ from our actual results, could adversely affect the price
and trading volume of our common shares. The trading market for our common shares depends in part on the research and
reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who cover us issues an
adverse opinion about our company, our common share price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts ceases
research coverage of us or fails to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn
could cause the price of our common shares or trading volume to decline. There is no public market for our outstanding pre-
funded warrants. There is no public trading market for our outstanding pre- funded warrants and we do not expect a market to
develop. In addition, we do not intend to list the outstanding pre-funded warrants on Nasdaq or any other national securities
exchange or nationally recognized trading system. Without an active trading market, the liquidity of the outstanding pre-funded
warrants will be limited. General Risk Factors Unstable market and economic conditions may have serious adverse
consequences on our business and financial condition. Global credit and financial markets have at times experienced extreme
disruptions, including most recently in connection with the COVID-19 pandemic, characterized by increased market volatility,
increased rates of inflation, declines in consumer confidence, declines in economic growth, increases in unemployment rates, and
uncertainty about economic stability. Similarly, the current conflicts between Ukraine and Russia and in the Middle
East, has-- as well as recent failures in the global banking sector, have created volatility in the capital markets and is are
expected to have further global economic consequences. Limited liquidity, defaults, non-performance and other adverse
developments affecting financial institutions or parties with which we do business, or perceptions regarding these or
similar risks, have in the past and may in the future lead to market- wide liquidity problems. For example, in March
2023, Silicon Valley Bank was closed and placed into receivership and, subsequently, additional financial institutions
have been placed into receivership. There is no guarantee that the U.S. government or governments in other
jurisdictions will intervene to provide access to uninsured funds in the future in the event of the failure of other financial
institutions, or that the U. S. government or governments in other jurisdictions would do so in a timely fashion. If another
```

such disruption in credit and financial markets and deterioration of confidence in economic conditions occurs, our business may be adversely affected. If the equity and credit markets were to deteriorate significantly in the future, including as a result of a pandemic resurgence of COVID-19, political unrest or war, or further instability of the global banking sector, it may make any necessary equity or debt financing more difficult to complete, more costly, and more dilutive. Failure to secure any necessary financing in a timely manner and on favorable terms could have a material adverse effect on our growth strategy, financial performance and the market price of our common shares and could require us to delay or abandon development or commercialization plans. In addition, there is a risk that one or more of our current collaborators, service providers, manufacturers and other partners would not survive or be able to meet their commitments to us under such circumstances, which could directly affect our ability to attain our operating goals on schedule and on budget. We have incurred, and expect to continue to incur, significant costs as a result of laws, regulations and investor- driven standards relating to corporate governance and other matters. Laws and regulations affecting public companies, including provisions of the Dodd- Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the CBCA, applicable Canadian securities laws, and rules adopted or proposed by the SEC, Nasdaq, Corporations Canada and applicable Canadian securities regulators have resulted in, and will continue to result in, significant compliance costs to us as we evaluate the implications of these rules and respond to their requirements. Compliance with the various reporting and other requirements applicable to public companies also requires considerable time and attention of management. In the future, if we are not able to issue an evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting, as required, or we or our independent registered public accounting firm determine that our internal control over financial reporting is not effective, this shortcoming could have an adverse effect on our business and financial results and the price of our common shares could be negatively affected. New rules could make it more difficult or more costly for us to obtain certain types of insurance, including director and officer liability insurance, and we may be forced to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the coverage that is the same or similar to our current coverage. The impact of these events could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our board of directors and board committees, and as our executive officers. We cannot predict or estimate the total amount of the costs we may incur or the timing of such costs to comply with these rules and regulations. In addition, the SEC and applicable Canadian securities regulators regularly pursue recently have been pursuing various rulemaking efforts, including **recently** with respect to environmental, social and governance, or ESG, matters. A variety of organizations also measure the performance of companies on such ESG topics, and the results of these assessments are widely publicized. Investment in funds that specialize in companies that perform well in such assessments are increasingly popular, and major institutional investors have publicly emphasized the importance of such ESG measures to their investment decisions. If additional rules regarding ESG matters are adopted or if investors continue to increase their focus on ESG matters, we could incur substantially higher costs in our efforts to comply and cannot be certain that our efforts will be viewed as adequate by regulators or by such investors.